

520 Lafayette Road North St. Paul, MN 55155-4194

Compliance Inspection Form

Existing Subsurface Sewage Treatment Systems (SSTS)

Doc Type: Compliance and Enforcement

Inspection results based on Minnesota Pollution Contr requirements and attached forms – additional local require	
Submit completed form to Local Unit of Government within 15 days	(LUG) and system owner
System Status	
System status on date (mm/dd/yyyy):12/29/2	020
○ Compliant – Certificate of Compliant (Valid for 3 years from report date, unless shorter to frame outlined in Local Ordinance.)	
 □ Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance) □ Tank Integrity (Compliance Component #2) □ Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance) □ Soil Separation (Compliance Component #4) 	onent #1) – Imminent threat to public health and safety Component #3) – Imminent threat to public health and safety – Failing to protect groundwater Component #3) – Failing to protect groundwater
Property Information	Parcel ID# or Sec/Twp/Range: 2602721240014
Property Information Property address: 10348 Kimbro Ct S Cottage Grove,	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	Owner's phone:
Property owner: Lloyd Holm or	
Owner's representative: Tom Ott	Representative phone: 612-701-7474
Local regulatory authority: Washington County	Regulatory authority phone: 651-430-6655
Brief system description: Septic tanks to drainfield	
Comments or recommendations:	
Certification	
I hereby certify that all the necessary information has be determination of future system performance has been n possible abuse of the system, inadequate maintenance,	een gathered to determine the compliance status of this system. No or can be made due to unknown conditions during system construction, or future water usage.
Inspector name: Dave Brown	Certification number: 9370
Business name: David R Brown	License number: 3649
Inspector signature:	Phone number:651.788.3296
Necessary or Locally Required Attachm	ents
 ☑ Soil boring logs ☑ Other information (list): 	

Co	mpliance criteria:		Verification method(s):		
	System discharges sewage to the ☐ Yes ☐ No		Searched for surface outlet		
Sys	und surface. tem discharges sewage to drain or surface waters.	☐ Yes ⊠ No	 Searched for seeping in yard/backup in home □ Excessive ponding in soil system/D-boxes □ Homeowner testimony (See Comments/Explanation) 		
	tem causes sewage backup into elling or establishment.	☐ Yes ⊠ No	☐ "Black soil" above soil dispersal system ☐ System requires "emergency" pumping		
sys	y "yes" answer above indi stem is an imminent threat alth and safety.		☐ Performed dye test ☐ Unable to verify (See Comments/Explanation) ☐ Other methods not listed (See Comments/Explanation)		
. Ta	nk Integrity – Compliance	component #2 of 5			
Co	mpliance criteria:		Verification method(s):		
	tem consists of a seepage pit, spool, drywell, or leaching pit.	☐ Yes ⊠ No	 ☑ Probed tank(s) bottom ☑ Examined construction records 		
	page pits meeting 7080.2550 may be		☐ Examined Tank Integrity Form (Attach)		
	pliant if allowed in local ordinance.				
Sev	pliant if allowed in local ordinance. vage tank(s) leak below their igned operating depth.	☐ Yes ⊠ No	 ☐ Observed liquid level below operating depth ☐ Examined empty (pumped) tanks(s) 		
Sev des	vage tank(s) leak below their ligned operating depth. es, which sewage tank(s) leaks:		Observed liquid level below operating depth		
Sev des If ye	pliant if allowed in local ordinance. vage tank(s) leak below their igned operating depth.	icates the	 ☐ Observed liquid level below operating depth ☐ Examined empty (pumped) tanks(s) ☐ Probed outside tank(s) for "black soil" 		
Sevides If ye An Sy Con	pliant if allowed in local ordinance. wage tank(s) leak below their signed operating depth. es, which sewage tank(s) leaks: y "yes" answer above industem is failing to protect go mments/Explanation:	icates the roundwater.	 □ Observed liquid level below operating depth □ Examined empty (pumped) tanks(s) □ Probed outside tank(s) for "black soil" □ Unable to verify (See Comments/Explanation) □ Other methods not listed (See Comments/Explanation) 		
Sevides If ye An Sy Con	vage tank(s) leak below their igned operating depth. es, which sewage tank(s) leaks: ey "yes" answer above indicated is failing to protect goments/Explanation:	icates the roundwater.	□ Observed liquid level below operating depth □ Examined empty (pumped) tanks(s) □ Probed outside tank(s) for "black soil" □ Unable to verify (See Comments/Explanation) □ Other methods not listed (See Comments/Explanation)		
Sev des If ye An sy:	vage tank(s) leak below their igned operating depth. es, which sewage tank(s) leaks: by "yes" answer above indicated is failing to protect goments/Explanation: Ther Compliance Condition Maintenance hole covers are dame	icates the roundwater. OS – Compliance comaged, cracked, unsecure to immediately and adv	□ Observed liquid level below operating depth □ Examined empty (pumped) tanks(s) □ Probed outside tank(s) for "black soil" □ Unable to verify (See Comments/Explanation) □ Other methods not listed (See Comments/Explanation) proponent #3 of 5 ad, or appear to be structurally unsound. □ Yes* ☑ No □ Unknown or Sersely impact public health or safety. □ Yes* ☑ No □ Unknown or Sersely impact public health or safety. □ Yes* ☑ No □ Unknown or Sersely impact public health or Servery impact p		
Sev des If ye Am sys	vage tank(s) leak below their igned operating depth. es, which sewage tank(s) leaks: by "yes" answer above indicated is failing to protect goments/Explanation: Ther Compliance Condition Maintenance hole covers are dame Other issues (electrical hazards, etc.)	icates the roundwater. OS – Compliance comaged, cracked, unsecure to immediately and adv	□ Observed liquid level below operating depth □ Examined empty (pumped) tanks(s) □ Probed outside tank(s) for "black soil" □ Unable to verify (See Comments/Explanation) □ Other methods not listed (See Comments/Explanation) proponent #3 of 5 ed, or appear to be structurally unsound. □ Yes* ☑ No □ Unknown or Unknown or Unknown or Serversely impact public health or safety. □ Yes* ☑ No □ Unknown or Unknown or Serversely impact public health or Safety. □ Yes* ☑ No □ Unknown or Serversely impact public health or Safety. □ Yes* ☑ No □ Unknown or Serversely impact public health or Safety. □ Yes* ☑ No □ Unknown or Serversely impact public health or Safety. □ Yes* ☑ No □ Unknown or Serversely impact public health or Safety. □ Yes* ☑ No □ Unknown or Serversely impact public health or Safety. □ Yes* ☑ No □ Unknown or Serversely impact public health or Safety. □ Yes* ☑ No □ Unknown or Serversely impact public health or Safety. □ Yes* ☑ No □ Unknown or Serversely impact public health or Safety. □ Yes* ☑ No □ Unknown or Serversely impact public health or Safety. □ Yes* ☑ No □ Unknown or Serversely impact public health or Safety. □ Yes* ☑ No □ Unknown or Serversely impact public health or Safety. □ Yes* ☑ No □ Unknown or Serversely impact public health or Safety. □ Yes* ☑ No □ Unknown or Serversely impact public health or Safety. □ Yes* ☑ No □ Unknown or Serversely impact public health or Safety. □ Yes* ☑ No □ Unknown or Serversely impact public health or Safety. □ Yes* ☑ No □ Unknown or Serversely impact public health or Safety. □ Yes* ☑ No □ Unknown or Serversely impact public health or Safety. □ Yes* ☑ No □ Unknown or Serversely impact public health or Safety. □ Yes* ☑ No □ Unknown or Serversely impact public health or Safety. □ Yes* ☑ No □ Unknown or Serversely impact public health or Safety. □ Yes* ☑ No □ Unknown or Serversely impact public health or Safety. □ Yes* ☑ No □ Unknown or Serversely impact public health or Safety. □ Yes* ☑ Yes* ☑ No □ Unknown or Serversely impact public health or Safety.		
Sev des If ye Am sy: Con	pliant if allowed in local ordinance. vage tank(s) leak below their igned operating depth. es, which sewage tank(s) leaks: by "yes" answer above indicated in a stem is failing to protect gramments/Explanation: Ther Compliance Condition Maintenance hole covers are dam. Other issues (electrical hazards, etc.) *System is an imminent threat to	icates the roundwater. The roundwater of the immediately and advocability health and safed water for other conditions.	□ Observed liquid level below operating depth □ Examined empty (pumped) tanks(s) □ Probed outside tank(s) for "black soil" □ Unable to verify (See Comments/Explanation) □ Other methods not listed (See Comments/Explanation) nponent #3 of 5 ed, or appear to be structurally unsound. □ Yes* ☒ No □ Unknowersely impact public health or safety. □ Yes* ☒ No □ Unknowersely.		

Inspector initials/Date: DB | 12/29/2020


Property address: 10348 Kimbro Ct S Cottage Grove, Mn 55016

e of installation:	⊠ Unkn	own	Verific	ation method(s):	
(mm/dd/yyyy) reland/Wellhead protection/Food beverage ging?	☐ Yes ⊠ No		Soil observation does not expire. Previous soil observations by two independent parties are sufficient, unless site conditions have been altered or local		
ompliance criteria:			requirements differ.		
r systems built prior to April 1, 1996, and	⊠ Yes □ No		□ Conducted soil observation(s) (Attach boring logs)		
ot located in Shoreland or Wellhead rotection Area or not serving a food,		☐ Two previous verifications (Attach boring logs)			
everage or lodging establishment:			□ Not applicable (Holding tank(s), no drainfield)		
rainfield has at least a two-foot vertical		☐ Unable to verify (See Comments/Explanation)			
eparation distance from periodically aturated soil or bedrock.			Other (See Comments/Explanation)		
on-performance systems built April 1, 996, or later or for non-performance ystems located in Shoreland or Wellhead rotection Areas or serving a food, everage, or lodging establishment:	⊠ Yes □ No		Comments/Explanation:		
rainfield has a three-foot vertical eparation distance from periodically aturated soil or bedrock.*					
experimental", "Other", or "Performance"	☐ Yes ☐ No	Indicate depths or elevations			
ystems built under pre-2008 Rules; Type IV r V systems built under 2008 Rules (7080.			A. Bott	om of distribution media	30"
350 or 7080.2400 (Advanced Inspector icense required)			B. Peri	odically saturated soil/bedrock	76"
rainfield meets the designed vertical				tem separation	46"
eparation distance from periodically aturated soil or bedrock.					
				uired compliance separation* be reduced up to 15 percent if	36"
Any "no" answer above indicates a ailing to protect groundwater. Operating Permit and Nitroger	n BMP*	– Complian		ponent #5 of 5 🛛 🖂 N	Not applicable
Is the system operated under an Operating			-	If "yes", A below is require	
Is the system required to employ a Nitroge			☐ No	If "yes", B below is require	red
BMP = Best Management Practice(s)	specified i	in the system	design		
If the answer to both questions is "	no", this	section do	es not n	eed to be completed.	
Compliance criteria					
a. Operating Permit number:				☐ Yes ☐ No	
	anta baan	met?		□ 162 □ 140	
Have the Operating Permit requirem	ents been	mot.			

Property address: 10348 Kimbro Ct S Cottage Grove, Mn 55016

Inspector initials/Date: DB | 12/29/2020

discontinued within ten months of receipt of this notice or within a shorter period if required by local ordinance. If the system is failing to protect ground water, the system must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within the time required by local ordinance. If an existing system is not failing as defined in law, and has at least two feet of design soil separation, then the system need not be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued, notwithstanding any local ordinance that is more strict. This provision does not apply to systems in shoreland areas, Wellhead Protection Areas, or those used in connection with food, beverage, and lodging establishments as defined in law.



Soil BURING LOW

13"-50" = 10484/6 Spad Dy LoAM 0"-13"= 104A313 LOAM

50"- A"= 10TR #16 MFBUM SAND