

520 Lafayette Road North St. Paul, MN 55155-4194

Compliance Inspection Form

Existing Subsurface Sewage Treatment Systems (SSTS)

Doc Type: Compliance and Enforcement

Inspection results based on Minnesota Pollution Control Agency (MPCA) requirements and attached forms – additional local requirements may also apply.	For local tracking purposes:						
Submit completed form to Local Unit of Government (LUG) and system own within 15 days	ner						
System Status							
System status on date (mm/dd/yyyy): _5/18/2016							
 ✓ Compliant – Certificate of Compliance (Valid for 3 years from report date, unless shorter time frame outlined in Local Ordinance.) ✓ Noncompliant – Notice of Noncompliance (See Upgrade Requirements on page 3.) 							
Reason(s) for noncompliance (check all applicable) Impact on Public Health (Compliance Component #1) – Imminent threat to public health and safety Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance Component #3) – Imminent threat to public health and safety Tank Integrity (Compliance Component #2) – Failing to protect groundwater Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance Component #3) – Failing to protect groundwater Soil Separation (Compliance Component #4) – Failing to protect groundwater Operating permit/monitoring plan requirements (Compliance Component #5) – Noncompliant							
Property Information Parcel ID# or Sec/Twp/Range:08.029.20.14.0001							
Decreed and Decree and Decreed and Decreed and Decree and Decreed and Decree	son for inspection: Property Sale						
Property owner: Patrick H & Carol J Schaefer Own	ner's phone: <u>651-439-8607</u>						
Owner's representative: Rep	resentative phone:						
I and the state of	ulatory authority phone: 651-430-6673						
Brief system description: 1,250 gallon septic tank & 4 lines (1200 SF) of rock	trench gravity drainfield.						
Comments or recommendations:							
Certification							
I hereby certify that all the necessary information has been gathered to determine the compliance status of this system. No determination of future system performance has been nor can be made due to unknown conditions during system construction, possible abuse of the system, inadequate maintenance, or future water usage.							
Inspector name: Tom Trooien Cert	tification number: _323						
Business name: All State Septic Services LLC	License number: 1568						
Inspector signature:	Phone number: 612-594-4496						
Necessary or Locally Required Attachments ☐ Soil boring logs ☐ System/As-built drawing ☐ Forms ☐ Other information (list):	s per local ordinance						

U	ompliance criteria:		Verification method(s):					
	stem discharges sewage to the	☐ Yes ☒ No	⊠ Searched for surface outlet					
gre	ound surface.		oxtimes Searched for seeping in yard/backup in home					
	stem discharges sewage to drain or surface waters.	☐ Yes ☒ No	Excessive ponding in soil system/D-boxes					
	ystem causes sewage backup into	☐ Yes ☒ No	☐ Homeowner testimony (See Comments/Explanation)					
	velling or establishment.	□ les ⊠ NO	"Black soil" above soil dispersal system					
A	ny "yes" answer above indicates the ystem is an imminent threat to public ealth and safety.		 ☐ System requires "emergency" pumping ☐ Performed dye test ☐ Unable to verify (See Comments/Explanation) ☐ Other methods not listed (See Comments/Explanation) 					
sj								
he								
Ta	ank Integrity — Compliance	component #2 of 5						
Co	Compliance criteria:		Verification method(s):					
Sy	stem consists of a seepage pit,	☐ Yes ☒ No	☐ Probed tank(s) bottom					
	sspool, drywell, or leaching pit.							
	epage pits meeting 7080.2550 may be mpliant if allowed in local ordinance.		☐ Examined Tank Integrity Form (Attach)					
	ewage tank(s) leak below their	☐ Yes ☒ No	Observed liquid level below operating depth					
de	signed operating depth.		☐ Examined empty (pumped) tanks(s)					
-	yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks:		Probed outside tank(s) for "black soil"					
	ny "yes" answer above indicates the		 ☐ Unable to verify (See Comments/Explanation) ☐ Other methods not listed (See Comments/Explanation) 					
5	/stem is failing to protect gi	ounawater.	Cities methods not listed (See Comments/Explanation)					
	Comments/Explanation:							
	omments/Explanation:							
	omments/Explanation:							
	omments/Explanation:							
	omments/Explanation:							
Co	•	ıs – Compliance comp	onent #3 of 5					
Co	ther Compliance Condition							
Ot	ther Compliance Condition Maintenance hole covers are dama	aged, cracked, unsecured to immediately and adver	, or appear to be structurally unsound. ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Unkr					
Ot a.	ther Compliance Condition Maintenance hole covers are dama Other issues (electrical hazards, etc.)	aged, cracked, unsecured to immediately and adver	, or appear to be structurally unsound. ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Unkr					
Ott	ther Compliance Condition Maintenance hole covers are dama Other issues (electrical hazards, etc.) *System is an imminent threat to	aged, cracked, unsecured to immediately and adver public health and safet	, or appear to be structurally unsound. ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Unkr rsely impact public health or safety. ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Unkr					
Ot a. b.	ther Compliance Condition Maintenance hole covers are dama Other issues (electrical hazards, etc.) *System is an imminent threat to Explain:	aged, cracked, unsecured to immediately and adver public health and safet water for other conditions	, or appear to be structurally unsound. ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Unkn rsely impact public health or safety. ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Unkn ty.					

Inspector initials/Date: TT | 5/18/2016

Property address: 13780 47th St N Stillwater, MN 55082

Property address: 13780 47th St N Stillwater, I	Inspector initials/Date:	Inspector initials/Date: TT 5/18/2016 (mm/dd/yyyy)					
4. 6:11.6			(mmaayyyy)				
4. Soil Separation — Compliance co	omponent #4 of 5						
Date of installation: 11/3/1986 (mm/dd/yyyy)	Unknown	Verification method(s):					
Shoreland/Wellhead protection/Food beverage lodging? Compliance criteria:	☐ Yes ⊠ No	observations by two independent pa unless site conditions have been alt	observation does not expire. Previous soil ervations by two independent parties are sufficient, ss site conditions have been altered or local				
		requirements differ.					
For systems built prior to April 1, 1996, and not located in Shoreland or Wellhead	⊠ Yes □ No	 ☐ Conducted soil observation(s) (Attach boring logs) ☐ Two previous verifications (Attach boring logs) 					
Protection Area or not serving a food, beverage or lodging establishment:		☐ Not applicable (Holding tank(s), no drainfield)					
Drainfield has at least a two-foot vertical		☐ Unable to verify (See Comments/Explanation)					
separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.		☐ Other (See Comments/Explanation)					
Non-performance systems built April 1,	☐ Yes ☐ No	Comments/Explanation:					
1996, or later or for non-performance systems located in Shoreland or Wellhead		B1					
Protection Areas or serving a food, beverage, or lodging establishment:		0-9 loam topsoil10YR 3/2					
Drainfield has a three-foot vertical		9-29 loamy sand10YR 4/4					
separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.*		29-76 med sand10YR 4/3					
"Experimental", "Other", or "Performance"	☐ Yes ☐ No	Indicate depths or elevations					
systems built under pre-2008 Rules; Type IV or V systems built under 2008 Rules (7080.		A. Bottom of distribution media	18				
2350 or 7080.2400 (Advanced Inspector License required)		P. Poriodically acturated anil/hadrook	n/a				
Drainfield meets the designed vertical		B. Periodically saturated soil/bedrock C. System separation	24" plus				
separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.							
Any "no" answer above indicates to	ha system is	D. Required compliance separation* *May be reduced up to 15 percent if	24"				
failing to protect groundwater.	ie system is	Ordinance.	anowed by Local				
5. Operating Permit and Nitrogen	BMP* – Complian	ce component #5 of 5	lot applicable				
Is the system operated under an Operating		No If "yes", A below is required.					
Is the system required to employ a Nitroger	n BMP? ☐ Yes	⊠ No If "yes", B below is requir	ed				
BMP = Best Management Practice(s)	specified in the system o	design					
If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed.							
Compliance criteria							
a. Operating Permit number: n/a							
	Have the Operating Permit requirements been met?						
b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place	and properly functionin	g?					
Any "no" answer indicates Noncompliance.							
Upgrade Requirements (Minn. Stat. § 115.55)	An imminent threat to put	blic health and safety (ITPHS) must be upg	raded, replaced, or its use				

discontinued within ten months of receipt of this notice or within a shorter period if required by local ordinance. If the system is failing to protect ground water, the system must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within the time required by local ordinance. If an existing system is not failing as defined in law, and has at least two feet of design soil separation, then the system need not be upgraded, repaired, replaced, or its use discontinued, notwithstanding any local ordinance that is more strict. This provision does not apply to systems in shoreland areas, Wellhead Protection Areas, or those used in connection with food, beverage, and lodging establishments as defined in law.

651-296-6300 • www.pca.state.mn.us • 800-657-3864 TTY 651-282-5332 or 800-657-3864 • Available in alternative formats

1501 34 149 81 5-18-16 East ACWITI HUCSE ?