Midwest Sewer Services

P.O. Box 10853 White Bear Lake, MN 55110 651-492-7550/Brian@Midwestsoiltesting.com

Brian Humpal MPCA Licensed Advanced Inspector

SUBSURFACE SEWAGE TREATMENT SYSTEM (SSTS) COMPLIANCE REPORT

Date: February 17 & February 18, 2021 **Time:** 1:45 PM **Owner:** Kim Fleming **Inspection Address:** 2755 Neal Ave S, Afton, MN **Site Conditions:** 12" Snow 12" Frost

REPORT SUMMARY

I have performed an "MPCA Compliance Inspection" on this system and have reviewed the original design/permit records, along with a previous compliance inspection from 2015 and 2004, which were on file at Washington County. This older system (installed in 1993) consists of two pre-cast septic tanks and a rock trench drainfield. Ron's Sewer Service pumped the septic tanks on February 18, 2021.

Predicated on my inspection of the system and my review of the records, it is my opinion that this system <u>presently meets</u> MPCA minimum compliance inspection requirements.

Midwest Sewer Services have been hired to perform a compliance inspection of this SSTS for compliance with local ordinances pursuant to Minn. Stat. § 115.55 (2013). This compliance inspection covers only the criteria required by Minn. Stat. § 115.55 Subd. 5a (2013) and Minn. R. 7080.1500 (2011). A compliance inspection is an indication of the current compliance status of the system and does not guarantee the performance or longevity of this system beyond the date of inspection, as it is impossible to determine the future performance of any system. Midwest Sewer Services disclaim any use of this compliance inspection beyond determining SSTS compliance pursuant to Minn. Stat. § 115.55 Subd. 5a (2013) and Minn. R. 7080.1500 (2011).

Please contact me should you have any questions.

Christopher Uebe

Brian Humpal

Brian Humpal



520 Lafayette Road North St. Paul, MN 55155-4194

Compliance inspection report form

Existing Subsurface Sewage Treatment System (SSTS)

Doc Type: Compliance and Enforcement

Instructions: Inspection results based on Minnesota Pollution Control Agency (MPCA) requirements and attached supporting documentation – additional local requirements may also apply. Further information can be found here: https://www.pca.state.mn.us/sites/default/files/wq-wwists4-31a.pdf.

Inspector must submit completed form to Local Governmental Unit (LGU) and system owner within 15 days of final determination of compliance or noncompliance.

Property information	Local tracking number:		
Parcel ID# or Sec/Twp/Range: Loca	Local regulatory authority: Washington County		
Property address: 2755 Neal Ave S, Afton, MN 55001			
Owner/representative: Kim Fleming	Owner's phone: 507-421-3134		
Brief system description: Two pre-cast septic tanks and a rock tren	ch drainfield.		
System status			
System status on date (mm/dd/yyyy): _2/17/2021			
□ Compliant – Certificate of compliance*	☐ Noncompliant – Notice of noncompliance		
(Valid for 3 years from report date unless evidence of an imminent threat to public health or safety requiring removal and abatement under section 145A.04, subdivision 8 is discovered or a shorter time frame exists in Local Ordinance.)	An imminent threat to public health and safety (ITPHS) must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within ten months of receipt of this notice or within a shorter period if required by local ordinance or under section 145A.04 subdivision 8.		
*Note: Compliance indicates conformance with Minn. R. 7080.1500 as of system status date above and does not guarantee future performance.	Systems failing to protect ground water must be upgraded, replaced, or use discontinued within the time required by local ordinance.		
Reason(s) for noncompliance (check all applicable)			
☐ Impact on public health (Compliance component #1) – Imminer	nt threat to public health and safety		
☐ Tank integrity (Compliance component #2) – Failing to protect of	groundwater		
☐ Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance component #3) – In	nminent threat to public health and safety		
☐ Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance component #3) – Fa	ailing to protect groundwater		
☐ System not abandoned according to Minn. R. 7080.2500 (Com	oliance component #3) – Failing to protect groundwater		
☐ Soil separation (Compliance component #5) – Failing to protect	groundwater		
Operating permit/monitoring plan requirements (Compliance co	mponent #4) – Noncompliant - local ordinance applies		
Comments or recommendations			
Certification			
I hereby certify that all the necessary information has been gathered determination of future system performance has been nor can be mabuse of the system, inadequate maintenance, or future water usage	ade due to unknown conditions during system construction, possible		
By typing my name below, I certify the above statements to be true can be used for the purpose of processing this form.	e and correct, to the best of my knowledge, and that this information		
Business name: Midwest Sewer Services	Certification number: C5342/C9852		
Inspector signature: Buin Thempal (After Un	License number: L2896		
(This document has been electronically signed)	Phone: 651-492-7550		
Necessary or locally required supporting docu	mentation (must be attached)		
Soil observation logs ☐ Locally required forms	☐ Tank Integrity Assessment ☐ Operating Permit		
☑ Other information (list):			
Report Summary, Property Information, Disclaimer, License			

1. Impact on public health – Compliance component #1 of 5

Compliance criteria:		Attached supporting documentation:
System discharges sewage to the ground surface	☐ Yes* ☒ No	
System discharges sewage to drain tile or surface waters.	☐ Yes* ⊠ No	☐ Not applicable
System causes sewage backup into dwelling or establishment.	☐ Yes* ☒ No	
Any "yes" answer above indicates imminent threat to public health an	•	
Describe verification methods and	results:	
None of the above found.		

2. Tank integrity – Compliance component #2 of 5

Compliance criteria:		Attached supporting do	ocumentation:		
System consists of a seepage pit,	☐ Yes* ☐ No	□ Pumped at time of inspe	ection		
cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit?		Name of maintenance bu	usiness:	Ron's Sewer Service	
Sewage tank(s) leak below their	☐ Yes* ☒ No	License number of main	tenance business	: <u>L4007</u>	
designed operating depth?		Date of maintenance:	Date of maintenance:		
		☐ Existing tank integrity as	sessment (Attach	1)	
		Date of maintenance			
If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks:		(mm/dd/yyyy):	(must be within	three years)	
Any "yes" answer above indicate is failing to protect groundwater	_	(See form instructions to Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp		ent complies with	
		☐ Tank is Noncompliant (p	umping not necessa	ary – explain below)	
		Other:			

Describe verification methods and results:

3. Other compliance conditions – Compliance component #3 of 5

	3a.	. Maintenance hole covers appear to be structurally unsound (damaged, cracked, etc.), or u	unsecured?	
		☐ Yes* ☑ No ☐ Unknown		
	3b.	. Other issues (electrical hazards, etc.) to immediately and adversely impact public health or s	safety? ☐ Yes* ☑ No ☐ Unknov	wn
		*Yes to 3a or 3b - System is an imminent threat to public health and safety.		
		. System is non-protective of ground water for other conditions as determined by inspector?		
	3d.	. System not abandoned in accordance with Minn. R. 7080.2500?	☐ Yes* ☐ No	
		*Yes to 3c or 3d - System is failing to protect groundwater.		
		Describe verification methods and results:		
		Attached supporting documentation: ⊠ Not applicable □		
_	05	perating permit and nitrogen BMP* – Compliance component #4	1 of 5 ⊠ Not applicable	
4.	Οþ	peracing permit and introgen bivies – Compilance component #4	14 UI 3 Not applicable	
4.			No If "yes", A below is requir	— ed
4.	Is th		No If "yes", A below is requir	
4.	Is th	ne system operated under an Operating Permit?	No If "yes", A below is requir	
4.	Is th	ne system operated under an Operating Permit? Permit Perm	No If "yes", A below is requir	
4.	Is th	ne system operated under an Operating Permit? Permit Perm	No If "yes", A below is requir	
4.	Is the Is the If the Con	ne system operated under an Operating Permit? ne system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed in the system design.	No If "yes", A below is requir	
4.	Is the Is the Con	ne system operated under an Operating Permit?	No If "yes", A below is requir	
4.	Is the Is the Con	ne system operated under an Operating Permit? ne system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design?	No If "yes", A below is requir	
4.	Is the Is the Con	ne system operated under an Operating Permit? ne system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design?	No If "yes", A below is requir	
4.	Is the Is the Con	ne system operated under an Operating Permit? ne system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design?	No If "yes", A below is requir	
4.	Is the Is the Con	ne system operated under an Operating Permit? ne system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design?	No If "yes", A below is requir	
4.	Is the Is the Con	ne system operated under an Operating Permit? ne system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design?	No If "yes", A below is requir	
4.	Is the Is the Con	ne system operated under an Operating Permit? ne system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design?	No If "yes", A below is requir	
4.	Is the Is the Con	ne system operated under an Operating Permit? ne system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design?	No If "yes", A below is requir	
4.	Is the Is the Con	ne system operated under an Operating Permit? ne system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design?	No If "yes", A below is requir	
4.	Is the Is the Con	ne system operated under an Operating Permit? ne system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design?	No If "yes", A below is requir	
4.	Is the Is the Con	ne system operated under an Operating Permit? ne system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design?	No If "yes", A below is requir	
4.	Is the Is the Con	ne system operated under an Operating Permit? ne system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design?	No If "yes", A below is requir	
4.	Is the Is the Con	ne system operated under an Operating Permit? ne system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design?	No If "yes", A below is requir	
4.	Is the Is the Con	ne system operated under an Operating Permit?	No If "yes", A below is requir	red
4.	Is the Is the Con	ne system operated under an Operating Permit? ne system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design?	No If "yes", A below is requir	red

5. Soil separation – Compliance component #5 of 5

Date of installation 1993 (mm/dd/yyyy)	_ 🗌 Unkr	nown		
Shoreland/Wellhead protection/Food	☐ Yes	⊠ No	Attached supporting documentation:	
beverage lodging?			☐ Soil observation logs completed for the	e report (Attach)
Compliance criteria (select one):			⊠ Two previous verifications of required separation (Attach)	vertical
5a. For systems built prior to April 1, 1996, and not located in Shoreland or Wellhead	⊠ Yes	☐ No*	☐ Not applicable (No soil treatment area)
Protection Area or not serving a food, beverage or lodging establishment:			□ Reviewed previous compliance inspect	ction from 2015.
Drainfield has at least a two-foot vertical			Reviewed previous compliance inspec	ction from 2004.
separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.			Reviewed design and permit records.	
5b. Non-performance systems built April 1, 1996, or later or for non-performance systems located in Shoreland or Wellhead Protection Areas or serving a food, beverage, or lodging establishment: Drainfield has a three-foot vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.*	☐ Yes	□ No*	Indicate depths or elevations A. Bottom of distribution media B. Periodically saturated soil/bedrock C. System separation D. Required compliance separation* *May be reduced up to 15 percent if allo Ordinance.	See Attached Boring Log(s)
5c. "Experimental", "Other", or "Performance" systems built under pre-2008 Rules; Type IV or V systems built under 2008 Rules 7080. 2350 or 7080.2400 (Advanced Inspector License required) Drainfield meets the designed vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock. *Any "no" answer above indicates the				

failing to protect groundwater.

Describe verification methods and results:

Upgrade requirements: (Minn. Stat. § 115.55) An imminent threat to public health and safety (ITPHS) must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within ten months of receipt of this notice or within a shorter period if required by local ordinance. If the system is failing to protect ground water, the system must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within the time required by local ordinance. If an existing system is not failing as defined in law, and has at least two feet of design soil separation, then the system need not be upgraded, repaired, replaced, or its use discontinued, notwithstanding any local ordinance that is more strict. This provision does not apply to systems in shoreland areas, Wellhead Protection Areas, or those used in connection with food, beverage, and lodging establishments as defined in law.



520 Lafayette Road North St. Paul, MN 55155-4194

Sewage tank

maintenance reporting form

Subsurface Sewage Treatment Systems (SSTS) Program

Purpose: Management and maintenance of Subsurface Sewage Treatment Systems (SSTS) are important to ensure resource protection and long-term and cost-effective sewage treatment. Completion of this form complies with the sewage tank maintenance requirements under Minn. R. 7080.2450 and 7082.0600. This form may be used to certify the compliance status of the sewage tank components of the SSTS. This form is not a complete SSTS inspection report, only a tank integrity assessment, and may only certify sewage tank compliance status when entirely completed and signed on page 3 by a qualified professional.

Instructions: A copy of this information must be submitted to the system owner within 30 days of the maintenance date and be maintained by the licensed SSTS maintainer business for a period of five (5) years from the maintenance date. Maintenance reporting to the local unit of government **may be** required by local ordinance. Check with your local SSTS program for maintenance reporting protocol.

Secure maintenance hole covers

All maintenance hole covers must be returned to service in a sound and durable condition and be capable of withstanding the anticipated load.

Covers must be re-secured in accordance with Minn. R. 7080.2450, subp. 3, Items C or D:

- a) Covers installed under local ordinances adopted after February 4, 2008 must be locked, bolted or screwed or must be 95 pounds in weight. They must be made of material suitable for outdoor use, resistant to ultraviolet degradation and leaks, and not susceptible to being slid or flipped. They must have a label warning of hazardous conditions inside the tank. All screw openings must be refastened.
- b) Covers installed under local ordinances adopted before February 4, 2008 must either be buried with at least 12 inches of soil cover or be secured according to the local ordinance in effect before February 4, 2008.
- c) Covers must meet item 'a' above when raised to the ground surface or less than 12 inches from the ground surface.

Reporting information				
Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy):	02 18 2021	Reason for maintenar	nce: Home Sale	5
Property address: 1155 N	eal Are S		Parcel ID:	
City: After		State: MN	Zip code: 50	5001
	k and Kim	Fleming		
Property-owner's address if different:	5	J		
City:		State:	Zip code:	
Phone number: (501) 421-	3134	Email address: <u>Fol</u>	irflemings @ 9	mail-com
1. Did you measure the accumula	ation of scum and slu	dge? ☐ Yes 🕱 No	(tank(s) pumped without me	asuring)
1 ank (check if present)	Scum	Sludge	Operating depth	Percent full
Septic/holding tank #1				
Septic/holding tank #2				
Pretreatment tank				
☐ Pump tank				
2 . Access used to remove septag	ge: Maintenance h			
3	e. waintenance n	ole Other (Unless	a holding tank, go to #4 below	v)
. If the maintenance hole was us	ed were all covers so	actived in place?	Van Na If was at	
	ou, wore an covers se	ecureu iii piace :	Yes No If no, please	explain below:
4 If the owner refuses to allow a	Subsurface Sewage T	reatment System (SS	TS) to be pumped through	the maintenance
. Hole, have them complete and	sign the following sta	tement.		
l,(Print owner's name)	, refuse to all	low the removal of the	solids and liquids through the	maintenance
hole. I understand that removal o solids removal and does not fulfill	f solids and liquids thro	ough other access point	s is not considered a complia	ant method of
By typing/signing my name bel	low. I certify the above	statements to be true	ruou.2450 and 7082,0600.	December 1
that this information can be used	for the purpose of proc	essing this form.	and correct, to the best of my	knowledge, and
Owner's signature.			m/dd/yyyy):	
		·		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

Property address:	****		Parcel ID:
City:	***************************************	State:	Zip code:
5 . Is the tank designed as a lea Tank #1: Yes No Tank #2: Yes No	Verification method used:	ge pit, cesspool, drywell, leachi	
6	Tomousion mostlod dood.		
Is there evidence of the followant (check if present)	wing? Tank leaks below the designed operating depth	Tank leaks above the	Maintenance hole cover is damaged, cracked, unsecured, or
Septic/holding Tank #1	Yes No	designed operating depth Yes No	appears to be structurally unsound Yes No
Septic/holding Tank #2	Yes No	Yes No	Yes No
☐ Pretreatment Tank	☐ Yes ☐ No	☐ Yes ☐ No	Yes No
Pump Tank	☐ Yes ☐ No	☐ Yes ☐ No	☐ Yes ☐ No
Describe detail for any "Yes"			
7. How many gallons of septage Tank #1: 1250g at #2: 8. Where was the septage taker	e were removed? k 1250gal Pi	retreatment Tank:	Pump Tank:
Where was the septage taker Explanation (Facility name/Site	#):	t facility LI Land application L	_ Other
☐ Maintenance hole and e Explanation : 10. List any troubleshooting and ☐ Troubleshooting and repairs	extensions condition		egrity of tank or lid, electrical hazard, etc.)
Additional comments or suggest	mons for owner's considerat	uon.	
I personally conducted the work des with Minnesota Rules Chapters 708	cribed above on behalf of a 0 – 7083:	Minnesota-licensed SSTS Ma	intenance Business, in compliance
As a noncertified individual who has a designated certified individual who has a noncertified individual who has a designated certified individual	has received proper training ual of the business listed below. I certify the above stateme	ow. ents to be true and correct, to t	
Company information Company name: Ron's Sew Business license number: L40 Email: MNSSewersevvice Employee's signature:	er Service 07 e @ gmail.com	Employee informatio Print name: Marc Certification number: (if a) Phone number: Date (mm/	Meyer pplicable): C4984
	0	The second second	

www.pca.state.mn.us wq-wwists4-38 • 1/7/21


651-296-6300
 800-657-3864

Use your preferred relay service

Available in alternative formats

Midwest Sewer Testing Subsurface Sewage Treatment System Owner/Property Information This information will be used for the purpose of conducting an MPCA Compliance Inspection.

This information will be used for the purpose of cond	lucting an MPCA Compliance Inspection.
Date of Inspection: February 17 & February 18, 202	1 Time: 1:45 PM
Property Address: 2755 Neal Ave S, Afton, MN	Zip: 55001
Property Owner: Kim Fleming	Phone: 507-421-3134
Septic 2 Fiberglass Septic to the property of th	lless trench
Are the tank maintenance covers accessible? ⊠ Yes	
performed through the maintenance holes. Maintena	
the ground surface to facilitate access and proper ma	intenance of the system.
Year house built: 1993 Year septic installed:	<u> </u>
How long has seller owned the property?	Number of residents in home?
Number of bedrooms? 4 Are all floors	drained by gravity? Y
Garbage disposal? Y WI	nirlpool bath? Y
More than one system (laundry, etc.)? N	
Does this property have any footing drain tiles conne	ected to the septic system? N
Are any buildings on this property such as garages or	•
Are there any additional systems on this property ser	ving other buildings? N
Location of septic system on lot? East Side	
Location of water well on lot? West Side	Is the well a deep well? Y
Have you ever experienced any problems with the sy surfacing of sewage onto the ground, septic tank ove to the system? If yes, explain:	
	Name of pumper: Ron's Sewer Service
How often pumped in previous years?	Is system on a monitoring plan?
Have you received notices from any government age	ncy concerning this system?
Is your property located in a shoreland management	area? N
Do you have any additional information that should l	be given to the new owner?
hereby certify that the above information is correct to the best of considered "non-compliant/failing" per MPCA rules, that the instance ocal government unit within 15 days of the date of inspection of this report, that I/we are ultimately responsible for payment of all by Inspect Minnesota and Midwest Soil Testing	spector must by law submit a copy of this report to the completion. I also agree that unless otherwise noted in
Owner/Occupant:	Date:



Lo	cation of Project: 2	755 Neal Ave S Aff	on MN 55	001	
	Borings Made By: In		.011, 1-114 55	Date:	10/28/15
	Auger Used: H	and/Bucket	Class	ification System:	USDA
	Boring Number:	1		Boring Number:	
Surface Elevation Boring	of Same groun drainfi	d surface as last eld trench	Surface Elevation Boring		
Depth In Inches	Soils End	countered	Depth In Inches	Soils En	countered
28-41 41-59 59-80	10YR 4/4 En 7.5YR 4/4 Lar 10YR 4/4 Los	Sandy Loam ne Sand With mellae Banding my Fine Sand			
80" Same	Depth To End Of Bor Elevation Of Boring			Depth To End Of Boring	Relative To System
-50"	Depth To Bottom Of	,			f Distribution Media
≥30"	Of Separation			Of Separation	
	End Of Boring At:	80"		End Of Boring At:	
	Redox Present At:	None		Redox Present At:	
Standing	Water Present At:	None	Standing	Water Present At:	
	Bottom Of Distribution Medium At: 50 Inches				

1		l Ove	
Locatio	made by 3.4	<u> </u>	Date 7-3-04
Classif	ication System: AASHO : USDA	-scs X	; Unified; other,
Auger u	sed (check two): Hand X, or Pou	/er; P:	light, or Bucket 🔀 : othe
	<u> </u>		
Depth,	Boring number 15-1	Depth,	Boring number
in feet	Surface elevation 6" below	in feet	Surface elevation
0	7.0.4. @0-122		
0	0-240		
1 -	1040 414	, _	
•	LOAMY SAND CRAVEL		
2 —	24-48*	2	
	24-48	.	
3 —		3 -	
	104RS/6 CL.LOAM		,
4-	48-84"	" -	
s		5	
,	SANDY LOAM		
6 —	,	- 6	
7 —	- 111	17-1	
	HAS 24"	1. 1	
8 —	DF SEPARATION	8 —	
1			
	7		
End of be	oring at feet.		coring at fee:
	water table: at feet of depth,		at feet of dept^.
	hours after boring		hours after boring.
	ent in boring hole		sent in boring hole
not pres			
Mottled .	soil:	Mottled Observe	soil: darfeec of dep::
Observed	at feet of depth.	1	sent in boring hole
	ent in boring hole		tions and comments:
Observat	ions and comments:	ouserva	CTORS BITO COMMENTS.
T O	P OF DISTRIBUTION MEDIUM AT: _		. INCHES
BO	TTOM OF DISTRIBUTION MEDIUM	AT:	HP ± INCHES
RE	MARKS:		*

٠.	Location or Project _ 2805 : New / Avenue	5011 Borings , Afton, Minnesota
	Borings made by Patrick J Hines PE	#1503 Commences: 21 Nov '92 #12086 Date Completed: 22 Nov '92
	Classification System: AASHO; USDA-	
•	Auger used (check two): Hand X, or Pove	
	Depth, Boring number /	Depth, Boring number Z
	feet Surface elevation 100.0 (arbitrary)	feet Surface elevation
	5:12 Chan Loam, Miltimorganis, Dr. Gra v most, soft, 4 to's us ye hatin (Ippoil)	Silly Chay Low, Mildly Organic, DK + Brann Ok Gray, V moist, soft, my t
	1 - Silly Clay boam, Red-brown, rmist	I Silly Chylosus Brown to Red. bro.
	2 - 13 - acc sm port interpret	2 - Lipth of are an out intensive
	3 - grade (pre med grade & peorly	3-
	w/ to be a little growl (wines	Sand multir well gradue & foorly
	4 - Brown of Rad- brown (logeral)	4 - graded (pred med & co) - layered
,	5	5 - (Ingeria), most, low is fire
(6 - Prez course	6 - 5m cobbh @ 4'
	7 —	7-
	8 - Ent of Bering - No Recuser	8 End of Boring - No Rebusal
		* Imperil
	End of boring at 8 feet.	End of boring at 8 feet.
	Standing water table:	Standing water table: Present at feet of depth,
	Present at feet of depth, hours after boring.	hours after boring.
	Not present in boring hole X	Not present in boring hole k.
	after) the	aftert hr
	Mottled soil:	Mottled soil:
	Observed at feet of depth.	Observed at feet of depth.
	Not present in boring hole Observations and comments:	Not present in boring hole Observations and comments:
	Errc holes in	(v open

DISCLAIMER

Brian L. Humpal, Inc. dba. Midwest Sewer Services, Inspect Minnesota, Midwest Soil Testing Relative to Subsurface Sewage Treatment System (SSTS) Compliance Inspections

- 1. This inspection/report is being performed for only the seller/owner of the property on which the SSTS is located. In such case that another party is paying for the inspection, the contract is between only said party and Brian L. Humpal, Inc.; there is no contract between Brian L. Humpal, Inc. and any other party unless otherwise noted.
- 3. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. has not been retained to warranty, guarantee, or certify the proper functioning of the SSTS for any period of time beyond the date of inspection or into the future. Because of the numerous factors (usage, maintenance, soil characteristics, previous failures, etc.) which may affect the proper operation of an SSTS, as well as the inability of Brian L. Humpal, Inc. to supervise or monitor the use or maintenance of the SSTS, the report shall not be construed as a warranty by Brian L. Humpal, Inc. that the SSTS will function properly for any particular party for any period of time.
- 4. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. is unable to verify the frequency and/or, quality of prior or future maintenance of the SSTS. Maintenance of the tank(s) must be performed through the tanks maintenance hole. The removal of solids from any location other than the maintenance hole is not a compliant method of maintenance. It is strongly recommended that maintenance covers be made accessible to the ground surface to facilitate proper maintenance.
- 5. Minimum Compliance Inspection requirements relative to this inspection and this report include only verification that the SSTS has tank(s) (septic tanks, lift tanks, dosing tanks, stilling tanks, etc.) which are watertight below the designed operating depth, the required separation between the bottom of the subsurface soil distribution medium and seasonally saturated soils, no back-ups of sewage into the dwelling, no discharge of sewage/effluent to the ground surface or surface waters, and no imminent safety hazards. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. does not inspect plumbing or pumps prior to the first SSTS component as these are plumbing components. The performance of exterior pumps and associated components are not inspected as they are considered to be maintenance items. Additionally, no indications relative to compliance with electrical code requirements have been made. It is recommended that any other applicable plumbing, electrical, housing, etc. inspections be performed by a qualified inspection business. Sewage back-up verification is limited to observing the floor drain area and/or the information supplied by the last occupants of the building prior to inspection relative to back-ups is accurate.
- 4. Certification of this SSTS does not warranty future use beyond the date of the inspection. Any SSTS, old or new, can become hydraulically overloaded or discharge sewage/effluent to the ground surface as a result of more people moving into the house than were previously occupying the house, improper maintenance, heavy usage, leaking plumbing fixtures, groundwater infiltration, tree roots, freezing conditions, surface drainage problems, poor initial design, poor construction practices, or unsuitable materials used in constructing the system; the system can also simply stop working because of its age. An SSTS that has been properly designed and installed, properly maintained, and used in the manner for which the system was designed can be expected to provide service for twenty to twenty-five years on average. Some parts of the SSTS such as alarms, switches, pumps, filters, etc. will most likely have to be repaired or replaced over the lifetime of the system.
- 5. A Compliance Inspection is not meant to be a test or inspection for longevity of the system; a Compliance Inspection is strictly for the purpose of determining if the SSTS is protective of public health and safety, as well as the groundwater at the date and time the inspection was performed. This inspection is not intended to determine if the SSTS was originally designed or installed to past or present MPCA or other Local Government Unit code requirements. This inspection is not intended to determine if the SSTS was designed and/or installed to support the anticipated flow from the building as the use of the building may have changed since the design and construction of the SSTS due to the addition of bedrooms, occupants, etc. In addition, this inspection is not intended to determine the quality of the original SSTS design, the quality of the construction practices used while installing the SSTS, or the quality of the materials used in constructing the SSTS.
- 6. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. cannot guarantee the performance of SSTS products/components such as: gravelless pipe, chamber trenches, effluent filters, tanks, sewage pre-treatment components, piping, etc. Products such as gravelless pipe are no longer approved for installation in the State of Minnesota and may have a significantly reduced performance and/or life expectancy.
- 7. WINTER WORK: By accepting this report, it is understood that inspections conducted during winter months (approximately November 1st through April 1st) are more difficult to perform because of possible snow cover and/or ground frost. SSTS components such as tanks, maintenance covers, tank inspection pipes, subsurface distribution medium inspection pipes, and soil treatment areas are more difficult or impossible to locate due to snow cover and/or ground frost. In addition, soil borings are more difficult to perform due to snow cover and/or ground frost. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. will attempt to use the same level of standards when performing work during winter periods as when performing work during non-winter periods. However, the recipient of this report understands that because of the aforementioned considerations, the same level of standards may not be possible.
- 8. By accepting this report, the client understands that Brian L. Humpal, Inc. will not be responsible for any monetary damages exceeding the fee for the services provided.

Subsurface Sewage Treatment Systems

Non-transferable

Business License

Midwest Sewer Services

License # L2896

License Expires: 12/22/2020

Issued: 11/26/2019

Specialty Area(s):

Installer
Maintainer
Service Provider
Advanced Designer
Advanced Inspector

Designated Certified Individual(s):

Cert # N

Name

Certification Expires:

C5342

Brian L Humpal

10/15/2023

Installer, Maintainer, Serv Prov, Adv Designer, Adv Inspector

C9852 4

Christopher R Uebe

3/4/2021

Designer, Inspector



520 Lafayette Road North St. Paul, Minnesota 55155-4194 Mich Haig

Nick Haig, Supervisor Certification and Training Unit