

Compliance Inspection Form

Existing Subsurface Sewage Treatment Systems (SSTS)

Doc Type: Compliance and Enforcement

Inspection results based on Minneso requirements and attached forms – addit	ita Pollution Control Agenc ional local requirements m	y (MPCA) ay also apply.	For local tracking purposes:		
Submit completed form to Local Unit within 15 days	of Government (LUG) a	nd system owner			
Contract Chair					
System Status					
System status on date (mm/dd/	уууу): 8/10/2020				
Compliant – Certificate (Valid for 3 years from report date frame outlined in Local Ordinance	, unless shorter time		liant – Notice of Noncompliance Requirements on page 3.)		
Reason(s) for noncomplianc	e (check all applicable	a)			
☐ Impact on Public Health (Compliance		IF	ealth and safetv		
			reat to public health and safety		
☐ Tank Integrity (Compliance	Component #2) – Failing	to protect groundwate	er		
Other Compliance Condition					
Soil Separation (Complianc					
Operating permit/monitoring	g plan requirements (Com	pliance Component #	5) – Noncompliant		
Property Information					
			ge: 14.030.21.32.0003		
Property address: 9460 Keswick Ave			Reason for inspection:property sale		
Property owner: Michael Nelson & Shor	nelley Stanton	Owner's	phone: 507-990-1914		
	sdale, Edina Realty	Penreser	ntative phone: 651-335-9842		
Local regulatory authority: Washingto			ry authority phone: 651-430-6655		
	tanks and a rock trench d		y authority priorie. <u>031-430-0030</u>		
Comments or recommendations:	tarino ana a rook tronon a	annicia.			
Certification					
I hereby certify that all the necessary inf determination of future system performa possible abuse of the system, inadequal	nce has been nor can be	made due to unknow	compliance status of this system. No on conditions during system construction,		
Inspector name: Tom Trooien			on number: 323		
Business name: All State Septic Serv	rices LLC	Licen	License number: 1568		
Inspector signature: 7027000	v	Pho	ne number: 612-594-4496		
Necessary or Locally Position	ad Attachments				
Necessary or Locally Require					
	tem/As-built drawing	☐ Forms per	local ordinance		
Other information (list):					
www.pca.state.mn.us • 651-296-6300	200.457.2944	TTV 451 292 5222 0	200-657-3864 • Available in alternative forms		

. Im	pact on Public Health – C	Compliance compo				
		omphanos compo	onent #1 or 5			
Co	ompliance criteria:		Verification method(s):			
	stem discharges sewage to the	☐ Yes ☒ No	Searched for surface outlet			
	ound surface.		Searched for seeping in yard/backup in home			
	stem discharges sewage to drain or surface waters.	☐ Yes ☒ No	Excessive ponding in soil system/D-boxes			
			☐ Homeowner testimony (See Comments/Explanation)			
	stem causes sewage backup into velling or establishment.	☐ Yes ☒ No	"Black soil" above soil dispersal system			
Δι	ny "yes" answer above indi	icates the	☐ System requires "emergency" pumping			
	stem is an imminent threat		Performed dye test			
	ealth and safety.	,	 ☐ Unable to verify (See Comments/Explanation) ☐ Other methods not listed (See Comments/Explanation) 			
Co	omments/Explanation:		☐ Other methods not listed (See Comments/Explanation)			
		,				
. Ta	ank Integrity – Compliance	component #2 of !				
	ompliance criteria:	on porton ne	Verification method(s):			
	stem consists of a seepage pit,	☐ Yes ☒ No	⊠ Probed tank(s) bottom			
	sspool, drywell, or leaching pit.	L 103 23 140				
	epage pits meeting 7080.2550 may be		☐ Examined Tank Integrity Form (Attach)			
con	mpliant if allowed in local ordinance.		☐ Observed liquid level below operating depth			
	wage tank(s) leak below their	☐ Yes ☒ No	☐ Examined empty (pumped) tanks(s)			
	signed operating depth. ves, which sewage tank(s) leaks:		☐ Probed outside tank(s) for "black soil"			
	ny "yes" answer above indi	inatas the	☐ Unable to verify (See Comments/Explanation)			
AI	ly yes allswer above mul		☐ Other methods not listed (See Comments/Explanation)			
SV	stem is failing to protect of	roundwater	Unter methods not listed (see Comments/Explanation)			
-	stem is failing to protect grownents/Evplanation:	roundwater.	Cities methods not listed (see Comments/Explanation)			
Co	mments/Explanation:					
Co						
Co	mments/Explanation:					
Co	mments/Explanation:					
Co	emments/Explanation: ne tanks were at normal operating le	evel at the time of insp	ection.			
Co	emments/Explanation: the tanks were at normal operating leads to the compliance Condition	evel at the time of insp	ection. mponent #3 of 5			
Co	emments/Explanation: the tanks were at normal operating leads to the compliance Condition	evel at the time of insp	ection. mponent #3 of 5			
Co Th	mments/Explanation: ne tanks were at normal operating le ther Compliance Condition Maintenance hole covers are dama	evel at the time of inspose of inspose of the time of the time of inspose of the time of the time of inspose of the time of the time of inspose of the time of time of the time of the time of time of the time of time of time of time of time of tim	ection. mponent #3 of 5 ed, or appear to be structurally unsound. □ Yes* ☒ No □ Unkn versely impact public health or safety. □ Yes* ☒ No □ Unkn			
Co Th	cher Compliance Condition Maintenance hole covers are dama Other issues (electrical hazards, etc.)	evel at the time of inspose of inspose of the time of the time of inspose of the time of the time of inspose of the time of the time of inspose of the time of time of the time of the time of time of the time of time of time of time of time of tim	ection. mponent #3 of 5 ed, or appear to be structurally unsound. □ Yes* ☒ No □ Unkn versely impact public health or safety. □ Yes* ☒ No □ Unkn			
Co Th	cher Compliance Condition Maintenance hole covers are dama Other issues (electrical hazards, etc.) *System is an imminent threat to	evel at the time of inspose of inspose of the time of the time of inspose of the time of the time of inspose of the time of the time of inspose of the time of time of the time of the time of time of the time of time of time of time of time of tim	ection. mponent #3 of 5 ed, or appear to be structurally unsound. □ Yes* ☒ No □ Unkn versely impact public health or safety. □ Yes* ☒ No □ Unkn			
Co Th	cher Compliance Condition Maintenance hole covers are dama Other issues (electrical hazards, etc.) *System is an imminent threat to	evel at the time of insponse corrections of the second aged, cracked, unsecur to immediately and adopublic health and sawater for other conditions.	ection. mponent #3 of 5 ed, or appear to be structurally unsound. □ Yes* ☒ No □ Unknowersely impact public health or safety. □ Yes* ☒ No □ Unknowersely.			
Co Th Ot a. b.	cher Compliance Condition Maintenance hole covers are dama Other issues (electrical hazards, etc.) *System is an imminent threat to Explain: System is non-protective of ground *System is failing to protect ground	evel at the time of insponse corrections of the second aged, cracked, unsecur to immediately and adopublic health and sawater for other conditions.	ection. mponent #3 of 5 ed, or appear to be structurally unsound. ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Unkn versely impact public health or safety. ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Unkn fety.			
Co Th Ot a. b.	cher Compliance Condition Maintenance hole covers are dama Other issues (electrical hazards, etc.) *System is an imminent threat to Explain:	evel at the time of insponse corrections of the second aged, cracked, unsecur to immediately and adopublic health and sawater for other conditions.	ection. mponent #3 of 5 ed, or appear to be structurally unsound. □ Yes* ☒ No □ Unknowersely impact public health or safety. □ Yes* ☒ No □ Unknowersely.			
Co Th Ot a. b.	cher Compliance Condition Maintenance hole covers are dama Other issues (electrical hazards, etc.) *System is an imminent threat to Explain: System is non-protective of ground *System is failing to protect ground	evel at the time of insponse corrections of the second aged, cracked, unsecur to immediately and adopublic health and sawater for other conditions.	ection. mponent #3 of 5 ed, or appear to be structurally unsound. ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Unkn versely impact public health or safety. ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Unkn fety.			

Inspector initials/Date: TT | 8/10/2020

Property address: 9460 Keswick Ave N Stillwater, MN 55082

Property address: 9460 Keswick Ave N Stillwa	Inspector initials/Date: TT 8/10/2020				
				(mm/dd/yyyy)	
4. Soil Separation - Compliance co	omponent #4 c	of 5			
Date of installation: 8/3/1993	Unknown	Verif	Verification method(s):		
(mm/dd/yyyy) Shoreland/Wellhead protection/Food beverage lodging? Compliance criteria:	☐ Yes No	obser unles	Soil observation does not expire. Previous soil observations by two independent parties are sufficient, unless site conditions have been altered or local requirements differ.		
For systems built prior to April 1, 1996, and not located in Shoreland or Wellhead Protection Area or not serving a food, beverage or lodging establishment: Drainfield has at least a two-foot vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.	⊠ Yes □ No	⊠ Co □ Tw □ No □ Ur	 ☐ Conducted soil observation(s) (Attach boring logs) ☐ Two previous verifications (Attach boring logs) ☐ Not applicable (Holding tank(s), no drainfield) ☐ Unable to verify (See Comments/Explanation) ☐ Other (See Comments/Explanation) 		
Non-performance systems built April 1, 1996, or later or for non-performance systems located in Shoreland or Wellhead Protection Areas or serving a food, beverage, or lodging establishment: Drainfield has a three-foot vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.*	☐ Yes ☐ No	0-14 s 14-27 27-48 48-59 59-72	Comments/Explanation: 0-14 sandy loam		
"Experimental", "Other", or "Performance" systems built under pre-2008 Rules; Type IV or V systems built under 2008 Rules (7080. 2350 or 7080.2400 (Advanced Inspector License required)	☐ Yes ☐ No	A. Bo			
Drainfield meets the designed vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.		C. Sys	stem separation	2.9	
Any "no" answer above indicates to failing to protect groundwater. 5. Operating Permit and Nitrogen		*May Ordir	be reduced up to 15 percent i nance.		
Is the system operated under an Operating	Permit?] Yes	If "yes", A below is requi	ired	
Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP? ☐ Yes					
BMP = Best Management Practice(s)	specified in the sy	stem design			
If the answer to both questions is "r	o", this section	n does not i	need to be completed.		
Compliance criteria					
a. Operating Permit number:n/a					
Have the Operating Permit requirement	ents been met?		☐ Yes ☐ No		
b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place	and properly fund	ctioning?	☐ Yes ☐ No		
Any "no" answer indicates Nonc					
Upgrade Requirements (Minn. Stat. § 115.55)		t to public healti	n and safety (ITPHS) must be up	graded, replaced, or its use	

discontinued within ten months of receipt of this notice or within a shorter period if required by local ordinance. If the system is failing to protect ground water, the system must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within the time required by local ordinance. If an existing system is not failing as defined in law, and has at least two feet of design soil separation, then the system need not be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued, notwithstanding any local ordinance that is more strict. This provision does not apply to systems in shoreland areas, Wellhead Protection Areas, or those used in connection with food, beverage, and lodging establishments as defined in law.

WITE STILLWATER, MN SS STILLWATER, MN SSOSZ 8-10-20 0 B-1 8-10-20 & DRAINFIELD The second secon SEPTIC TANKS HOUSE