#### **Midwest Sewer Services**

P.O. Box 10853 White Bear Lake, MN 55110 651-492-7550/Brian@Midwestsoiltesting.com

Brian Humpal MPCA Licensed Advanced Inspector

#### SUBSURFACE SEWAGE TREATMENT SYSTEM (SSTS) COMPLIANCE REPORT

**Date:** September 22, 2020 **Time:** 1:30 PM **Owner:** Nadine Muzerall & Ryan Venturine

Inspection Address: 5512 Garden Dr, Woodbury, MN 55129

#### REPORT SUMMARY

I have performed an "MPCA Compliance Inspection" on this system and have reviewed the previous compliance inspection from 2013, on file at Washington County. This very old system (installed in approximately 1979) consists of a pre-cast septic tanks and a rock trench drainfield. It should be noted that the average life expectancy of a septic system is approximately 30 years.

Although not a compliance criteria, it should be noted that the septic tank manhole cover is buried. I recommend extending this cover to the ground surface to facilitate easier access and proper maintenance. In addition, a soil boring over the drainfield indicated ponding above the drainfield rock. This is an indicator that the drainfield is nearing the end of its useful life.

Predicated on my inspection of the system and my review of the records, it is my opinion that this system <u>presently meets</u> MPCA minimum compliance inspection requirements.

Midwest Sewer Services have been hired to perform a compliance inspection of this SSTS for compliance with local ordinances pursuant to Minn. Stat. § 115.55 (2013). This compliance inspection covers only the criteria required by Minn. Stat. § 115.55 Subd. 5a (2013) and Minn. R. 7080.1500 (2011). A compliance inspection is an indication of the current compliance status of the system and does not guarantee the performance or longevity of this system beyond the date of inspection, as it is impossible to determine the future performance of any system. Midwest Sewer Services disclaim any use of this compliance inspection beyond determining SSTS compliance pursuant to Minn. Stat. § 115.55 Subd. 5a (2013) and Minn. R. 7080.1500 (2011).

Please contact me should you have any questions.

Christopher Uebe

Brian Humpal

Brian Humpal



## **Compliance Inspection Form**

## Existing Subsurface Sewage Treatment Systems (SSTS)

Doc Type: Compliance and Enforcement

<b>Instructions:</b> Inspection results based on Minnesota Pollution Control Agency (MPCA) requirements and attached forms – additional local requirements may also apply.	For local tra	cking purposes:				
Submit completed form to Local Unit of Government (LUG) and system owner within 15 days						
System Status						
System status on date (mm/dd/yyyy): 9/22/2020						
<ul> <li>✓ Compliant – Certificate of Compliance         (Valid for 3 years from report date, unless shorter time frame outlined in Local Ordinance.)     </li> <li>✓ Noncompliant – Notice of Noncompliance         (See Upgrade Requirements on page 3)     </li> </ul>						
Reason(s) for noncompliance (check all applicable)  Impact on Public Health (Compliance Component #1) – Imminent threat to Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance Component #3) – Imminent the Tank Integrity (Compliance Component #2) – Failing to protect groundwa Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance Component #3) – Failing to protect groundwa Soil Separation (Compliance Component #4) – Failing to protect groundwa Operating permit/monitoring plan requirements (Compliance Component	reat to public h ter otect groundwa ater	ealth and safety				
Property Information Parcel ID# or Sec/Twp/Ran	ge:					
• •	or inspection:	Property Transfer				
· · ·	phone: 614-	-271-3921				
or						
Owner's representative: Represe	ntative phone:					
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Regulatory authority phone: 651-430-6655					
Brief system description: A pre-cast septic tank and a rock trench drainfield.						
Comments or recommendations:						
Although not a compliance criteria, it should be noted that the septic tank manhole cover to the ground surface to facilitate easier access and proper maintenance. In addindicated ponding above the drainfield rock. This is an indicator that the drainfield is not a support of the proper maintenance.	lition, a soil bo	ring over the drainfield				
Certification						
I hereby certify that all the necessary information has been gathered to determine the determination of future system performance has been nor can be made due to unknow possible abuse of the system, inadequate maintenance, or future water usage.	,	,				
Inspector name: _Brian Humpal/Christopher Uebe Certificat	ion number:	C5342/C9852				
Business name: Midwest Sewer Services Lice	nse number:	L2896				
Inspector signature: Brian Humpal April 1/2 Pho	one number: _	651-492-7550				
Necessary or Locally Required Attachments						
Soil boring logs	local ordinanc	e				
☑ Other information (list): Report Summary, Property Information, Disclaimer, Lie	cense					

Property address: \_ 5512 Garden Dr, Woodbury, MN 55129

Inspector initials/Date: 9/22/2020 **BA** 

1.	Impact on Public Health — Compliance component #1 of 5							
	Co	ompliance criteria:			Verification method(s):			
_	Sy	stem discharge sewage to the bund surface.	☐ Yes	⊠ No	<ul><li>Searched for surface outlet</li><li>Searched for seeping in yard/backup in home</li></ul>			
		stem discharge sewage to drain tile surface waters.	☐ Yes	⊠ No	<ul> <li>☑ Excessive ponding in soil system/D-boxes</li> <li>☐ Homeowner testimony (See Comments/Explanation)</li> </ul>			
		stem cause sewage backup into relling or establishment.	☐ Yes	⊠ No	<ul><li>"Black soil" above soil dispersal system</li><li>System requires "emergency" pumping</li><li>Performed dye test</li></ul>			
		ny "yes" answer above indicates Imminent Threat to Public Heal	•		☐ Unable to verify (See Comments/Explanation) ☐ Other methods not listed (See Comments/Explanation)			
	Сс	mments/Explanation:						
2.	the	soil boring over the drainfield indicated e end of its useful life. ank Integrity – Compliance com			drainfield rock. This is an indicator that the drainfield is nearing			
	Co	ompliance criteria:			Verification method(s):			
		stem consists of a seepage pit, sspool, drywell, or leaching pit.	☐ Yes	⊠ No	<ul><li>☑ Probed tank(s) bottom</li><li>☑ Examined construction records</li></ul>			
	Se	epage pits meeting 7080.2550 may be mpliant if allowed in local ordinance.			<ul> <li>Examined Formal Examined Tank Integrity Form (Attach)</li> <li>Observed liquid level below operating depth</li> </ul>			
		wage tank(s) leak below their signed operating depth.	☐ Yes	⊠ No	☐ Examined empty (pumped) tanks(s)			
	If y	ves, which sewage tank(s) leaks:			Probed outside tank(s) for "black soil"			
	Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is Failing to Protect Groundwater.			<ul> <li>☐ Unable to verify (See Comments/Explanation)</li> <li>☐ Other methods not listed (See Comments/Explanation)</li> </ul>				
2	Comments/Explanation:  Lowered underwater camera into tank - baffles and tank walls OK.  Although not a compliance criteria, it should be noted that the septic tank manhole cover is buried. I recommend extendithis cover to the ground surface to facilitate easier access and proper maintenance.  Other Compliance Conditions — Compliance component #3 of 5							
3.			-					
	a. h	Maintenance hole covers are damaged			•			
	<ul> <li>b. Other issues (electrical hazards, etc.) to immediately and adversely impact public health or safety. ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Unknown *System is an imminent threat to public health and safety</li> </ul>							
		Explain:						
	c. System is non-protective of ground water for other conditions as determined by inspector ☐ Yes* ☐ No *System is failing to protect groundwater							
		Explain:						

www.pca.state.mn.us • 651-296-6300 • 800-657-3864 • TTY 651-282-5332 or 800-657-3864 • Available in alternative formats wq-wwists4-31 • 1/24/12 Page 2 of 3

Prop	perty address: <u>5512 Garden Dr, Woodbury, M</u> ľ	N 55129	Inspector initials/Date: 9/22/2020		
4.	Soil Separation – Compliance compor	nent #4 of 5			
	Date of installation: 1979?	☑ Unknown	Verification method(s):		
	Shoreland/Wellhead protection/Food Beverage Lodging?	☐ Yes ⊠ No	Soil observation does not expire. Previous soil observations by two independent parties are sufficient,		
	Compliance criteria:		unless site conditions have been altered or local		
	For systems built prior to April 1, 1996, and not located in Shoreland or Wellhead Protection Area or not serving a food, beverage or lodging establishment:	⊠ Yes □ No	requirements differ.  ☐ Conducted soil observation(s) (Attach boring logs) ☐ Two previous verifications (Attach boring logs) ☐ Not applicable (Holding tank(s), no drainfield)		
	Drainfield has at least a two-foot vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.		<ul><li>☐ Unable to verify (See Comments/Explanation)</li><li>☐ Other (See Comments/Explanation)</li></ul>		
	Non-performance systems built April 1,	☐ Yes ☐ No	Comments/Explanation:		
	1996, or later or for non-performance systems located in Shoreland or Wellhead Protection Areas or serving a food, beverage, or lodging establishment:		Reviewed previous compliance inspection from 2013.		
	Drainfield has a three-foot vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.*				
	"Experimental", "Other", or "Performance"	☐ Yes ☐ No	Indicate depths of elevations		
	systems built under pre-2008 Rules; Type IV or V systems built under 2008 Rules (7080. 2350 or 7080.2400 (Advanced Inspector License required)		A. Bottom of distribution media  See Attached Boring Log(s)		
	Drainfield meets the designed vertical		B. Periodically saturated soil/bedrock		
	separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.		C. System separation		
			D. Required compliance separation*		
	Any "no" answer above indicates the system is Failing to Protect Groundwater.		*May be reduced up to 15 percent if allowed by Local Ordinance.		
5.	Operating Permit and Nitrogen B	<b>MP*</b> – Compliance	component #5 of 5 Not applicable		
	Is the system operated under an Operating Peri	mit?	☐ No If "yes", A below is required		
	Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP?		☐ No If "yes", B below is required		
			gn		
If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed.					
	Compliance criteria				
	a. Operating Permit number:				
	Have the Operating Permit requirements been met?		☐ Yes ☐ No		

Any "no" answer indicates Noncompliance.

b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning?

Upgrade Requirements (Minn. Stat. § 115.55) An imminent threat to public health and safety (ITPHS) must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within ten months of receipt of this notice or within a shorter period if required by local ordinance. If the system is failing to protect ground water, the system must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within the time required by local ordinance. If an existing system is not failing as defined in law, and has at least two feet of design soil separation, then the system need not be upgraded, repaired, replaced, or its use discontinued, notwithstanding any local ordinance that is more strict. This provision does not apply to systems in shoreland areas, Wellhead Protection Areas, or those used in connection with food, beverage, and lodging establishments as defined in law.

☐ Yes ☐ No


TTY 651-282-5332 or 800-657-3864 • Available in alternative formats www.pca.state.mn.us • 651-296-6300 • 800-657-3864 wq-wwists4-31 • 1/24/12 Page 3 of 3

## Midwest Sewer Testing Subsurface Sewage Treatment System Owner/Property Information This information will be used for the purpose of conducting an MPCA Compliance Inspection

This information will be used for the purpose of conducting an MPCA	Compilance inspection.					
Date of Inspection: September 22, 2020	Time: 1:30 PM					
Property Address: 5512 Garden Dr, Woodbury, MN	Zip: 55129					
Property Owner: Nadine Muzerall & Ryan Venturine	Phone: 614-271-3921					
Tank(s)       Tank(s)Material       Soil Treatment System         Septic 1       Fiberglass       Rock trench         Aerobic       Plastic       Gravelless trench         Lift       Metal       Chamber trench         Holding       Concrete       Seepage bed         Other:       Block       Mound         Other       At-grade	Other  Alternative system Experimental system Cesspool system Other system					
Are the tank maintenance covers accessible?   Yes   No *If r performed through the maintenance holes. Maintenance hole cover the ground surface to facilitate access and proper maintenance of the	ers should be made accessible to					
	Γank size (gals.):					
	sidents in home?					
Number of bedrooms? 3 Are all floors drained by gr						
Garbage disposal? Whirlpool bath?						
More than one system (laundry, etc.)?						
Does this property have any footing drain tiles connected to the se	-					
Are any buildings on this property such as garages or out-buildings connected to this system?  Are there any additional systems on this property serving other buildings?						
Location of septic system on lot? West Side						
	well a deep well? Y					
Have you ever experienced any problems with the system such as:	tree roots, sewage back-ups,					
surfacing of sewage onto the ground, septic tank overflowing, etc.; to the system?  If yes, explain:	; or have any repairs been made					
When was the system last pumped? 2018 Name of pump	per: Meyer Sewer Service					
	on a monitoring plan?					
Have you received notices from any government agency concerning this system?						
Is your property located in a shoreland management area? N						
Do you have any additional information that should be given to the new owner?						
I hereby certify that the above information is correct to the best of my knowledge. I also understand that if the system is considered "non-compliant/failing" per MPCA rules, that the inspector must by law submit a copy of this report to the local government unit within 15 days of the date of inspection completion. I also agree that unless otherwise noted in this report, that I/we are ultimately responsible for payment of all fees for all work performed relative to this inspection by Inspect Minnesota and Midwest Soil Testing						

Date:

Owner/Occupant:



### **Soil Observations Log**

Location of Project: 5512 Garden Dr, Woodbury, MN 55129							
	bservati	ons Made By:	Midwest Sewer Ser			Date:	9/22/2020
Classification System: USDA			USDA				
	Soi	Observation:	ST-1		Soil O	bservation:	
Surface Elevation of Observation  Same ground surface as last drainfield trench		Elevat	face tion of vation				
Depth In Inches	Rock %	Soils E	ncountered	Depth In Inches	Rock %	<u>Soils</u>	Encountered
0-4 4-14 14-30 30-62	≈15-20	10YR 3/4 10YR 4/4 7.5YR 4/4 Medi	Silt Loam (Dry) Silt Loam (Dry) Silt Loam (Dry) um Sand With Gravel Isal At 62"				
62" Depth To End Of Soil Observation Or Redox			Denth T	o End Of Soil	Observation Or Redox		
-30" Depth To Bottom Of Distribution Media		Depth To Bottom Of Distribution Media					
≥28" Of Separation			Of Sepa				
End Of Soil Observation At: 62"		End Of	Soil Ob	servation At:			
End Of Soil Observation At: 62"  Redox Present At: None		LIIG OI		x Present At:			
Standing Water Present At: None		Standi		r Present At:			
Standing Water Fresent Act							

Bottom Of Distribution Medium At: 30 Inches				
Signature:	Color Va			

Property address: 5512 Garden D		ury, MN Inspector initials/Dai	94-8-13-13 (mm/dd/yyy)			
4. Soil Separation - Compliance c						
Date of installation:	/⊠ Unknown	Verification method(s):				
(mm/dd/yyyy) Shoreland/Wellhead protection/Food beverage lodging? Compliance criteria:	☐ Yes Ø No	Soil observation does not expire. P observations by two independent p unless site conditions have been at requirements differ.	arties are sufficient,			
For systems built prior to April 1, 1996, and not located in Shoreland or Wellhead Protection Area or not serving a food, beverage or lodging establishment:  Drainfield has at least a two-foot vertical	☐ Yes ☐ No	Conducted soil observation(s) (/  Two previous verifications (Attac Not applicable (Holding tank(s), not  Unable to verify (See Comments/	ch boring logs) o dreinfield)			
separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock,	ļ	. Other (See Comments/Explenation)				
Non-performance systems built April 1, 1996, or later or for non-performance systems located in Shoreland or Wellhead Protection Areas or serving a food, beverage, or lodging establishment:	Yes 🗆 No	Comments/Explanation:  OTO 16 - SANd Y  16 to 28 GOAM  28 TO 63 SAND	BLK TopsoiL			
Drainfield has a three-foot vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.*		28 to 63 34 Nd	10 Y			
"Experimental", "Other", or "Performance" systems built under pre-2008 Rules; Type IV or V systems built under 2008 Rules (7080. 2350 or 7080.2400 (Advanced Inspector License required)	☐ Yes ☐ No	A. Bottom of distribution media	18 1024" Noneto 68"			
Drainfield meets the designed vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.		B. Periodically saturated solf/bedrock     C. System separation  D. Regulred compliance separation*	36"/2405			
Any "no" answer above indicates the system is failing to protect groundwater.  *May be reduced up to 15 percent if allowed by Local Ordinance.						
5. Operating Permit and Nitrogen	BMP* - Complia	ance component #5 of 5	lot applicable			
is the system operated under an Operating	Permit?	es 🗌 No 🏻 If "yes", A below is requi	red			
Is the system required to employ a Nitroger	BMP? Y	es 🔲 No 🏻 if "yes", B below is requir	redi			
BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design						
If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed.						
Compliance criteria						
Operating Permit number:		☐ Yes ☐ No				
Have the Operating Permit requirems	nts been met?					
b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place		ing? ☐ Yes ☐ No				
Any "no" answer indicates Nonce	ompliance.					
Upgrade Requirements (Minn. Stat. § 115.55) An imminent threat to public health and safety (ITPHS) must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within ten months of receipt of this notice or within a shorter period if required by local ordinance. If the system is falling to protect ground water, the system must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within the time required by local ordinance. If an existing system is not falling as defined in law, and has at least two feet of design soil separation, then the system need not be upgraded, repaired, replaced, or its use discontinued, notwithstanding any local ordinance that is more strict. This provision does not apply to systems in shoreland areas, Wellhead Protection Areas, or those used in connection with food, beverage, and lodging establishments as defined in law.						

www.pca.state.mn.us • 651-296-6300 • 800-657-3864 • TTY 651-282-5332 or 800-657-3864 • Available in alternative formats wq-wwists4-31 • 3/16/12 Page 3 of 3

#### **DISCLAIMER**

## Brian L. Humpal, Inc. dba. Midwest Sewer Services, Inspect Minnesota, Midwest Soil Testing Relative to Subsurface Sewage Treatment System (SSTS) Compliance Inspections

- 1. This inspection/report is being performed for only the seller/owner of the property on which the SSTS is located. In such case that another party is paying for the inspection, the contract is between only said party and Brian L. Humpal, Inc.; there is no contract between Brian L. Humpal, Inc. and any other party unless otherwise noted.
- 3. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. has not been retained to warranty, guarantee, or certify the proper functioning of the SSTS for any period of time beyond the date of inspection or into the future. Because of the numerous factors (usage, maintenance, soil characteristics, previous failures, etc.) which may affect the proper operation of an SSTS, as well as the inability of Brian L. Humpal, Inc. to supervise or monitor the use or maintenance of the SSTS, the report shall not be construed as a warranty by Brian L. Humpal, Inc. that the SSTS will function properly for any particular party for any period of time.
- 4. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. is unable to verify the frequency and/or, quality of prior or future maintenance of the SSTS. Maintenance of the tank(s) must be performed through the tanks maintenance hole. The removal of solids from any location other than the maintenance hole is not a compliant method of maintenance. It is strongly recommended that maintenance covers be made accessible to the ground surface to facilitate proper maintenance.
- 5. Minimum Compliance Inspection requirements relative to this inspection and this report include only verification that the SSTS has tank(s) (septic tanks, lift tanks, dosing tanks, stilling tanks, etc.) which are watertight below the designed operating depth, the required separation between the bottom of the subsurface soil distribution medium and seasonally saturated soils, no back-ups of sewage into the dwelling, no discharge of sewage/effluent to the ground surface or surface waters, and no imminent safety hazards. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. does not inspect plumbing or pumps prior to the first SSTS component as these are plumbing components. The performance of exterior pumps and associated components are not inspected as they are considered to be maintenance items. Additionally, no indications relative to compliance with electrical code requirements have been made. It is recommended that any other applicable plumbing, electrical, housing, etc. inspections be performed by a qualified inspection business. Sewage back-up verification is limited to observing the floor drain area and/or the information supplied by the last occupants of the building prior to inspection relative to back-ups is accurate.
- 4. Certification of this SSTS does not warranty future use beyond the date of the inspection. Any SSTS, old or new, can become hydraulically overloaded or discharge sewage/effluent to the ground surface as a result of more people moving into the house than were previously occupying the house, improper maintenance, heavy usage, leaking plumbing fixtures, groundwater infiltration, tree roots, freezing conditions, surface drainage problems, poor initial design, poor construction practices, or unsuitable materials used in constructing the system; the system can also simply stop working because of its age. An SSTS that has been properly designed and installed, properly maintained, and used in the manner for which the system was designed can be expected to provide service for twenty to twenty-five years on average. Some parts of the SSTS such as alarms, switches, pumps, filters, etc. will most likely have to be repaired or replaced over the lifetime of the system.
- 5. A Compliance Inspection is not meant to be a test or inspection for longevity of the system; a Compliance Inspection is strictly for the purpose of determining if the SSTS is protective of public health and safety, as well as the groundwater at the date and time the inspection was performed. This inspection is not intended to determine if the SSTS was originally designed or installed to past or present MPCA or other Local Government Unit code requirements. This inspection is not intended to determine if the SSTS was designed and/or installed to support the anticipated flow from the building as the use of the building may have changed since the design and construction of the SSTS due to the addition of bedrooms, occupants, etc. In addition, this inspection is not intended to determine the quality of the original SSTS design, the quality of the construction practices used while installing the SSTS, or the quality of the materials used in constructing the SSTS.
- 6. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. cannot guarantee the performance of SSTS products/components such as: gravelless pipe, chamber trenches, effluent filters, tanks, sewage pre-treatment components, piping, etc. Products such as gravelless pipe are no longer approved for installation in the State of Minnesota and may have a significantly reduced performance and/or life expectancy.
- 7. WINTER WORK: By accepting this report, it is understood that inspections conducted during winter months (approximately November 1<sup>st</sup> through April 1<sup>st</sup>) are more difficult to perform because of possible snow cover and/or ground frost. SSTS components such as tanks, maintenance covers, tank inspection pipes, subsurface distribution medium inspection pipes, and soil treatment areas are more difficult or impossible to locate due to snow cover and/or ground frost. In addition, soil borings are more difficult to perform due to snow cover and/or ground frost. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. will attempt to use the same level of standards when performing work during winter periods as when performing work during non-winter periods. However, the recipient of this report understands that because of the aforementioned considerations, the same level of standards may not be possible.
- 8. By accepting this report, the client understands that Brian L. Humpal, Inc. will not be responsible for any monetary damages exceeding the fee for the services provided.

## Subsurface Sewage Treatment Systems

Non-transferable

# Business License

### **Midwest Sewer Services**

License # L2896

License Expires: 12/22/2020

Issued: 11/26/2019

## Specialty Area(s):

Installer
Maintainer
Service Provider
Advanced Designer
Advanced Inspector

### Designated Certified Individual(s):

Cert # Na

Name

**Certification Expires:** 

C5342

Brian L Humpal

10/15/2023

Installer, Maintainer, Serv Prov, Adv Designer, Adv Inspector

C9852 4

Christopher R Uebe

3/4/2021

Designer, Inspector



520 Lafayette Road North St. Paul, Minnesota 55155-4194 Mich Haig

Nick Haig, Supervisor Certification and Training Unit