

Other information (list):

Compliance Inspection Form

Existing Subsurface Sewage Treatment Systems (SSTS)

Doc Type: Compliance and Enforcement

St. Paul. MN 55155-4194 For local tracking purposes: Inspection results based on Minnesota Pollution Control Agency (MPCA) requirements and attached forms - additional local requirements may also apply. Submit completed form to Local Unit of Government (LUG) and system owner within 15 days System Status System status on date (mm/dd/yyyy): 12/29/2020 Compliant - Certificate of Compliance Noncompliant – Notice of Noncompliance (Valid for 3 years from report date, unless shorter time (See Upgrade Requirements on page 3.) frame outlined in Local Ordinance.) Reason(s) for noncompliance (check all applicable) ☐ Impact on Public Health (Compliance Component #1) – Imminent threat to public health and safety Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance Component #3) - Imminent threat to public health and safety ☐ Tank Integrity (Compliance Component #2) -- Failing to protect groundwater ☐ Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance Component #3) – Failing to protect groundwater Soil Separation (Compliance Component #4) - Failing to protect groundwater ☐ Operating permit/monitoring plan requirements (Compliance Component #5) – Noncompliant Property Information Parcel ID# or Sec/Twp/Range: 25.032.20.14.0002 Property address: 19655 Parkview Ave N Scandia, MN 55073 Reason for inspection: property sale Owner's phone: Owner's representative: Sharon O'Flannigan, Coldwell Banker Burnet Representative phone: 651-430-7759 Local regulatory authority: Washington County Regulatory authority phone: 651-430-6655 Brief system description: Precast septic tank and a gravity, rock trench drainfield. Comments or recommendations: This inspection was done during winter conditions. 3-4" frost. Opened the tank and drainfield inspection caps, found no obvious signs of leakage or saturation. Certification I hereby certify that all the necessary information has been gathered to determine the compliance status of this system. No determination of future system performance has been nor can be made due to unknown conditions during system construction, possible abuse of the system, inadequate maintenance, or future water usage. Inspector name: Tom Trooien Certification number: 323 Business name: All State Septic Services LLC License number: 1568 Inspector signature: 10m 1000 Phone number: 612-594-4496 **Necessary or Locally Required Attachments** Soil boring logs System/As-built drawing ☐ Forms per local ordinance

Impact on Public Health - Compliance component #1 of 5 Compliance criteria: System discharges sewage to the ground surface. Yes No ground surface. Yes No the or surface waters. System discharges sewage to drain Yes No the or surface waters. System discharges sewage to drain Yes No the or surface waters. System causes sewage backup into Yes No the or surface waters. System causes sewage backup into Yes No the design of the stablishment. Yes No the welling or establishment. System requires "emergency" pumping Performed day et set Unable to verify (See Comments/Explanation) Other methods not listed (See Comments/Explanation) Other methods	Propert	y address: 19655 Parkview Ave N S	Scandia, MN 55073	Inspector initials/Date: TT 12/29/2020			
System discharges sewage to the ground surface. System discharges sewage to drain System discharges sewage to drain System discharges sewage to drain System discharges sewage backup into dwelling or establishment. Yes ⊠ No Bile or surface waters. System causes sewage backup into dwelling or establishment. Yes ⊠ No Bile or surface waters. System causes sewage backup into dwelling or establishment. Yes ⊠ No Bile are so in imminent threat to public health and safety. Comments/Explanation: System requires: emergency pumping Performed dye test Unable to verify (See Comments/Explanation) Other methods not listed (See Comments/Explanation) Other methods no				(mm/dd/yyyy)			
System discharges sewage to the ground surface. System discharges sewage to drain System discharges sewage to drain System discharges sewage to drain System discharges sewage backup into dwelling or establishment. Yes ⊠ No Bile or surface waters. System causes sewage backup into dwelling or establishment. Yes ⊠ No Bile or surface waters. System causes sewage backup into dwelling or establishment. Yes ⊠ No Bile are so in imminent threat to public health and safety. Comments/Explanation: System requires: emergency pumping Performed dye test Unable to verify (See Comments/Explanation) Other methods not listed (See Comments/Explanation) Other methods no	1. In	npact on Public Health - C	Compliance compor	nent #1 of 5			
System discharges sewage to the ground surface. System class sewage backup into dwelling or establishment. Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is an imminent threat to public health and safety. Comments/Explanation: 2. Tank Integrity — Compliance component #2 of 5 Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, or leaching pit. Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth. If yes, which sewage tank(s) leak below their system is failing to protect groundwater. Any "yes" answer above indicates the system consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, or leaching pit. Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth. If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is failing to protect groundwater. Comments/Explanation: The tank was pumped on 9/11/2020 and was at normal operating level. 3. Other Compliance Conditions — Compliance component #3 of 5 a. Maintenance hole covers are damaged, cracked, unsecured, or appear to be structurally unsound. Yes" No Unknown 'System is an imminent threat to public health and safety. Explain: c. System is non-protective of groundwater.			zempianee cemper				
System discharges sewage to drain							
Homeowner testimony (See Comments/Explanation) System causes sewage backup into dwelling or establishment. Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is an imminent threat to public health and safety. Performed dys test Unable to verify (See Comments/Explanation) Other methods not listed (See Comments/Ex			∐ Yes ⊠ No				
System causes sewage backup into dwelling or establishment. Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is an imminent threat to public health and safety. Comments/Explanation: Performed dye test Unable to verify (See Comments/Explanation)			☐ Yes ☒ No				
Performed dye test Unable to verify (See Comments/Explanation) Other methods not listed (See Com			☐ Yes ☒ No	☐ "Black soil" above soil dispersal system			
2. Tank Integrity — Compliance component #2 of 5 Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, or leaching pit. Seepage pits meeting 7680.2550 may be compliant if allowed in local ordinance. Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth. If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is failing to protect groundwater. Comments/Explanation: The tank was pumped on 9/11/2020 and was at normal operating level. 3. Other Compliance Conditions — Compliance component #3 of 5 a. Maintenance hole covers are damaged, cracked, unsecured, or appear to be structurally unsound. Yes* No Unknown *System is an imminent threat to public health and safety. Explain:	S	ystem is an imminent threat		☐ Performed dye test☐ Unable to verify (See Comments/Explanation)			
System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, or leaching pit. Yes	C	omments/Explanation:					
System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, or leaching pit. Yes							
System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, or leaching pit. Yes							
System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, or leaching pit. Yes							
System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, or leaching pit. Yes							
System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, or leaching pit. Yes	э т						
System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, or leaching pit. Seepage pits meeting 7080. 2550 may be compliant if allowed in local ordinance. Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth. If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is failing to protect groundwater. Comments/Explanation: The tank was pumped on 9/11/2020 and was at normal operating level. 3. Other Compliance Conditions — Compliance component #3 of 5 a. Maintenance hole covers are damaged, cracked, unsecured, or appear to be structurally unsound. Yes* No Unknown *System is an imminent threat to public health and safety. Explain:			component #2 of 5	,			
cesspool, drywell, or leaching pit. Seepage pits meeting 7080, 2550 may be compliant if allowed in local ordinance. Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth. If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is failing to protect groundwater. Comments/Explanation: The tank was pumped on 9/11/2020 and was at normal operating level. 3. Other Compliance Conditions — Compliance component #3 of 5 a. Maintenance hole covers are damaged, cracked, unsecured, or appear to be structurally unsound.	C	ompliance criteria:		Verification method(s):			
Seepage pits meeting 7080.2550 may be compliant if allowed in local ordinance. Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth. If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is failing to protect groundwater. Comments/Explanation: The tank was pumped on 9/11/2020 and was at normal operating level. 3. Other Compliance Conditions — Compliance component #3 of 5 a. Maintenance hole covers are damaged, cracked, unsecured, or appear to be structurally unsound. Yes* No Unknown *System is an imminent threat to public health and safety. Explain: Examined Tank Integrity Form (Attach) Observed liquid level below operating depth Examined empty (pumped) tanks(s) Examined empty (pumped) tanks(s) Probed outside tank(s) for "black soil" Unable to verify (See Comments/Explanation) Other methods not listed (See Comments/Explanation) Other method	Sy	stem consists of a seepage pit, sspool, drywell, or leaching pit.	☐ Yes ☒ No				
Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth. If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Probed outside tank(s) for "black soil" Examined empty (pumped) tanks(s) Probed outside tank(s) for "black soil" Unable to verify (See Comments/Explanation) Other methods not listed (See Comments/Explanation) Other methods not listed (See Comments/Explanation)	Se	pepage pits meeting 7080.2550 may be					
Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth. If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is failing to protect groundwater. Comments/Explanation: Unable to verify (See Comments/Explanation) Other methods not listed (See Comments/Explanation) Other issues (See Comments/Explanation) Other issues (CO	mpliant if allowed in local ordinance.		- HE - HE - ME - ME - ME - ME - ME - ME			
If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is failing to protect groundwater. Comments/Explanation: The tank was pumped on 9/11/2020 and was at normal operating level. 3. Other Compliance Conditions — Compliance component #3 of 5 a. Maintenance hole covers are damaged, cracked, unsecured, or appear to be structurally unsound. □ Yes* ☒ No □ Unknown *System is an imminent threat to public health and safety. Explain: □ Probed outside tank(s) for "black soil" □ Unable to verify (See Comments/Explanation) □ Other methods not listed (See Comments/Explanation)			☐ Yes ☒ No	그들은 사람들이 많은 사람들이 살아 있다면 하는 사람들이 살아가는 사람들이 살아가는 사람들이 가장 사람들이 살아가는 사람들이 살아가는 것이다.			
Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is failing to protect groundwater. Comments/Explanation: The tank was pumped on 9/11/2020 and was at normal operating level. 3. Other Compliance Conditions — Compliance component #3 of 5 a. Maintenance hole covers are damaged, cracked, unsecured, or appear to be structurally unsound.							
System is failing to protect groundwater. Comments/Explanation: The tank was pumped on 9/11/2020 and was at normal operating level. 3. Other Compliance Conditions — Compliance component #3 of 5 a. Maintenance hole covers are damaged, cracked, unsecured, or appear to be structurally unsound.				그림을 내 보고 있다면 하다를 하는데 이번 이번 이번 이번 이번 이번 이번 이번 이번 이번에 되었다면 하는데 되었다면 하는데 없는데 이번			
Comments/Explanation: The tank was pumped on 9/11/2020 and was at normal operating level. 3. Other Compliance Conditions — Compliance component #3 of 5 a. Maintenance hole covers are damaged, cracked, unsecured, or appear to be structurally unsound.							
The tank was pumped on 9/11/2020 and was at normal operating level. 3. Other Compliance Conditions — Compliance component #3 of 5 a. Maintenance hole covers are damaged, cracked, unsecured, or appear to be structurally unsound. ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Unknown b. Other issues (electrical hazards, etc.) to immediately and adversely impact public health or safety. ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Unknown *System is an imminent threat to public health and safety. Explain: c. System is non-protective of ground water for other conditions as determined by inspector . ☐ Yes* ☒ No *System is falling to protect groundwater.			ouridwater.				
 3. Other Compliance Conditions — Compliance component #3 of 5 a. Maintenance hole covers are damaged, cracked, unsecured, or appear to be structurally unsound.							
 a. Maintenance hole covers are damaged, cracked, unsecured, or appear to be structurally unsound. ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Unknown b. Other issues (electrical hazards, etc.) to immediately and adversely impact public health or safety. ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Unknown*System is an imminent threat to public health and safety. Explain: c. System is non-protective of ground water for other conditions as determined by inspector . ☐ Yes* ☒ No *System is failing to protect groundwater. 	11	ie tank was pumped on 9/1 1/2020 al	nd was at normal opera	ating level.			
 a. Maintenance hole covers are damaged, cracked, unsecured, or appear to be structurally unsound. ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Unknown b. Other issues (electrical hazards, etc.) to immediately and adversely impact public health or safety. ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Unknown*System is an imminent threat to public health and safety. Explain: c. System is non-protective of ground water for other conditions as determined by inspector . ☐ Yes* ☒ No *System is failing to protect groundwater. 							
 a. Maintenance hole covers are damaged, cracked, unsecured, or appear to be structurally unsound. ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Unknown b. Other issues (electrical hazards, etc.) to immediately and adversely impact public health or safety. ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Unknown*System is an imminent threat to public health and safety. Explain: c. System is non-protective of ground water for other conditions as determined by inspector . ☐ Yes* ☒ No *System is failing to protect groundwater. 							
 a. Maintenance hole covers are damaged, cracked, unsecured, or appear to be structurally unsound. Yes* No Unknown b. Other issues (electrical hazards, etc.) to immediately and adversely impact public health or safety. Yes* No Unknown*System is an imminent threat to public health and safety. Explain: c. System is non-protective of ground water for other conditions as determined by inspector . Yes* No No *System is failing to protect groundwater. 							
 b. Other issues (electrical hazards, etc.) to immediately and adversely impact public health or safety. ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Unknown *System is an imminent threat to public health and safety. Explain: c. System is non-protective of ground water for other conditions as determined by inspector . ☐ Yes* ☒ No *System is failing to protect groundwater. 	3. O	ther Compliance Condition	is – Compliance com	ponent #3 of 5			
 b. Other issues (electrical hazards, etc.) to immediately and adversely impact public health or safety. ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Unknown *System is an imminent threat to public health and safety. Explain: c. System is non-protective of ground water for other conditions as determined by inspector . ☐ Yes* ☒ No *System is failing to protect groundwater. 	a.	Maintenance hole covers are dama	aged, cracked, unsecure	ed, or appear to be structurally unsound. ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Unknown			
 c. System is non-protective of ground water for other conditions as determined by inspector . ☐ Yes* ☒ No *System is failing to protect groundwater. 	b.	o. Other issues (electrical hazards, etc.) to immediately and adversely impact public health or safety. ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐					
c. System is non-protective of ground water for other conditions as determined by inspector . ☐ Yes* ☒ No *System is failing to protect groundwater.							
*System is failing to protect groundwater.		m/pidiii.					
*System is failing to protect groundwater.							
Explain:	C.						
		Explain:					

www.pca.state.mn.us • 651-296-6300 • 800-657-3864 • TTY 651-282-5332 or 800-657-3864 • Available in alternative formats wq-wwists4-31b • 6/4/14

Date of installation: 7/9/1983	Unknown	Verification method(s):	
(mm/dd/yyyy) Shoreland/Wellhead protection/Food beverage lodging? Compliance criteria:	☐ Yes ☒ No	Soil observation does not expire. Probservations by two independent parallers site conditions have been all requirements differ.	arties are sufficient,
For systems built prior to April 1, 1996, and	☐ Yes ☒ No	□ Conducted soil observation(s) (Attach boring logs)	
not located in Shoreland or Wellhead Protection Area or not serving a food,		☐ Two previous verifications (Attach boring logs)	
beverage or lodging establishment:		☐ Not applicable (Holding tank(s), no drainfield)	
Drainfield has at least a two-foot vertical		☐ Unable to verify (See Comments/E	Explanation)
eparation distance from periodically aturated soil or bedrock.		Other (See Comments/Explanation))
Non-performance systems built April 1,	☐ Yes ☐ No	Comments/Explanation:	
1996, or later or for non-performance		B-1	
systems located in Shoreland or Wellhead Protection Areas or serving a food,		0-10 silt loam	10YR 2/2
everage, or lodging establishment:		10-30 silt loam	
Orainfield has a three-foot vertical eparation distance from periodically		30-36 sandy loam	
aturated soil or bedrock.*		Redox concentrations @ 24" redox	
Experimental", "Other", or "Performance"	☐ Yes ☐ No		
ystems built under pre-2008 Rules; Type IV			0.0
r V systems built under 2008 Rules (7080. 350 or 7080.2400 (Advanced Inspector		A. Bottom of distribution media	3.3
icense required)		B. Periodically saturated soil/bedrock	2
brainfield meets the designed vertical		C. System separation	0
eparation distance from periodically		D. Required compliance separation*	2
			allowed by Local
Any "no" answer above indicates to ailing to protect groundwater.		*May be reduced up to 15 percent if Ordinance.	
Any "no" answer above indicates to ailing to protect groundwater. Operating Permit and Nitrogen is the system operated under an Operating	BMP* Complia	Ordinance. Ince component #5 of 5	Not applicable
Any "no" answer above indicates to failing to protect groundwater. Operating Permit and Nitrogen Is the system operated under an Operating Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen	BMP* — Complia Permit? □ Ye BMP? □ Ye	Ordinance. ance component #5 of 5	Not applicable
Is the system required to employ a Nitroger BMP = Best Management Practice(s) s	BMP* — Complia Permit?	Ordinance. ance component #5 of 5	Not applicable
Any "no" answer above indicates to failing to protect groundwater. Operating Permit and Nitrogen Is the system operated under an Operating Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen	BMP* — Complia Permit?	Ordinance. ance component #5 of 5	Not applicable
Any "no" answer above indicates to failing to protect groundwater. Operating Permit and Nitrogen Is the system operated under an Operating Is the system required to employ a Nitroger BMP = Best Management Practice(s) s	BMP* — Complia Permit?	Ordinance. ance component #5 of 5	Not applicable
Any "no" answer above indicates to ailing to protect groundwater. Operating Permit and Nitrogen Is the system operated under an Operating Is the system required to employ a Nitroger BMP = Best Management Practice(s) s If the answer to both questions is "not be compliance criteria a. Operating Permit number: n/a	BMP* — Compliant Permit?	Ordinance. Ince component #5 of 5	Not applicable
Iny "no" answer above indicates to ailing to protect groundwater. Operating Permit and Nitrogen Is the system operated under an Operating Is the system required to employ a Nitroger BMP = Best Management Practice(s) s If the answer to both questions is "no	BMP* — Compliant Permit?	Ordinance. ance component #5 of 5	Not applicable
Iny "no" answer above indicates the ailing to protect groundwater. Operating Permit and Nitrogen Is the system operated under an Operating Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP = Best Management Practice(s) is If the answer to both questions is "not compliance criteria" a. Operating Permit number: n/a Have the Operating Permit requirements. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place	BMP* Compliance Permit?	Ordinance. Ince component #5 of 5	Not applicable
Iny "no" answer above indicates the ailing to protect groundwater. Operating Permit and Nitrogen Is the system operated under an Operating Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP = Best Management Practice(s) so If the answer to both questions is "not Compliance criteria" a. Operating Permit number: n/a Have the Operating Permit requirements.	BMP* Compliance Permit?	Ordinance. Ince component #5 of 5	Not applicable

Property address: 19655 Parkview Ave N Scandia, MN 55073

www.pca.state.mn.us • 651-296-6300 • 800-657-3864 • TTY 651-282-5332 or 800-657-3864 • Available in alternative formats wq-wwists4-31b • 6/4/14 Page 3 of 3

Inspector initials/Date: TT | 12/29/2020

