Midwest Sewer Services

P.O. Box 10853 White Bear Lake, MN 55110	
651-492-7550/Brian@Midwestsoiltesting.com	MPCA Licensed Advanced Inspector
SUBSURFACE SEWAGE TREATMENT S	YSTEM (SSTS) COMPLIANCE REPORT
Date: January 12 & January 13, 2021 Time:	1:15 PM Owner: Cindy Yang
Inspection Address: 9425 45 th St N, Lake Elmo,	MN Site Conditions: 7" Snow 5" Frost

REPORT SUMMARY

I have performed an "MPCA Compliance Inspection" on this system and have reviewed the original design/permit records on file at Washington County. This system consists of a precast septic tank, a pre-cast two-compartment septic tank, a pre-cast two-compartment septic/lift tank and a rock trench drainfield. Meyer Sewer Service pumped the septic tanks and lift tank on January 13, 2021.

Predicated on my inspection of the system and my review of the original design/permit records, it is my opinion that this system <u>presently meets</u> MPCA minimum compliance inspection requirements.

Midwest Sewer Services have been hired to perform a compliance inspection of this SSTS for compliance with local ordinances pursuant to Minn. Stat. § 115.55 (2013). This compliance inspection covers only the criteria required by Minn. Stat. § 115.55 Subd. 5a (2013) and Minn. R. 7080.1500 (2011). A compliance inspection is an indication of the current compliance status of the system and does not guarantee the performance or longevity of this system beyond the date of inspection, as it is impossible to determine the future performance of any system. Midwest Sewer Services disclaim any use of this compliance inspection beyond determining SSTS compliance pursuant to Minn. Stat. § 115.55 Subd. 5a (2013) and Minn. R. 7080.1500 (2011).

Please contact me should you have any questions.

Christopher Uebe

Brian Humpal

Brian Humpal



520 Lafayette Road North St. Paul, MN 55155-4194

Compliance inspection report form

Existing Subsurface Sewage Treatment System (SSTS)

Doc Type: Compliance and Enforcement

Instructions: Inspection results based on Minnesota Pollution Control Agency (MPCA) requirements and attached supporting documentation – additional local requirements may also apply. Further information can be found here: https://www.pca.state.mn.us/sites/default/files/wq-wwists4-31a.pdf.

2 of 11

Inspector must submit completed form to Local Governmental Unit (LGU) and system owner within 15 days of final determination of compliance or noncompliance.

Property information

Local tracking number:

Parcel ID# or Sec/Twp/Range:	Local regulatory authority: Washington County
Property address: 9425 45 th St N, Lake Elmo, MN 55042	
Owner/representative: Cindy Yang	Owner's phone: 651-325-8027
Brief system description: Pre-cast septic tank, a pre-cast 2-c	omp ST, a pre-cast 2-comp ST/LT, and a rock trench drainfield.

System status

System status on date (mm/dd/yyyy): 1/13/2021

Compliant – Certificate of compliance*

(Valid for 3 years from report date unless evidence of an imminent threat to public health or safety requiring removal and abatement under section 145A.04, subdivision 8 is discovered or a shorter time frame exists in Local Ordinance.)

*Note: Compliance indicates conformance with Minn. R. 7080.1500 as of system status date above and does not guarantee future performance.

Noncompliant – Notice of noncompliance

An imminent threat to public health and safety (ITPHS) must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within ten months of receipt of this notice or within a shorter period if required by local ordinance or under section 145A.04 subdivision 8.

Systems failing to protect ground water must be upgraded, replaced, or use discontinued within the time required by local ordinance.

Reason(s) for noncompliance (check all applicable)

Impact on public health (Compliance component #1) - Imminent threat to public health and safety

Tank integrity (Compliance component #2) – *Failing to protect groundwater*

- Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance component #3) Imminent threat to public health and safety
- □ Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance component #3) Failing to protect groundwater
- System not abandoned according to Minn. R. 7080.2500 (Compliance component #3) Failing to protect groundwater
- Soil separation (Compliance component #5) Failing to protect groundwater
- Operating permit/monitoring plan requirements (Compliance component #4) Noncompliant local ordinance applies

Comments or recommendations

Certification

I hereby certify that all the necessary information has been gathered to determine the compliance status of this system. No determination of future system performance has been nor can be made due to unknown conditions during system construction, possible abuse of the system, inadequate maintenance, or future water usage.

By typing my name below, I certify the above statements to be true and correct, to the best of my knowledge, and that this information can be used for the purpose of processing this form.

Business name: Midwest Sewer Services

vian Humpal Inspector signature: (This document has been electronically signed)

Certification number: C5342/C9852

License number: L2896

Phone: 651-492-7550

Necessary or locally required supporting documentation (must be attached)

- Soil observation logs
- Locally required forms
- Tank Integrity Assessment

Operating Permit

Other information (list):	
---------------------------	--

Report Summary, Property Information, Disclaimer, License

^{3 of 11} **1. Impact on public health** – Compliance component #1 of 5

		Attached supporting documentation:
System discharges sewage to the ground surface	🗌 Yes* 🛛 No	☐ Other: ☐ Not applicable
System discharges sewage to drain tile or surface waters.	🗌 Yes* 🛛 No	_
System causes sewage backup into dwelling or establishment.	🗌 Yes* 🛛 No	

None of the above found.

Describe verification methods and results:

2. Tank integrity – Compliance component #2 of 5

Compliance criteria:		Attached supporting documentatio	n:			
System consists of a seepage pit,	🗌 Yes* 🛛 No	☑ Pumped at time of inspection				
cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit?		Name of maintenance business:	Meyer Sewer Service			
Sewage tank(s) leak below their	🗌 Yes* 🛛 No	License number of maintenance business: <u>L915</u>				
designed operating depth?		Date of maintenance:	1/13/2021			
		Existing tank integrity assessment (Att	ach)			
If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks:		Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (must be with	nin three years)			
Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is failing to protect groundwater.		(See form instructions to ensure asses Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1))	sment complies with			
		Tank is Noncompliant (pumping not nec	essary – explain below)			
		Other:				

Describe verification methods and results:

3. Other compliance conditions – Compliance component #3 of 5

3a. Maintenance hole covers appear to be structurally unsound (damaged, cracked, etc.), or unsec	
🗌 Yes* 🖾 No 🔲 Unknown	ured?
3b. Other issues (<i>electrical hazards, etc.</i>) to immediately and adversely impact public health or safety	
*Yes to 3a or 3b - System is an imminent threat to public health and safety.	
3c. System is non-protective of ground water for other conditions as determined by inspector?	□ Yes* ⊠ No
3d. System not abandoned in accordance with Minn. R. 7080.2500?	🗌 Yes* 🛛 No
*Yes to 3c or 3d - System is failing to protect groundwater.	
Describe verification methods and results:	
Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* – Compliance component #4 of Is the system operated under an Operating Permit?	5 🛛 Not applicable
	"yes", A below is require
Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? Yes No If	"yes", A below is require "yes", B below is require
Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? \Box Yes \Box No If BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design	
BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design	"yes", B below is require
BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed.	"yes", B below is require
BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed. Compliance criteria:	"yes", B below is require
BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed. Compliance criteria: a. Have the operating permit requirements been met?	"yes", B below is require
BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed. Compliance criteria: a. Have the operating permit requirements been met? Yes b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning? Yes No	"yes", B below is require
BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed. Compliance criteria: a. Have the operating permit requirements been met? Yes b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning? Yes Any "no" answer indicates noncompliance.	"yes", B below is require
BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed. Compliance criteria: a. Have the operating permit requirements been met? Yes b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning? Yes No	"yes", B below is requir
BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed. Compliance criteria: a. Have the operating permit requirements been met? Yes b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning? Yes Any "no" answer indicates noncompliance.	"yes", B below is require
BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed. Compliance criteria: a. Have the operating permit requirements been met? Yes b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning? Yes Any "no" answer indicates noncompliance.	"yes", B below is require
BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed. Compliance criteria: a. Have the operating permit requirements been met? Yes b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning? Yes Any "no" answer indicates noncompliance.	"yes", B below is require
BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed. Compliance criteria: a. Have the operating permit requirements been met? Yes b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning? Yes Any "no" answer indicates noncompliance.	"yes", B below is require
BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed. Compliance criteria: a. Have the operating permit requirements been met? Yes b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning? Yes Any "no" answer indicates noncompliance.	"yes", B below is require
BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed. Compliance criteria: a. Have the operating permit requirements been met? Yes b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning? Yes Any "no" answer indicates noncompliance.	"yes", B below is require
BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed. Compliance criteria: a. Have the operating permit requirements been met? Yes b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning? Yes Any "no" answer indicates noncompliance.	"yes", B below is require

4 of 11

Attached supporting documentation:

5 of 11 5. Soil separation – Compliance component #5 of 5

Date of installation	2005 (mm/dd/yyyy)	Unkr	nown			
Shoreland/Wellhead p	protection/Food	🛛 Yes	🗌 No	Attached supporting documentation:		
beverage lodging?				Soil observation logs completed for th	e report (Attach)	
Compliance criteria	· · ·	I		Two previous verifications of required vertical separation (Attach)		
5a. For systems built pr and not located in S	ior to April 1, 1996, Shoreland or Wellhead	□ Yes	🗌 No*	Not applicable (No soil treatment area)		
Protection Area or n beverage or lodging	not serving a food,					
Drainfield has at lea separation distance saturated soil or bec						
5b. Non-performance systems built April 1, 1996, or later or for non-performance systems located in Shoreland or Wellhead Protection Areas or serving a food,		⊠ Yes 🔲 No*	🗌 No*	Indicate depths or elevations		
				A. Bottom of distribution media	See Attached Boring Log(s)	
	beverage, or lodging establishment:			B. Periodically saturated soil/bedrock		
Drainfield has a three-foot vertical separation distance from periodically				C. System separation		
saturated soil or bec				D. Required compliance separation*		
				*May be reduced up to 15 percent if allo Ordinance.	wed by Local	
5c. "Experimental", "Oth systems built under Type IV or V system Rules 7080. 2350 o. (Advanced Inspecto	pre-2008 Rules; 1s built under 2008 r 7080.2400	☐ Yes	□ No*			
Drainfield meets the separation distance saturated soil or bec	from periodically					

*Any "no" answer above indicates the system is failing to protect groundwater.

Describe verification methods and results:


Upgrade requirements: (*Minn. Stat.* § 115.55) An imminent threat to public health and safety (ITPHS) must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within ten months of receipt of this notice or within a shorter period if required by local ordinance. If the system is failing to protect ground water, the system must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within the time required by local ordinance. If an existing system is not failing as defined in law, and has at least two feet of design soil separation, then the system need not be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued, notwithstanding any local ordinance that is more strict. This provision does not apply to systems in shoreland areas, Wellhead Protection Areas, or those used in connection with food, beverage, and lodging establishments as defined in law.

<u>Midwest Sewer Testing</u> Subsurface Sewage Treatment System Owner/Property Information

This information will be used for the purpose of conducting an MPCA Compliance Inspection.				
Date of Inspection: 1/12/2021 & 1/13/2021	Time: 1:15 PM			
Property Address: 9425 45 th St N, Lake Elmo, MN	Zip: 55042			
Property Owner: Cindy Yang	Phone: 651-325-8027			
Tank(s)Tank(s)MaterialSoil Treatment SystemSeptic 2FiberglassRock trenchAerobicPlasticGravelless trenchSeptic/LiftMetalChamber trenchHoldingConcreteSeepage bedOther:BlockMoundOtherAt-grade	Other Alternative system Experimental system Cesspool system Other system			
Are the tank maintenance covers accessible? Yes No *If 1				
performed through the maintenance holes. Maintenance hole cover				
the ground surface to facilitate access and proper maintenance of t	he system.			
1	Tank size (gals.): 1250, 1500, 500			
	sidents in home?			
Number of bedrooms? 4Are all floors drained by gr	2			
Garbage disposal? Whirlpool bath?				
More than one system (laundry, etc.)?				
Does this property have any footing drain tiles connected to the se	ptic system?			
Are any buildings on this property such as garages or out-building	s connected to this system?			
Are there any additional systems on this property serving other buildings?				
Location of septic system on lot? Tanks - North Side, Drainfield - East Side				
	e well a deep well? Y			
Have you ever experienced any problems with the system such as: surfacing of sewage onto the ground, septic tank overflowing, etc., to the system? If yes, explain:				
When was the system last pumped? 1/13/2021 Name of pum	per: Meyer Sewer Service			
	n on a monitoring plan?			
Have you received notices from any government agency concerning this system?				
Is your property located in a shoreland management area? Y				
Do you have any additional information that should be given to the	e new owner?			

I hereby certify that the above information is correct to the best of my knowledge. I also understand that if the system is considered "non-compliant/failing" per MPCA rules, that the inspector must by law submit a copy of this report to the local government unit within 15 days of the date of inspection completion. I also agree that unless otherwise noted in this report, that I/we are ultimately responsible for payment of all fees for all work performed relative to this inspection by Inspect Minnesota and Midwest Soil Testing

Owner/Occupant:



Soil Observations Log

Location of Project: 9425 45th St N, Lake Elmo, MN 55042							
				vest Sewer Services		Date:	1/12/2021
Cla	assifica	ation System:	USDA	USDA			
	Soil	Observation:	ST-1		Soil C	bservation:	
Surfac Elevatio Observa	on of	dup infield tupped		Surface Elevation of Observation			
Depth In Inches	Rock %	<u>Soils E</u>	ncountered	Depth In Inches	Rock %	<u>Soils</u>	Encountered
0-14 14-36 36-56 56-59		10YR 3/4 L 10YR 3/3 Find 7.5YR 5/8 8	Loamy Fine Sand Loamy Fine Sand Fine Sandy Loam e Sandy Loam With & 10YR 6/2 Redox				
56" D	epth T	o End Of Soil O	bservation Or Redox		Depth T	o End Of Soil	Observation Or Redox
Same El	Same Elevation Of Observation Relative To System			Elevatio	n Of Observat	ion Relative To System	
-22" Depth To Bottom Of Distribution Media				Depth T	o Bottom Of D	Distribution Media	
)f Sepa				Of Sepa		
E 1 0/			50"				
End Of		Observation At:	59"	End Of		servation At:	
		lox Present At:	56"	Redox Present At:			
Standing Water Present At: None		Standi	ng Wate	r Present At:			

Bottom Of Distribution Medium At: 22 Inches

Signature:

Other Va

9 of 11

SOIL BORE LOG

CLIENT: <u>Yang Daya</u> ADDRESS: <u>9425 45th st nw</u> DATE: <u>07/18/2005</u> BEDROOMS <u>5</u> FLOW(GPD) <u>750</u> SOIL TYPE: <u>Sand</u> DISTURBED: <u>no</u> METHOD: <u>Bore</u> SYSTEM TYPE: <u>Trench</u> DEPTH: <u>3'</u> SSF: <u>1.27</u> WELL LOCATION: <u>front</u> TYPE: <u>deep</u> DISTANCE: <u>>70'</u> BENCH MARK: <u>Hse corner</u>

BORE DEPTH

TEXTURE C

COLOR

Sb1 Elv. 103.0	0-10" 10"-74" no mottles	Top Soil sand	10yr 4/4 ,5/6
Sb2 Elv. 103.0	0-12" 12"-76" no mottles	Top soil sand	10yr 4/4,5/6

DISCLAIMER

Brian L. Humpal, Inc. dba. Midwest Sewer Services, Inspect Minnesota, Midwest Soil Testing Relative to Subsurface Sewage Treatment System (SSTS) Compliance Inspections

- 1. This inspection/report is being performed for only the seller/owner of the property on which the SSTS is located. In such case that another party is paying for the inspection, the contract is between only said party and Brian L. Humpal, Inc.; there is no contract between Brian L. Humpal, Inc. and any other party unless otherwise noted.
- 3. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. has not been retained to warranty, guarantee, or certify the proper functioning of the SSTS for any period of time beyond the date of inspection or into the future. Because of the numerous factors (usage, maintenance, soil characteristics, previous failures, etc.) which may affect the proper operation of an SSTS, as well as the inability of Brian L. Humpal, Inc. to supervise or monitor the use or maintenance of the SSTS, the report shall not be construed as a warranty by Brian L. Humpal, Inc. that the SSTS will function properly for any particular party for any period of time.
- 4. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. is unable to verify the frequency and/or, quality of prior or future maintenance of the SSTS. Maintenance of the tank(s) must be performed through the tanks maintenance hole. The removal of solids from any location other than the maintenance hole is not a compliant method of maintenance. It is strongly recommended that maintenance covers be made accessible to the ground surface to facilitate proper maintenance.
- 5. Minimum Compliance Inspection requirements relative to this inspection and this report include <u>only</u> verification that the SSTS has tank(s) (septic tanks, lift tanks, dosing tanks, stilling tanks, etc.) which are watertight below the designed operating depth, the required separation between the bottom of the subsurface soil distribution medium and seasonally saturated soils, no back-ups of sewage into the dwelling, no discharge of sewage/effluent to the ground surface or surface waters, and no imminent safety hazards. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. does not inspect plumbing or pumps prior to the first SSTS component as these are plumbing components. The performance of exterior pumps and associated components are not inspected as they are considered to be maintenance items. Additionally, no indications relative to compliance with electrical code requirements have been made. It is recommended that any other applicable plumbing, electrical, housing, etc. inspections be performed by a qualified inspection business. Sewage back-up verification is limited to observing the floor drain area and/or the information supplied by the last occupants of the building prior to inspection. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. cannot guarantee that the information given to them by the last occupants of the building prior to inspection relative to back-ups is accurate.
- 4. Certification of this SSTS does not warranty future use beyond the date of the inspection. Any SSTS, old or new, can become hydraulically overloaded or discharge sewage/effluent to the ground surface as a result of more people moving into the house than were previously occupying the house, improper maintenance, heavy usage, leaking plumbing fixtures, groundwater infiltration, tree roots, freezing conditions, surface drainage problems, poor initial design, poor construction practices, or unsuitable materials used in constructing the system; the system can also simply stop working because of its age. An SSTS that has been properly designed and installed, properly maintained, and used in the manner for which the system was designed can be expected to provide service for twenty to twenty-five years on average. Some parts of the SSTS such as alarms, switches, pumps, filters, etc. will most likely have to be repaired or replaced over the lifetime of the system.
- 5. A Compliance Inspection is not meant to be a test or inspection for longevity of the system; a Compliance Inspection is strictly for the purpose of determining if the SSTS is protective of public health and safety, as well as the groundwater at the date and time the inspection was performed. This inspection is not intended to determine if the SSTS was originally designed or installed to past or present MPCA or other Local Government Unit code requirements. This inspection is not intended to determine if the SSTS was designed and/or installed to support the anticipated flow from the building as the use of the building may have changed since the design and construction of the SSTS due to the addition of bedrooms, occupants, etc. In addition, this inspection is not intended to determine the quality of the original SSTS design, the quality of the construction practices used while installing the SSTS, or the quality of the materials used in constructing the SSTS.
- 6. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. cannot guarantee the performance of SSTS products/components such as: gravelless pipe, chamber trenches, effluent filters, tanks, sewage pre-treatment components, piping, etc. Products such as gravelless pipe are no longer approved for installation in the State of Minnesota and may have a significantly reduced performance and/or life expectancy.
- 7. WINTER WORK: By accepting this report, it is understood that inspections conducted during winter months (approximately November 1st through April 1st) are more difficult to perform because of possible snow cover and/or ground frost. SSTS components such as tanks, maintenance covers, tank inspection pipes, subsurface distribution medium inspection pipes, and soil treatment areas are more difficult or impossible to locate due to snow cover and/or ground frost. In addition, soil borings are more difficult to perform due to snow cover and/or ground frost. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. will attempt to use the same level of standards when performing work during winter periods as when performing work during non-winter periods. However, the recipient of this report understands that because of the aforementioned considerations, the same level of standards may not be possible.
- 8. By accepting this report, the client understands that Brian L. Humpal, Inc. will not be responsible for any monetary damages exceeding the fee for the services provided.

Subsurface Sewage Treatment Systems Non-transferable Business License

Midwest Sewer Services

License # L2896

License Expires: 12/22/2020

Issued: 11/26/2019

Specialty Area(s):

Installer Maintainer Service Provider Advanced Designer Advanced Inspector

Designated Certified Individual(s):

Cert #	Name	Certification Expires:	
C5342	Brian L Humpal	10/15/2023	
	Installer, Maintainer, Serv Prov,	Adv Designer, Adv Inspector	
C9852 ·	Christopher R Uebe	3/4/2021	
	Designer, Inspector		

MINNESOTA POLLUTION CONTROL AGENCY

520 Lafayette Road North St. Paul, Minnesota 55155-4194

Haig

Nick Haig, Supervisor Certification and Training Unit