ZIERKE SOIL TESTING

Steve Bradley 16975 197th St N Scandia, MN 55073

10/28/2020

Dear Steve Bradley,

At your request, I have conducted a septic inspection to determine the compliance status of your system pursuant to Minnesota Rules Chapter 7080.1500.

The compliance test set out in 7080.1500 has three main inquiries: 1). Is the system functioning hydraulically (disposing of effluent in a manner that prevents it from coming in contact with people)? 2). Are the septic tanks water tight? 3). Does the system have sufficient vertical separation between the bottom of the septic system and restrictive layers (bedrock, standing water, seasonally wet layers, etc) to provide full treatment of effluent?

Based off of these criteria, your system is <u>compliant</u>. A certification of compliance is in effect for three years from the date it is issued. To be clear, this should not be construed as a guarantee of future system function – there are too many factors that influence the lifespan of a septic system for an inspector to predict or even guess how long a septic system will last. A copy of this report will be filed with your local unit of government for their records.

Sincerely,

Benjamin Zierke

MPCA Lic 119, Cert 9594

ADDRESS: 28587 Jeffrey Ave Chisago City, MN 55013

PHONE 651-249-1346

EMAIL benzierke@gmail.com



520 Lafayette Road North St. Paul, MN 55155-4194

Compliance Inspection Form

Existing Subsurface Sewage Treatment Systems (SSTS)

Doc Type: Compliance and Enforcement

Inspection results based on Minnesota Pollution Control Agency (MPCA) requirements and attached forms – additional local requirements may also apply.	For local tracking purposes:				
Submit completed form to Local Unit of Government (LUG) and system owner within 15 days					
System Status					
System status on date (mm/dd/yyyy): 10/28/2020					
- • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	oliant – Notice of Noncompliance le Requirements on page 3.)				
Reason(s) for noncompliance (check all applicable) Impact on Public Health (Compliance Component #1) – Imminent threat to public health and safety Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance Component #3) – Imminent threat to public health and safety Tank Integrity (Compliance Component #2) – Failing to protect groundwater Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance Component #3) – Failing to protect groundwater Soil Separation (Compliance Component #4) – Failing to protect groundwater Operating permit/monitoring plan requirements (Compliance Component #5) – Noncompliant					
Property Information Parcel ID# or Sec/Twp/Rai	nge:				
	for inspection: Building Permit				
Property owner: Steve Bradley Owner's	phone:				
or	antativa uhana.				
	entative phone:ornative phone: 651-430-6655				
Brief system description: 1000 gallon poly septic tank, 1000 gallon poly lift station,	•				
Comments or recommendations:					
Certification					
I hereby certify that all the necessary information has been gathered to determine the determination of future system performance has been nor can be made due to unknown possible abuse of the system, inadequate maintenance, or future water usage.					
Inspector name: Benjamin Zierke Certifica	ation number: C9594				
Business name: Zierke Soil Testing Lice	ense number: L119				
Inspector signature: Ph	none number: 651-249-1346				
Necessary or Locally Required Attachments					
	r local ordinance				

Prop	erty	address: 16975 197th St N Scandi	ia, MN 5507	3	Inspector initials/Date: BZ 10/28/2020			
	32				(mm/dd/yyyy)			
4	lmr	aset on Bublic Health	ompliones	compone	nt #1 of 5			
1.	. Impact on Public Health – Compliance compone				11(#1015			
-	Cor	Compliance criteria:			Verification method(s):			
	Sys	tem discharges sewage to the	☐ Yes ☑	☑ No	Searched for surface outlet			
-	grou	und surface.		00000000000000000000000000000000000000	Searched for seeping in yard/backup in home			
	Sys	tem discharges sewage to drain	☐ Yes ☑	☑ No	☐ Excessive ponding in soil system/D-boxes			
-	tile	or surface waters.						
		tem causes sewage backup into	☐ Yes ☑	⊠ No	☐ "Black soil" above soil dispersal system			
-	dwe	lling or establishment.			System requires "emergency" pumping			
	Any "yes" answer above indicates the			☐ Performed dye test				
	-	stem is an imminent threat	to public	•	☐ Unable to verify (See Comments/Explanation)			
	hea	alth and safety.			Other methods not listed (See Comments/Explanation)			
	Cor	mments/Explanation:						
			system. No	signs of leak	age or backup present during site visit 10/26/2020.			
	Oic	ve has not had any issues with the	System. No	oigno or lean	age of basicap process auring one tion research			
2.	Ta	nk Integrity – Compliance	componer	nt #2 of 5				
	Co	mpliance criteria:			Verification method(s):			
		stem consists of a seepage pit,	☐ Yes □	✓ No	Probed tank(s) bottom			
		spool, drywell, or leaching pit.	103 2	Z 110	Examined construction records			
	Seepage pits meeting 7080.2550 may be							
-		ppliant if allowed in local ordinance.			Examined Tank Integrity Form (Attach)			
	Sev	wage tank(s) leak below their	☐ Yes □	⊠ No	Observed liquid level below operating depth			
		signed operating depth.			☐ Examined empty (pumped) tanks(s)			
	If ye	es, which sewage tank(s) leaks:			Probed outside tank(s) for "black soil"			
	Any "yes" answer above indicates the			☐ Unable to verify (See Comments/Explanation)				
		stem is failing to protect gr			☐ Other methods not listed (See Comments/Explanation)			
		mments/Explanation:						
		-	Service on	10/26/2020	Tanks wateright and baffles in place.			
	110	sent for pumping by offilines dewer	OCIVICO OII	10/20/2020.	Turno wateright and barries in place.			
3.	Ot	her Compliance Condition	ns – Compl	liance comp	onent #3 of 5			
					, or appear to be structurally unsound. ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Unknow			
	a.							
	b. Other issues (electrical hazards, etc.) to immediately and adversely impact public health or safety. ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Unknow							
		*System is an imminent threat to	ривнс пеа	ith and safet	y.			
		Explain:						
	C.	System is non-protective of ground	water for otl	her conditions	s as determined by inspector . Yes* No			
		*System is failing to protect grou						
		Explain:						
		- April 11						

www.pca.state.mn.us • 651-296-6300 • 800-657-3864 • TTY 651-282-5332 or 800-657-3864 • Available in alternative formats wq-wwists4-31b • 6/4/14 Page 2 of 3

Property address: 16975 197th St N Scandia, MN 55073				Inspector initials/Date: BZ 10/28/2020			
				(mm/dd/yyyy)			
4 Cail Canamatian	0						
4. Soil Separation	- Compliance co	omponent #4 of 5)	The same same			
Date of installation:	11/23/1987 (mm/dd/yyyy)	Unknown		cation method(s):			
Shoreland/Wellhead protection/Food beverage lodging? Compliance criteria:		⊠ Yes □ No	observ	Soil observation does not expire. Previous soil observations by two independent parties are sufficient, unless site conditions have been altered or local			
			require	requirements differ.			
For systems built prior to April 1, 1996, and not located in Shoreland or Wellhead Protection Area or not serving a food,		☐ Yes ☐ No	-	Conducted soil observation(s) (Attach boring logs)			
			20-00	☐ Two previous verifications (Attach boring logs)			
beverage or lodging estai	blishment:			☐ Not applicable (Holding tank(s), no drainfield)			
Drainfield has at least a two-foot vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.			10	☐ Unable to verify (See Comments/Explanation) ☐ Other (See Comments/Explanation)			
Non-performance systems built April 1, 1996, or later or for non-performance systems located in Shoreland or Wellhead Protection Areas or serving a food, beverage, or lodging establishment:		⊠ Yes □ No	Comm	nents/Explanation:			
Drainfield has a three-foo separation distance from saturated soil or bedrock	periodically						
"Experimental", "Other", o		☐ Yes ☐ No	Indica	ate depths or elevations			
systems built under pre-2008 Rules; Type IV or V systems built under 2008 Rules (7080. 2350 or 7080.2400 (Advanced Inspector License required) Drainfield meets the designed vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.			A. Bot	tom of distribution media	102.0'		
			B. Per	iodically saturated soil/bedrock	99.0'		
			C. Sys	tem separation	3.0'		
			D. Red	quired compliance separation*	3.0'		
Any "no" answer a failing to protect gr	roundwater.		Ordin	nponent #5 of 5	allowed by Local		
Is the system operate	d under an Operating	Permit?	Yes □ No	If "yes", A below is requi	red		
Is the system operated under an Operating Permit? Yes No If "yes", A below is required Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP? Yes No If "yes", B below is required							
BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design							
If the answer to bo	oth auestions is "i	no". this section	does not i	need to be completed.			
If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed.							
Compliance criteria							
a. Operating Permit number: Have the Operating Permit requirements been met? b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning.			_0	☐ Yes ☐ No			
		ents been met?					
		oning?	Yes No				
Any "no" answe	r indicates Nond	compliance.					
discontinued within ten r ground water, the syster is not failing as defined i its use discontinued, not	nonths of receipt of this in must be upgraded, re in law, and has at least withstanding any local o	notice or within a shore placed, or its use disco two feet of design soil s ordinance that is more	ter period if re ontinued withi separation, th strict. This pr	h and safety (ITPHS) must be upg equired by local ordinance. If the s n the time required by local ordina en the system need not be upgra ovision does not apply to systems adging establishments as defined	system is failing to protect ance. If an existing system ded, repaired, replaced, or a in shoreland areas,		

www.pca.state.mn.us • 651-296-6300 • 800-657-3864 • TTY 651-282-5332 or 800-657-3864 • Available in alternative formats Page 3 of 3 wq-wwists4-31b • 6/4/14

Washington County, MN



10

20 m

Logs of Soil Borings

Location of Project:

16975 197th St N Scandia, MN 55073

Borings Made by Ben Zierke

Date:

10/26/2020

Hand bucket auger used for borings; USDA - SCS Soil Classification used.

Depth, in Inches 0 0-18" 18-20" 20-24"	Boring Number 1 10YR 2/2 sandy loam 10YR 4/2 clay loam Sandstone bedrock, 5YR 5/4 *1' separation credit	Depth, in Inches O	Boring Number 2
End of boring at Standing water tab Present at Standing water not p Mottled Soil: Observed at Mottled soil not pre: Comments:	feet of depth Hours after boring 1 feet of depth 1 1 feet of depth 1	End of boring at Standing water tab Present at Standing water not p Mottled Soil: Observed at Mottled soil not pres Comments:	feet of depth Hours after boring feet of depth feet of depth
Depth, in Inches 0	Boring Number 3	Depth, in Inches 0	Boring Number 4
End of boring at Standing water tal Present at Standing water not Mottled Soil: Observed at Mottled soil not pre Comments:	feet of depth Hours after boring present in hole feet of depth	End of boring at Standing water tal Present at Standing water not Mottled Soil: Observed at Mottled soil not pre Comments:	feet of depth Hours after boring present in hole feet of depth