520 Lafayette Road North St. Paul, MN 55155-4194

# **Compliance Inspection Form**

## **Existing Subsurface Sewage Treatment Systems (SSTS)**

Doc Type: Compliance and Enforcement

Inspection results based on Minnesota Pollution Control Agency (MPCA)
requirements and attached forms - additional local requirements may also apply

Submit completed form to Local Unit of Government (LUG) and system owner within 15 days

## System Status

System status on date (mm/dd/yyyy): 7/20/2020

## **Compliant – Certificate of Compliance**

(Valid for 3 years from report date, unless shorter time frame outlined in Local Ordinance.)

## Noncompliant – Notice of Noncompliance

For local tracking purposes:

(See Upgrade Requirements on page 3.)

#### Reason(s) for noncompliance (check all applicable)

- □ Impact on Public Health (Compliance Component #1) Imminent threat to public health and safety
- Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance Component #3) Imminent threat to public health and safety
- X Tank Integrity (Compliance Component #2) Failing to protect groundwater
- Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance Component #3) Failing to protect groundwater
- Soil Separation (Compliance Component #4) Failing to protect groundwater
- Operating permit/monitoring plan requirements (Compliance Component #5) Noncompliant

## **Property Information**

Parcel ID# or Sec/Twp/Range:	3202821120002

Property address: 7730 M	ILITARY ROAD WOODBURY MN	Reason for inspection:	PROPERTY TRANSFER
Property owner: REINHARDT EARL E & EILEEN M		Owner's phone:	
or			
Owner's representative:	ANNE CLANCY	Representative phone:	
Local regulatory authority:	WASHINGTON COUNTY	Regulatory authority pho	ne:
Brief system description:	SEPTIC TANK AND GRAVITY TRENCHES.		

#### Comments or recommendations:

SYSTEM FOUND TO HAVE IMPROPER SOIL SEPERATION. NEW DESIGN AND SYSTEM NEEDED.

## Certification

I hereby certify that all the necessary information has been gathered to determine the compliance status of this system. No determination of future system performance has been nor can be made due to unknown conditions during system construction, possible abuse of the system, inadequate maintenance, or future water usage.

Inspector name:	RYAN LASHINSKI	Certification number:	3053
Business name:	LASHINSKI SEPTIC SERVICE	License number:	L65
Inspector signature	e: Ky Costst	Phone number:	763-434-3915
Necessary or ⊠ Soil boring log □ Other informa	Locally Required Attachments gs System/As-built drawing	E Forms per local ordinar	ice

### 1. Impact on Public Health - Compliance component #1 of 5

Compliance criteria:		Verification method(s):	
System discharges sewage to the	🗌 Yes 🖾 No	Searched for surface outlet	
ground surface.		Searched for seeping in yard/backup in home	
System discharges sewage to drain	🗌 Yes 🖾 No	Excessive ponding in soil system/D-boxes	
tile or surface waters.		Homeowner testimony (See Comments/Explanation)	
System causes sewage backup into	🗌 Yes 🖾 No	"Black soil" above soil dispersal system	
dwelling or establishment.		System requires "emergency" pumping	
Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is an imminent threat to public health and safety.		Performed dye test	
		Unable to verify (See Comments/Explanation)	
		Other methods not listed (See Comments/Explanation)	

#### Comments/Explanation:

## 2. Tank Integrity – Compliance component #2 of 5

Compliance criteria:		Verification method(s):
System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, or leaching pit. Seepage pits meeting 7080.2550 may be compliant if allowed in local ordinance. Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth.	☐ Yes ⊠ No	<ul> <li>Probed tank(s) bottom</li> <li>Examined construction records</li> <li>Examined Tank Integrity Form (Attach)</li> <li>Observed liquid level below operating depth</li> <li>Examined empty (pumped) tanks(s)</li> </ul>
If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks:		Probed outside tank(s) for "black soil"
Any "yes" answer above indi system is failing to protect gr		<ul> <li>Unable to verify (See Comments/Explanation)</li> <li>Other methods not listed (See Comments/Explanation)</li> </ul>

**Comments/Explanation:** 

#### 3. Other Compliance Conditions – Compliance component #3 of 5

- a. Maintenance hole covers are damaged, cracked, unsecured, or appear to be structurally unsound. 🗌 Yes\* 🛛 No 🗌 Unknown
- b. Other issues *(electrical hazards, etc.)* to immediately and adversely impact public health or safety. \*System is an imminent threat to public health and safety.

Explain:

c. System is non-protective of ground water for other conditions as determined by inspector . Xes\* No \*System is failing to protect groundwater.

#### Explain: IMPROPER SOIL SEPRATION.

#### 4. Soil Separation – Compliance component #4 of 5

Date of installation:	🛛 Unknown	Verification method(s):	
(mm/dd/yyyy) Shoreland/Wellhead protection/Food beverage lodging?	🗌 Yes 🖾 No	Soil observation does not expire. Previous soil observations by two independent parties are sufficient, unless site conditions have been altered or local	
Compliance criteria:		requirements differ.	
For systems built prior to April 1, 1996, and not located in Shoreland or Wellhead	🗌 Yes 🖾 No	Conducted soil observation(s) (A	
Protection Area or not serving a food,		Two previous verifications (Attach	/
beverage or lodging establishment:		Not applicable (Holding tank(s), no	drainfield)
Drainfield has at least a two-foot vertical		Unable to verify (See Comments/E	xplanation)
separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.		Other (See Comments/Explanation)	
Non-performance systems built April 1,	🗌 Yes 🛛 No	Comments/Explanation:	
1996, or later or for non-performance systems located in Shoreland or Wellhead Protection Areas or serving a food, beverage, or lodging establishment:		BORING INFO ATTACHED.	
Drainfield has a three-foot vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.*			
"Experimental", "Other", or "Performance"	🗌 Yes 🔲 No	Indicate depths or elevations	
systems built under pre-2008 Rules; Type IV or V systems built under 2008 Rules (7080.		A. Bottom of distribution media	36"
2350 or 7080.2400 (Advanced Inspector License required)		B. Periodically saturated soil/bedrock	24"
Drainfield meets the designed vertical		C. System separation	0"
separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.		D. Required compliance separation*	24"
Any "no" answer above indicates the failing to protect groundwater.	he system is	*May be reduced up to 15 percent if Ordinance.	allowed by Local

## 5. Operating Permit and Nitrogen BMP\* – Compliance component #5 of 5 X Not applicable

 Is the system operated under an Operating Permit?
 □ Yes ⊠ No
 If "yes", A below is required

 Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP?
 □ Yes ⊠ No
 If "yes", B below is required

BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design

#### If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed.

#### **Compliance criteria**

a.	Operating Permit number:	🗌 Yes 🗌 No	
	Have the Operating Permit requirements been met?		
b.	Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning?	🗌 Yes 🗌 No	
Any (no " anower indicates Nensemplishes			

#### Any "no" answer indicates Noncompliance.

**Upgrade Requirements** (Minn. Stat. § 115.55) An imminent threat to public health and safety (ITPHS) must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within ten months of receipt of this notice or within a shorter period if required by local ordinance. If the system is failing to protect ground water, the system must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within the time required by local ordinance. If an existing system is not failing as defined in law, and has at least two feet of design soil separation, then the system need not be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued, notwithstanding any local ordinance that is more strict. This provision does not apply to systems in shoreland areas, Wellhead Protection Areas, or those used in connection with food, beverage, and lodging establishments as defined in law.

# Washington County, MN



August 4, 2020

