

## Compliance Inspection Form

**Existing Subsurface Sewage Treatment Systems (SSTS)** 

Doc Type: Compliance and Enforcement

Inspection results based on Minnesota Pollution Control Agenc requirements and attached forms – additional local requirements m	
Submit completed form to Local Unit of Government (LUG) a within 15 days	
System Status	
System status on date (mm/dd/yyyy): 8/17/2020	
Compliant – Certificate of Compliance (Valid for 3 years from report date, unless shorter time frame outlined in Local Ordinance.)	Noncompliant – Notice of Noncompliance (See Upgrade Requirements on page 3.)
Reason(s) for noncompliance (check all applicable)  Impact on Public Health (Compliance Component #1) – Immir  Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance Component #2) – Failing  Tank Integrity (Compliance Component #2) – Failing  Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance Component #4) – Failing  Soil Separation (Compliance Component #4) – Failing  Operating permit/monitoring plan requirements (Component #4)	nent threat to public health and safety ent #3) – Imminent threat to public health and safety to protect groundwater ent #3) – Failing to protect groundwater ng to protect groundwater
Property Information Parcel	ID# or Sec/Twp/Range: _25.029.21.32.0015
Property address:11256 14th St N Lake Elmo, MN 55042	Reason for inspection: property sale
Property owner: Steve Neubauer	Owner's phone: 1-541-514-9107
or	
Owner's representative:	Representative phone:
Local regulatory authority: Washington County	Regulatory authority phone: 651-430-6655
Brief system description: Two septic tanks and a gravity, rock  Comments or recommendations:	trench drainfield.
Certification	
CERTIFICATION  I hereby certify that all the necessary information has been gathe determination of future system performance has been nor can be possible abuse of the system, inadequate maintenance, or future	made due to unknown conditions during system construction,
Inspector name:Tom Trooien	Certification number: 323
Business name: All State Septic Services LLC	License number: 1568
Inspector signature: Ton Troco	Phone number: 612-594-4496
Negaciani and acidis Described Access	
Necessary or Locally Required Attachments  ☑ Soil boring logs ☐ Other information (list):	Forms per local ordinance
www.pca.state.mn.us • 651-296-6300 • 800-657-3864 • wq-wwists4-31b • 6/4/14	TTY 651-282-5332 or 800-657-3864 • Available in alternative formal Page 1 o

Compliance criteria:   System discharges sewage to the ground surface.   System discharges sewage to drain tile or surface waters.   System discharges sewage to drain tile or surface waters.   System discharges sewage to drain tile or surface waters.   System discharges sewage to drain tile or surface waters.   System causes sewage backup into dwelling or establishment.   Yes No dwelling or establishment.   Yes No dwelling or establishment.   System requires "emergency" pumping   Performed dye test   Unable to verify (See Comments/Explanation)   Other methods not listed (See Comments/Explanation)   Other methods not listed (See Comments/Explanation)   Examined construction records   Examined construction records   Examined amply (pumped) tanks(s)   Sewage tank(s) leaks below their designed operating depth.   Yes No despool, drywell, or leaching depth.   Yes No despool, drywell, or leaching to protect groundwater.   Other methods not listed (See Comments/Explanation)   Other methods not listed (See Comme		St N Lake Elmo,	WIN 55042	Inspector initials/Date: TT   8/17/2020 (mm/dd/yyyy)
System discharges sewage to the ground surface.  System discharges sewage to drain tite or surface waters.  System causes sewage backup into dwelling or establishment.  Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is an imminent threat to public health and safety.  Comments/Explanation:  **Compliance criteria:**  Verification method(s):  Searched for seeping in yard/backup in home Excessive ponding in soil system/D-boxes Homeowner testimony (See Comments/Explanation)   'Black soil' above soil dispersal system   System requires 'emergency' pumping   Performed dye test   Unable to verify (See Comments/Explanation)   Other methods not listed (See Comments/Explanation)   See seep pts mealing 7080 2556 may be completed it slowed in local ordinance.  Sewage tank(s) leak below their   Yes   No designed operating depth   Examined empty (pumped) tanks(s)   Probed outside tank(s) for 'black soil'   Unable to verify (See Comments/Explanation)   Other methods not listed (See Comments/Explanation)   Other methods not				
System discharges sewage to the ground surface.  System causes sewage backup into dwelling or establishment.  Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is an imminent threat to public health and safety.  Comments/Explanation:  Tank Integrity — Compliance component #2 of 5  Compliance criteria:  System causes sewage backup into dwelling or establishment.  Comments/Explanation:  System is an imminent threat to public health and safety.  Comments/Explanation:  Verification method(s):  System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, or leaching pit.  Seepage pits meeting 7080.2550 may be compliant if allowed in local ordinance.  Swage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth.  If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks:  Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is failing to protect groundwater.  Comments/Explanation:  The tanks were at normal operating level at the time of inspection.  Seepage is successive production records and inspection.  Searched for surface outlet assembly part/backup in home Searched for seping in soil system/D-boxes Allowersely pumping part/backup in home Sex espong in soil system/D-boxes Allowersely in part/backup in home Sex espong in soil system/D-boxes Allowersely because the system is a numinent threat to public health and safety.  Searched for seping in soil system/D-boxes Allowersely pumping Part/backupin in soil system/D-boxes Allowersely pumping Part for the requires "emergency" pumping Partorned Vetest Unable to verify (See Comments/Explanation)  Veriforation method(s):  System consists of a seepage pit, and safety.  Examined Tank Integrity Form (Attach)  Cosepod, drywell, or leaching public health or leaching public lanks, soil or lanks, soil o	1. Impact on Public H	lealth — Com	pliance comp	onent #1 of 5
System discharges sewage to drain	Compliance criteria:			Verification method(s):
System discharges sewage to drain   Yes   No   tile or surface waters. System causes sewage backup into dwelling or establishment.  Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is an imminent threat to public health and safety.  Comments/Explanation:    Yes   No   Talack soil above soil dispersal system   System requires "emergency" pumping   Performed dye test   Unable to verify (See Comments/Explanation)   Other methods not listed (See Camments/Explanation)		ge to the	Yes 🛮 No	
System causes sewage backup into dwelling or establishment.  Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is an imminent threat to public health and safety.  Comments/Explanation:    Performed dye test   Comments/Explanation   Other methods not listed (See Comments/Explanation)		ge to drain	Yes 🛮 No	
Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is an imminent threat to public health and safety.  Comments/Explanation:    Other methods not listed (See Comments/Explanation)	System causes sewage be dwelling or establishment	ackup into	Yes 🛮 No	☐ "Black soil" above soil dispersal system
Comments/Explanation:  2. Tank Integrity — Compliance component #2 of 5  Compliance criteria:  System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, or leaching pit.  Seepage pits meeting 7080.2550 mpt.  Seepage pits meeting 7080.2550 mpt.  Sewage tank(s) leak below their cest produinance.  Sewage tank(s) leak below their cesting depth.  If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks:  Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is failing to protect groundwater.  Comments/Explanation:  The tanks were at normal operating level at the time of inspection.   Other Compliance Conditions — Compliance component #3 of 5  a. Maintenance hole covers are damaged, cracked, unsecured, or appear to be structurally unsound. □ Yes* □ No □ Unkn "System is an imminent threat to public health and safety.  Explain:  C. System is non-protective of ground water for other conditions as determined by inspector. □ Yes* □ No □ Yes* □ No □ System is failing to protect groundwater.	system is an imminent threat to public			☐ Performed dye test ☐ Unable to verify (See Comments/Explanation)
System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, or leaching pit.  Seepage pits meeting 7080.2550 may be compliant if allowed in local ordinance.  Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth.  If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks:  Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is failing to protect groundwater.  Comments/Explanation:  The tanks were at normal operating level at the time of inspection.  Other Compliance Conditions — Compliance component #3 of 5  a. Maintenance hole covers are damaged, cracked, unsecured, or appear to be structurally unsound. □ Yes* □ No □ Unkn *System is an imminent threat to public health and safety.  Explain:  Verification method(s): □ Probed tank(s) bottom □ Examined Construction records □ Examined Tank Integrity Form (Attach) □ Observed liquid level below operating depth □ Examined tank (s) for "black soil" □ Unable to verify (See Comments/Explanation) □ Other methods not listed (See Comments/Explanation) □ Other methods not listed (See Comments/Explanation)  Other Compliance Conditions — Compliance component #3 of 5  a. Maintenance hole covers are damaged, cracked, unsecured, or appear to be structurally unsound. □ Yes* □ No □ Unkn *System is an imminent threat to public health and safety.  Explain:  □ Other Sexual Sex	Comments/Explanation:			
System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, or leaching pit.  Seepage pits meeting 7080.2550 may be compliant if allowed in local ordinance.  Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth.  If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks:  Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is failing to protect groundwater.  Comments/Explanation:  The tanks were at normal operating level at the time of inspection.  Other Compliance Conditions — Compliance component #3 of 5  a. Maintenance hole covers are damaged, cracked, unsecured, or appear to be structurally unsound. □ Yes* □ No □ Unkn *System is an imminent threat to public health and safety.  Explain:  Verification method(s): □ Probed tank(s) bottom □ Examined Construction records □ Examined Tank Integrity Form (Attach) □ Observed liquid level below operating depth □ Examined tank (s) for "black soil" □ Unable to verify (See Comments/Explanation) □ Other methods not listed (See Comments/Explanation) □ Other methods not listed (See Comments/Explanation)  Other Compliance Conditions — Compliance component #3 of 5  a. Maintenance hole covers are damaged, cracked, unsecured, or appear to be structurally unsound. □ Yes* □ No □ Unkn *System is an imminent threat to public health and safety.  Explain:  □ Other Sexual Sex				
System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, or leaching pit.  Seepage pits meeting 7080.2550 may be compliant if allowed in local ordinance.  Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth.  If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks:  Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is failing to protect groundwater.  Comments/Explanation:  The tanks were at normal operating level at the time of inspection.  Other Compliance Conditions — Compliance component #3 of 5  a. Maintenance hole covers are damaged, cracked, unsecured, or appear to be structurally unsound. □ Yes* □ No □ Unkn *System is an imminent threat to public health and safety.  Explain:  Verification method(s): □ Probed tank(s) bottom □ Examined Construction records □ Examined Tank Integrity Form (Attach) □ Observed liquid level below operating depth □ Examined tank (s) for "black soil" □ Unable to verify (See Comments/Explanation) □ Other methods not listed (See Comments/Explanation) □ Other methods not listed (See Comments/Explanation)  Other Compliance Conditions — Compliance component #3 of 5  a. Maintenance hole covers are damaged, cracked, unsecured, or appear to be structurally unsound. □ Yes* □ No □ Unkn *System is an imminent threat to public health and safety.  Explain:  □ Other Sexual Sex				
System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, or leaching pit.  Seepage pits meeting 7080.2550 may be compliant if allowed in local ordinance.  Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth.  If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks:  Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is failing to protect groundwater.  Comments/Explanation:  The tanks were at normal operating level at the time of inspection.  Other Compliance Conditions — Compliance component #3 of 5  a. Maintenance hole covers are damaged, cracked, unsecured, or appear to be structurally unsound. □ Yes* □ No □ Unkn *System is an imminent threat to public health and safety.  Explain:  Verification method(s): □ Probed tank(s) bottom □ Examined Construction records □ Examined Tank Integrity Form (Attach) □ Observed liquid level below operating depth □ Examined tank (s) for "black soil" □ Unable to verify (See Comments/Explanation) □ Other methods not listed (See Comments/Explanation) □ Other methods not listed (See Comments/Explanation)  Other Compliance Conditions — Compliance component #3 of 5  a. Maintenance hole covers are damaged, cracked, unsecured, or appear to be structurally unsound. □ Yes* □ No □ Unkn *System is an imminent threat to public health and safety.  Explain:  □ Other Sexual Sex				
System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, or leaching pit.  Seepage pits meeting 7080.2550 may be compliant if allowed in local ordinance.  Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth.  If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks:  Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is failing to protect groundwater.  Comments/Explanation:  The tanks were at normal operating level at the time of inspection.  Other Compliance Conditions — Compliance component #3 of 5  a. Maintenance hole covers are damaged, cracked, unsecured, or appear to be structurally unsound.   Yes*   No   Unkn *System is an imminent threat to public health and safety.  Explain:	2. Tank Integrity – Co	mpliance com	nponent #2 of	5
System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, or leaching pit.  Seepage pits meeting 7080.2550 may be compliant if allowed in local ordinance.  Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth.  If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks:  Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is failing to protect groundwater.  Comments/Explanation:  The tanks were at normal operating level at the time of inspection.  Other Compliance Conditions — Compliance component #3 of 5  a. Maintenance hole covers are damaged, cracked, unsecured, or appear to be structurally unsound.   Yes*   No   Unkn *System is an imminent threat to public health and safety.  Explain:  Order Components/Explanation or protect ground water for other conditions as determined by inspector.   Yes*   No   Unkn *System is failing to protect groundwater.	Compliance criteria:			Verification method(s):
Seepage pits meeting 7080,2550 may be compliant if allowed in local ordinance.  Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth.  If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks:  Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is failing to protect groundwater.  Comments/Explanation:  The tanks were at normal operating level at the time of inspection.  Other Compliance Conditions — Compliance component #3 of 5  a. Maintenance hole covers are damaged, cracked, unsecured, or appear to be structurally unsound.	System consists of a seep	page pit,	Yes ⊠ No	
Compliant if allowed in local ordinance.   Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth   Sewage tank(s) leaks:   Probed outside tank(s) for "black soil"   Unable to verify (See Comments/Explanation)   Other methods not listed (See Comments/Explanation)				
Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth.  If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks:    Probed outside tank(s) for "black soil"     Unable to verify (See Comments/Explanation)     Other methods not listed (See Comments/Explanation)     Other methods not listed (See Comments/Explanation)     Other tanks were at normal operating level at the time of inspection.    Other Compliance Conditions − Compliance component #3 of 5   a. Maintenance hole covers are damaged, cracked, unsecured, or appear to be structurally unsound.   Yes*   No   Unkn     b. Other issues (electrical hazards, etc.) to immediately and adversely impact public health or safety.   Yes*   No   Unkn     *System is an imminent threat to public health and safety.     Explain:				
Probed outside tank(s) for "black soil"   Unable to verify (See Comments/Explanation)   Unable to verify (See Comments/Explanation)   Other methods not listed (See Comments/Explanation)   Other methods not listed (See Comments/Explanation)			Yes ⊠ No	
Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is failing to protect groundwater.  Comments/Explanation: The tanks were at normal operating level at the time of inspection.  Other Compliance Conditions — Compliance component #3 of 5  a. Maintenance hole covers are damaged, cracked, unsecured, or appear to be structurally unsound.				
System is failing to protect groundwater.  Comments/Explanation:  The tanks were at normal operating level at the time of inspection.  Other Compliance Conditions — Compliance component #3 of 5  a. Maintenance hole covers are damaged, cracked, unsecured, or appear to be structurally unsound. ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Unkn b. Other issues (electrical hazards, etc.) to immediately and adversely impact public health or safety. ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Unkn *System is an imminent threat to public health and safety.  Explain:  c. System is non-protective of ground water for other conditions as determined by inspector . ☐ Yes* ☒ No *System is failing to protect groundwater.	It was which sawage tanks	(3) leaks.		
Comments/Explanation: The tanks were at normal operating level at the time of inspection.  Other Compliance Conditions — Compliance component #3 of 5  a. Maintenance hole covers are damaged, cracked, unsecured, or appear to be structurally unsound.		hove indicate	ac tha	☐ Unable to verify (See Comments/Explanation)
a. Maintenance hole covers are damaged, cracked, unsecured, or appear to be structurally unsound. ☐ Yes* ☐ No ☐ Unkn b. Other issues (electrical hazards, etc.) to immediately and adversely impact public health or safety. ☐ Yes* ☐ No ☐ Unkn *System is an imminent threat to public health and safety.  Explain:  c. System is non-protective of ground water for other conditions as determined by inspector . ☐ Yes* ☐ No *System is failing to protect groundwater.	Any "yes" answer a			그 그 그 내 그 그는 그 내가 되었다. 이 경우를 하고 있는 것이 없는 것이다.
<ul> <li>a. Maintenance hole covers are damaged, cracked, unsecured, or appear to be structurally unsound.  Yes* No Unknown</li> <li>b. Other issues (electrical hazards, etc.) to immediately and adversely impact public health or safety. Yes* No Unknown</li> <li>*System is an imminent threat to public health and safety.</li> <li>Explain:</li> <li>c. System is non-protective of ground water for other conditions as determined by inspector . Yes* No *System is failing to protect groundwater.</li> </ul>	Any "yes" answer a system is failing to	protect groui		
<ul> <li>a. Maintenance hole covers are damaged, cracked, unsecured, or appear to be structurally unsound.  Yes* No Unknown</li> <li>b. Other issues (electrical hazards, etc.) to immediately and adversely impact public health or safety. Yes* No Unknown</li> <li>*System is an imminent threat to public health and safety.</li> <li>Explain:</li> <li>c. System is non-protective of ground water for other conditions as determined by inspector . Yes* No *System is failing to protect groundwater.</li> </ul>	Any "yes" answer a system is failing to Comments/Explanation:	protect groui	ndwater.	☐ Other methods not listed (See Comments/Explanation)
<ul> <li>a. Maintenance hole covers are damaged, cracked, unsecured, or appear to be structurally unsound.  Yes* No Unknown No Unkno</li></ul>	Any "yes" answer a system is failing to Comments/Explanation:	protect groui	ndwater.	☐ Other methods not listed (See Comments/Explanation)
<ul> <li>a. Maintenance hole covers are damaged, cracked, unsecured, or appear to be structurally unsound.  Yes* No Unknown</li> <li>b. Other issues (electrical hazards, etc.) to immediately and adversely impact public health or safety. Yes* No Unknown</li> <li>*System is an imminent threat to public health and safety.</li> <li>Explain:</li> <li>c. System is non-protective of ground water for other conditions as determined by inspector . Yes* No *System is failing to protect groundwater.</li> </ul>	Any "yes" answer a system is failing to Comments/Explanation:	protect groui	ndwater.	☐ Other methods not listed (See Comments/Explanation)
<ul> <li>b. Other issues (electrical hazards, etc.) to immediately and adversely impact public health or safety.  ☐ Yes* ☑ No ☐ Unknown *System is an imminent threat to public health and safety.</li> <li>Explain:</li> <li>c. System is non-protective of ground water for other conditions as determined by inspector . ☐ Yes* ☒ No *System is failing to protect groundwater.</li> </ul>	Any "yes" answer a system is failing to Comments/Explanation: The tanks were at normal	protect ground	<b>ndwater.</b> at the time of insp	☐ Other methods not listed (See Comments/Explanation) ection.
*System is an imminent threat to public health and safety.  Explain:  c. System is non-protective of ground water for other conditions as determined by inspector .   Yes*   No *System is failing to protect groundwater.	Any "yes" answer a system is failing to possible Comments/Explanation: The tanks were at normal	protect ground	<b>ndwater.</b> at the time of insp	☐ Other methods not listed (See Comments/Explanation) ection.
c. System is non-protective of ground water for other conditions as determined by inspector . ☐ Yes* ☒ No *System is failing to protect groundwater.	Any "yes" answer a system is failing to proceed to be commented.  Commented Explanation: The tanks were at normal to be compliance of the	protect ground protect ground protect ground protect growth growth growth protect growth growt	ndwater.  at the time of insp  Compliance co	☐ Other methods not listed (See Comments/Explanation) ection.  mponent #3 of 5
*System is failing to protect groundwater.	Any "yes" answer as system is failing to proceed to be a system is failing to be a system is failing to be a system is failing to be a system in the system is failing to be a system in the system is failing to be a system in the system is failing to be a system in the system is failing to be a system in the system. The system is failing to be a system in the system is failing to be a system in the system in the system is failing to be a system in the system. The system is failing to be a system in the system in the system is system. The system is system in the system is system in the system in the system is system. The system is system in the system is system in the system in the system is system. The system is system in the system is system in the system in the system is system. The system is system in the system is system in the system in the system in the system is system. The system is system is system in the system is system. The system is system in the system is system in the system in the system is system. The system is system in the system is system in the system is system. The system is system is system in the system is system in t	protect ground ground protect ground g	ndwater.  at the time of insp  Compliance cou  cracked, unsecui	☐ Other methods not listed (See Comments/Explanation) ection.  mponent #3 of 5  red, or appear to be structurally unsound. ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Unknowersely impact public health or safety. ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Unknowersely impact public health or safety. ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Unknowersely impact public health or safety.
Explain:	Any "yes" answer a system is failing to possible Comments/Explanation: The tanks were at normal and tanks	protect ground ground protect ground g	ndwater.  at the time of insp  Compliance cou  cracked, unsecui	☐ Other methods not listed (See Comments/Explanation)  ection.  mponent #3 of 5  red, or appear to be structurally unsound. ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Unkn versely impact public health or safety. ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Unkn
	Any "yes" answer a system is failing to proceed to be a system is an immined explain:  Comments/Explanation:  The tanks were at normal and tanks were at normal	protect ground protect ground protect ground protect ground and approved the protect ground water protect ground water protect ground water ground gr	compliance con cracked, unsecundately and act the alth and safet for other conditions.	☐ Other methods not listed (See Comments/Explanation)  ection.  mponent #3 of 5  red, or appear to be structurally unsound. ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Unkn eversely impact public health or safety. ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Unkn fety.
	Any "yes" answer a system is failing to possible Comments/Explanation: The tanks were at normal and the tanks were at normal and tanks were at nor	protect ground protect ground protect ground protect ground and a construction protection protectio	compliance con cracked, unsecundately and act the alth and safet for other conditions.	☐ Other methods not listed (See Comments/Explanation)  ection.  mponent #3 of 5  red, or appear to be structurally unsound. ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Unknowersely impact public health or safety. ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Unknowersely.
	Any "yes" answer a system is failing to possible Comments/Explanation: The tanks were at normal and the tanks were at normal and tanks were at nor	protect ground protect ground protect ground protect ground and a construction protection protectio	compliance con cracked, unsecundately and act the alth and safet for other conditions.	☐ Other methods not listed (See Comments/Explanation) ection.  mponent #3 of 5  red, or appear to be structurally unsound. ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Unknowersely impact public health or safety. ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Unknowersely.

Property address: 11256 14th St N Lake Elmo	, MN 55042	Inspector initials/Date:	T   8/17/2020				
			(mm/dd/yyyy)				
4. Soil Separation - Compliance co	omponent #4 of 5						
Date of installation: 5/14/1998 (mm/dd/yyyy)	Unknown	Verification method(s):					
Shoreland/Wellhead protection/Food beverage lodging?	⊠ Yes □ No	Soil observation does not expire. Previous soil observations by two independent parties are sufficient, unless site conditions have been altered or local					
Compliance criteria:		requirements differ.					
For systems built prior to April 1, 1996, and	☐ Yes ☐ No	Conducted soil observation(s) (Attach boring logs)					
not located in Shoreland or Wellhead Protection Area or not serving a food,		☐ Two previous verifications (Attach boring logs)					
beverage or lodging establishment:		<ul><li>☐ Not applicable (Holding tank(s), no drainfield)</li><li>☐ Unable to verify (See Comments/Explanation)</li></ul>					
Drainfield has at least a two-foot vertical							
separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.		Other (See Comments/Explanation)					
Non-performance systems built April 1, 1996, or later or for non-performance systems located in Shoreland or Wellhead Protection Areas or serving a food, beverage, or lodging establishment:	⊠ Yes □ No	Comments/Explanation:					
Drainfield has a three-foot vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.*							
"Experimental", "Other", or "Performance"	☐ Yes ☐ No	Indicate depths or elevations					
systems built under pre-2008 Rules; Type IV or V systems built under 2008 Rules (7080. 2350 or 7080.2400 (Advanced Inspector		A. Bottom of distribution media	3				
License required)		B. Periodically saturated soil/bedrock	6				
Drainfield meets the designed vertical separation distance from periodically		C. System separation	3				
saturated soil or bedrock.		D. Required compliance separation*	3				
Any "no" answer above indicates to failing to protect groundwater.  5. Operating Permit and Nitrogen		*May be reduced up to 15 percent if a Ordinance.  ance component #5 of 5	ot applicable				
Is the system operated under an Operating	Permit?	es 🗌 No If "yes", A below is require	ed				
Is the system required to employ a Nitroger	n BMP? ☐ Ye	es 🗌 No 🛮 If "yes", B below is require	ed				
BMP = Best Management Practice(s) s	specified in the syster	m design					
If the answer to both questions is "n	o", this section d	oes not need to be completed.					
Compliance criteria							
a. Operating Permit number: n/a							
Have the Operating Permit requireme	nts been met?	Yes No					
b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place	and properly function	ning? Yes No					
Any "no" answer indicates Nonc	ompliance.						
Upgrade Requirements (Minn. Stat. § 115.55, discontinued within ten months of receipt of this ground water, the system must be upgraded, rep is not failing as defined in law, and has at least to its use discontinued, notwithstanding any local of Wellhead Protection Areas, or those used in con	notice or within a shorte, placed, or its use discont wo feet of design soil se, rdinance that is more sti	r period if required by local ordinance. If the sy tinued within the time required by local ordinan paration, then the system need not be upgrade rict. This provision does not apply to systems i	stem is failing to protect ice. If an existing system ed, repaired, replaced, or n shoreland areas.				

## **Log Of Soil Borings**

Loc	cation of Project: 1	1256 14th St N, Lal	ke Elmo, MN !	55042	aria di Bultada a abilita di Pari da	
Е	Borings Made By: Ir		_	9/23/15		
	Auger Used: H	and/Bucket	Classific	USDA		
	Boring Number:	1	В			
Surface Elevation Boring	of Same ground s	surface as the last eld trench	Surface Elevation of Boring			
Depth In Inches	Soils End	countered	Depth In Inches	Soils Enco	untered	
0-14 14-28 28-35 35-80	10YR 4/3 10YR 4/3 Cla Trace Of Gravel And I 10YR 3/4 Med	/2 Loam Clay Loam ay Loam With Medium Sand Pockets ium Sand With f Gravel				
80"	Depth To End Of Bor	ing Or Redox	De	pth To End Of Borin	g Or Redox	
Same	Elevation Of Boring F	Relative To System	Elevation Of Boring Relative To System			
-39" Depth To Bottom Of Distribution Media ≥41" Of Separation			De	pth To Bottom Of D Separation		
	End Of Boring At:	80"	Er	nd Of Boring At:	BOLLEGARIO ACCUMINATORISTA CANTAGRICA CANTORISTA LIGITA MALA	
AND A STATE OF THE	Redox Present At:	None		dox Present At:		
Standing Water Present At: None				ater Present At:		

Bottom	Of	Distrib	oution	Medium	At:	39	Inches		

14. The 24 110 access to 5 5 monthele prenon 10' 10' 70' 70' 10, in 2.5 Y.R. 3/3 7.5.7 R 2.5 Y.K. 7.5. YR O Date Brown to Son S 5.64 10.01

