

520 Lafayette Road North St. Paul, MN 55155-4194

Compliance Inspection Form

Existing Subsurface Sewage Treatment Systems (SSTS)


Doc Type: Compliance and Enforcement


	on Minnesota Pollution Control Agency (MPCA) forms – additional local requirements may also apply.	For local tr	racking purposes:
Submit completed form to within 15 days	Local Unit of Government (LUG) and system owner		
System Status			
System status on da	ate (mm/dd/yyyy):1/12/2013		
	n report date, unless shorter time (See Upgrad		otice of Noncompliance onts on page 3.)
Reason(s) for non	compliance (check all applicable)		
, ,	ic Health (Compliance Component #1) - Imminent threat	to public healti	h and safety
	nce Conditions (Compliance Component #3) - Imminent to		
	Compliance Component #2) – Failing to protect groundwa		
	nce Conditions (Compliance Component #3) – Failing to p		vater
	(Compliance Component #4) – Failing to protect grounds		
☐ Operating perr	t/monitoring plan requirements (Compliance Component	#5) – Noncon	npilant
5			
Annual Control of Cont	Keats Ave N Lake Elmo, Mn Reason	for inspection	21310003 : Property Transfer
	Keats Ave N Lake Elmo, Mn Reason		
Property address: 5415 Property owner: Leeann	Keats Ave N Lake Elmo, Mn Reason Slomkowski Owner's	for inspection	: Property Transfer
Property address: 5415 Property owner: Leeann or	Keats Ave N Lake Elmo, Mn Reason Slomkowski Owner's Repres	for inspections phone:	: Property Transfer
Property address: 5415 Property owner: Leeann or Owner's representative:	Keats Ave N Lake Elmo, Mn Reason Slomkowski Owner's Repres	for inspections phone:	Property Transfer
Property address: 5415 Property owner: Leeann or Owner's representative: Local regulatory authority:	Keats Ave N Lake Elmo, Mn Reason Slomkowski Owner's Repres Washington County Regular 2 septic tanks to drainfield	for inspections phone:	Property Transfer
Property address: _5415 Property owner: _Leeann or Owner's representative: Local regulatory authority: Brief system description: Comments or recommen	Keats Ave N Lake Elmo, Mn Reason Slomkowski Owner's Repres Washington County Regular 2 septic tanks to drainfield	for inspections phone:	Property Transfer
Property address: 5415 Property owner: Leeann or Owner's representative: Local regulatory authority: Brief system description: Comments or recommen	Keats Ave N Lake Elmo, Mn Reason Slomkowski Owner's Repres Washington County 2 septic tanks to drainfield dations:	for inspections sphone: entative phone tory authority p	Property Transfer e: ohone: _651-430-6655
Property address: 5415 Property owner: Leeann or Owner's representative: Local regulatory authority: Brief system description: Comments or recommen Certification I hereby certify that all the determination of future sys	Keats Ave N Lake Elmo, Mn Reason Slomkowski Owner's Repres Washington County Regular 2 septic tanks to drainfield	entative phone tory authority p	Property Transfer e:
Property address: 5415 Property owner: Leeann or Owner's representative: Local regulatory authority: Brief system description: Comments or recommen Certification I hereby certify that all the determination of future sys	Reason Slomkowski Owner's Repres Washington County 2 septic tanks to drainfield dations: necessary information has been gathered to determine the tem performance has been nor can be made due to unknown, inadequate maintenance, or future water usage.	entative phone tory authority p	e: Property Transfer b: 651-430-6655 estatus of this system. No aduring system construction,
Property address: _5415 Property owner: _Leeann or Owner's representative: Local regulatory authority: Brief system description: Comments or recommen Certification I hereby certify that all the determination of future systems of the systems.	Slomkowski Slomkowski Owner's Repres Washington County 2 septic tanks to drainfield dations: necessary information has been gathered to determine the tem performance has been nor can be made due to unknown, inadequate maintenance, or future water usage. rown Certific	e compliance sown conditions	e:
Property address: _5415 Property owner: _Leeann or Owner's representative: Local regulatory authority: Brief system description: Comments or recommen Certification I hereby certify that all the determination of future systems abuse of the systems are commens. Dave Brief systems are commens.	Reason Slomkowski Slomkowski Owner's Repres Washington County 2 septic tanks to drainfield dations: necessary information has been gathered to determine the tem performance has been nor can be made due to unknown, inadequate maintenance, or future water usage. Town R Brown Certific	e compliance sown conditions ation number:	e:
Property address: _5415 Property owner: _Leeann or Owner's representative: Local regulatory authority: Brief system description: Comments or recommen Certification I hereby certify that all the determination of future syspossible abuse of the system in the system i	Reason Slomkowski Slomkowski Repres Washington County 2 septic tanks to drainfield dations: Regular Regular The cessary information has been gathered to determine the tem performance has been nor can be made due to unknown, inadequate maintenance, or future water usage. Town Repres Regular Certific Brown Certific Lice	e compliance sown conditions ation number:	e: Property Transfer e: ohone: 651-430-6655 estatus of this system. No aduring system construction, 9370 3649
Property address: 5415 Property owner: Leeann or Owner's representative: Local regulatory authority: Brief system description: Comments or recomment Certification I hereby certify that all the determination of future system possible abuse of the system possible abuse of th	Reason Slomkowski Slomkowski Nashington County Septic tanks to drainfield dations: Regular Septic tanks to drainfield dations: Regular Control Con	e compliance sown conditions ation number: hone number:	e: Property Transfer e: ohone: 651-430-6655 estatus of this system. No aduring system construction, 9370 3649 651.788.3296
Property address: _5415 Property owner: _Leeann or Owner's representative: Local regulatory authority: Brief system description: Comments or recommen Certification I hereby certify that all the determination of future syspossible abuse of the system inspector name: _David Function in the comment of the system in the system	Reason Slomkowski Nashington County Septic tanks to drainfield dations: Regular Septic tanks to drainfield dations: Regular Septic tanks to drainfield dations: Regular Certific Septic tanks to drainfield dations: Regular Certific Septic tanks to drainfield dations: Regular Certific C	e compliance sown conditions ation number:	e: Property Transfer e: ohone: 651-430-6655 estatus of this system. No aduring system construction, 9370 3649 651.788.3296

	Elmo, Mn	Inspector initials/Date: DB 1/12/2013 (mm/dd/yyyy)
		(птистуууу)
. Impact on Public Health – C	Compliance compor	nent #1 of 5
Compliance criteria:		Verification method(s):
System discharges sewage to the	☐ Yes ⊠ No	Searched for surface outlet ■
ground surface.		Searched for seeping in yard/backup in home
System discharges sewage to drain	☐ Yes ⊠ No	☐ Excessive ponding in soil system/D-boxes
tile or surface waters.		☐ Homeowner testimony (See Comments/Explanation)
System causes sewage backup into dwelling or establishment.	☐ Yes ⊠ No	☐ "Black soil" above soil dispersal system ☐ System requires "emergency" pumping
Any "yes" answer above indi system is an imminent threat		☐ Performed dye test
health and safety.	to public	Unable to verify (See Comments/Explanation)
-		Other methods not listed (See Comments/Explanation)
Comments/Explanation:		
Tank Integrity - Compliance	component #2 of 5	NH THE STATE OF TH
Compliance criteria:		Verification method(s):
System consists of a seepage pit,	☐ Yes ⊠ No	□ Probed tank(s) bottom
cesspool, drywell, or leaching pit.		Examined construction records
Seepage pits meeting 7080.2550 may be		Examined Tank Integrity Form (Attach)
compliant if allowed in local ordinance.		Observed liquid level below operating depth
Sewage tank(s) leak below their	☐ Yes ⊠ No	Examined empty (pumped) tanks(s)
decigned energting doubt		
designed operating depth.		I Proped duiteide tankis) for black soll
If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks:		Probed outside tank(s) for "black soil"
	icates the	☐ Unable to verify (See Comments/Explanation)
If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks:		
If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indi		☐ Unable to verify (See Comments/Explanation)
If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indisystem is failing to protect g		☐ Unable to verify (See Comments/Explanation)
If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indisystem is failing to protect g		☐ Unable to verify (See Comments/Explanation)
If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indisystem is failing to protect g		☐ Unable to verify (See Comments/Explanation)
If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indisystem is failing to protect g		☐ Unable to verify (See Comments/Explanation)
If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indispersem is failing to protect g		☐ Unable to verify (See Comments/Explanation)
If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indispreted to protect go Comments/Explanation:	roundwater.	☐ Unable to verify (See Comments/Explanation) ☐ Other methods not listed (See Comments/Explanation)
If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indisystem is failing to protect go Comments/Explanation: Other Compliance Condition	ns – Compliance com	☐ Unable to verify (See Comments/Explanation) ☐ Other methods not listed (See Comments/Explanation) ponent #3 of 5
If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indisplayments failing to protect go Comments/Explanation: Other Compliance Condition a. Maintenance hole covers are dama b. Other issues (electrical hazards, etc.)	ns – Compliance com aged, cracked, unsecure to immediately and adv	□ Unable to verify (See Comments/Explanation) □ Other methods not listed (See Comments/Explanation) uponent #3 of 5 ed, or appear to be structurally unsound. □ Yes* ☒ No □ Unknown or Sersely impact public health or safety. □ Yes* ☒ No □ Unknown or Sersely impact public health or Sersely. □ Yes* ☒ No □ Unknown or Sersely impact public health or Sersely. □ Yes* ☒ No □ Unknown or Sersely impact public health or Sersely. □ Yes* ☒ No □ Unknown or Sersely impact public health or Sersely. □ Yes* ☒ No □ Unknown or Sersely impact public health or Servely impact public healt
If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indisystem is failing to protect go Comments/Explanation: Other Compliance Condition a. Maintenance hole covers are dame b. Other issues (electrical hazards, etc.) *System is an imminent threat to	ns – Compliance com aged, cracked, unsecure to immediately and adv	□ Unable to verify (See Comments/Explanation) □ Other methods not listed (See Comments/Explanation) uponent #3 of 5 ed, or appear to be structurally unsound. □ Yes* ☒ No □ Unknown or Sersely impact public health or safety. □ Yes* ☒ No □ Unknown or Sersely impact public health or Sersely. □ Yes* ☒ No □ Unknown or Sersely impact public health or Sersely. □ Yes* ☒ No □ Unknown or Sersely impact public health or Sersely. □ Yes* ☒ No □ Unknown or Sersely impact public health or Sersely. □ Yes* ☒ No □ Unknown or Sersely impact public health or Servely impact public healt
If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indispersion of the system is failing to protect go Comments/Explanation: Other Compliance Condition a. Maintenance hole covers are damage b. Other issues (electrical hazards, etc.)	ns – Compliance com aged, cracked, unsecure to immediately and adv	□ Unable to verify (See Comments/Explanation) □ Other methods not listed (See Comments/Explanation) uponent #3 of 5 ed, or appear to be structurally unsound. □ Yes* ☒ No □ Unknown or Sersely impact public health or safety. □ Yes* ☒ No □ Unknown or Sersely impact public health or Sersely. □ Yes* ☒ No □ Unknown or Sersely impact public health or Sersely. □ Yes* ☒ No □ Unknown or Sersely impact public health or Sersely. □ Yes* ☒ No □ Unknown or Sersely impact public health or Sersely. □ Yes* ☒ No □ Unknown or Sersely impact public health or Servely impact public healt
If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indisplayments failing to protect get Comments/Explanation: Other Compliance Condition a. Maintenance hole covers are damab. Other issues (electrical hazards, etc.) *System is an imminent threat to Explain:	ns – Compliance com aged, cracked, unsecure to immediately and adv o public health and saf	□ Unable to verify (See Comments/Explanation) □ Other methods not listed (See Comments/Explanation) apponent #3 of 5 ad, or appear to be structurally unsound. □ Yes* ☒ No □ Unknown of the structurally unsound. □ Yes* ☒ No □ Unknown of the structurally unsound. □ Yes* ☒ No □ Unknown of the structurally unsound. □ Yes* ☒ No □ Unknown of the structurally unsound. □ Yes* ☒ No □ Unknown of the structurally unsound. □ Yes* ☒ No □ Unknown of the structurally unsound. □ Yes* ☒ No □ Unknown of the structurally unsound. □ Yes* ☒ No □ Unknown of the structurally unsound. □ Yes* ☒ No □ Unknown of the structurally unsound.
If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indispersion of the system is failing to protect go Comments/Explanation: Other Compliance Condition a. Maintenance hole covers are dame b. Other issues (electrical hazards, etc.) *System is an imminent threat to	ns – Compliance com aged, cracked, unsecure to immediately and adv o public health and safe	□ Unable to verify (See Comments/Explanation) □ Other methods not listed (See Comments/Explanation) apponent #3 of 5 ad, or appear to be structurally unsound. □ Yes* ☒ No □ Unknown of the structurally unsound. □ Yes* ☒ No □ Unknown of the structurally unsound. □ Yes* ☒ No □ Unknown of the structurally unsound. □ Yes* ☒ No □ Unknown of the structurally unsound. □ Yes* ☒ No □ Unknown of the structurally unsound. □ Yes* ☒ No □ Unknown of the structurally unsound. □ Yes* ☒ No □ Unknown of the structurally unsound. □ Yes* ☒ No □ Unknown of the structurally unsound. □ Yes* ☒ No □ Unknown of the structurally unsound. □ Yes* ☒ No □ Unknown of the structurally unsound. □ Yes* ☒ No □ Unknown of the structurally unsound. □ Yes* ☒ No □ Unknown of the structurally unsound. □ Yes* ☒ No □ Unknown of the structurally unsound. □ Yes* ☒ No □ Unknown of the structurally unsound. □ Yes* ☒ No □ Unknown of the structurally unsound. □ Yes* ☒ No □ Unknown of the structurally unsound. □ Yes* ☒ No □ Unknown of the structurally unsound.
If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indispersion of the system is failing to protect go Comments/Explanation: Other Compliance Condition a. Maintenance hole covers are dample. b. Other issues (electrical hazards, etc.) *System is an imminent threat to Explain: c. System is non-protective of ground.	ns – Compliance com aged, cracked, unsecure to immediately and adv o public health and safe	□ Unable to verify (See Comments/Explanation) □ Other methods not listed (See Comments/Explanation) apponent #3 of 5 ad, or appear to be structurally unsound. □ Yes* ☒ No □ Unknown of the structurally unsound. □ Yes* ☒ No □ Unknown of the structurally unsound. □ Yes* ☒ No □ Unknown of the structurally unsound. □ Yes* ☒ No □ Unknown of the structurally unsound. □ Yes* ☒ No □ Unknown of the structurally unsound. □ Yes* ☒ No □ Unknown of the structurally unsound. □ Yes* ☒ No □ Unknown of the structurally unsound. □ Yes* ☒ No □ Unknown of the structurally unsound. □ Yes* ☒ No □ Unknown of the structurally unsound. □ Yes* ☒ No □ Unknown of the structurally unsound. □ Yes* ☒ No □ Unknown of the structurally unsound. □ Yes* ☒ No □ Unknown of the structurally unsound. □ Yes* ☒ No □ Unknown of the structurally unsound. □ Yes* ☒ No □ Unknown of the structurally unsound. □ Yes* ☒ No □ Unknown of the structurally unsound. □ Yes* ☒ No □ Unknown of the structurally unsound. □ Yes* ☒ No □ Unknown of the structurally unsound.
If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indisplayments failing to protect growth for the system is failing to protect growth. Other Compliance Condition a. Maintenance hole covers are damage. b. Other issues (electrical hazards, etc.) *System is an imminent threat to Explain: c. System is non-protective of ground *System is failing to protect growth.	ns – Compliance com aged, cracked, unsecure to immediately and adv o public health and safe	□ Unable to verify (See Comments/Explanation) □ Other methods not listed (See Comments/Explanation) apponent #3 of 5 ad, or appear to be structurally unsound. □ Yes* ☒ No □ Unknownersely impact public health or safety. □ Yes* ☒ No □ Unknownersy.
If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indisplayments failing to protect growth for the system is failing to protect growth. Other Compliance Condition a. Maintenance hole covers are damage. b. Other issues (electrical hazards, etc.) *System is an imminent threat to Explain: c. System is non-protective of ground *System is failing to protect growth.	ns – Compliance com aged, cracked, unsecure to immediately and adv o public health and safe	□ Unable to verify (See Comments/Explanation) □ Other methods not listed (See Comments/Explanation) apponent #3 of 5 ad, or appear to be structurally unsound. □ Yes* ☒ No □ Unknown of the structurally unsound. □ Yes* ☒ No □ Unknown of the structurally unsound. □ Yes* ☒ No □ Unknown of the structurally unsound. □ Yes* ☒ No □ Unknown of the structurally unsound. □ Yes* ☒ No □ Unknown of the structurally unsound. □ Yes* ☒ No □ Unknown of the structurally unsound. □ Yes* ☒ No □ Unknown of the structurally unsound. □ Yes* ☒ No □ Unknown of the structurally unsound. □ Yes* ☒ No □ Unknown of the structurally unsound.

Property address: 5415 K	eats Ave N Lake Elm	no, Mn			Inspector initials/Date:		
						(mm/dd/yyyy)	
4. Soil Separation	- Compliance co	mnone	nt #4 of 5				
•	Compliance co			400	1 121 12 123 12		
Date of installation:	(mm/dd/ssss)	⊠ Unkı	nown	Verifi	cation method(s):		
Shoreland/Wellhead protec	(mm/dd/yyyy) poreland/Wellhead protection/Food beverage dging?		⊠ Yes □ No		Soil observation does not expire. Previous soil observations by two independent parties are sufficient, unless site conditions have been altered or local		
lodging?							
Compliance criteria:					ements differ.		
For systems built prior to April 1, 1996, and not located in Shoreland or Wellhead		⊠ Yes □ No		□ Conducted soil observation(s) (Attach boring logs)			
			☐ Two previous verifications (Attach boring logs)				
	Protection Area or not serving a food, beverage or lodging establishment:			☐ Not applicable (Holding tank(s), no drainfield)			
Drainfield has at least a tv	vo-foot vertical			☐ Unable to verify (See Comments/Explanation)			
separation distance from saturated soil or bedrock.	periodically			☐ Oth	ner (See Comments/Explanation,)	
Non-performance system 1996, or later or for non-p systems located in Shore Protection Areas or servir beverage, or lodging esta	erformance land or Wellhead ng a food,	⊠ Yes	□ No	Comm	nents/Explanation:		
Drainfield has a three-foo							
separation distance from saturated soil or bedrock.							
				1			
Experimental", "Other", or "Performance" systems built under pre-2008 Rules; Type IV or V systems built under 2008 Rules (7080.		☐ Yes ☐ No	∐ No	Indica	ate depths or elevations		
			A. Bot	tom of distribution media	32"		
2350 or 7080.2400 (Adva License required)	rainfield meets the designed vertical eparation distance from periodically		C.		iodically saturated soil/bedrock	72"	
					tem separation	40"	
saturated soil or bedrock.					quired compliance separation*	36"	
Any "no" answer a	hove indicates t	he syst	em is		be reduced up to 15 percent i		
failing to protect gr		BMP*		nce con		Not applicable	
Is the system operated	l under an Operating	Permit?	☐ Ye	s No	If "yes", A below is requi	ired	
Is the system required	to employ a Nitroge	n BMP?	☐ Ye	s 🗌 No	If "yes", B below is requi	ired	
BMP = Best Mana	agement Practice(s)	specified	in the systen	n design			
If the answer to bo	th questions is "r	o", this	section do	es not r	need to be completed.		
Compliance criteri	a						
a. Operating Permi	t number:				☐ Yes ☐ No		
Have the Operating Permit requirements been met?				Li res Li No			
b. Is the required n	trogen BMP in place	and prop	perly function	ing?	☐ Yes ☐ No		
Any "no" answe	indicates Nond	omplia	nce.				
discontinued within ten n ground water, the systen is not failing as defined in its use discontinued, not	onths of receipt of this must be upgraded, re law, and has at least t withstanding any local of	notice or volaced, or two feet of ordinance t	vithin a shorter its use discont design soil ser that is more str	period if re inued within paration, th ict. This pro	h and safety (ITPHS) must be up equired by local ordinance. If the n the time required by local ordinen the system need not be upgraphision does not apply to system diging establishments as defined	system is failing to protec ance. If an existing systen aded, repaired, replaced, o s in shoreland areas,	

www.pca.state.mn.us • 651-296-6300 • 800-657-3864 • TTY 651-282-5332 or 800-657-3864 • Available in alternative formats wq-wwists4-31b • 6/4/14







Tri-City / William Lloyd Analytical Laboratory

9300 Poplar Bridge Road • Bloomington, MN 55437 • (952) 563-4904

Dave Brown	5		Report Date:	
4787 Radio Dr. Woodbury, MN 55129				01/13/2021 07:09
Received By: Deb Weltz	in	Sample Condition Upon Receipt:		
Received Date / Time:	12-Jan-2021 10:55	Y Acceptable Temperature	6.6	°C
		Y On ice		

Sample ID: 2101047-01

5415 Keats Ave N Lake Elmo, MN

Sample Collector: Dave Brown

Collection Date/Time: 1/12/2021 9:12:00AM

Analyte	Result	Units	MCL*		Date Analyzed	Analyst Initials	Method
Nitrate as N	5.94	mg/L	10	PASS	01/12/2021	BL	EPA 353.2 Rev. 2.0
P/A total coliform	Absent	MPN/100 mL	Absent	PASS	01/13/2021	DJW	SM 9223 B (Colilert-18® P/A)

^{*}MCL (maximum contaminant level) set by the EPA

PASS - The analyte(s) reported, for the sample(s) listed above, meet standards set by the Minnesota Department of Health and U. S. Environmental Protection Agency for safe drinking water.

Approved By:

Deb Weltzin

Deb Weltzin

Water Quality Supervisor

Laboratory Identification Number: 027-053-355

The results in this report apply to the above listed sample(s). All routine quality assurance procedures were followed, unless otherwise noted. This analytical report must be reported in its entirety. All methods are certified by the Minnesota Department of Health, unless otherwise noted. EPA 200.7 for the anlaysis of lead in drinking water is not certifiable by the MDH.