

520 Lafayette Road North St. Paul, MN 55155-4194

Compliance Inspection Form

Existing Subsurface Sewage Treatment Systems (SSTS)

Doc Type: Compliance and Enforcement

Inspection results based on Minnesota Pollution Control Agency (MPCA) requirements and attached forms – additional local requirements may also apply.	For local tracking purposes:
Submit completed form to Local Unit of Government (LUG) and system owner within 15 days	
System Status	
System status on date (mm/dd/yyyy): 7/8/2020	
	npliant – Notice of Noncompliance ade Requirements on page 3.)
Reason(s) for noncompliance (check all applicable)	
☐ Impact on Public Health (Compliance Component #1) – Imminent threat to public	c health and safety
Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance Component #3) - Imminent	
☐ Tank Integrity (Compliance Component #2) – Failing to protect ground	
Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance Component #3) – Failing to	
Soil Separation (Compliance Component #4) – Failing to protect ground	
Operating permit/monitoring plan requirements (Compliance Componer	nt #5) — Noncompliant
Property Information Parcel ID# or Sec/Two/R	04 000 00 40 0007
	ange:04.030.20.12.0007
	n for inspection: <u>property sale</u>
Property owner: Rick Rodgers & Margaret Doyle Owner	's phone: 651-430-9944
Owner's representative:	sentative phone:
	atory authority phone: _651-430-6655
Brief system description: Two 1000 gallon septic tanks and 765 sf of gravity rock	
Comments or recommendations:	
Certification	
I hereby certify that all the necessary information has been gathered to determine the determination of future system performance has been nor can be made due to unknowsible abuse of the system, inadequate maintenance, or future water usage.	e compliance status of this system. No own conditions during system construction,
Inspector name: Tom Trooien Certific	cation number: 323
Business name: All State Septic Services LLC Lic	cense number: 1568
Inspector signature: 75h Novo	Phone number: 612-594-4496
Necessary or Locally Required Attachments	
	on local andinance
✓ Soil boring logs✓ System/As-built drawing✓ Forms p✓ Other information (list):	er local ordinance
outer information (list).	
VALUE OF STATE OF U.S. (FEA 2007 (2009)	
www.pca.state.mn.us • 651-296-6300 • 800-657-3864 • TTY 651-282-5332 (wq-wwists4-31b • 6/4/14	or 800-657-3864 • Available in alternative formats Page 1 of 3

	y address: 14710 118th St N Stillwa	ater, MN 55082	Inspector initials/Date: TT 7/8/2020	
			(mm/dd/yyyy)	
. m	pact on Public Health – (Compliance compo	nent #1 of 5	
	ompliance criteria:		Verification method(s):	
	stem discharges sewage to the	☐ Yes ☒ No	Searched for surface outlet	
	ound surface.	L Tes Z No	☐ Searched for seeping in yard/backup in home	
Sy	stem discharges sewage to drain	☐ Yes ☒ No		
tile	or surface waters.		☐ Homeowner testimony (See Comments/Explanation)	
	stem causes sewage backup into velling or establishment.	☐ Yes ☒ No	☐ "Black soil" above soil dispersal system☐ System requires "emergency" pumping	
sy	Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is an imminent threat to public health and safety.		☐ Performed dye test ☐ Unable to verify (See Comments/Explanation) ☐ Other methods not listed (See Comments/Explanation)	
Co	mments/Explanation:			
mqua_				
	ank Integrity - Compliance	component #2 of 5		
Co	ompliance criteria:		Verification method(s):	
	stem consists of a seepage pit, sspool, drywell, or leaching pit.	☐ Yes ☒ No	☐ Probed tank(s) bottom	
	epage pits meeting 7080.2550 may be		□ Examined construction records □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □	
	mpliant if allowed in local ordinance.		Examined Tank Integrity Form (Attach)	
	wage tank(s) leak below their	☐ Yes ⊠ No	☐ Observed liquid level below operating depth☐ Examined empty (pumped) tanks(s)	
	signed operating depth. /es, which sewage tank(s) leaks:		☐ Probed outside tank(s) for "black soil"	
		icates the	☐ Unable to verify (See Comments/Explanation)	
	Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is failing to protect groundwater.		☐ Other methods not listed (See Comments/Explanation)	
Co	mments/Explanation:			
	ne tanks were at normal operating le	evel.		
. Ot	ther Compliance Condition	ıs – Compliance com	nponent #3 of 5	
. Ot				
NA THURSDAY OF STREET	Maintenance hole covers are dama Other issues (electrical hazards, etc.)	aged, cracked, unsecure to immediately and adv	ed, or appear to be structurally unsound. ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Unknowersely impact public health or safety. ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Unknowersely impact public health or safety.	
a.	Maintenance hole covers are dama Other issues (electrical hazards, etc.) *System is an imminent threat to	aged, cracked, unsecure to immediately and adv	ed, or appear to be structurally unsound. ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Unknowersely impact public health or safety. ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Unknowersely impact public health or safety.	
a.	Maintenance hole covers are dama Other issues (electrical hazards, etc.)	aged, cracked, unsecure to immediately and adv	ed, or appear to be structurally unsound. ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Unknowersely impact public health or safety. ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Unknowersely impact public health or safety.	
a.	Maintenance hole covers are dama Other issues (electrical hazards, etc.) *System is an imminent threat to Explain: System is non-protective of ground	aged, cracked, unsecure to immediately and adv public health and saf	ed, or appear to be structurally unsound. ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Unknowersely impact public health or safety. ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Unknowersely.	
a. b.	Maintenance hole covers are dama Other issues (electrical hazards, etc.) *System is an imminent threat to Explain: System is non-protective of ground *System is failing to protect ground	aged, cracked, unsecure to immediately and adv public health and saf	ed, or appear to be structurally unsound. ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Unknow rersely impact public health or safety. ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Unknow fety.	
a. b.	Maintenance hole covers are dama Other issues (electrical hazards, etc.) *System is an imminent threat to Explain: System is non-protective of ground	aged, cracked, unsecure to immediately and adv public health and saf	ed, or appear to be structurally unsound. ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Unknowersely impact public health or safety. ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Unknowersely.	
a. b.	Maintenance hole covers are dama Other issues (electrical hazards, etc.) *System is an imminent threat to Explain: System is non-protective of ground *System is failing to protect ground	aged, cracked, unsecure to immediately and adv public health and saf	ed, or appear to be structurally unsound. ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Unknov rersely impact public health or safety. ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Unknov fety.	

Property address: 14710 118th St N Stillwater, MN 55082		Inspector initials/Date: TT 7/8/2020 (mm/dd/yyyy)		
4. Soil Separation - Compliance c	omponent #4 of 5			
Date of installation: 11/17/1994 (mm/dd/yyyy)	Unknown	Verification method(s):		
Shoreland/Wellhead protection/Food beverage lodging? Compliance criteria:	⊠ Yes □ No	Soil observation does not expire. Previous soil observations by two independent parties are sufficient, unless site conditions have been altered or local requirements differ. Conducted soil observation(s) (Attach boring logs) Two previous verifications (Attach boring logs) Not applicable (Holding tank(s), no drainfield)		
For systems built prior to April 1, 1996, and not located in Shoreland or Wellhead Protection Area or not serving a food, beverage or lodging establishment:	☐ Yes ☐ No			
Drainfield has at least a two-foot vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.		☐ Unable to verify (See Comments/E☐ Other (See Comments/Explanation)		
Non-performance systems built April 1, 1996, or later or for non-performance systems located in Shoreland or Wellhead Protection Areas or serving a food, beverage, or lodging establishment: Drainfield has a three-foot vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.*	⊠ Yes □ No	Comments/Explanation: 0-10 topsoil 10-31 loamy sand 31-66 sand with 15-20% gravel	7.5YR 4/4	
"Experimental", "Other", or "Performance" systems built under pre-2008 Rules; Type IV or V systems built under 2008 Rules (7080.	☐ Yes ☐ No	Indicate depths or elevations A. Bottom of distribution media	2.3	
2350 or 7080.2400 (Advanced Inspector License required)		B. Periodically saturated soil/bedrock	5.5	
Drainfield meets the designed vertical separation distance from periodically		C. System separation	3.2	
saturated soil or bedrock. Any "no" answer above indicates to		D. Required compliance separation*	3	
failing to protect groundwater.5. Operating Permit and Nitrogen is the system operated under an Operating	ı BMP* – Complia	*May be reduced up to 15 percent if Ordinance. nce component #5 of 5 \(\sum \) \(\sum	lot applicable	
Is the system required to employ a Nitroger		s 🗌 No If "yes", B below is requir	red	
BMP = Best Management Practice(s) s If the answer to both questions is "r Compliance criteria				
a. Operating Permit number: n/a		☐ Yes ☐ No		
Have the Operating Permit requirements been met?				
b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place		ing? Yes No		
Any "no" answer indicates Nonce Upgrade Requirements (Minn. Stat. § 115.55) discontinued within ten months of receipt of this is ground water, the system must be upgraded, rep is not failing as defined in law, and has at least tw its use discontinued, notwithstanding any local of Wellhead Protection Areas, or those used in con-	An imminent threat to p notice or within a shorter laced, or its use disconti vo feet of design soil sep rdinance that is more stri	period if required by local ordinance. If the synued within the time required by local ordinant paration, then the system need not be upgraded in the provision does not apply to systems.	ystem is failing to protect nce. If an existing system led, repaired, replaced, or in shoreland areas	

www.pca.state.mn.us • 651-296-6300 • TTY 651-282-5332 or 800-657-3864 • Available in alternative formats 800-657-3864 wq-wwists4-31b . 6/4/14 Page 3 of 3 WTE

14710 118 TH STN STILLWATER, MN 55082

