Midwest Sewer Services

P.O. Box 10853 White Bear Lake, MN 55110 651-492-7550/Brian@Midwestsoiltesting.com

Brian Humpal MPCA Licensed Advanced Inspector

SUBSURFACE SEWAGE TREATMENT SYSTEM (SSTS) COMPLIANCE REPORT

Date: January 19 & January 20, 2021 **Time:** 9:45 AM **Owner:** Rod Johnson **Inspection Address:** 289 Quant Ave Ct N, Lakeland, MN **Site Conditions:** 7" Snow 5" Frost

REPORT SUMMARY

I have performed an "MPCA Compliance Inspection" on this system and have reviewed the original design/permit records on file at Washington County. This very old system (installed in 1986) consists of a pre-cast septic tank and a rock trench drainfield. It should be noted that the average life expectancy of a septic system is approximately 30 years. Pinky's Sewer Service pumped the septic tank on January 20, 2021.

Although not a compliance criteria, it should be noted that the septic tank manhole cover is buried. I recommend extending this cover to the ground surface to facilitate easier access and proper maintenance.

Predicated on my inspection of the system and my review of the original design/permit records, it is my opinion that this system <u>presently meets</u> MPCA minimum compliance inspection requirements.

Midwest Sewer Services have been hired to perform a compliance inspection of this SSTS for compliance with local ordinances pursuant to Minn. Stat. § 115.55 (2013). This compliance inspection covers only the criteria required by Minn. Stat. § 115.55 Subd. 5a (2013) and Minn. R. 7080.1500 (2011). A compliance inspection is an indication of the current compliance status of the system and does not guarantee the performance or longevity of this system beyond the date of inspection, as it is impossible to determine the future performance of any system. Midwest Sewer Services disclaim any use of this compliance inspection beyond determining SSTS compliance pursuant to Minn. Stat. § 115.55 Subd. 5a (2013) and Minn. R. 7080.1500 (2011).

Please contact me should you have any questions.

Christopher Uebe

Brian Humpal

Brian Humpal



520 Lafayette Road North St. Paul, MN 55155-4194

Compliance inspection report form Existing Subsurface Sewage Treatment System (SSTS)

Doc Type: Compliance and Enforcement

Instructions: Inspection results based on Minnesota Pollution Control Agency (MPCA) requirements and attached supporting documentation – additional local requirements may also apply. Further information can be found here: https://www.pca.state.mn.us/sites/default/files/wq-wwists4-31a.pdf.

Inspector must submit completed form to Local Governmental Unit (LGU) and system owner within 15 days of final determination of compliance or noncompliance.

Property information	Local tracking number:				
Parcel ID# or Sec/Twp/Range: Local					
Property address: 289 Quant Ave Ct N, Lakeland, MN 55043					
Owner/representative: Rod Johnson	Owner's phone: 651-436-3962				
Brief system description: A pre-cast septic tank and a rock trench d	rainfield.				
System status					
System status on date (mm/dd/yyyy):1/20/2021					
□ Compliant – Certificate of compliance* □	☐ Noncompliant – Notice of noncompliance				
(Valid for 3 years from report date unless evidence of an imminent threat to public health or safety requiring removal and abatement under section 145A.04, subdivision 8 is discovered or a shorter time frame exists in Local Ordinance.) *Note: Compliance indicates conformance with Minn. R. 7080.1500 as of system status date above and does not guarantee future performance.	An imminent threat to public health and safety (ITPHS) must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within ten months of receipt of this notice or within a shorter period if required by local ordinance or under section 145A.04 subdivision 8. Systems failing to protect ground water must be upgraded, replaced, or use discontinued within the time required by local ordinance.				
Reason(s) for noncompliance (check all applicable)					
☐ Impact on public health (Compliance component #1) — Imminent ☐ Tank integrity (Compliance component #2) — Failing to protect g ☐ Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance component #3) — Imm ☐ Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance component #3) — Failing System not abandoned according to Minn. R. 7080.2500 (Compliance Soil separation (Compliance component #5) — Failing to protect ☐ Operating permit/monitoring plan requirements (Compliance components or recommendations Although not a compliance criteria, it should be noted that the septic cover to the ground surface to facilitate easier access and proper in the septic cover to the ground surface to facilitate easier access and proper in the septic cover to the ground surface to facilitate easier access and proper in the septic cover to the ground surface to facilitate easier access and proper in the septic cover to the ground surface to facilitate easier access and proper in the septic cover to the ground surface to facilitate easier access and proper in the septic cover to the ground surface to facilitate easier access and proper in the septic cover to the ground surface to facilitate easier access and proper in the septic cover to the ground surface to facilitate easier access and proper in the septic cover to the ground surface to facilitate easier access and proper in the septic cover to the ground surface to facilitate easier access and proper in the septic cover to the ground surface to facilitate easier access and proper in the septic cover to the ground surface to facilitate easier access and proper in the septic cover to the ground surface to facilitate easier access and proper in the septic cover to the ground surface to facilitate easier access and proper in the septic cover to the ground surface to facilitate easier access and proper in the septic cover to the ground surface to facilitate easier access and proper in the septic cover to the ground surface to facilitate easier access and proper in the septic cover to the ground surface to facilitat	t threat to public health and safety roundwater minent threat to public health and safety illing to protect groundwater bliance component #3) – Failing to protect groundwater groundwater mponent #4) – Noncompliant - local ordinance applies c tank manhole cover is buried. I recommend extending this				
Certification					
I hereby certify that all the necessary information has been gathered determination of future system performance has been nor can be ma abuse of the system, inadequate maintenance, or future water usage By typing my name below , I certify the above statements to be true	de due to unknown conditions during system construction, possible e.				
can be used for the purpose of processing this form.					
Business name: Midwest Sewer Services	Certification number: C5342/C9852				
Inspector signature: Brian Humpal (After Va	License number: L2896				
(This document has been electronically signed)	Phone: 651-492-7550				
Necessary or locally required supporting docu	mentation (must be attached)				
 Soil observation logs ☐ Locally required forms Other information (list): Report Summary, Property Information, Disclaimer, License 	☐ Tank Integrity Assessment ☐ Operating Permit				

https://www.pca.state.mn.us wq-wwists4-31b • 1/11/21

1. Impact on public health – Compliance component #1 of 5

Compliance criteria:		Attached supporting documentation:
System discharges sewage to the	☐ Yes* ☒ No	Other:
ground surface		☐ Not applicable
System discharges sewage to drain tile or surface waters.	☐ Yes* ⊠ No	
System causes sewage backup into dwelling or establishment.	☐ Yes* ⊠ No	
Any "yes" answer above indicates imminent threat to public health an	•	
Describe verification methods and	results:	
None of the above found.		

2. Tank integrity – Compliance component #2 of 5

Sewer
21
rs)
lies with
in below)
);

Describe verification methods and results:

Although not a compliance criteria, it should be noted that the septic tank manhole cover is buried. I recommend extending this cover to the ground surface to facilitate easier access and proper maintenance.

https://www.pca.state.mn.us wq-wwists4-31b • 1/11/21 651-296-6300

800-657-3864

Use your preferred relay service

Available in alternative formats

3. Other compliance conditions – Compliance component #3 of 5

	3a.	Maintenance hole covers appear to be structura ☐ Yes* ☐ No ☐ Unknown	ally unsound (dam	aged, cra	acked, etc.	<i>)</i> , or arrov	cuieu:		
	3b.	Other issues (electrical hazards, etc.) to immediat	elv and adverselv	impact p	ublic healt	n or safet	v? □ Yes*	⊠ No □ Unkno	own
		*Yes to 3a or 3b - System is an imminent thr	-				, _		
	3c.	System is non-protective of ground water for ot	-		-	ector?	☐ Yes*	⊠ No	
		System not abandoned in accordance with Min			, ,		_ □ Yes*	_	
		*Yes to 3c or 3d - System is failing to protect					_	_	
		Describe verification methods and results:	3						
		Attached supporting documentation: ⊠ Not	annliaghla 🖂						
		Attached supporting documentation. 🖂 Not	арріісавіе 🔲 _						
1.	Ор	erating permit and nitrogen BMP	* – Compliar	nce co	mponei	nt #4 c	of 5 ⊠ N	lot applicable	
1.		erating permit and nitrogen BMP e system operated under an Operating Permit?	* – Compliar	nce co	<u> </u>			lot applicable below is requi	 red
1.	ls th				☐ Yes	□No	If "yes", A	below is requi	
1.	ls th	e system operated under an Operating Permit?	ecified in the syste	em desig	☐ Yes	□No	If "yes", A	below is requi	
1.	Is th	e system operated under an Operating Permit? e system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP sp	pecified in the system des	em desig s <i>ign</i>	☐ Yes In? ☐ Yes	□ No	If "yes", A If "yes", B	below is requi	
1.	Is th	e system operated under an Operating Permit? e system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP sp BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified	pecified in the system des	em desig s <i>ign</i>	☐ Yes In? ☐ Yes	□ No	If "yes", A If "yes", B	below is requi	
1.	Is th	e system operated under an Operating Permit? e system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP sp BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified e answer to both questions is "no", this appliance criteria:	pecified in the system des	em desig sign not need	☐ Yes In? ☐ Yes	□ No	If "yes", A If "yes", B	below is requi	
<u>1.</u>	Is th Is th Cor	e system operated under an Operating Permit? e system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP sp BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified e answer to both questions is "no", this hpliance criteria: Have the operating permit requirements been	pecified in the system design in the system design section does not met?	em desig sign not need □ Yes	☐ Yes In? ☐ Yes If to be co	□ No	If "yes", A If "yes", B	below is requi	
1.	Is th Is th Cor	e system operated under an Operating Permit? e system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP sp BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified e answer to both questions is "no", this appliance criteria: Have the operating permit requirements been Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and prop	pecified in the system design in the system design section does numet?	em desig sign not need □ Yes	☐ Yes In? ☐ Yes If to be co	□ No	If "yes", A If "yes", B	below is requi	
1.	Is th Is th Cor	e system operated under an Operating Permit? e system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP sp BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified e answer to both questions is "no", this hpliance criteria: Have the operating permit requirements been	necified in the system design and the system does not be section does not be met? The perly functioning?	em desig sign not need □ Yes	☐ Yes In? ☐ Yes If to be co	□ No	If "yes", A If "yes", B	below is requi	
1.	Is th Is th Cor	e system operated under an Operating Permit? e system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP sp BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified e answer to both questions is "no", this appliance criteria: Have the operating permit requirements been Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and prop Any "no" answer indicates noncom	necified in the system design and the system does not be section does not be met? The perly functioning?	em desig sign not need □ Yes	☐ Yes In? ☐ Yes If to be co	□ No	If "yes", A If "yes", B	below is requi	
1.	Is th Is th Cor	e system operated under an Operating Permit? e system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP sp BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified e answer to both questions is "no", this appliance criteria: Have the operating permit requirements been Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and prop Any "no" answer indicates noncom	necified in the system design and the system does not be section does not be met? The perly functioning?	em desig sign not need □ Yes	☐ Yes In? ☐ Yes If to be co	□ No	If "yes", A If "yes", B	below is requi	
1.	Is th Is th Cor	e system operated under an Operating Permit? e system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP sp BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified e answer to both questions is "no", this appliance criteria: Have the operating permit requirements been Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and prop Any "no" answer indicates noncom	necified in the system design and the system does not be section does not be met? The perly functioning?	em desig sign not need □ Yes	☐ Yes In? ☐ Yes If to be co	□ No	If "yes", A If "yes", B	below is requi	
1.	Is th Is th Cor	e system operated under an Operating Permit? e system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP sp BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified e answer to both questions is "no", this appliance criteria: Have the operating permit requirements been Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and prop Any "no" answer indicates noncom	necified in the system design and the system does not be section does not be met? The perly functioning?	em desig sign not need □ Yes	☐ Yes In? ☐ Yes If to be co	□ No	If "yes", A If "yes", B	below is requi	
1.	Is th Is th Cor	e system operated under an Operating Permit? e system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP sp BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified e answer to both questions is "no", this appliance criteria: Have the operating permit requirements been Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and prop Any "no" answer indicates noncom	necified in the system design and the system does not be section does not be met? The perly functioning?	em desig sign not need □ Yes	☐ Yes In? ☐ Yes If to be co	□ No	If "yes", A If "yes", B	below is requi	
1.	Is th Is th Cor	e system operated under an Operating Permit? e system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP sp BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified e answer to both questions is "no", this appliance criteria: Have the operating permit requirements been Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and prop Any "no" answer indicates noncom	necified in the system design and the system does not be section does not be met? The perly functioning?	em desig sign not need □ Yes	☐ Yes In? ☐ Yes If to be co	□ No	If "yes", A If "yes", B	below is requi	
1.	Is th Is th Cor	e system operated under an Operating Permit? e system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP sp BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified e answer to both questions is "no", this appliance criteria: Have the operating permit requirements been Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and prop Any "no" answer indicates noncom	necified in the system design and the system does not be section does not be met? The perly functioning?	em desig sign not need □ Yes	☐ Yes In? ☐ Yes If to be co	□ No	If "yes", A If "yes", B	below is requi	
1.	Is th Is th Cor	e system operated under an Operating Permit? e system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP sp BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified e answer to both questions is "no", this appliance criteria: Have the operating permit requirements been Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and prop Any "no" answer indicates noncom	necified in the system design and the system does not be section does not be met? The perly functioning?	em desig sign not need □ Yes	☐ Yes In? ☐ Yes If to be co	□ No	If "yes", A If "yes", B	below is requi	
1.	Is th Is th Cor	e system operated under an Operating Permit? e system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP sp BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified e answer to both questions is "no", this appliance criteria: Have the operating permit requirements been Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and prop Any "no" answer indicates noncom	necified in the system design and the system does not be section does not be met? The perly functioning?	em desig sign not need □ Yes	☐ Yes In? ☐ Yes If to be co	□ No	If "yes", A If "yes", B	below is requi	
1.	Is th Is th Cor	e system operated under an Operating Permit? e system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP sp BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified e answer to both questions is "no", this appliance criteria: Have the operating permit requirements been Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and prop Any "no" answer indicates noncom	necified in the system design and the system does not be section does not be met? The perly functioning?	em desig sign not need □ Yes	☐ Yes In? ☐ Yes If to be co	□ No	If "yes", A If "yes", B	below is requi	
1.	Is th Is th Cor	e system operated under an Operating Permit? e system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP sp BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified e answer to both questions is "no", this appliance criteria: Have the operating permit requirements been Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and prop Any "no" answer indicates noncom Describe verification methods and results:	necified in the system design and the system does not be section does not be met? The perly functioning?	em desig	□ Yes In? □ Yes If to be co	□ No □ No	If "yes", A If "yes", B	below is requi	

5. Soil separation – Compliance component #5 of 5

Date of installation	1986 (mm/dd/yyyy)	Unkno	own					
Shoreland/Wellhead	protection/Food	☐ Yes	⊠ No	Attached	supporting d	ocumentation:		
beverage lodging?				$oxed{\boxtimes}$ Soil observation logs completed for the report (Attach)				
Compliance criteri	a (select one):	T				ations of required	l vertical	
5a. For systems built p		⊠ Yes □	☐ No*	separation (Attach) Not applicable (No soil treatment area)				
and not located in Shoreland or Wellhead Protection Area or not serving a food, beverage or lodging establishment:								
Drainfield has at le separation distand saturated soil or be								
5b. Non-performance		☐ Yes	☐ No*	Indicate depths or elevations				
1996, or later or for non-performance systems located in Shoreland or Wellhead Protection Areas or serving a food,		d		A. Botton	n of distributio	n media	See Attached Boring Log(s)	
beverage, or lodgii	ng establishment:			B. Period	dically saturate	ed soil/bedrock		
Drainfield has a the separation distance				C. Syster	m separation			
saturated soil or be				D. Requi	red complianc	e separation*		
				*May be Ordinand	•	15 percent if allo	owed by Local	
systems built unde Type IV or V syste Rules 7080. 2350	ms built under 2008	☐ Yes	□ No*					
Drainfield meets th separation distanc saturated soil or be								
*Any "no" answer failing to protect g	above indicates the roundwater.	system i	is					

Upgrade requirements: (Minn. Stat. § 115.55) An imminent threat to public health and safety (ITPHS) must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within ten months of receipt of this notice or within a shorter period if required by local ordinance. If the system is failing to protect ground water, the system must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within the time required by local ordinance. If an existing system is not failing as defined in law, and has at least two feet of design soil separation, then the system need not be upgraded, repaired, replaced, or its use discontinued, notwithstanding any local ordinance that is more strict. This provision does not apply to systems in shoreland areas, Wellhead Protection Areas, or those used in connection with food, beverage, and lodging establishments as defined in law.

Describe verification methods and results:

6 of 11


Property address: 289 Quant Auc. N City: Lakeland).	Parcel ID;
City: Lakeland	State: MV	Zip code: <u>55043</u>
Optional section: Sewage Tank Complian	ce Certification	ANT STOREGAMENT COLOR STATE ST
This form does not represent a complete system inspec		C cowago tonk nameliano at t
Instructions: This section of the form may be completed a Maintenance Business who personally conducts the necess the system.		
When this section of the form is signed by a qualified certified Existing System Compliance Inspection Report: Compliance found on the MPCA website at https://www.pca.state.mn.us	/water/ssts-and-msts-technical	and compliance criteria
The information and certified statement on this form is requ individual other than the SSTS Inspector that submits the in component compliance and is allowable under Minn. R. 708 three years beyond the signature date on this form unless a required according to local regulations. Additional Administration R. 7082.0700, subp. 4 Items B, C, and D; 7083.0730 Item C	ired when existing septic tank spection report. It represents a 2.0700, subp. 4 Item (B) subite new evaluation is requested b	compliance status is determined by an third party assessment of SSTS em (1). This form is valid for a period of
Certificate of sewage tank compliance		tonk nen een l
Affirm all three statements:	Soloot all that and	e tank non-compliance
drywell, leaching pit, or other pit. It does not contain a seepage pit, cesspool drywell, leaching pit, or other pit. It does not contain a sewage tank that was designed to be watertight, but subsequently leaks below the	ol, The SSTS h leaching pit, Groundwate	as a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, or other pit – "Failure to Protect er."
designed operating depth. It does not represent an imminent safety threat by reason of unsecured, damaged, or weak maintenance hole cover(s) or other unsafe condition	operating de It presents a unsecured, c	age tank that was designed to be ut subsequently leaks below the designed pth – "Failure to Protect Groundwater." threat to public safety by reason of lamaged, or weak maintenance hole
	cover(s) or o	ther unsafe condition – "Imminent Threat ealth or Safety."
Company information		
Company name: Pinky's Sewer Service	Print name: Vic	ied Individual (DCI) information
susiness license number: #/673	Certification number:	C9755
personally conducted the work described above as a Design Business. I personally conducted the necessary procedures t	nated Certified Individual of a No to assess the compliance statu	Minnesota-licensed SSTS Maintenance
Designated Certified ndividual's signature:		
	Date (mm/dd/yyyy)	01/20/2021

<u>Midwest Šewer Testing</u> Subsurface Sewage Treatment System Owner/Property Information

This information will be used for the purpose of conducting an MPCA	Compliance Inspection.				
Date of Inspection: January 19 & January 20, 2021	Time: 9:45 AM				
Property Address: 289 Quant Ave Ct N, Lakeland, MN	Zip: 55043				
Property Owner: Rod Johnson	Phone: 651-436-3962				
Tank(s) Tank(s)Material Soil Treatment System Septic 1 Fiberglass Rock trench Aerobic Plastic Gravelless trench Lift Metal Chamber trench Holding Concrete Seepage bed Other: Block Mound Other At-grade	Other Alternative system Experimental system Cesspool system Other system				
Are the tank maintenance covers accessible? Yes No *If r performed through the maintenance holes. Maintenance hole cover the ground surface to facilitate access and proper maintenance of the surface to facilitate access and proper maintenance of the surface to facilitate access and proper maintenance of the surface to facilitate access and proper maintenance of the surface accessible?	ers should be made accessible to he system.				
	Tank size (gals.): 1250				
	sidents in home?				
Number of bedrooms? 5 Are all floors drained by gr	avity?				
Garbage disposal? Whirlpool bath?					
More than one system (laundry, etc.)?					
Does this property have any footing drain tiles connected to the septic system?					
Are any buildings on this property such as garages or out-buildings connected to this system? Are there any additional systems on this property serving other buildings?					
Location of septic system on lot? West Side					
	well a deep well? N/A				
Have you ever experienced any problems with the system such as:					
surfacing of sewage onto the ground, septic tank overflowing, etc.; to the system? If yes, explain:	; or have any repairs been made				
	per: Pinky's Sewer Service				
How often pumped in previous years?					
Have you received notices from any government agency concerning this system?					
Is your property located in a shoreland management area? N					
Do you have any additional information that should be given to the	e new owner?				
I hereby certify that the above information is correct to the best of my knowledge, considered "non-compliant/failing" per MPCA rules, that the inspector must by local government unit within 15 days of the date of inspection completion. I als this report, that I/we are ultimately responsible for payment of all fees for all wor by Inspect Minnesota and Midwest Soil Testing	law submit a copy of this report to the so agree that unless otherwise noted in				

Date:

Owner/Occupant:



Soil Observations Log

Location of Project: 289 Quant Ave Ct N, Lakeland, MN 55043								
Observations Made By: Midwest Sewer Ser						Date:	1/19/2021	
Classification System: USDA								
Soil Observation: ST-1				Soil O	bservation:			
Elevat	face tion of vation	_	nd surface as last field trench	Surface Elevation of Observation				
Depth In Inches	Rock %	Soils E	ncountered	Depth In Inches	Rock %	Soils Encountered		
0-38 38-72		Trace 10YR 4/4 L	Loamy Sand With e Of Gravel Loamy Sand With e Of Gravel					
72"	Depth T	o End Of Soil O	bservation Or Redox		Depth T	o End Of Soil	Observation Or Redox	
			n Relative To System				tion Relative To System	
-40"			stribution Media				Distribution Media	
≥32"	Of Sepa	ration			Of Sepa	ration		
Fnd	Of Soil (Observation At:	72"	Fnd ∩f	Soil Ob	servation At:		
Liiu		dox Present At:	None	Liid Oi		x Present At:		
Star		ater Present At:	None	Standi		r Present At:		
	Standing Water Frederic Act							

Bottom Of Distribution Medium At: 40 Inches				
Signature:	Offer 1/h			

DISCLAIMER

Brian L. Humpal, Inc. dba. Midwest Sewer Services, Inspect Minnesota, Midwest Soil Testing Relative to Subsurface Sewage Treatment System (SSTS) Compliance Inspections

- 1. This inspection/report is being performed for only the seller/owner of the property on which the SSTS is located. In such case that another party is paying for the inspection, the contract is between only said party and Brian L. Humpal, Inc.; there is no contract between Brian L. Humpal, Inc. and any other party unless otherwise noted.
- 3. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. has not been retained to warranty, guarantee, or certify the proper functioning of the SSTS for any period of time beyond the date of inspection or into the future. Because of the numerous factors (usage, maintenance, soil characteristics, previous failures, etc.) which may affect the proper operation of an SSTS, as well as the inability of Brian L. Humpal, Inc. to supervise or monitor the use or maintenance of the SSTS, the report shall not be construed as a warranty by Brian L. Humpal, Inc. that the SSTS will function properly for any particular party for any period of time.
- 4. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. is unable to verify the frequency and/or, quality of prior or future maintenance of the SSTS. Maintenance of the tank(s) must be performed through the tanks maintenance hole. The removal of solids from any location other than the maintenance hole is not a compliant method of maintenance. It is strongly recommended that maintenance covers be made accessible to the ground surface to facilitate proper maintenance.
- 5. Minimum Compliance Inspection requirements relative to this inspection and this report include <u>only</u> verification that the SSTS has tank(s) (septic tanks, lift tanks, dosing tanks, stilling tanks, etc.) which are watertight below the designed operating depth, the required separation between the bottom of the subsurface soil distribution medium and seasonally saturated soils, no back-ups of sewage into the dwelling, no discharge of sewage/effluent to the ground surface or surface waters, and no imminent safety hazards. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. does not inspect plumbing or pumps prior to the first SSTS component as these are plumbing components. The performance of exterior pumps and associated components are not inspected as they are considered to be maintenance items. Additionally, no indications relative to compliance with electrical code requirements have been made. It is recommended that any other applicable plumbing, electrical, housing, etc. inspections be performed by a qualified inspection business. Sewage back-up verification is limited to observing the floor drain area and/or the information supplied by the last occupants of the building prior to inspection. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. cannot guarantee that the information given to them by the last occupants of the building prior to inspection relative to back-ups is accurate.
- 4. Certification of this SSTS does not warranty future use beyond the date of the inspection. Any SSTS, old or new, can become hydraulically overloaded or discharge sewage/effluent to the ground surface as a result of more people moving into the house than were previously occupying the house, improper maintenance, heavy usage, leaking plumbing fixtures, groundwater infiltration, tree roots, freezing conditions, surface drainage problems, poor initial design, poor construction practices, or unsuitable materials used in constructing the system; the system can also simply stop working because of its age. An SSTS that has been properly designed and installed, properly maintained, and used in the manner for which the system was designed can be expected to provide service for twenty to twenty-five years on average. Some parts of the SSTS such as alarms, switches, pumps, filters, etc. will most likely have to be repaired or replaced over the lifetime of the system.
- 5. A Compliance Inspection is not meant to be a test or inspection for longevity of the system; a Compliance Inspection is strictly for the purpose of determining if the SSTS is protective of public health and safety, as well as the groundwater at the date and time the inspection was performed. This inspection is not intended to determine if the SSTS was originally designed or installed to past or present MPCA or other Local Government Unit code requirements. This inspection is not intended to determine if the SSTS was designed and/or installed to support the anticipated flow from the building as the use of the building may have changed since the design and construction of the SSTS due to the addition of bedrooms, occupants, etc. In addition, this inspection is not intended to determine the quality of the original SSTS design, the quality of the construction practices used while installing the SSTS, or the quality of the materials used in constructing the SSTS.
- 6. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. cannot guarantee the performance of SSTS products/components such as: gravelless pipe, chamber trenches, effluent filters, tanks, sewage pre-treatment components, piping, etc. Products such as gravelless pipe are no longer approved for installation in the State of Minnesota and may have a significantly reduced performance and/or life expectancy.
- 7. WINTER WORK: By accepting this report, it is understood that inspections conducted during winter months (approximately November 1st through April 1st) are more difficult to perform because of possible snow cover and/or ground frost. SSTS components such as tanks, maintenance covers, tank inspection pipes, subsurface distribution medium inspection pipes, and soil treatment areas are more difficult or impossible to locate due to snow cover and/or ground frost. In addition, soil borings are more difficult to perform due to snow cover and/or ground frost. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. will attempt to use the same level of standards when performing work during winter periods as when performing work during non-winter periods. However, the recipient of this report understands that because of the aforementioned considerations, the same level of standards may not be possible.
- 8. By accepting this report, the client understands that Brian L. Humpal, Inc. will not be responsible for any monetary damages exceeding the fee for the services provided.

Subsurface Sewage Treatment Systems

Non-transferable

Business License

Midwest Sewer Services

License # L2896

License Expires: 12/22/2020

Issued: 11/26/2019

Specialty Area(s):

Installer
Maintainer
Service Provider
Advanced Designer
Advanced Inspector

Designated Certified Individual(s):

Cert # Na

Name

Certification Expires:

C5342

Brian L Humpal

10/15/2023

Installer, Maintainer, Serv Prov, Adv Designer, Adv Inspector

C9852 4

Christopher R Uebe

3/4/2021

Designer, Inspector



520 Lafayette Road North St. Paul, Minnesota 55155-4194 Mich Haig

Nick Haig, Supervisor Certification and Training Unit