ZIERKE SOIL TESTING

David Pogalz 6040 Inwood Ct N Stillwater, MN 55082

8/26/2020

Dear David Pogalz,

At your request, I have conducted a septic inspection to determine the compliance status of your system pursuant to Minnesota Rules Chapter 7080.1500.

The compliance test set out in 7080.1500 has three main inquiries: 1). Is the system functioning hydraulically (disposing of effluent in a manner that prevents it from coming in contact with people)? 2). Are the septic tanks water tight? 3). Does the system have sufficient vertical separation between the bottom of the septic system and restrictive layers (bedrock, standing water, seasonally wet layers, etc) to provide full treatment of effluent?

Based off of these criteria, your system is <u>compliant</u>. A certification of compliance is in effect for three years from the date it is issued. To be clear, this should not be construed as a guarantee of future system function – there are too many factors that influence the lifespan of a septic system for an inspector to predict or even guess how long a septic system will last. A copy of this report will be filed with your local unit of government for their records.

Sincerely,

Benjamin Zierke

MPCA Lic 119, Cert 9594

ADDRESS: 28587 Jeffrey Ave Chisago City, MN 55013

PHONE 651-249-1346

EMAIL benzierke@gmail.com



520 Lafayette Road North St. Paul, MN 55155-4194

Compliance Inspection Form

Existing Subsurface Sewage Treatment Systems (SSTS)

Doc Type: Compliance and Enforcement

Inspection results based on Minnesota Pollution Control Agency (MPC requirements and attached forms – additional local requirements may also	
Submit completed form to Local Unit of Government (LUG) and systwithin 15 days	tem owner
System Status	
System status on date (mm/dd/yyyy): 8/26/2020	
Kanada I	Noncompliant – Notice of Noncompliance (See Upgrade Requirements on page 3.)
Reason(s) for noncompliance (check all applicable) Impact on Public Health (Compliance Component #1) – Imm Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance Component #3) – Tank Integrity (Compliance Component #2) – Failing to prote Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance Component #3) – Soil Separation (Compliance Component #4) – Failing to prote Operating permit/monitoring plan requirements (Compliance	- Imminent threat to public health and safety ect groundwater - Failing to protect groundwater otect groundwater
	Sec/Twp/Range:
Property address: 6040 Inwood Court North Stillwater, MN 55082	Reason for inspection: Sale
Property owner: David Pogalz or	Owner's phone:
Owner's representative: Mark Schwope	Representative phone: 612 581-0075
Local regulatory authority: Washington County	Regulatory authority phone: 651-430-6655
Brief system description: 1250 gallon septic tank, 1000 gallon lift stati	on, drop box rock trench drainfield
Comments or recommendations: Rocky soil layer from 10-28" prevented hand auger borings during initial sample.	site visit. Follow up visit with backhoe was used to take soil
Certification	
I hereby certify that all the necessary information has been gathered to determination of future system performance has been nor can be made possible abuse of the system, inadequate maintenance, or future water	due to unknown conditions during system construction,
Inspector name: Benjamin Zierke	Certification number: C9594
Business name: Zierke Soil Testing	License number: L119
Inspector signature:	Phone number: 651-249-1346
Necessary or Locally Required Attachments	
	☐ Forms per local ordinance
Other information (list):	

Compliance criteria:	_	Verification method(s):		
System discharges sewage to the ground surface.	☐ Yes ⊠ No	☑ Searched for surface outlet☑ Searched for seeping in yard/backup in home		
System discharges sewage to drain tile or surface waters.	☐ Yes ⊠ No	 ☐ Excessive ponding in soil system/D-boxes ☐ Homeowner testimony (See Comments/Explanation) 		
System causes sewage backup into dwelling or establishment.	☐ Yes ☒ No	☐ "Black soil" above soil dispersal system ☐ System requires "emergency" pumping		
Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is an imminent threat to public health and safety.		☐ Performed dye test ☐ Unable to verify (See Comments/Explanation) ☐ Other methods not listed (See Comments/Explanation)		
8/21/2020 and 8/25/2020.		onding or seepage were observed during site visits on		
. Tank Integrity — Compliance Compliance criteria:	component #2 of 5	Verification method(s):		
System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, or leaching pit.	☐ Yes ⊠ No	☐ Probed tank(s) bottom ☐ Examined construction records		
Seepage pits meeting 7080.2550 may be compliant if allowed in local ordinance.		Examined constituent received Examined Tank Integrity Form (Attach) Observed liquid level below operating depth		
Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth.	☐ Yes ☒ No			
If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks:		☐ Probed outside tank(s) for "black soil"☐ Unable to verify (See Comments/Explanation)		
Any "yes" answer above indestruction system is failing to protect g		☐ Other methods not listed (See Comments/Explanation)		
Comments/Explanation: Present for pumping by Smilies Sewe	r 8/21/2020 Tanke wate	artight and haffles in place		
rieselli loi pullipilig by Silliles Sewe	1 0/2 1/2020. Taliks water	atignt and barries in place.		
	35 — Compliance com	nonent #3 of 5		
. Other Compliance Condition				
a. Maintenance hole covers are dam. b. Other issues (electrical hazards, etc.)	aged, cracked, unsecure	d, or appear to be structurally unsound. ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Unknownsely impact public health or safety. ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Unknownsely impact public health or safety.		
a. Maintenance hole covers are dame	aged, cracked, unsecure	d, or appear to be structurally unsound. ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Unknownsely impact public health or safety. ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Unknownsely impact public health or safety.		
a. Maintenance hole covers are dam. b. Other issues (electrical hazards, etc.) *System is an imminent threat to	aged, cracked, unsecured to immediately and advectory of the although	d, or appear to be structurally unsound. ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Unknotersely impact public health or safety. ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Unknotety.		
a. Maintenance hole covers are dam. b. Other issues (electrical hazards, etc.) *System is an imminent threat to Explain: c. System is non-protective of ground.	aged, cracked, unsecured to immediately and advectory of the although	d, or appear to be structurally unsound. ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Unknotersely impact public health or safety. ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Unknotety.		

Property address: 6040 Inwood Court North Stillwater, MN 55082

Inspector initials/Date: BZ | 8/26/2020

(mm/dd/yyyy)

www.pca.state.mn.us • 651-296-6300 • 800-657-3864 • TTY 651-282-5332 or 800-657-3864 • Available in alternative formats wq-wwists4-31b • 6/4/14 Page 2 of 3

. Soil Separation – Compliance co			
ate of installation: 11/16/1987 (mm/dd/yyyy)	Unknown	Verification method(s): Soil observation does not expire. Previous soil observations by two independent parties are sufficient, unless site conditions have been altered or local	
horeland/Wellhead protection/Food beverage odging?	☐ Yes ⊠ No		
Compliance criteria:		requirements differ.	
For systems built prior to April 1, 1996, and not located in Shoreland or Wellhead Protection Area or not serving a food, beverage or lodging establishment:	⊠ Yes □ No	☐ Conducted soil observation(s) (Attach boring logs)	
		☐ Two previous verifications (Attach boring logs)	
		☐ Not applicable (Holding tank(s), no drainfield)	
Prainfield has at least a two-foot vertical		☐ Unable to verify (See Comments/Ex	planation)
separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.		Other (See Comments/Explanation)	
Non-performance systems built April 1, 1996, or later or for non-performance systems located in Shoreland or Wellhead Protection Areas or serving a food, beverage, or lodging establishment:	☐ Yes ☐ No	Comments/Explanation:	
Orainfield has a three-foot vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.*			
Experimental", "Other", or "Performance"	Yes No	Indicate depths or elevations	
systems built under pre-2008 Rules; Type IV or V systems built under 2008 Rules (7080.		A. Bottom of distribution media	97.9'
2350 or 7080.2400 (Advanced Inspector License required)		B. Periodically saturated soil/bedrock	94.5'+
Orainfield meets the designed vertical		C. System separation	3.4'+
separation distance from periodically		O. Oystem separation	5.1
eaturated soil or bedrock.		D. Required compliance separation*	2.0'
Any "no" answer above indicates the failing to protect groundwater.	he system is	*May be reduced up to 15 percent if a Ordinance.	allowed by Local
	BMP* - Complian	nce component #5 of 5 🛛 🖂 N	ot applicable
. Operating Permit and Nitrogen	100000 A000000 A000000	s 🗌 No If "yes", A below is require	ed
Is the system operated under an Operating	Permit?		
	1.000	s No If "yes", B below is require	ed
	n BMP?	Service and the service servic	ed

b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning? Any "no" answer indicates Noncompliance.

Have the Operating Permit requirements been met?

Upgrade Requirements (Minn. Stat. § 115.55) An imminent threat to public health and safety (ITPHS) must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within ten months of receipt of this notice or within a shorter period if required by local ordinance. If the system is failing to protect ground water, the system must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within the time required by local ordinance. If an existing system is not failing as defined in law, and has at least two feet of design soil separation, then the system need not be upgraded, repaired, replaced, or its use discontinued, notwithstanding any local ordinance that is more strict. This provision does not apply to systems in shoreland areas, Wellhead Protection Areas, or those used in connection with food, beverage, and lodging establishments as defined in law.

☐ Yes ☐ No

☐ Yes ☐ No

TTY 651-282-5332 or 800-657-3864 • Available in alternative formats www.pca.state.mn.us • 651-296-6300 • 800-657-3864 Page 3 of 3

a. Operating Permit number:



Logs of Soil Borings

Location of Project:

6040 Inwood Court N Stillwater, MN 55082

Borings Made by Ben Zierke

Date:

8/25/2020

Hand bucket auger used for borings; USDA - SCS Soil Classification used.

D 11 1		Donth in	
Depth, in Inches	Boring Number 1	Depth, in Inches	Boring Number 2
0	40/0 3/3	0	
0-10"	10YR 3/3 loamy fine sand		
10-28"	10YR 5/4 fine sand, occasional large cobbles, 25% rock (sieve test performed).		
28-66"	10YR 5/4 fine sand, <5% rock, 7.5YR 4/6 discontinuous bands, no redox		
End of boring at Standing water tab Present at Standing water not p Mottled Soil: Observed at Mottled soil not pres Comments:	feet of depth Hours after boring feet of depth feet of depth	End of boring at Standing water tabl Present at Standing water not p Mottled Soil: Observed at Mottled soil not pres Comments:	feet of depth Hours after boring resent in hole feet of depth
Depth, in Inches	Boring Number 3	Depth, in Inches	Boring Number 4
0	leet.	O	feet
End of boring at Standing water tal Present at Standing water not Mottled Soil: Observed at Mottled soil not pre	feet of depth Hours after boring present in hole feet of depth	End of boring at Standing water tab Present at Standing water not p Mottled Soil: Observed at Mottled soil not pre-	feet of depth feet of depth feet of depth feet of depth