Midwest Sewer Services

P.O. Box 10853 White Bear Lake, MN 55110 651-492-7550/Brian@Midwestsoiltesting.com

Brian Humpal MPCA Licensed Advanced Inspector

SUBSURFACE SEWAGE TREATMENT SYSTEM (SSTS) COMPLIANCE REPORT

REPORT SUMMARY

I have performed an "MPCA Compliance Inspection" on this system. I have contacted Washington County and was advised that there are no records for this system. This very old system (installed in 1984) consists of a pre-cast septic tank and a rock trench drainfield. It should be noted that the average life expectancy of a septic system is approximately 30 years. This septic system was not pumped at the time of the inspection.

My inspection indicates that this system is presently "non-compliant" in accordance with MPCA rules 7080.1500 Subp.4(B)(D) because of the lack of the required three foot separation between the bottom of the drainfield and seasonally saturated soils.

In accordance with MPCA rules, I am sending a copy of this complete report to Washington County. I cannot officially speak on behalf of the County relative to the upgrade requirements of these non-compliant systems. Please contact the Washington County Department of Public Health & Environment (651-430-6655) to verify the County's position.

Please advise buyer, agents, lender, etc. to contact me should they have any questions regarding this system.

Christopher Uebe

Brian Humpal

Brian Humpal



520 Lafayette Road North St. Paul, MN 55155-4194

Compliance inspection report form

Existing Subsurface Sewage Treatment System (SSTS)

Doc Type: Compliance and Enforcement

Instructions: Inspection results based on Minnesota Pollution Control Agency (MPCA) requirements and attached supporting documentation – additional local requirements may also apply. Further information can be found here: https://www.pca.state.mn.us/sites/default/files/wq-wwists4-31a.pdf.

Inspector must submit completed form to Local Governmental Unit (LGU) and system owner within 15 days of final determination of compliance or noncompliance.

roperty information Local tracking number:			
Parcel ID# or Sec/Twp/Range:			
Property address: 8401 River Acres Rd, Cottage Grove, M	IN 55016		
Owner/representative: Barbara Parmenter	Owner's phone:		
Brief system description: A pre-cast septic tank and a rock t	trench drainfield.		
System status			
System status on date (mm/dd/yyyy): 2/3/2021			
☐ Compliant – Certificate of compliance*			
(Valid for 3 years from report date unless evidence an imminent threat to public health or safety requiring removal and abatement under section 145A.04, subdivision 8 is discovered or a shorter time frame exi in Local Ordinance.)	upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within ten months of receipt of this notice or within a shorter period if required by local ordinance or under section 145A.04 subdivision 8.		
*Note: Compliance indicates conformance with Mi R. 7080.1500 as of system status date above and does not guarantee future performance.	Systems failing to protect ground water must be upgraded, replaced, or use discontinued within the time required by local ordinance.		
Reason(s) for noncompliance (check all appli	icable)		
Soil separation (Compliance component #5) – Failing to	#3) – Imminent threat to public health and safety #3) – Failing to protect groundwater D (Compliance component #3) – Failing to protect groundwater protect groundwater ance component #4) – Noncompliant - local ordinance applies		
Certification			
determination of future system performance has been nor ca abuse of the system, inadequate maintenance, or future water			
By typing my name below , I certify the above statements to can be used for the purpose of processing this form.	b be true and correct, to the best of my knowledge, and that this information		
Business name: Midwest Sewer Services	Certification number: C5342/C9852		
Inspector signature: Brian Humpal Home	License number: L2896		
(This document has been electronically s	igned) Phone: 651-492-7550		
Necessary or locally required supporting	documentation (must be attached)		
 ☑ Soil observation logs ☑ Locally required forms ☑ Other information (list): Report Summary, Property Information, Disclaimer, License 			

https://www.pca.state.mn.us wq-wwists4-31b • 1/11/21

1. Impact on public health – Compliance component #1 of 5

Compliance criteria:		Attached supporting documentation:		
System discharges sewage to the ground surface	☐ Yes* ☒ No	Other:		
System discharges sewage to drain	☐ Yes* ☒ No	∐ Not applicable		
tile or surface waters.				
System causes sewage backup into dwelling or establishment.	☐ Yes* ☒ No			
Any "yes" answer above indicates imminent threat to public health an	•			
Describe verification methods and	results:			
None of the above found.				

2. Tank integrity – Compliance component #2 of 5

Compliance criteria:		Attached supporting documentation:			
System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit,	☐ Yes* ⊠ No	☐ Pumped at time of inspection			
or other pit?		Name of maintenance business:			
Sewage tank(s) leak below their	☐ Yes* ☒ No	License number of maintenance business:			
designed operating depth?		Date of maintenance:			
		☐ Existing tank integrity assessment (Attach)			
If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks:		Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (must be within three years)			
Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is failing to protect groundwater.		(See form instructions to ensure assessment complies with Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1))			
		☐ Tank is Noncompliant (pumping not necessary – explain below)			
		Other:			
Describe verification methods and	d results:				

Drainfield was found non-compliant, therefore the tank was not pumped and inspected at the time of inspection.

3. Other compliance conditions – Compliance component #3 of 5

	3a.	. Maintenance hole covers appear to be structurally unsound (damaged, cracked, etc.), or un	secured?	
		☐ Yes* ☑ No ☐ Unknown		
	3b.	. Other issues (electrical hazards, etc.) to immediately and adversely impact public health or saf	ety? □ Yes*	⊠ No ☐ Unknown
		*Yes to 3a or 3b - System is an imminent threat to public health and safety.		
		. System is non-protective of ground water for other conditions as determined by inspector?	☐ Yes*	⊠ No
	3d.	. System not abandoned in accordance with Minn. R. 7080.2500?	☐ Yes*	⊠ No
		*Yes to 3c or 3d - System is failing to protect groundwater.		
		Describe verification methods and results:		
		Attached supporting documentation: ⊠ Not applicable □		
	_		-tr 🖂.	
4.	Op	perating permit and nitrogen BMP* – Compliance component #4	015 <u>×</u> 1	Not applicable
4.		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		below is required
<u>4.</u>	Is th		If "yes", A	below is required
4.	Is th	ne system operated under an Operating Permit?	If "yes", A	below is required
4.	Is th	ne system operated under an Operating Permit?	If "yes", A If "yes", B	below is required
4.	Is th	ne system operated under an Operating Permit? Permit Perm	If "yes", A If "yes", B	below is required
4	Is the Is the If the Con	ne system operated under an Operating Permit? Permit. P	If "yes", A If "yes", B	below is required
4.	Is the Is the Con	ne system operated under an Operating Permit? Permit System operated under an Operating Permit? Permit System required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? Permit System design Permit System desig	If "yes", A If "yes", B	below is required
4	Is the Is the Con	ne system operated under an Operating Permit? Yes No ne system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? Yes No BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design he answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed in the system design he answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed in the system design he answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed in the system design he answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed in the system design Yes No on the system design Yes No No No No No No No N	If "yes", A If "yes", B	below is required
4.	Is the Is the Con	ne system operated under an Operating Permit?	If "yes", A If "yes", B	below is required
4	Is the Is the Con	ne system operated under an Operating Permit? Yes No ne system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? Yes No BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design he answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed in the system design he answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed in the system design he answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed in the system design he answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed in the system design Yes No on the system design Yes No No No No No No No N	If "yes", A If "yes", B	below is required
4.	Is the Is the Con	ne system operated under an Operating Permit?	If "yes", A If "yes", B	below is required
4.	Is the Is the Con	ne system operated under an Operating Permit?	If "yes", A If "yes", B	below is required
4.	Is the Is the Con	ne system operated under an Operating Permit?	If "yes", A	below is required
4.	Is the Is the Con	ne system operated under an Operating Permit?	If "yes", A	below is required
4.	Is the Is the Con	ne system operated under an Operating Permit?	If "yes", A	below is required
4.	Is the Is the Con	ne system operated under an Operating Permit?	If "yes", A	below is required
4.	Is the Is the Con	ne system operated under an Operating Permit?	If "yes", A	below is required
4	Is the Is the Con	ne system operated under an Operating Permit?	If "yes", A	below is required
4	Is the Is the Con	ne system operated under an Operating Permit?	If "yes", A	below is required
4.	Is the Is the Con	ne system operated under an Operating Permit? Yes No ne system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? Yes No BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed impliance criteria: a. Have the operating permit requirements been met? Yes No ob. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning? Yes No Any "no" answer indicates noncompliance. Describe verification methods and results:	If "yes", A If "yes", B ed.	below is required
4.	Is the Is the Con	ne system operated under an Operating Permit?	If "yes", A If "yes", B ed.	below is required

5. Soil separation – Compliance component #5 of 5

Date of installation 1984 (mm/dd/yyyy)	_ 🗌 Unknown			
Shoreland/Wellhead protection/Food	⊠ Yes □ No	Attached supporting documentation:		
beverage lodging?		$oxed{\boxtimes}$ Soil observation logs completed for th	e report (Attach)	
Compliance criteria (select one): 5a. For systems built prior to April 1, 1996,	☐ Yes ☐ No*	☐ Two previous verifications of required separation (Attach)		
and not located in Shoreland or Wellhead Protection Area or not serving a food, beverage or lodging establishment:	and not located in Shoreland or Wellhead Protection Area or not serving a food,		☐ Not applicable (No soil treatment area) ☐	
Drainfield has at least a two-foot vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.				
5b. Non-performance systems built April 1,	☐ Yes ☒ No*	Indicate depths or elevations		
1996, or later or for non-performance systems located in Shoreland or Wellhead Protection Areas or serving a food,		A. Bottom of distribution media	See Attached Boring Log(s)	
beverage, or lodging establishment:		B. Periodically saturated soil/bedrock		
Drainfield has a three-foot vertical separation distance from periodically		C. System separation		
saturated soil or bedrock.*		D. Required compliance separation*		
		*May be reduced up to 15 percent if allo Ordinance.	owed by Local	
5c. "Experimental", "Other", or "Performance" systems built under pre-2008 Rules; Type IV or V systems built under 2008 Rules 7080. 2350 or 7080.2400 (Advanced Inspector License required)	☐ Yes ☐ No*			
Drainfield meets the designed vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.				
*Any "no" answer above indicates the	system is			

Describe verification methods and results:

failing to protect groundwater.

Upgrade requirements: (Minn. Stat. § 115.55) An imminent threat to public health and safety (ITPHS) must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within ten months of receipt of this notice or within a shorter period if required by local ordinance. If the system is failing to protect ground water, the system must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within the time required by local ordinance. If an existing system is not failing as defined in law, and has at least two feet of design soil separation, then the system need not be upgraded, repaired, replaced, or its use discontinued, notwithstanding any local ordinance that is more strict. This provision does not apply to systems in shoreland areas, Wellhead Protection Areas, or those used in connection with food, beverage, and lodging establishments as defined in law.


Midwest Sewer Testing

Subsurface Sewage Treatment System Owner/Property Information

This information will be used for the purpose of conducting an MPCA Compliance Inspection.				
Date of Inspection: February 3, 2021	Time: 12:00 PM			
Property Address: 8401 River Acres Rd, Cottage Grove, MN	Zip: 55016			
Property Owner: Barbara Parmenter	Phone:			
Tank(s) Tank(s)Material Soil Treatment System Septic 1 Fiberglass ⊠Rock trench Aerobic Plastic Gravelless trench Lift Metal Chamber trench Holding ⊠Concrete Seepage bed Other: Block Mound Other At-grade	Other Alternative system Experimental system Cesspool system Other system			
Are the tank maintenance covers accessible? ⊠ Yes □ No *If i				
performed through the maintenance holes. Maintenance hole cover the ground surface to facilitate access and proper maintenance of the surface to facilitate access and proper maintenance of the surface	he system.			
	Γank size (gals.):			
	sidents in home?			
Number of bedrooms? 3 Are all floors drained by gr				
Garbage disposal? Whirlpool bath? More than one system (laundry, etc.)?				
Does this property have any footing drain tiles connected to the se	ntic system?			
Boes this property have any rooting drain thes connected to the se	pric system:			
Are any buildings on this property such as garages or out-buildings connected to this system?				
Are there any additional systems on this property serving other bu	ildings?			
Location of septic system on lot? Northwest Side				
	well a deep well? N/A			
Have you ever experienced any problems with the system such as: tree roots, sewage back-ups, surfacing of sewage onto the ground, septic tank overflowing, etc.; or have any repairs been made to the system? If yes, explain:				
	per: Meyer Sewer Service			
	on a monitoring plan?			
Have you received notices from any government agency concerning this system?				
Is your property located in a shoreland management area? Y				
Do you have any additional information that should be given to the	e new owner?			
I hereby certify that the above information is correct to the best of my knowledge. I also understand that if the system is considered "non-compliant/failing" per MPCA rules, that the inspector must by law submit a copy of this report to the local government unit within 15 days of the date of inspection completion. I also agree that unless otherwise noted in this report, that I/we are ultimately responsible for payment of all fees for all work performed relative to this inspection by Inspect Minnesota and Midwest Soil Testing				

Date:

Owner/Occupant:



Soil Observations Log

Location of Project: 8401 River Acres Rd, Cottage Grove, MN 55016							
Observations Made By: Midwest Sewer Serv			. <u> </u>	Date:	2/3/2021		
		ation System:	USDA				
		Observation:	ST-1		Soil C	bservation:	
Surfac Elevation Observat	n of	_	nd surface as last field trench	Surface			
Depth In Inches	ock %	Soils E	ncountered	Depth In Inches	Rock %	Soils Encountered	
0-33 33-52 52-60 60-72		10YR 4/4 Me 10YR 5/4 10YR 5/4 L Lamellae	Soils Encountered Depth In Rock % Soils Encountered				
60" De	epth To	o End Of Soil O	bservation Or Redox		Depth T	o End Of Soil	Observation Or Redox
				Elevatio	n Of Observat	tion Relative To System	
-45" Depth To Bottom Of Distribution Media					Distribution Media		
=15" Of	f Sepa	ration			Of Sepa	iration	
End Of	f Soil C	Observation At:	72"	End Of	Soil Oh	servation At:	
2/10/01		lox Present At:	60"	2 51		x Present At:	
Standing Water Present At: None		Standi		r Present At:			
J Hence - Hence - Hence Hence							

Bottom Of Distribution Medium At: 45 Inches		
Signature:	Chan la	

DISCLAIMER

Brian L. Humpal, Inc. dba. Midwest Sewer Services, Inspect Minnesota, Midwest Soil Testing Relative to Subsurface Sewage Treatment System (SSTS) Compliance Inspections

- 1. This inspection/report is being performed for only the seller/owner of the property on which the SSTS is located. In such case that another party is paying for the inspection, the contract is between only said party and Brian L. Humpal, Inc.; there is no contract between Brian L. Humpal, Inc. and any other party unless otherwise noted.
- 3. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. has not been retained to warranty, guarantee, or certify the proper functioning of the SSTS for any period of time beyond the date of inspection or into the future. Because of the numerous factors (usage, maintenance, soil characteristics, previous failures, etc.) which may affect the proper operation of an SSTS, as well as the inability of Brian L. Humpal, Inc. to supervise or monitor the use or maintenance of the SSTS, the report shall not be construed as a warranty by Brian L. Humpal, Inc. that the SSTS will function properly for any particular party for any period of time.
- 4. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. is unable to verify the frequency and/or, quality of prior or future maintenance of the SSTS. Maintenance of the tank(s) must be performed through the tanks maintenance hole. The removal of solids from any location other than the maintenance hole is not a compliant method of maintenance. It is strongly recommended that maintenance covers be made accessible to the ground surface to facilitate proper maintenance.
- 5. Minimum Compliance Inspection requirements relative to this inspection and this report include only verification that the SSTS has tank(s) (septic tanks, lift tanks, dosing tanks, stilling tanks, etc.) which are watertight below the designed operating depth, the required separation between the bottom of the subsurface soil distribution medium and seasonally saturated soils, no back-ups of sewage into the dwelling, no discharge of sewage/effluent to the ground surface or surface waters, and no imminent safety hazards. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. does not inspect plumbing or pumps prior to the first SSTS component as these are plumbing components. The performance of exterior pumps and associated components are not inspected as they are considered to be maintenance items. Additionally, no indications relative to compliance with electrical code requirements have been made. It is recommended that any other applicable plumbing, electrical, housing, etc. inspections be performed by a qualified inspection business. Sewage back-up verification is limited to observing the floor drain area and/or the information supplied by the last occupants of the building prior to inspection relative to back-ups is accurate.
- 4. Certification of this SSTS does not warranty future use beyond the date of the inspection. Any SSTS, old or new, can become hydraulically overloaded or discharge sewage/effluent to the ground surface as a result of more people moving into the house than were previously occupying the house, improper maintenance, heavy usage, leaking plumbing fixtures, groundwater infiltration, tree roots, freezing conditions, surface drainage problems, poor initial design, poor construction practices, or unsuitable materials used in constructing the system; the system can also simply stop working because of its age. An SSTS that has been properly designed and installed, properly maintained, and used in the manner for which the system was designed can be expected to provide service for twenty to twenty-five years on average. Some parts of the SSTS such as alarms, switches, pumps, filters, etc. will most likely have to be repaired or replaced over the lifetime of the system
- 5. A Compliance Inspection is not meant to be a test or inspection for longevity of the system; a Compliance Inspection is strictly for the purpose of determining if the SSTS is protective of public health and safety, as well as the groundwater at the date and time the inspection was performed. This inspection is not intended to determine if the SSTS was originally designed or installed to past or present MPCA or other Local Government Unit code requirements. This inspection is not intended to determine if the SSTS was designed and/or installed to support the anticipated flow from the building as the use of the building may have changed since the design and construction of the SSTS due to the addition of bedrooms, occupants, etc. In addition, this inspection is not intended to determine the quality of the original SSTS design, the quality of the construction practices used while installing the SSTS, or the quality of the materials used in constructing the SSTS.
- 6. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. cannot guarantee the performance of SSTS products/components such as: gravelless pipe, chamber trenches, effluent filters, tanks, sewage pre-treatment components, piping, etc. Products such as gravelless pipe are no longer approved for installation in the State of Minnesota and may have a significantly reduced performance and/or life expectancy.
- 7. WINTER WORK: By accepting this report, it is understood that inspections conducted during winter months (approximately November 1st through April 1st) are more difficult to perform because of possible snow cover and/or ground frost. SSTS components such as tanks, maintenance covers, tank inspection pipes, subsurface distribution medium inspection pipes, and soil treatment areas are more difficult or impossible to locate due to snow cover and/or ground frost. In addition, soil borings are more difficult to perform due to snow cover and/or ground frost. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. will attempt to use the same level of standards when performing work during winter periods as when performing work during non-winter periods. However, the recipient of this report understands that because of the aforementioned considerations, the same level of standards may not be possible.
- 8. By accepting this report, the client understands that Brian L. Humpal, Inc. will not be responsible for any monetary damages exceeding the fee for the services provided.

Subsurface Sewage Treatment Systems

Non-transferable

Business License

Midwest Sewer Services

License # L2896

License Expires: 12/22/2020

Issued: 11/26/2019

Specialty Area(s):

Installer
Maintainer
Service Provider
Advanced Designer
Advanced Inspector

Designated Certified Individual(s):

Cert # Na

Name

Certification Expires:

C5342

Brian L Humpal

10/15/2023

Installer, Maintainer, Serv Prov, Adv Designer, Adv Inspector

C9852 4

Christopher R Uebe

3/4/2021

Designer, Inspector



520 Lafayette Road North St. Paul, Minnesota 55155-4194 Mich Haig

Nick Haig, Supervisor Certification and Training Unit