Minnesota Pollution Control Agency

> 520 Lafayette Road North St. Paul, MN 55155-4194

Compliance Inspection Form

Existing Subsurface Sewage Treatment Systems (SSTS)

Doc Type: Compliance and Enforcement

Inspection results based on Minnesota Pollution Control Agency (MPCA) requirements and attached forms – additional local requirements may also apply.

Submit completed form to Local Unit of Government (LUG) and system owner within 15 days

System Status

System status on date (mm/dd/yyyy): 8/20/2020

Compliant – Certificate of Compliance

(Valid for 3 years from report date, unless shorter time frame outlined in Local Ordinance.)

Noncompliant – Notice of Noncompliance (See Upgrade Requirements on page 3.)

Reason(s) for noncompliance (check all applicable)

Impact on Public Health (Compliance Component #1) – Imminent threat to public health and safety

Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance Component #3) - Imminent threat to public health and safety

Tank Integrity (Compliance Component #2) – Failing to protect groundwater

□ Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance Component #3) – Failing to protect groundwater

Soil Separation (Compliance Component #4) - Failing to protect groundwater

Operating permit/monitoring plan requirements (Compliance Component #5) - Noncompliant

Property Info	rmation Par	cel ID# or Sec/Twp/Range:	0802721230002
Property address:	7480 Harkness Ave S Cottage Grove, Mn	55016 Reason for in	spection: Property Transfer
Property owner:	Cindy Harriman	Owner's pho	ne:
or Owner's represent	ative:	Representati	ve phone:
Local regulatory au	uthority: Washington County	Regulatory a	uthority phone:651-430-6655
Brief system descr	iption: 1 Septic tank to drainfield		
0	ammandations;		

Comments or recommendations:

Certification

I hereby certify that all the necessary information has been gathered to determine the compliance status of this system. No determination of future system performance has been nor can be made due to unknown conditions during system construction, possible abuse of the system, inadequate maintenance, or future water usage.

Inspector name: _[Dave Brown	Certification number:	9370
Business name: _[Ravid R Brown	License number:	3649
Inspector signature:		Phone number:	651.788.3296
	ocally Required Attachments	Forms per local ordinan	ice.
Soil boring logs	_, _,		2 ⁰⁰

For local tracking purposes:

1. Impact on Public Health – Compliance component #1 of 5

Compliance criteria:		Verification method(s):
System discharges sewage to the ground surface.	🗆 Yes 🖾 No	Searched for surface outlet
System discharges sewage to drain tile or surface waters.	🗆 Yes 🖾 No	Searched for seeping in yard/backup in home Excessive ponding in soil system/D-boxes
System causes sewage backup into dwelling or establishment.	🗌 Yes 🖾 No	Homeowner testimony (See Comments/Explanation)
Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is an imminent threat to public health and safety.		 ☐ System requires "emergency" pumping ☐ Performed dye test ☐ Unable to verify (See Comments/Explanation) ☐ Other methods not listed (See Comments/Explanation)
Comments/Explanation:		

2. Tank Integrity - Compliance component #2 of 5

Compliance criteria:		Verification method(s):
System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, or leaching pit. Seepage pits meeting 7080.2550 may be compliant if allowed in local ordinance. Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth. If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks:	□Yes ⊠No □Yes ⊠No	 Probed tank(s) bottom Examined construction records Examined Tank Integrity Form (<i>Attach</i>) Observed liquid level below operating depth Examined empty (pumped) tanks(s) Probed outside tank(s) for "black soil"
Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is failing to protect groundwater.		 Unable to verify (See Comments/Explanation) Other methods not listed (See Comments/Explanation)

Comments/Explanation:

Verification method(s):
☑ Probed tank(s) bottom
Examined construction records
Examined Tank Integrity Form (Attach)
Observed liquid level below operating depth
Examined empty (pumped) tanks(s)
Probed outside tank(s) for "black soil"
Unable to verify (See Comments/Explanation)
Other methods not listed (See Comments/Explanation)

3. Other Compliance Conditions - Compliance component #3 of 5

Maintenance hole covers are damaged, cracked, unsecured, or appear to be structurally unsound.
Yes*
No Unknown a.

Other issues (electrical hazards, etc.) to immediately and adversely impact public health or safety. b. 🗆 Yes* 🖾 No 🗋 Unknown *System is an imminent threat to public health and safety.

Explain:

System is non-protective of ground water for other conditions as determined by inspector . C. *System is failing to protect groundwater. Explain:

4. Soil Separation - Compliance component #4 of 5

Date of installation:		Verification method(s):		
(mm/dd/yyyy) Shoreland/Wellhead protection/Food beverage lodging? Compliance criteria:	🗌 Yes 🖾 No	Soil observation does not expire. Pro observations by two independent pa unless site conditions have been alto requirements differ.	rties are sufficient,	
		Conducted soil observation(s) (Attach boring logs)		
For systems built prior to April 1, 1996, and not located in Shoreland or Wellhead	□ Yes □ No	Two previous verifications (Attach		
Protection Area or not serving a food,		Not applicable (Holding tank(s), no drainfield)		
beverage or lodging establishment:				
Drainfield has at least a two-foot vertical separation distance from periodically		Unable to verify (See Comments/Explanation)		
saturated soil or bedrock.		Other (See Comments/Explanation)		
Non-performance systems built April 1, 1996, or later or for non-performance systems located in Shoreland or Wellhead Protection Areas or serving a food, beverage, or lodging establishment:	🖾 Yes 🔲 No	Comments/Explanation:		
Drainfield has a three-foot vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.*				
"Experimental", "Other", or "Performance"	Yes No	Indicate depths or elevations		
systems built under pre-2008 Rules; Type IV or V systems built under 2008 Rules (7080.		A. Bottom of distribution media	24"	
2350 or 7080.2400 (Advanced Inspector License required)		B. Periodically saturated soil/bedrock	72"	
Drainfield meets the designed vertical		C. System separation	48"	
separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.		D. Required compliance separation*	24"	
Any "no" answer above indicates t failing to protect groundwater.	he system is	*May be reduced up to 15 percent if Ordinance.	allowed by Local	
5. Operating Permit and Nitrogen BMP* – Compliance component #5 of 5 🛛 🖂 Not applicable				
Is the system operated under an Operating Permit? Yes No If "yes", A below is required				

Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP? Yes No If "yes", B below is required

BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design

If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed.

Cor	npliance criteria	
a.		□ Yes □ No
	Have the Operating Permit requirements been met?	
b.	Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning?	🗌 Yes 🗌 No

Any "no" answer indicates Noncompliance.

Upgrade Requirements (Minn. Stat. § 115.55) An imminent threat to public health and safety (ITPHS) must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within ten months of receipt of this notice or within a shorter period if required by local ordinance. If the system is failing to protect ground water, the system must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within the time required by local ordinance. If an existing system is not failing as defined in law, and has at least two feet of design soil separation, then the system need not be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued, notwithstanding any local ordinance that is more strict. This provision does not apply to systems in shoreland areas, Wellhead Protection Areas, or those used in connection with food, beverage, and lodging establishments as defined in law.

