### **Midwest Sewer Services**

P.O. Box 10853 White Bear Lake, MN 55110 651-492-7550/Brian@Midwestsoiltesting.com

Brian Humpal

MPCA Licensed Advanced Inspector

#### SUBSURFACE SEWAGE TREATMENT SYSTEM (SSTS) COMPLIANCE REPORT

#### **REPORT SUMMARY**

I have performed an "MPCA Compliance Inspection" on this system and have reviewed the original design/permit records on file at City of Lake Elmo. This system consists of two precast septic tanks, a pre-cast lift tank, and a mound. The first septic tank was installed in 1992. Pinky's Sewer Service pumped the septic tanks and lift tank on February 1, 2021.

Predicated on my inspection of the system and my review of the original design/permit records, it is my opinion that this system <u>presently meets</u> MPCA minimum compliance inspection requirements.

Midwest Sewer Services have been hired to perform a compliance inspection of this SSTS for compliance with local ordinances pursuant to Minn. Stat. § 115.55 (2013). This compliance inspection covers only the criteria required by Minn. Stat. § 115.55 Subd. 5a (2013) and Minn. R. 7080.1500 (2011). A compliance inspection is an indication of the current compliance status of the system and does not guarantee the performance or longevity of this system beyond the date of inspection, as it is impossible to determine the future performance of any system. Midwest Sewer Services disclaim any use of this compliance inspection beyond determining SSTS compliance pursuant to Minn. Stat. § 115.55 Subd. 5a (2013) and Minn. R. 7080.1500 (2011).

Please contact me should you have any questions.

Christopher Uebe

Brian Humpal

Brian Humpal



520 Lafayette Road North St. Paul, MN 55155-4194

# Compliance inspection report form

#### **Existing Subsurface Sewage Treatment System (SSTS)**

Doc Type: Compliance and Enforcement

**Instructions:** Inspection results based on Minnesota Pollution Control Agency (MPCA) requirements and attached supporting documentation – additional local requirements may also apply. Further information can be found here: <a href="https://www.pca.state.mn.us/sites/default/files/wq-wwists4-31a.pdf">https://www.pca.state.mn.us/sites/default/files/wq-wwists4-31a.pdf</a>.

Inspector must submit completed form to Local Governmental Unit (LGU) and system owner within 15 days of final determination of compliance or noncompliance.

Property information	Local tracking number:
Parcel ID# or Sec/Twp/Range: Loca	I regulatory authority: Washington County
Property address: 8030 Demontreville Trail Circle Ct, Lake Elmo,	MN 55042
Owner/representative: Tracy Engels	Owner's phone: 616-446-8460
Brief system description: Two pre-cast septic tanks, a pre-cast lift t	ank, and a mound.
System status	
System status on date (mm/dd/yyyy): _2/1/2021	
☐ Compliant – Certificate of compliance*	☐ Noncompliant – Notice of noncompliance
(Valid for 3 years from report date unless evidence of an imminent threat to public health or safety requiring removal and abatement under section 145A.04, subdivision 8 is discovered or a shorter time frame exists in Local Ordinance.)	An imminent threat to public health and safety (ITPHS) must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within ten months of receipt of this notice or within a shorter period if required by local ordinance or under section 145A.04 subdivision 8.
*Note: Compliance indicates conformance with Minn. R. 7080.1500 as of system status date above and does not guarantee future performance.	Systems failing to protect ground water must be upgraded, replaced, or use discontinued within the time required by local ordinance.
Reason(s) for noncompliance (check all applicable	)
☐ Impact on public health (Compliance component #1) – Imminer	nt threat to public health and safety
☐ Tank integrity (Compliance component #2) – Failing to protect	groundwater
☐ Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance component #3) – In	nminent threat to public health and safety
☐ Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance component #3) – Fa	ailing to protect groundwater
☐ System not abandoned according to Minn. R. 7080.2500 (Com	pliance component #3) – Failing to protect groundwater
☐ Soil separation (Compliance component #5) – Failing to protect	
Operating permit/monitoring plan requirements (Compliance co	mponent #4) – Noncompliant - local ordinance applies
Comments or recommendations	
Certification	
I hereby certify that all the necessary information has been gathered determination of future system performance has been nor can be mabuse of the system, inadequate maintenance, or future water usag	ade due to unknown conditions during system construction, possible
By typing my name below, I certify the above statements to be true can be used for the purpose of processing this form.	e and correct, to the best of my knowledge, and that this information
Business name: Midwest Sewer Services	Certification number: C5342/C9852
Inspector signature: Bein Humpal (Abu Um	License number: L2896
(This document has been electronically signed)	Phone: 651-492-7550
Necessary or locally required supporting docu	mentation (must be attached)
Soil observation logs  □ Locally required forms	☐ Tank Integrity Assessment ☐ Operating Permit
<ul><li>☑ Other information (list):</li><li>Report Summary, Property Information, Disclaimer, License</li></ul>	

## 1. Impact on public health – Compliance component #1 of 5

Compliance criteria:		Attached supporting documentation:
System discharges sewage to the	☐ Yes* ☒ No	☐ Other:
ground surface		☐ Not applicable
System discharges sewage to drain tile or surface waters.	☐ Yes* ⊠ No	
System causes sewage backup into dwelling or establishment.	☐ Yes* ☒ No	
Any "yes" answer above indicates imminent threat to public health an	•	
Describe verification methods and	results:	
None of the above found.		

## 2. Tank integrity – Compliance component #2 of 5

Compliance criteria:		Attached supporting documentation:
System consists of a seepage pit,	☐ Yes* ☒ No	□ Pumped at time of inspection
cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit?		Pinky's Sewer Name of maintenance business: Service
Sewage tank(s) leak below their	☐ Yes* ☒ No	License number of maintenance business: <u>L1673</u>
designed operating depth?		Date of maintenance: <u>2/1/2021</u>
		☐ Existing tank integrity assessment (Attach)
		Date of maintenance
If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks:		(mm/dd/yyyy): (must be within three years)
Any "yes" answer above indicate is failing to protect groundwater	_	(See form instructions to ensure assessment complies with Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1))
		☐ Tank is Noncompliant (pumping not necessary – explain below)
		Other:
Describe verification methods and	results:	

https://www.pca.state.mn.us wq-wwists4-31b • 1/11/21

## **3. Other compliance conditions** – Compliance component #3 of 5

	sa.	<ul> <li>Maintenance hole covers appear to be structurally unsound (damaged, cracked, etc.), or unse</li> <li>☐ Yes* ☐ No ☐ Unknown</li> </ul>	ecured?
	3h	Other issues (electrical hazards, etc.) to immediately and adversely impact public health or safety	v2 □ Ves* □ No □ Unknown
	OD.	*Yes to 3a or 3b - System is an imminent threat to public health and safety.	y:   103   140   Olikilowii
	30	System is non-protective of ground water for other conditions as determined by inspector?	☐ Yes* ☒ No
		System not abandoned in accordance with Minn. R. 7080.2500?	☐ Yes* ☒ No
	ou.	*Yes to 3c or 3d - System is failing to protect groundwater.	_ 100 Z NO
		Describe verification methods and results:	
		_	
		Attached supporting documentation:   Not applicable	
4.	Op	perating permit and nitrogen BMP* – Compliance component #4 o	of 5 🛭 Not applicable
	ls th	he system operated under an Operating Permit? ☐ Yes ☐ No Ⅰ	If "yes", A below is required
		• •	ii yoo , A bolow lo loquilou
	Is th	he system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? I I Yes I I No. I	If "ves". B below is required
	Is th	he system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design?   No I  RMP = Rest Management Practice(s) specified in the system design	If "yes", B below is required
		BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design	
	If th	BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design he answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed	
	<i>If th</i>	BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design he answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed impliance criteria:	
	If the	BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design  the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed  impliance criteria:  a. Have the operating permit requirements been met?	
	If the	BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design  the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed  impliance criteria:  a. Have the operating permit requirements been met?  b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning?  Yes  No	
	If the	BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design  the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed  impliance criteria:  a. Have the operating permit requirements been met?	
	If the	BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design  the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed  impliance criteria:  a. Have the operating permit requirements been met?  b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning?  Yes  No	
	If the	BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design  the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed  impliance criteria:  a. Have the operating permit requirements been met?	
	If the	BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design  the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed  impliance criteria:  a. Have the operating permit requirements been met?	
	If the	BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design  the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed  impliance criteria:  a. Have the operating permit requirements been met?	
	If the	BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design  the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed  impliance criteria:  a. Have the operating permit requirements been met?	
	If the	BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design  the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed  impliance criteria:  a. Have the operating permit requirements been met?	
	If the	BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design  the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed  impliance criteria:  a. Have the operating permit requirements been met?	
	If the	BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design  the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed  impliance criteria:  a. Have the operating permit requirements been met?	
	If the	BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design  the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed  impliance criteria:  a. Have the operating permit requirements been met?	
	If the	BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design  the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed  impliance criteria:  a. Have the operating permit requirements been met?	
	If the	BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed impliance criteria:  a. Have the operating permit requirements been met?	d.
	If the	BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design  the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed  impliance criteria:  a. Have the operating permit requirements been met?	d.

#### 5. Soil separation – Compliance component #5 of 5

Date of installation 2011 (mm/dd/yyyy)	_		
Shoreland/Wellhead protection/Food beverage lodging?  Compliance criteria (select one):  5a. For systems built prior to April 1, 1996, and not located in Shoreland or Wellhead Protection Area or not serving a food, beverage or lodging establishment:  Drainfield has at least a two-foot vertical separation distance from periodically	Yes □ No Yes □ No*	<ul> <li>Attached supporting documentation:</li> <li></li></ul>	vertical
saturated soil or bedrock.  5b. Non-performance systems built April 1, 1996, or later or for non-performance systems located in Shoreland or Wellhead Protection Areas or serving a food, beverage, or lodging establishment:  Drainfield has a three-foot vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.*	⊠ Yes □ No*	Indicate depths or elevations  A. Bottom of distribution media  B. Periodically saturated soil/bedrock  C. System separation  D. Required compliance separation*  *May be reduced up to 15 percent if allo Ordinance.	See Attached Boring Log(s)
5c. "Experimental", "Other", or "Performance" systems built under pre-2008 Rules; Type IV or V systems built under 2008 Rules 7080. 2350 or 7080.2400 (Advanced Inspector License required)  Drainfield meets the designed vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.	Yes No*		

\*Any "no" answer above indicates the system is failing to protect groundwater.

Describe verification methods and results:

**Upgrade requirements:** (Minn. Stat. § 115.55) An imminent threat to public health and safety (ITPHS) must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within ten months of receipt of this notice or within a shorter period if required by local ordinance. If the system is failing to protect ground water, the system must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within the time required by local ordinance. If an existing system is not failing as defined in law, and has at least two feet of design soil separation, then the system need not be upgraded, repaired, replaced, or its use discontinued, notwithstanding any local ordinance that is more strict. This provision does not apply to systems in shoreland areas, Wellhead Protection Areas, or those used in connection with food, beverage, and lodging establishments as defined in law.


## Midwest Sewer Testing

## Subsurface Sewage Treatment System Owner/Property Information

This information will be used for the purpose of conducting an MPCA	Compliance Inspection.
Date of Inspection: February 1, 2021	Time: 1:00 PM
Property Address: 8030 Demontreville Trail Cir Ct, Lake Elmo,	
Property Owner: Tracy Engels	Phone: 616-446-8460
Tank(s)       Tank(s)Material       Soil Treatment System         Septic 2       Fiberglass       Rock trench         Aerobic       Plastic       Gravelless trench         Lift       Metal       Chamber trench         Holding       Concrete       Seepage bed         Other:       Block       Mound         Other       At-grade	Other  Alternative system  Experimental system  Cesspool system  Other system
Are the tank maintenance covers accessible? ⊠ Yes □ No *If	no, proper maintenance must be
performed through the maintenance holes. Maintenance hole cov the ground surface to facilitate access and proper maintenance of	ers should be made accessible to the system.
	Tank size (gals.): 1-1500, 1-1000
	sidents in home?
Number of bedrooms? 4 Are all floors drained by g	
Garbage disposal? Y Whirlpool bath?	Y
More than one system (laundry, etc.)? N  Does this property have any footing drain tiles connected to the se	antic system?
	-
Are any buildings on this property such as garages or out-building	gs connected to this system?
Are there any additional systems on this property serving other bu	ildings?
Location of septic system on lot? West Side	
	e well a deep well? Y
Have you ever experienced any problems with the system such as	
surfacing of sewage onto the ground, septic tank overflowing, etc to the system? If yes, explain:	; or have any repairs been made
	nper: Pinky's Sewer Service
	n on a monitoring plan?
Have you received notices from any government agency concerni	ng this system?
Is your property located in a shoreland management area? Y	<u> </u>
Do you have any additional information that should be given to the	e new owner?
I hereby certify that the above information is correct to the best of my knowledge considered "non-compliant/failing" per MPCA rules, that the inspector must by local government unit within 15 days of the date of inspection completion. I all this report, that I/we are ultimately responsible for payment of all fees for all we by Inspect Minnesota and Midwest Soil Testing	law submit a copy of this report to the so agree that unless otherwise noted in

Date:

Owner/Occupant:



## **Soil Observations Log**

Loc	ation of Project:	8030 Demontreville	e Trail C	ir Ct, La	ake Elmo, M	N 55042
		Midwest Sewer Ser		,	Date:	2/1/2021
Classif	fication System:	USDA				
S	oil Observation:	ST-1		Soil O	bservation:	
Surface Elevation of Observation	ا ا	top of mound on nal contour		face tion of vation		
Depth In Inches	% Soils E	ncountered	Depth In Inches	Rock %	Soils	Encountered
0-10 10-15	10YR 3/3	/2 Clay Loam Clay Loam With & 10YR 6/2 Redox				
10" Deptl	n To End Of Soil O	bservation Or Redox		Depth T	o End Of Soil	Observation Or Redox
		n Below Top Of Mound				tion Relative To System
	n To Bottom Of Di	stribution Media				Distribution Media
=38" Of Se	paration			Of Sepa	iration	
Fnd Of So	il Observation At:	15"	Fnd Of	Soil Oh	servation At:	
	Redox Present At:	10"	2.10 01		x Present At:	
	Water Present At:	None	Standi		r Present At:	
		211-			-	

Bottom Of Disti	ribution Medium At: 25 Inches
Signature:	Chan bla

С	ient/ Address:	Mike Tr	acy			Land	scape position	Back	/ Side Slope
Legal Des	cription/ GPS	8030 De	montreville Circle Co	urt, Lake Elmo, MN			Vegetation		lawn
	nt materials l that apply)	☑ Outv	wash Lacustrine	_		on #/Location: vey map units		BH1 Slope shape	Slope% 12.0 Linear, Linear
Depth (in)	Texture	Coarse Frag. %	Matrix Color(s)	Mottle Color(s)	Redox Kind(s)	Indicator(s)	I Struc Shape	tureI Grade	Consistence
)-42			silt loam mixed				Blocky		
12-60	silt loam		10yr 5/4	10yr 6/1	Concentrations		Blocky		
Comments hereby cer	4Z of fill soil			nce with all applicable or	rdinances, rules ar	nd laws.			PATA AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AN

c	ient/ Address	Mike Tra	acv	7		land	scape position		11:15 AM Summit	
			montreville Circle Cour	t Jake Fimo MN		Lunc	Vegetation			
Soil pare	nt materials I that apply)		wash Lacustrine	<b>✓</b> Loess		J in #/Location: vey map units		BH4 Slope shape	grasses Slope% Linear, L	0.0
Depth (in)	Texture	Coarse Frag. %	Matrix Color(s)	Mottle Color(s)	Redox Kind(s)	Indicator(s)	I Struc	tureI	Consistence	inear
0-8	silt loam		7.5yr 4/2				Granular			
8-12	silt loam		7.5yr 4/2	7.5yr 6/1			Blocky			
12-48	silt loam		7.5yr 5/4	7.5yr 6/1	Concentrations		Blocky			
Comment	seaonal wate		stimated to be 8" deep.							
nereby cer	Ed EK (Designer)		d this work in accordar 	CE with all applicable of CL CL (Signature)	ordinances, rules a	nd laws.	3268 (License #)		<i>812777</i>	

						7				
	lient/ Address:					Land	scape position		Summit	
-			montreville Circle Co			J	Vegetation	1	grasses	
	ent materials Il that apply)	Outv	vash Lacustrin	<del>_</del>		on #/Location: rvey map units		Slope shape	Slope% Linear, Line	o ar
Depth (in)	Texture	Coarse Frag. %	Matrix Color(s)	Mottle Color(s)	Redox Kind(s)	Indicator(s)	I Struc	tureI Grade	Consistence	
0-22			silt loam mixed				Blocky			
22-30	silt loam		7.5yr 4/6	10yr 6/1			Blocky			
30-60	silt loam		7.5yr 4/6	10yr 6/1	Concentrations		Blocky	1		
Comment	s 22" of fill soi	ls / mottl	es 22"				100			
Comment	s 22" of fill soi	ls / mottl	es 22"		Observation	on #/Location:		внз		
	S 22" of fill soi Texture	ls / mottle Coarse Frag. %	es 22"  Matrix Color(s)	Mottle Color(s)	Observation	on #/Location:	I Struc	BH3 tureI	Consistence	
Depth (in)		Coarse		Mottle Color(s)			I Struc	turel	Consistence	
Depth (in) 0-12		Coarse	Matrix Color(s)	Mottle Color(s)			I Struc Shape	turel	Consistence	
Depth (in) 0-12 12-24 24-54		Coarse	Matrix Color(s)	Mottle Color(s)			I Struc Shape Blocky	turel	Consistence	
Depth (in) 0-12 12-24	Texture	Coarse	Matrix Color(s) silt loam mixed sand mixed		Redox Kind(s)		I Struc Shape Blocky Single grain	turel	Consistence	
Depth (in) 0-12 12-24	Texture	Coarse	Matrix Color(s) silt loam mixed sand mixed		Redox Kind(s)		I Struc Shape Blocky Single grain	turel	Consistence	
Depth (in) 0-12 12-24	Texture	Coarse	Matrix Color(s) silt loam mixed sand mixed		Redox Kind(s)		I Struc Shape Blocky Single grain	turel	Consistence	

	adicionic			tion Logs	Tre	WAGE BATMENT BOGRAM		Ime	10:00 AM
CI	lient/ Address:	Mike Tra	ісу			Land	scape position		Summit
Legal Des	cription/ GPS	8030 Der	nontreville Circle C	ourt, Lake Elmo, MN			Vegetation		grasses
	nt materials I that apply)	Outw	ash Lacustrir		2	ion #/Location:		Slope shape	Slope% 0.0 Linear, Linear
		Coarse					I Struc		Linear, Ellicar
Depth (in)	Texture	Frag. %	Matrix Color(s)	Mottle Color(s)	Redox Kind(s)	Indicator(s)		Grade	Consistence
0-16			sand/loam fill						
16-19			drainfield rock						
						·			ļ
Comments	s existing drain	ifield rock	s at 16".				Total Maria		
Comments	s existing drain	ifield rock	c at 16".	The second secon	Observati	on #/Location:		3H6	
		Coarse		Mottle Color(e)			I Struc	turel	
Depth (in)	existing drain	Coarse	Matrix Color(s)	Mottle Color(s)	Observati Redox Kind(s)	on #/Location:	I Struc		Consistence
Depth (in)		Coarse		Mottle Color(s)			I Struc	turel	Consistence
Depth (in)		Coarse	Matrix Color(s)	Mottle Color(s)			I Struc	turel	Consistence
Depth (in)		Coarse	Matrix Color(s)	Mottle Color(s)			I Struc	turel	Consistence
Depth (in)		Coarse	Matrix Color(s)	Mottle Color(s)			I Struc	turel	Consistence
Depth (in)		Coarse	Matrix Color(s)	Mottle Color(s)			I Struc	turel	Consistence
Depth (in)		Coarse	Matrix Color(s)	Mottle Color(s)			I Struc	turel	Consistence
Depth (in)		Coarse	Matrix Color(s)	Mottle Color(s)			I Struc	turel	Consistence
Depth (in) 0-30  Comments	Texture	Coarse	Matrix Color(s)	Mottle Color(s)			I Struc	turel	Consistence

### **DISCLAIMER**

# Brian L. Humpal, Inc. dba. Midwest Sewer Services, Inspect Minnesota, Midwest Soil Testing Relative to Subsurface Sewage Treatment System (SSTS) Compliance Inspections

- 1. This inspection/report is being performed for only the seller/owner of the property on which the SSTS is located. In such case that another party is paying for the inspection, the contract is between only said party and Brian L. Humpal, Inc.; there is no contract between Brian L. Humpal, Inc. and any other party unless otherwise noted.
- 3. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. has not been retained to warranty, guarantee, or certify the proper functioning of the SSTS for any period of time beyond the date of inspection or into the future. Because of the numerous factors (usage, maintenance, soil characteristics, previous failures, etc.) which may affect the proper operation of an SSTS, as well as the inability of Brian L. Humpal, Inc. to supervise or monitor the use or maintenance of the SSTS, the report shall not be construed as a warranty by Brian L. Humpal, Inc. that the SSTS will function properly for any particular party for any period of time.
- 4. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. is unable to verify the frequency and/or, quality of prior or future maintenance of the SSTS. Maintenance of the tank(s) must be performed through the tanks maintenance hole. The removal of solids from any location other than the maintenance hole is not a compliant method of maintenance. It is strongly recommended that maintenance covers be made accessible to the ground surface to facilitate proper maintenance.
- 5. Minimum Compliance Inspection requirements relative to this inspection and this report include <u>only</u> verification that the SSTS has tank(s) (septic tanks, lift tanks, dosing tanks, stilling tanks, etc.) which are watertight below the designed operating depth, the required separation between the bottom of the subsurface soil distribution medium and seasonally saturated soils, no back-ups of sewage into the dwelling, no discharge of sewage/effluent to the ground surface or surface waters, and no imminent safety hazards. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. does not inspect plumbing or pumps prior to the first SSTS component as these are plumbing components. The performance of exterior pumps and associated components are not inspected as they are considered to be maintenance items. Additionally, no indications relative to compliance with electrical code requirements have been made. It is recommended that any other applicable plumbing, electrical, housing, etc. inspections be performed by a qualified inspection business. Sewage back-up verification is limited to observing the floor drain area and/or the information supplied by the last occupants of the building prior to inspection. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. cannot guarantee that the information given to them by the last occupants of the building prior to inspection relative to back-ups is accurate.
- 4. Certification of this SSTS does not warranty future use beyond the date of the inspection. Any SSTS, old or new, can become hydraulically overloaded or discharge sewage/effluent to the ground surface as a result of more people moving into the house than were previously occupying the house, improper maintenance, heavy usage, leaking plumbing fixtures, groundwater infiltration, tree roots, freezing conditions, surface drainage problems, poor initial design, poor construction practices, or unsuitable materials used in constructing the system; the system can also simply stop working because of its age. An SSTS that has been properly designed and installed, properly maintained, and used in the manner for which the system was designed can be expected to provide service for twenty to twenty-five years on average. Some parts of the SSTS such as alarms, switches, pumps, filters, etc. will most likely have to be repaired or replaced over the lifetime of the system.
- 5. A Compliance Inspection is not meant to be a test or inspection for longevity of the system; a Compliance Inspection is strictly for the purpose of determining if the SSTS is protective of public health and safety, as well as the groundwater at the date and time the inspection was performed. This inspection is not intended to determine if the SSTS was originally designed or installed to past or present MPCA or other Local Government Unit code requirements. This inspection is not intended to determine if the SSTS was designed and/or installed to support the anticipated flow from the building as the use of the building may have changed since the design and construction of the SSTS due to the addition of bedrooms, occupants, etc. In addition, this inspection is not intended to determine the quality of the original SSTS design, the quality of the construction practices used while installing the SSTS, or the quality of the materials used in constructing the SSTS.
- 6. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. cannot guarantee the performance of SSTS products/components such as: gravelless pipe, chamber trenches, effluent filters, tanks, sewage pre-treatment components, piping, etc. Products such as gravelless pipe are no longer approved for installation in the State of Minnesota and may have a significantly reduced performance and/or life expectancy.
- 7. WINTER WORK: By accepting this report, it is understood that inspections conducted during winter months (approximately November 1<sup>st</sup> through April 1<sup>st</sup>) are more difficult to perform because of possible snow cover and/or ground frost. SSTS components such as tanks, maintenance covers, tank inspection pipes, subsurface distribution medium inspection pipes, and soil treatment areas are more difficult or impossible to locate due to snow cover and/or ground frost. In addition, soil borings are more difficult to perform due to snow cover and/or ground frost. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. will attempt to use the same level of standards when performing work during winter periods as when performing work during non-winter periods. However, the recipient of this report understands that because of the aforementioned considerations, the same level of standards may not be possible.
- 8. By accepting this report, the client understands that Brian L. Humpal, Inc. will not be responsible for any monetary damages exceeding the fee for the services provided.

# Subsurface Sewage Treatment Systems

Non-transferable

# Business License

# **Midwest Sewer Services**

License # L2896

License Expires: 12/22/2020

Issued: 11/26/2019

# Specialty Area(s):

Installer
Maintainer
Service Provider
Advanced Designer
Advanced Inspector

## Designated Certified Individual(s):

Cert # Na

Name

**Certification Expires:** 

C5342

Brian L Humpal

10/15/2023

Installer, Maintainer, Serv Prov, Adv Designer, Adv Inspector

C9852 4

Christopher R Uebe

3/4/2021

Designer, Inspector



520 Lafayette Road North St. Paul, Minnesota 55155-4194 Mich Haig

Nick Haig, Supervisor Certification and Training Unit