

## Compliance Inspection Form

## **Existing Subsurface Sewage Treatment Systems (SSTS)**

Doc Type: Compliance and Enforcement


Inspection results based on Minnesota Pollution Control Agency (MPCA requirements and attached forms – additional local requirements may also a Submit completed form to Local Unit of Government (LUG) and systewithin 15 days	pply.
within 15 days	
System Status	
System status on date (mm/dd/yyyy): 8/13/2020	
□ Compliant – Certificate of Compliance  □ N	loncompliant – Notice of Noncompliance See Upgrade Requirements on page 3.)
Reason(s) for noncompliance (check all applicable)	
☐ Impact on Public Health (Compliance Component #1) – Imminent threa	t to public health and safety
☐ Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance Component #3) –	Imminent threat to public health and safety
☐ Tank Integrity (Compliance Component #2) – Failing to protect	t groundwater
Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance Component #3) – I	Failing to protect groundwater
<ul> <li>☐ Soil Separation (Compliance Component #4) – Failing to prote</li> <li>☐ Operating permit/monitoring plan requirements (Compliance Compliance Component #4) – Failing to prote</li> </ul>	
	omponent #5) – Noncompliant
Property Information Parcel ID# or Se	ec/Twp/Range: 09.029.21.33.0018
Property address: 8233 Deer Pond Ct N Lake Elmo, MN 55042	Reason for inspection: _property sale
Property owner: _ Judith A Peterson	Owner's phone: 651-777-8297
or	- Wild a pilotic
Owner's representative:	Representative phone:
Local regulatory authority: Washington County	Regulatory authority phone: 651-430-6655
Brief system description: 2 septic tanks and a gravity, rock trench drainf	ïeld.
Comments or recommendations:	
Certification	
I hereby certify that all the necessary information has been gathered to determination of future system performance has been nor can be made due possible abuse of the system, inadequate maintenance, or future water use	e to unknown conditions during system construction
Inspector name: Tom Trooien	Certification number: 323
Business name: All State Septic Services LLC	License number: _1568
Inspector signature: 70h / 1000h	Phone number: 612-594-4496
Nocossary or Locally Decision data to	
Necessary or Locally Required Attachments	
<ul><li>☑ Soil boring logs</li><li>☑ Other information (list):</li></ul> ☑ System/As-built drawing ☐	Forms per local ordinance
www.pca.state.mn.us • 651-296-6300 • 800-657-3864 • TTY 651-27 wq-wwists4-31b • 6/4/14	282-5332 or 800-657-3864 • Available in alternative formats  Page 1 of 3

Impact on Public Health — Compliance component #1 of 5   Compliance criteria:	roper	ty address: 8233 Deer Pond Ct N La	ake Elmo, MN 55042	Inspector initials/Date: TT   8/13/2020			
Compliance criteria:				(mm/dd/yyyy)			
Compliance criteria:	1. Ir	mpact on Public Health – C	Compliance comp	onent #1 of 5			
System discharges sewage to the ground surface.  System discharges sewage to drain title or surface waters.  System causes sewage backup into divelling or establishment.  Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is failing to protect groundwater.  Comments/Explanation:  2. Tank Integrity — Compliance component #2 of 5  Compliance criteria:  System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, or leaching depth.  If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks:  Any "yes" answer above indicates the somewhate sexplanation:  System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, or leaching pit.  Seepage pits meeting 7080-2550 may be compliant if altowed in local ordinance.  Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is failing to protect groundwater.  Comments/Explanation:  Seepage pits meeting 7080-2500 may be compliant if altowed in local ordinance.  Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is failing to protect groundwater.  Comments/Explanation:  Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is failing to protect groundwater,.  Comments/Explanation:  The tanks were pumped on 8/13/20 - tank walls and baffles appear OK.							
System discharges sewage to drain			Dyss MN-				
System discharges sewage to drain   Yes   No tile or surface waters.  System causes sewage backup into dwelling or establishment.  Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is an imminent threat to public health and safety.  Comments/Explanation:    Yes   No dwelling or establishment.   System causes sewage backup into dwelling or establishment.   System is an imminent threat to public health and safety.   Comments/Explanation:    Yes   No dwelling or establishment.   System consists of a seepage pit cesspool, drywell, or leaching pit. Seepage pits meeting 7060.2550 may be compliant allowed in local ordinance.   Yes   No description of the system is failing to protect groundwater.   Comments/Explanation:   Yes   No description of the system is an imminent threat to public health and safety.   Stanfing to protect groundwater.   Stanfing to protect groundwater.   Other issues (electrical hazards, etc.) to immediately and safety.   Explain:   System is non-protective of groundwater.   System is non-p			☐ Yes ☒ No				
Homeowner testimony (See Comments/Explanation)   System causes sewage backup into divelling or establishment.   Yes   No divelling the Sandard or establishment   Yes   No divelling the Sandard or establis	S	vstem discharges sewage to drain	□ Yes ⊠ No				
System causes sewage backup into dwelling or establishment.  Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is an imminent threat to public health and safety.  Comments/Explanation:    Black soil above soil dispersal system   System requires emergency pumping   Performed dys test   Unable to verify (See Comments/Explanation)							
System requires "emergency" pumping   Performed dye test   Unable to verify (See Comments/Explanation)   Other methods not listed (See Comments/Explanatio	S	ystem causes sewage backup into	☐ Yes ☒ No				
Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is failing to protect groundwater.  Any hyes" answer above indicates the system is an imminent threat to public health and safety.  Comments/Explanation:    Performed dye test   Unable to verify (See Comments/Explanation)	d	welling or establishment.		- Constitution ( )			
Unable to verify (See Comments/Explanation)   Other methods not listed (See Comments/Explanation)   Other methods not listed (See Comments/Explanation)	A	ny "yes" answer above indi	cates the				
Other methods not listed (See Comments/Explanation)   Comments/Explanation:   Other methods not listed (See Comments/Explanation)			to public				
Compliance criteria:  System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, or leaching pit.  Seepage pits meeting 7080,2550 may be compliant if allowed in local ordinance.  Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth.  If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks:  Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is failing to protect groundwater.  Comments/Explanation:  The tanks were pumped on 8/13/20 - tank walls and baffles appear OK.  Severage tanks (severage tanks) and water for other conditions as determined by inspector.   Yes*   No   Unknow "System is non-protective of ground water."	h	health and safety.					
System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, or leaching pit.   Yes   No   Probed tank(s) bottom   Examined construction records   Examined construction records   Examined tank Integrity Form (Attach)   Observed liquid level below operating depth   Examined empty (pumped) tanks(s)   Probed outside tank(s) for "black soil"   Unable to verify (See Comments/Explanation)   Other methods not listed (See Comments/Expla	C	omments/Explanation:					
System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, or leaching pit.   Yes   No   Probed tank(s) bottom   Examined construction records   Examined construction records   Examined construction records   Examined tank Integrity Form (Attach)   Observed liquid level below operating depth   Examined empty (pumped) tanks(s)   Probed outside tank(s) for "black soil"   Unable to verify (See Comments/Explanation)   Other methods not listed (See Comments/Explanation)   Other method							
System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, or leaching pit.   Yes   No   Probed tank(s) bottom   Examined construction records   Examined construction records   Examined construction records   Examined construction records   Examined Tank Integrity Form (Attach)   Observed liquid level below operating depth   Examined empty (pumped) tanks(s)   Probed outside tank(s) for "black soil"   Unable to verify (See Comments/Explanation)   Other methods not listed (See Comments/Explanation)   Other methods not listed (See Comments/Explanation)							
System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, or leaching pit.   Yes   No   Probed tank(s) bottom   Examined construction records   Examined construction records   Examined construction records   Examined tank Integrity Form (Attach)   Observed liquid level below operating depth   Examined empty (pumped) tanks(s)   Probed outside tank(s) for "black soil"   Unable to verify (See Comments/Explanation)   Other methods not listed (See Comments/Explanation)   Other method							
System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, or leaching pit.   Yes   No   Probed tank(s) bottom   Examined construction records   Examined construction records   Examined tank Integrity Form (Attach)   Observed liquid level below operating depth   Examined empty (pumped) tanks(s)   Probed outside tank(s) for "black soil"   Unable to verify (See Comments/Explanation)   Other methods not listed (See Comments/Expla							
System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, or leaching pit.   Yes   No   Probed tank(s) bottom   Examined construction records   Examined construction records   Examined Tank Integrity Form (Attach)   Observed liquid level below operating depth   Examined empty (pumped) tanks(s)   Examined empty (pumped) tanks(s)   Probed outside tank(s) for "black soil"   Unable to verify (See Comments/Explanation)   Other methods not listed (See Comments/Explanation)   Other methods not listed (See Comments/Explanation)							
System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, or leaching pit.   Yes   No   Probed tank(s) bottom   Examined construction records   Examined construction records   Examined Tank Integrity Form (Attach)   Observed liquid level below operating depth   Examined empty (pumped) tanks(s)   Examined empty (pumped) tanks(s)   Probed outside tank(s) for "black soil"   Unable to verify (See Comments/Explanation)   Other methods not listed (See Comments/Explanation)   Other methods not listed (See Comments/Explanation)	2. T	ank Integrity - Compliance	component #2 of	5			
System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, or leaching pit.  Seepage pits meeting 7080.2550 may be complaint if allowed in local ordinance.  Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth.  If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks:  Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is failing to protect groundwater.  Comments/Explanation:  The tanks were pumped on 8/13/20 - tank walls and baffles appear OK.  Other Compliance Conditions — Compliance component #3 of 5  a. Maintenance hole covers are damaged, cracked, unsecured, or appear to be structurally unsound.   Yes*   No   Unknow "System is an imminent threat to public health and safety.  Explain:  Probed tank(s) bottom  Examined Construction records  Examined Tank Integrity Form (Attach)  Observed liquid level below operating depth  Examined ampty (pumped) tanks(s)  Examined Tank Integrity Form (Attach)  Observed liquid level below operating depth  Examined Tank Integrity Form (Attach)  Observed liquid level below operating depth  Examined Tank Integrity Form (Attach)  Observed liquid level below operating depth  Examined Tank Integrity Form (Attach)  Observed liquid level below operating depth  Examined Tank Integrity Form (Attach)  Observed liquid level below operating depth  Examined Tank Integrity Form (Attach)  Observed liquid level below operating depth  Examined Tank Integrity Form (Attach)  Observed liquid level below operating depth  Examined Tank Integrity Form (Attach)  Observed liquid level below operating depth  Examined Tank Integrity Form (Attach)  Observed liquid level below operating depth  Examined Tank Integrity Form (Attach)  Description (Probed tanks) for "black soil"  Unable to verify (See Comments/Explanation)  Other methods not listed (See Comments/Explanation)  Ot							
Seepage pits meeting 7080,2550 may be compliant if allowed in local ordinance.  Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth.  If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks:    Probed outside tank(s) for "black soil"							
Seepage pits meeting 7080,2550 may be compliant if allowed in local ordinance.  Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth.  If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks:  Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is failing to protect groundwater.  Comments/Explanation:  The tanks were pumped on 8/13/20 - tank walls and baffles appear OK.  Other Compliance Conditions — Compliance component #3 of 5  a. Maintenance hole covers are damaged, cracked, unsecured, or appear to be structurally unsound.   Yes*   No   Unknow *System is an imminent threat to public health and safety.  Examined Construction records   Examined Tank Integrity Form (Attach)   Observed liquid level below operating depth   Examined tenk (System is non-protective of ground water.			☐ Yes ☒ No				
Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth. If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks:							
Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth.		epage pits meeting 7080.2550 may be ampliant if allowed in local ordinance.		☐ Examined Tank Integrity Form (Attach)			
designed operating depth.  If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks:  Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is failing to protect groundwater.  Comments/Explanation:  The tanks were pumped on 8/13/20 - tank walls and baffles appear OK.  3. Other Compliance Conditions — Compliance component #3 of 5  a. Maintenance hole covers are damaged, cracked, unsecured, or appear to be structurally unsound.			□ Ves ⊠ No	☐ Observed liquid level below operating depth			
Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is failing to protect groundwater.  Comments/Explanation:  The tanks were pumped on 8/13/20 - tank walls and baffles appear OK.  B. Other Compliance Conditions — Compliance component #3 of 5  a. Maintenance hole covers are damaged, cracked, unsecured, or appear to be structurally unsound.			L res Millo				
System is failing to protect groundwater.  Comments/Explanation:  The tanks were pumped on 8/13/20 - tank walls and baffles appear OK.  Other Compliance Conditions — Compliance component #3 of 5  a. Maintenance hole covers are damaged, cracked, unsecured, or appear to be structurally unsound.	lf	yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks:		☐ Probed outside tank(s) for "black soil"			
System is failing to protect groundwater.  Comments/Explanation:  The tanks were pumped on 8/13/20 - tank walls and baffles appear OK.  Cother Compliance Conditions — Compliance component #3 of 5  a. Maintenance hole covers are damaged, cracked, unsecured, or appear to be structurally unsound. □ Yes* ☒ No □ Unknow b. Other issues (electrical hazards, etc.) to immediately and adversely impact public health or safety. □ Yes* ☒ No □ Unknow *System is an imminent threat to public health and safety.  Explain:  c. System is non-protective of ground water for other conditions as determined by inspector . □ Yes* ☒ No *System is failing to protect groundwater.  Explain:	A	Any "ves" answer above indicates the		☐ Unable to verify (See Comments/Explanation)			
Comments/Explanation: The tanks were pumped on 8/13/20 - tank walls and baffles appear OK.  Compliance Conditions — Compliance component #3 of 5  a. Maintenance hole covers are damaged, cracked, unsecured, or appear to be structurally unsound.				☐ Other methods not listed (See Comments/Explanation)			
The tanks were pumped on 8/13/20 - tank walls and baffles appear OK.  Other Compliance Conditions — Compliance component #3 of 5  a. Maintenance hole covers are damaged, cracked, unsecured, or appear to be structurally unsound.  ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Unknow b. Other issues (electrical hazards, etc.) to immediately and adversely impact public health or safety.  ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Unknow *System is an imminent threat to public health and safety.  Explain:  c. System is non-protective of ground water for other conditions as determined by inspector . ☐ Yes* ☒ No *System is failing to protect groundwater.  Explain:	-						
a. Maintenance hole covers are damaged, cracked, unsecured, or appear to be structurally unsound.  ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Unknow Other issues (electrical hazards, etc.) to immediately and adversely impact public health or safety.  ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Unknow *System is an imminent threat to public health and safety.  Explain:  c. System is non-protective of ground water for other conditions as determined by inspector . ☐ Yes* ☒ No *System is failing to protect groundwater.  Explain:			ank walls and haffles	annear OK			
<ul> <li>a. Maintenance hole covers are damaged, cracked, unsecured, or appear to be structurally unsound.  \( \triangle \text{Yes*} \triangle \tr</li></ul>		10 Id. 110 Welle Palliped ell 6/10/20	and valis and pames	арреат ОК.			
<ul> <li>a. Maintenance hole covers are damaged, cracked, unsecured, or appear to be structurally unsound.</li></ul>							
<ul> <li>a. Maintenance hole covers are damaged, cracked, unsecured, or appear to be structurally unsound.  \( \triangle \text{Yes*} \triangle \tr</li></ul>							
<ul> <li>a. Maintenance hole covers are damaged, cracked, unsecured, or appear to be structurally unsound.</li></ul>							
<ul> <li>a. Maintenance hole covers are damaged, cracked, unsecured, or appear to be structurally unsound.</li></ul>	. 0	ther Compliance Condition	s – Compliance cor	mponent #3 of 5			
<ul> <li>b. Other issues (electrical hazards, etc.) to immediately and adversely impact public health or safety.    *System is an imminent threat to public health and safety.  Explain:  c. System is non-protective of ground water for other conditions as determined by inspector .    Yes* No □ Unknown threat is non-protective.  System is non-protective of ground water for other conditions as determined by inspector . □ Yes* No *System is failing to protect groundwater.  Explain:</li> </ul>							
*System is an imminent threat to public health and safety.  Explain:  c. System is non-protective of ground water for other conditions as determined by inspector . □ Yes* ⋈ No *System is failing to protect groundwater.  Explain:							
<ul> <li>c. System is non-protective of ground water for other conditions as determined by inspector . ☐ Yes* ☒ No *System is failing to protect groundwater.</li> <li>Explain:</li> </ul>	υ.	*System is an imminent threat to	versely impact public health or safety. ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Unknow				
c. System is non-protective of ground water for other conditions as determined by inspector . ☐ Yes* ☒ No *System is failing to protect groundwater.  Explain:			nety.				
*System is failing to protect groundwater.  Explain:		Explain:					
*System is failing to protect groundwater.  Explain:							
*System is failing to protect groundwater.  Explain:							
Explain:	C.	System is non-protective of ground water for other conditions as determined by inspector .   Yes* No					
			*System is failing to protect groundwater.				
		Explain:					
W.DCa.state.mn.us • 651-296-6300 • 800-657-3864 • TTY 651-282-5332 or 800-657-3864 • Available in alternative form	w nca	state.mn us • 651-296-6300 -	800-657-3864	TTV 654 292 5222 or 900 457 2944			

www.pca.state.mn.us • 651-296-6300 • 800-657-3864 • TTY 651-282-5332 or 800-657-3864 • Available in alternative formats wq-wwists4-31b • 6/4/14

Property address: 8233 Deer Pond Ct N Lake	Elmo, MN 55042	Inspector initials/Date: TT   8/13/2020 (mm/dd/yyyy)		
4. Soil Separation — Compliance c	omponent #4 of 5		ANTONIO CONTROL DE ANTONIO DE ANT	
Date of installation: 6/7/1994 (mm/dd/yyyy)	Unknown	Verification method(s):		
Shoreland/Wellhead protection/Food beverage lodging?	☐ Yes ☒ No	Soil observation does not expire. Previous soil observations by two independent parties are sufficient, unless site conditions have been altered or local		
Compliance criteria:		requirements differ.		
For systems built prior to April 1, 1996, and not located in Shoreland or Wellhead Protection Area or not serving a food,	⊠ Yes □ No	<ul> <li>☐ Conducted soil observation(s) (Attach boring logs)</li> <li>☐ Two previous verifications (Attach boring logs)</li> </ul>		
beverage or lodging establishment:		☐ Not applicable (Holding tank(s), no drainfield)		
Drainfield has at least a two-foot vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.		☐ Unable to verify (See Comments/E ☐ Other (See Comments/Explanation)	xplanation)	
Non-performance systems built April 1,	☐ Yes ☐ No	Comments/Explanation:		
1996, or later or for non-performance systems located in Shoreland or Wellhead		0-12 topsoil	10YR 2/2	
Protection Areas or serving a food,		12-23 silt loam	10YR 4/3	
beverage, or lodging establishment:  Drainfield has a three-foot vertical		· 23-37 loam	7.5YR 4/6	
separation distance from periodically		37-52 loamy sand	10YR 4/6	
saturated soil or bedrock.*		52-60 course sand	10YR 4/4	
"Experimental", "Other", or "Performance"	☐ Yes ☐ No	Indicate depths or elevations		
systems built under pre-2008 Rules; Type IV or V systems built under 2008 Rules (7080.		A. Bottom of distribution media	2.3	
2350 or 7080.2400 (Advanced Inspector License required)		B. Periodically saturated soil/bedrock	5	
Drainfield meets the designed vertical		C. System separation	2.7	
separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.				
Any "no" answer above indicates t	ho avotom is	D. Required compliance separation*  *May be reduced up to 15 percent if	2	
failing to protect groundwater.  5. Operating Permit and Nitroger	n BMP* – Complia		lot applicable	
Is the system operated under an Operating Is the system required to employ a Nitroge		s No If "yes", A below is requir		
BMP = Best Management Practice(s)		s No If "yes", B below is requir	ea	
If the answer to both questions is "I				
Compliance criteria				
a. Operating Permit number: n/a		Ves DNs		
Have the Operating Permit requirements been met?		☐ Yes ☐ No		
b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place		ing? Yes No		
Any "no" answer indicates Nonc	ompliance.			
Upgrade Requirements (Minn. Stat. § 115.55 discontinued within ten months of receipt of this ground water, the system must be upgraded, reis not failing as defined in law, and has at least tits use discontinued, notwithstanding any local of Wellhead Protection Areas, or those used in cor	notice or within a shorter placed, or its use discontil wo feet of design soil sep ordinance that is more stri	period if required by local ordinance. If the s nued within the time required by local ordinal paration, then the system need not be upgrad oct. This provision does not apply to systems	ystem is failing to protect nce. If an existing system led, repaired, replaced, or in shoreland areas.	

www.pca.state.mn.us • 651-296-6300 • 800-657-3864 • TTY 651-282-5332 or 800-657-3864 • Available in alternative formats wq-wwists4-31b • 6/4/14



Ronald E. Palmen Cert. # 1493