Midwest Sewer Services

P.O. Box 10853 White Bear Lake, MN 55110 651-492-7550/Brian@Midwestsoiltesting.com

Brian Humpal

MPCA Licensed Advanced Inspector

SUBSURFACE SEWAGE TREATMENT SYSTEM (SSTS) COMPLIANCE REPORT

REPORT SUMMARY

I have performed an "MPCA Compliance Inspection" on this system and have reviewed the original design/permit records on file at Washington County. This very old system consists of a pre-cast septic tank and a pre-cast lift tank (installed in the 1970's), and a mound (installed in 1985). It should be noted that the average life expectancy of a septic system is approximately 30 years. Meyer Sewer Service pumped the septic tank and lift tank on February 18, 2021.

Although not compliance criteria, it should be noted that the septic tank outlet baffle is missing and should be replaced as soon as possible. Additionally, the lift pump is not equipped with an alarm. An alarm should be installed as soon as possible to notify the occupants of the house in the event of a pump malfunction. Also, it should be noted that the lift pump electrical is poorly configured and should be re-configured to reduce the potential for problems.

Predicated on my inspection of the system and my review of the original design/permit records, it is my opinion that this system <u>presently meets</u> MPCA minimum compliance inspection requirements.

Midwest Sewer Services have been hired to perform a compliance inspection of this SSTS for compliance with local ordinances pursuant to Minn. Stat. § 115.55 (2013). This compliance inspection covers only the criteria required by Minn. Stat. § 115.55 Subd. 5a (2013) and Minn. R. 7080.1500 (2011). A compliance inspection is an indication of the current compliance status of the system and does not guarantee the performance or longevity of this system beyond the date of inspection, as it is impossible to determine the future performance of any system. Midwest Sewer Services disclaim any use of this compliance inspection beyond determining SSTS compliance pursuant to Minn. Stat. § 115.55 Subd. 5a (2013) and Minn. R. 7080.1500 (2011).

Please contact me should you have any questions.

Brian Humpal

Christopher Uebe

Brian Humpal



520 Lafayette Road North St. Paul, MN 55155-4194

Compliance inspection report form

Existing Subsurface Sewage Treatment System (SSTS)

Doc Type: Compliance and Enforcement

Instructions: Inspection results based on Minnesota Pollution Control Agency (MPCA) requirements and attached supporting documentation – additional local requirements may also apply. Further information can be found here: https://www.pca.state.mn.us/sites/default/files/wq-wwists4-31a.pdf.

Inspector must submit completed form to Local Governmental Unit (LGU) and system owner within 15 days of final determination of compliance or noncompliance.

Property information	Local tracking number:
Parcel ID# or Sec/Twp/Range:Loc	cal regulatory authority: Washington County
Property address: 13645 Valley Creek Trail S, Afton, MN 55001	1
Owner/representative: Trudy Berggren	Owner's phone: 651-208-8007
Brief system description: A pre-cast septic tank, a pre-cast lift tar	nk, and a mound.
System status	
System status on date (mm/dd/yyyy): _2/18/2021	
☐ Compliant – Certificate of compliance*	☐ Noncompliant – Notice of noncompliance
(Valid for 3 years from report date unless evidence of an imminent threat to public health or safety requiring removal and abatement under section 145A.04, subdivision 8 is discovered or a shorter time frame exists	An imminent threat to public health and safety (ITPHS) must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within ten months of receipt of this notice or within a shorter period if required by local ordinance or under section 145A.04 subdivision 8.
in Local Ordinance.) *Note: Compliance indicates conformance with Minn. R. 7080.1500 as of system status date above and does not guarantee future performance.	Systems failing to protect ground water must be upgraded, replaced, or use discontinued within the time required by local ordinance.
Reason(s) for noncompliance (check all applicable	le)
☐ Impact on public health (Compliance component #1) – Immin☐ Tank integrity (Compliance component #2) – Failing to protect ☐ Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance component #3) –☐ Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance component #3) –☐ System not abandoned according to Minn. R. 7080.2500 (Co☐ Soil separation (Compliance component #5) – Failing to protect ☐ Operating permit/monitoring plan requirements (Compliance Comments or recommendations Although not compliance criteria, it should be noted that the septimate in the compliance criteria, it should be noted that the septimate in the compliance criteria, it should be noted that the septimate in the compliance criteria, it should be noted that the septimate in the compliance criteria, it should be noted that the septimate in the compliance criteria.	of groundwater Imminent threat to public health and safety Failing to protect groundwater Impliance component #3) – Failing to protect groundwater Insect groundwater
as possible. Additionally, the lift pump is not equipped with an a the occupants of the house in the event of a pump malfunction.	larm. An alarm should be installed as soon as possible to notify
Certification	
abuse of the system, inadequate maintenance, or future water use	made due to unknown conditions during system construction, possible
can be used for the purpose of processing this form.	,
Business name: Midwest Sewer Services	Certification number: C5342/C9852
Inspector signature: Brian Humpal Mar V	License number: L2896
(This document has been electronically signed,	Phone: 651-492-7550
Necessary or locally required supporting doc	cumentation (must be attached)
 Soil observation logs □ Locally required forms □ Other information (list): 	☐ Operating Permit
Report Summary, Property Information, Disclaimer, License	
<u> </u>	

https://www.pca.state.mn.us wq-wwists4-31b • 1/11/21

1. Impact on public health – Compliance component #1 of 5

Compliance criteria:		Attached supporting documentation:
System discharges sewage to the	☐ Yes* ☒ No	Other:
ground surface		☐ Not applicable
System discharges sewage to drain	☐ Yes* ☒ No	
tile or surface waters.	D Vaa* M Na	
System causes sewage backup into dwelling or establishment.	☐ Yes* ⊠ No	
Any "yes" answer above indicates imminent threat to public health an	•	
Describe verification methods and	results:	
None of the above found.		

2. Tank integrity – Compliance component #2 of 5

	Attached supporting documentation:				
☐ Yes* ☒ No	□ Pumped at time of inspection				
	Name of maintenance business:	Meyer Sewer Service			
☐ Yes* ☒ No	License number of maintenance business: <u>L915</u>				
	Date of maintenance:	2/18/2021			
	☐ Existing tank integrity assessment (Attach	n)			
	Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (must be within	three years)			
•	(See form instructions to ensure assessment complies with Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1))				
	☐ Tank is Noncompliant (pumping not necessary	ary – explain below)			
	Other:				
		□ Yes* ☑ No □ Pumped at time of inspection Name of maintenance business: □ License number of maintenance business Date of maintenance: □ Existing tank integrity assessment (Attach Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (must be within (See form instructions to ensure assessm Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1)) □ Tank is Noncompliant (pumping not necession)			

Describe verification methods and results:

Although not compliance criteria, it should be noted that the septic tank outlet baffle is missing and should be replaced as soon as possible. Additionally, the lift pump is not equipped with an alarm. An alarm should be installed as soon as possible to notify the occupants of the house in the event of a pump malfunction. Also, it should be noted that the lift pump electrical is poorly configured and should be re-configured to reduce the potential for problems.

https://www.pca.state.mn.us wq-wwists4-31b • 1/11/21

3. Other compliance conditions – Compliance component #3 of 5

	3a.	Maintenance hole covers appear to be struct ☐ Yes* ☐ No ☐ Unknown	turally unsound (dam	iaged, cr	uonou, oto.	,,			
	3b.	Other issues (electrical hazards, etc.) to immed	iately and adversely	impact p	oublic healt	h or safet	v? ☐ Yes*	⊠ No □ Unkn	iown
		*Yes to 3a or 3b - System is an imminent	•				, _		
	3c.	System is non-protective of ground water for	-		-	ector?	☐ Yes*	⊠ No	
		System not abandoned in accordance with M			, ,		_ □ Yes*		
		*Yes to 3c or 3d - System is failing to prot							
		Describe verification methods and results	_						
			-						
		Attached supporting documentation:	Not applicable _						
1.	Ор	erating permit and nitrogen BN	1P* – Compliai	nce co	mponei	nt #4 o	of 5 ⊠ N	lot applicable	!
1.		erating permit and nitrogen BN e system operated under an Operating Permi	·	nce co	<u> </u>			lot applicable	
1.	Is th	<u> </u>	t?		☐ Yes	□No	If "yes", A	below is requ	ired
1.	Is th	e system operated under an Operating Permi	t? specified in the system	em desig	☐ Yes	□No	If "yes", A	below is requ	ired
1.	Is th	e system operated under an Operating Permi e system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP	t? specified in the system dealing the system deali	em desig sign	☐ Yes gn? ☐ Yes	□ No	If "yes", A If "yes", B	below is requ	ired
1.	Is th	e system operated under an Operating Permi e system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specif	t? specified in the system dealing the system deali	em desig sign	☐ Yes gn? ☐ Yes	□ No	If "yes", A If "yes", B	below is requ	ired
<u>1.</u>	Is th	e system operated under an Operating Permi e system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specif e answer to both questions is "no", the npliance criteria:	t? specified in the syst fied in the system de nis section does n	em desig sign not need	☐ Yes gn? ☐ Yes	□ No	If "yes", A If "yes", B	below is requ	ired
1.	Is th Is th If th Cor	e system operated under an Operating Permi e system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specifies answer to both questions is "no", the inpliance criteria: Have the operating permit requirements been	t? specified in the system desired in the system desired in the system desired section does references.	em desig sign not need □ Yes	☐ Yes gn? ☐ Yes d to be co	□ No	If "yes", A If "yes", B	below is requ	ired
1.	Is th Is th If th Cor	e system operated under an Operating Permi e system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specif e answer to both questions is "no", the pliance criteria: Have the operating permit requirements been. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and p	t? specified in the system destriction does received met? roperly functioning?	em desig sign not need □ Yes	☐ Yes gn? ☐ Yes d to be co	□ No	If "yes", A If "yes", B	below is requ	ired
1.	Is th Is th If th Cor	e system operated under an Operating Permi e system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specif e answer to both questions is "no", the npliance criteria: Have the operating permit requirements been Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and position answer indicates nonce	t? specified in the system defied in the system defies section does refer met? roperly functioning?	em desig sign not need □ Yes	☐ Yes gn? ☐ Yes d to be co	□ No	If "yes", A If "yes", B	below is requ	ired
1.	Is th Is th If th Cor	e system operated under an Operating Permi e system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specif e answer to both questions is "no", the pliance criteria: Have the operating permit requirements been. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and p	t? specified in the system defied in the system defies section does refer met? roperly functioning?	em desig sign not need □ Yes	☐ Yes gn? ☐ Yes d to be co	□ No	If "yes", A If "yes", B	below is requ	ired
1.	Is th Is th If th Cor	e system operated under an Operating Permi e system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specif e answer to both questions is "no", the npliance criteria: Have the operating permit requirements been Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and position answer indicates nonce	t? specified in the system defied in the system defies section does refer met? roperly functioning?	em desig sign not need □ Yes	☐ Yes gn? ☐ Yes d to be co	□ No	If "yes", A If "yes", B	below is requ	ired
1.	Is th Is th If th Cor	e system operated under an Operating Permi e system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specif e answer to both questions is "no", the npliance criteria: Have the operating permit requirements been Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and position answer indicates nonce	t? specified in the system defied in the system defies section does refer met? roperly functioning?	em desig sign not need □ Yes	☐ Yes gn? ☐ Yes d to be co	□ No	If "yes", A If "yes", B	below is requ	ired
1.	Is th Is th If th Cor	e system operated under an Operating Permi e system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specif e answer to both questions is "no", the npliance criteria: Have the operating permit requirements been Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and position answer indicates nonce	t? specified in the system defied in the system defies section does refer met? roperly functioning?	em desig sign not need □ Yes	☐ Yes gn? ☐ Yes d to be co	□ No	If "yes", A If "yes", B	below is requ	ired
1.	Is th Is th If th Cor	e system operated under an Operating Permi e system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specif e answer to both questions is "no", the npliance criteria: Have the operating permit requirements been Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and position answer indicates nonce	t? specified in the system defied in the system defies section does refer met? roperly functioning?	em desig sign not need □ Yes	☐ Yes gn? ☐ Yes d to be co	□ No	If "yes", A If "yes", B	below is requ	ired
1.	Is th Is th If th Cor	e system operated under an Operating Permi e system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specif e answer to both questions is "no", the npliance criteria: Have the operating permit requirements been Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and position answer indicates nonce	t? specified in the system defied in the system defies section does refer met? roperly functioning?	em desig sign not need □ Yes	☐ Yes gn? ☐ Yes d to be co	□ No	If "yes", A If "yes", B	below is requ	ired
1.	Is th Is th If th Cor	e system operated under an Operating Permi e system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specif e answer to both questions is "no", the npliance criteria: Have the operating permit requirements been Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and position answer indicates nonce	t? specified in the system defied in the system defies section does refer met? roperly functioning?	em desig sign not need □ Yes	☐ Yes gn? ☐ Yes d to be co	□ No	If "yes", A If "yes", B	below is requ	ired
1.	Is th Is th If th Cor	e system operated under an Operating Permi e system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specif e answer to both questions is "no", the npliance criteria: Have the operating permit requirements been Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and position answer indicates nonce	t? specified in the system defied in the system defies section does refer met? roperly functioning?	em desig sign not need □ Yes	☐ Yes gn? ☐ Yes d to be co	□ No	If "yes", A If "yes", B	below is requ	ired
1.	Is th Is th If th Cor	e system operated under an Operating Permi e system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specif e answer to both questions is "no", the npliance criteria: Have the operating permit requirements been Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and position answer indicates nonce	t? specified in the system defied in the system defies section does refer met? roperly functioning?	em desig sign not need □ Yes	☐ Yes gn? ☐ Yes d to be co	□ No	If "yes", A If "yes", B	below is requ	ired
1.	Is th Is th If th Cor	e system operated under an Operating Permi e system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specif e answer to both questions is "no", the npliance criteria: Have the operating permit requirements been Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and position answer indicates nonce	t? specified in the system defied in the system defies section does refer met? roperly functioning?	em desig sign not need □ Yes	☐ Yes gn? ☐ Yes d to be co	□ No	If "yes", A If "yes", B	below is requ	ired
1.	Is th Is th If th Cor	e system operated under an Operating Permi e system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specifice answer to both questions is "no", the impliance criteria: Have the operating permit requirements been as the required nitrogen BMP in place and possible verification methods and results. Describe verification methods and results.	t? specified in the system defied in the system defies section does not be met? roperly functioning?	em desig sign not need Yes	□ Yes gn? □ Yes d to be co □ No □ No	□ No □ No mpleted	If "yes", A If "yes", B	below is requ	ired ired

5. Soil separation – Compliance component #5 of 5

Date of installation 1970s/1985 (mm/dd/yyyy)	_ 🗌 Unknown			
Shoreland/Wellhead protection/Food	⊠ Yes □ No	Attached supporting documentation:		
beverage lodging?		Soil observation logs completed for the complete of t	e report (Attach)	
Compliance criteria (select one):		☐ Two previous verifications of required	vertical	
5a. For systems built prior to April 1, 1996,	☐ Yes ☐ No*	separation (Attach) Not applicable (No soil treatment area)		
and not located in Shoreland or Wellhead Protection Area or not serving a food,				
beverage or lodging establishment:		⊠ Reviewed design and permit records.		
Drainfield has at least a two-foot vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.				
5b. Non-performance systems built April 1,	⊠ Yes □ No*	Indicate depths or elevations		
1996, or later or for non-performance systems located in Shoreland or Wellhead Protection Areas or serving a food,	,	A. Bottom of distribution media	See Attached Boring Log(s)	
beverage, or lodging establishment:		B. Periodically saturated soil/bedrock		
Drainfield has a three-foot vertical separation distance from periodically		C. System separation		
saturated soil or bedrock.*		D. Required compliance separation*		
		*May be reduced up to 15 percent if allo Ordinance.	wed by Local	
5c. "Experimental", "Other", or "Performance" systems built under pre-2008 Rules; Type IV or V systems built under 2008 Rules 7080. 2350 or 7080.2400 (Advanced Inspector License required)	Yes □ No*			
Drainfield meets the designed vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.				
*Any "no" answer above indicates the failing to protect groundwater.	system is			


Describe verification methods and results:

Upgrade requirements: (Minn. Stat. § 115.55) An imminent threat to public health and safety (ITPHS) must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within ten months of receipt of this notice or within a shorter period if required by local ordinance. If the system is failing to protect ground water, the system must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within the time required by local ordinance. If an existing system is not failing as defined in law, and has at least two feet of design soil separation, then the system need not be upgraded, repaired, replaced, or its use discontinued, notwithstanding any local ordinance that is more strict. This provision does not apply to systems in shoreland areas, Wellhead Protection Areas, or those used in connection with food, beverage, and lodging establishments as defined in law.

<u>Midwest & ewer Testing</u> <u>Subsurface Sewage Treatment System Owner/Property Information</u>

This information will be used for the purpose of conducting an MPCA Con	mpliance Inspection.
Date of Inspection: 2/17/21 & 2/18/21	Time: 2:00 PM
Property Address: 13645 Valley Creek Trail S, Afton, MN	Zip: 55001
Property Owner: Trudy Berggren	Phone: 651-208-8007
Tank(s) Tank(s)Material Soil Treatment System Septic 1 Fiberglass Rock trench Aerobic Plastic Gravelless trench Lift Metal Chamber trench Holding Concrete Seepage bed Other: Block Mound Other At-grade	Other Alternative system Experimental system Cesspool system Other system
Are the tank maintenance covers accessible? Yes No *If no, performed through the maintenance holes. Maintenance hole covers the ground surface to facilitate access and proper maintenance of the	should be made accessible to
Year house built: 2012 Year septic installed: Tanks-70's?/Mound 85'	<u> </u>
How long has seller owned the property? Number of resid	
Number of bedrooms? 4 Are all floors drained by grav	vity? Y
Garbage disposal? Whirlpool bath?	
More than one system (laundry, etc.)?	
Does this property have any footing drain tiles connected to the septi	
Are any buildings on this property such as garages or out-buildings of	-
Are there any additional systems on this property serving other build	ings'?
Location of septic system on lot? East Side	
	rell a deep well? Y
Have you ever experienced any problems with the system such as: tree	
surfacing of sewage onto the ground, septic tank overflowing, etc.; o to the system? If yes, explain:	r have any repairs been made
<u> </u>	r: Meyer Sewer Service
	n a monitoring plan?
Have you received notices from any government agency concerning	this system?
Is your property located in a shoreland management area? Y	
Do you have any additional information that should be given to the n	new owner?
I hereby certify that the above information is correct to the best of my knowledge. I considered "non-compliant/failing" per MPCA rules, that the inspector must by law local government unit within 15 days of the date of inspection completion. I also a this report, that I/we are ultimately responsible for payment of all fees for all work by Inspect Minnesota and Midwest Soil Testing	v submit a copy of this report to the agree that unless otherwise noted in

Owner/Occupant: Date:



Soil Observations Log

	Locati	on of Project:	13645 Valley Creek	Trail S	, Afton,	MN 55001	
	Observations Made By: Midwest Sewer Ser					Date:	2/17/2021
С	Classification System: USDA						
	Soil	Observation:	ST-1		Soil O	bservation:	
Surf Elevat Observ	ion of		top of mound on nal contour	Surface Elevation of Observation			
Depth In Inches	Rock %	Soils E	ncountered	Depth In Inches	Rock %	Soils	<u>Encountered</u>
0-22 22-28	<5	Bedroo 10YR 4/4	Sandy Loam With Ek Fragments I Medium Sand Isal At 28"				
28"	Depth T	o End Of Soil O	bservation Or Redox		Depth T	o End Of Soil	Observation Or Redox
						ion Relative To System	
-28" Depth To Bottom Of Distribution Media					Distribution Media		
≥31"	Of Sepa	ration			Of Sepa	ration	
Fnd (Of Soil (Observation At:	28"	End Of	Soil Oh	servation At:	
Liid		dox Present At:	None	2.10 01		x Present At:	
Standing Water Present At: None			Standi		r Present At:		
	Standing Water Frescht At. 140He Standing Water Frescht At.						

Bottom Of Distribution Medium At: 28 Inches			
Signature:	Com Va		

DISCLAIMER

Brian L. Humpal, Inc. dba. Midwest Sewer Services, Inspect Minnesota, Midwest Soil Testing Relative to Subsurface Sewage Treatment System (SSTS) Compliance Inspections

- 1. This inspection/report is being performed for only the seller/owner of the property on which the SSTS is located. In such case that another party is paying for the inspection, the contract is between only said party and Brian L. Humpal, Inc.; there is no contract between Brian L. Humpal, Inc. and any other party unless otherwise noted.
- 3. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. has not been retained to warranty, guarantee, or certify the proper functioning of the SSTS for any period of time beyond the date of inspection or into the future. Because of the numerous factors (usage, maintenance, soil characteristics, previous failures, etc.) which may affect the proper operation of an SSTS, as well as the inability of Brian L. Humpal, Inc. to supervise or monitor the use or maintenance of the SSTS, the report shall not be construed as a warranty by Brian L. Humpal, Inc. that the SSTS will function properly for any particular party for any period of time.
- 4. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. is unable to verify the frequency and/or, quality of prior or future maintenance of the SSTS. Maintenance of the tank(s) must be performed through the tanks maintenance hole. The removal of solids from any location other than the maintenance hole is not a compliant method of maintenance. It is strongly recommended that maintenance covers be made accessible to the ground surface to facilitate proper maintenance.
- 5. Minimum Compliance Inspection requirements relative to this inspection and this report include only verification that the SSTS has tank(s) (septic tanks, lift tanks, dosing tanks, stilling tanks, etc.) which are watertight below the designed operating depth, the required separation between the bottom of the subsurface soil distribution medium and seasonally saturated soils, no back-ups of sewage into the dwelling, no discharge of sewage/effluent to the ground surface or surface waters, and no imminent safety hazards. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. does not inspect plumbing or pumps prior to the first SSTS component as these are plumbing components. The performance of exterior pumps and associated components are not inspected as they are considered to be maintenance items. Additionally, no indications relative to compliance with electrical code requirements have been made. It is recommended that any other applicable plumbing, electrical, housing, etc. inspections be performed by a qualified inspection business. Sewage back-up verification is limited to observing the floor drain area and/or the information supplied by the last occupants of the building prior to inspection relative to back-ups is accurate.
- 4. Certification of this SSTS does not warranty future use beyond the date of the inspection. Any SSTS, old or new, can become hydraulically overloaded or discharge sewage/effluent to the ground surface as a result of more people moving into the house than were previously occupying the house, improper maintenance, heavy usage, leaking plumbing fixtures, groundwater infiltration, tree roots, freezing conditions, surface drainage problems, poor initial design, poor construction practices, or unsuitable materials used in constructing the system; the system can also simply stop working because of its age. An SSTS that has been properly designed and installed, properly maintained, and used in the manner for which the system was designed can be expected to provide service for twenty to twenty-five years on average. Some parts of the SSTS such as alarms, switches, pumps, filters, etc. will most likely have to be repaired or replaced over the lifetime of the system
- 5. A Compliance Inspection is not meant to be a test or inspection for longevity of the system; a Compliance Inspection is strictly for the purpose of determining if the SSTS is protective of public health and safety, as well as the groundwater at the date and time the inspection was performed. This inspection is not intended to determine if the SSTS was originally designed or installed to past or present MPCA or other Local Government Unit code requirements. This inspection is not intended to determine if the SSTS was designed and/or installed to support the anticipated flow from the building as the use of the building may have changed since the design and construction of the SSTS due to the addition of bedrooms, occupants, etc. In addition, this inspection is not intended to determine the quality of the original SSTS design, the quality of the construction practices used while installing the SSTS, or the quality of the materials used in constructing the SSTS.
- 6. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. cannot guarantee the performance of SSTS products/components such as: gravelless pipe, chamber trenches, effluent filters, tanks, sewage pre-treatment components, piping, etc. Products such as gravelless pipe are no longer approved for installation in the State of Minnesota and may have a significantly reduced performance and/or life expectancy.
- 7. WINTER WORK: By accepting this report, it is understood that inspections conducted during winter months (approximately November 1st through April 1st) are more difficult to perform because of possible snow cover and/or ground frost. SSTS components such as tanks, maintenance covers, tank inspection pipes, subsurface distribution medium inspection pipes, and soil treatment areas are more difficult or impossible to locate due to snow cover and/or ground frost. In addition, soil borings are more difficult to perform due to snow cover and/or ground frost. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. will attempt to use the same level of standards when performing work during winter periods as when performing work during non-winter periods. However, the recipient of this report understands that because of the aforementioned considerations, the same level of standards may not be possible.
- 8. By accepting this report, the client understands that Brian L. Humpal, Inc. will not be responsible for any monetary damages exceeding the fee for the services provided.

Subsurface Sewage Treatment Systems

Non-transferable

Business License

Midwest Sewer Services

License # L2896

License Expires: 12/22/2020

Issued: 11/26/2019

Specialty Area(s):

Installer
Maintainer
Service Provider
Advanced Designer
Advanced Inspector

Designated Certified Individual(s):

Cert # Na

Name

Certification Expires:

C5342

Brian L Humpal

10/15/2023

Installer, Maintainer, Serv Prov, Adv Designer, Adv Inspector

C9852 4

Christopher R Uebe

3/4/2021

Designer, Inspector



520 Lafayette Road North St. Paul, Minnesota 55155-4194 Mich Haig

Nick Haig, Supervisor Certification and Training Unit