### **Midwest Sewer Services**

P.O. Box 10853 White Bear Lake, MN 55110

Brian Humpal

651-492-7550/Brian@Midwestsoiltesting.com

MPCA Licensed Advanced Inspector

#### SUBSURFACE SEWAGE TREATMENT SYSTEM (SSTS) COMPLIANCE REPORT

**Inspection Address:** 4065 Osgood Ct N, Baytown, MN **Site Conditions:** 12" Snow 12" Frost

#### **REPORT SUMMARY**

I have performed an "MPCA Compliance Inspection" on this system and have reviewed the original design/permit records, along with previous compliance inspections from 2012 and 2015, which were on file at Washington County. This system consists of two pre-cast septic tanks, a pre-cast lift tank, and a dual mounds. Ron's Sewer Service pumped the septic tanks and lift tank on February 24, 2021.

Predicated on my inspection of the system and my review of the records, it is my opinion that this system <u>presently meets</u> MPCA minimum compliance inspection requirements.

Midwest Sewer Services have been hired to perform a compliance inspection of this SSTS for compliance with local ordinances pursuant to Minn. Stat. § 115.55 (2013). This compliance inspection covers only the criteria required by Minn. Stat. § 115.55 Subd. 5a (2013) and Minn. R. 7080.1500 (2011). A compliance inspection is an indication of the current compliance status of the system and does not guarantee the performance or longevity of this system beyond the date of inspection, as it is impossible to determine the future performance of any system. Midwest Sewer Services disclaim any use of this compliance inspection beyond determining SSTS compliance pursuant to Minn. Stat. § 115.55 Subd. 5a (2013) and Minn. R. 7080.1500 (2011).

Please contact me should you have any questions.

Christopher Uebe

Brian Humpal

Brian Humpal



520 Lafayette Road North St. Paul, MN 55155-4194

### Compliance inspection report form **Existing Subsurface Sewage Treatment System (SSTS)**

Doc Type: Compliance and Enforcement

Instructions: Inspection results based on Minnesota Pollution Control Agency (MPCA) requirements and attached supporting documentation – additional local requirements may also apply. Further information can be found here: https://www.pca.state.mn.us/sites/default/files/wq-wwists4-31a.pdf.

Inspector must submit completed form to Local Governmental Unit (LGU) and system owner within 15 days of final determination of compliance or noncompliance.

Property information	Local tracking number:
Parcel ID# or Sec/Twp/Range:Lo	ocal regulatory authority: Washington County
Property address: 4065 Osgood Ct N, Baytown Twp, MN 5508	2
Owner/representative: Byron Schmidt	Owner's phone: <u>507-459-0222</u>
Brief system description: Two pre-cast septic tanks, a pre-cast li	ft tank, and dual mounds.
System status	
System status on date (mm/dd/yyyy): _2/24/2021	
☐ Compliant – Certificate of compliance*	☐ Noncompliant – Notice of noncompliance
(Valid for 3 years from report date unless evidence of an imminent threat to public health or safety requiring removal and abatement under section 145A.04, subdivision 8 is discovered or a shorter time frame exists	An imminent threat to public health and safety (ITPHS) must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within ten months of receipt of this notice or within a shorter period if required by local ordinance or under section 145A.04 subdivision 8.
in Local Ordinance.)  *Note: Compliance indicates conformance with Minn. R. 7080.1500 as of system status date above and does not guarantee future performance.	Systems failing to protect ground water must be upgraded, replaced, or use discontinued within the time required by local ordinance.
Reason(s) for noncompliance (check all applicab	le)
☐ Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance component #3) — ☐ System not abandoned according to Minn. R. 7080.2500 (Co ☐ Soil separation (Compliance component #5) — Failing to prote ☐ Operating permit/monitoring plan requirements (Compliance Comments or recommendations  Certification	ompliance component #3) – Failing to protect groundwater ect groundwater
abuse of the system, inadequate maintenance, or future water us	made due to unknown conditions during system construction, possible
can be used for the purpose of processing this form.	,, , , ,
Business name: Midwest Sewer Services	Certification number: C5342/C9852
Inspector signature: Brian Humpal After V	License number: L2896
(This document has been electronically signed	
Necessary or locally required supporting do	
<ul> <li>☑ Soil observation logs</li> <li>☑ Locally required forms</li> <li>☑ Other information (list):</li> <li>Report Summary, Property Information, Disclaimer, License</li> </ul>	☐ Operating Permit ☐ Operating Permit
https://www.pca.state.mn.us • 651-296-6300 • 800-657-3864	<ul> <li>Use your preferred relay service</li> <li>Available in alternative formats</li> </ul>

https://www.pca.state.mn.us wg-wwists4-31b • 1/11/21

### 1. Impact on public health – Compliance component #1 of 5

Compliance criteria:		Attached supporting documentation:			
System discharges sewage to the ground surface	☐ Yes*   ☑ No	☐ Other: ☐ Not applicable			
System discharges sewage to drain tile or surface waters.	☐ Yes* ⊠ No				
System causes sewage backup into dwelling or establishment.	☐ Yes* ⊠ No				
Any "yes" answer above indicates to imminent threat to public health and	•				
Describe verification methods and	results:				
None of the above found.					

### **2.** Tank integrity – Compliance component #2 of 5

Compliance criteria:		Attached supporting do	ocumentation:	
System consists of a seepage pit,	☐ Yes* ☒ No	□ Pumped at time of insper	ction	
cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit?		Name of maintenance b	usiness:	Ron's Sewer Service
Sewage tank(s) leak below their	☐ Yes* ⊠ No	License number of main	tenance business:	L4007
designed operating depth?		Date of maintenance:		2/24/2021
		☐ Existing tank integrity as	sessment (Attach)	)
		Date of maintenance		
If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks:		(mm/dd/yyyy):	(must be within t	hree years)
Any "yes" answer above indica is failing to protect groundwate	-	(See form instructions to Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp		ent complies with
		☐ Tank is Noncompliant (p	umping not necessa	ry – explain below)
		Other:		
Describe verification methods and	results:			

### **3. Other compliance conditions** – Compliance component #3 of 5

	3a. Maintenance hole covers appear to be st  ☐ Yes* ☐ No ☐ Unknown	tructurally unsound (damaged, cracked, etc.), or uns	ecured?
		mediately and adversely impact public health or safe	tv? ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Unknown
	*Yes to 3a or 3b - System is an immine		,, <u> </u>
	-	r for other conditions as determined by inspector?	☐ Yes* ☒ No
	3d. System not abandoned in accordance wi		☐ Yes* ☐ No
	*Yes to 3c or 3d - System is failing to		
	Describe verification methods and res	•	
	Attached supporting documentation:	Not applicable □	
_			
4.	Operating permit and pitrogen	RMP* - Compliance component #4 of	nt 5 - M Not annlicable
••	Operating permit and nitrogen	Compliance component #4 c	71 3 Not applicable
	Is the system operated under an Operating Pe		If "yes", A below is required
	Is the system operated under an Operating Pe		If "yes", A below is required
<u></u>	Is the system operated under an Operating Pe	ermit?	If "yes", A below is required
	Is the system operated under an Operating Person Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen B  BMP = Best Management Practice(s) sp	ermit?	If "yes", A below is required If "yes", B below is required
<u></u>	Is the system operated under an Operating Person Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen B  BMP = Best Management Practice(s) sp	ermit?	If "yes", A below is required If "yes", B below is required
	Is the system operated under an Operating Personal Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen B  BMP = Best Management Practice(s) sp  If the answer to both questions is "no"	ermit?	If "yes", A below is required If "yes", B below is required
	Is the system operated under an Operating Personal Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen B BMP = Best Management Practice(s) sport of the answer to both questions is "no" Compliance criteria:	ermit?	If "yes", A below is required If "yes", B below is required
	Is the system operated under an Operating Person Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen B BMP = Best Management Practice(s) sport of the answer to both questions is "no" Compliance criteria:  a. Have the operating permit requirements b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and operating permit requirements of the required nitrogen BMP in place and operating permit requirements of the required nitrogen BMP in place and operating permit requirements of the required nitrogen BMP in place and operating permit requirements of the requireme	ermit?	If "yes", A below is required If "yes", B below is required
	Is the system operated under an Operating Person Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen B BMP = Best Management Practice(s) sport of the answer to both questions is "no" Compliance criteria:  a. Have the operating permit requirements b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place an Any "no" answer indicates not be supported by the system of the syste	ermit?	If "yes", A below is required If "yes", B below is required
	Is the system operated under an Operating Person Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen B BMP = Best Management Practice(s) sport of the answer to both questions is "no" Compliance criteria:  a. Have the operating permit requirements b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and operating permit requirements of the required nitrogen BMP in place and operating permit requirements of the required nitrogen BMP in place and operating permit requirements of the required nitrogen BMP in place and operating permit requirements of the requireme	ermit?	If "yes", A below is required If "yes", B below is required
	Is the system operated under an Operating Person Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen B BMP = Best Management Practice(s) sport of the answer to both questions is "no" Compliance criteria:  a. Have the operating permit requirements b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place an Any "no" answer indicates not be supported by the system of the syste	ermit?	If "yes", A below is required If "yes", B below is required
	Is the system operated under an Operating Person Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen B BMP = Best Management Practice(s) sport of the answer to both questions is "no" Compliance criteria:  a. Have the operating permit requirements b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place an Any "no" answer indicates not be supported by the system of the syste	ermit?	If "yes", A below is required If "yes", B below is required
	Is the system operated under an Operating Person Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen B BMP = Best Management Practice(s) sport of the answer to both questions is "no" Compliance criteria:  a. Have the operating permit requirements b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place an Any "no" answer indicates not be supported by the system of the syste	ermit?	If "yes", A below is required If "yes", B below is required
	Is the system operated under an Operating Person Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen B BMP = Best Management Practice(s) sport of the answer to both questions is "no" Compliance criteria:  a. Have the operating permit requirements b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place an Any "no" answer indicates not be supported by the system of the syste	ermit?	If "yes", A below is required If "yes", B below is required
	Is the system operated under an Operating Person Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen B BMP = Best Management Practice(s) sport of the answer to both questions is "no" Compliance criteria:  a. Have the operating permit requirements b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place an Any "no" answer indicates not be supported by the system of the syste	ermit?	If "yes", A below is required If "yes", B below is required
	Is the system operated under an Operating Person Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen B BMP = Best Management Practice(s) sport of the answer to both questions is "no" Compliance criteria:  a. Have the operating permit requirements b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place an Any "no" answer indicates not be supported by the system of the syste	ermit?	If "yes", A below is required If "yes", B below is required
	Is the system operated under an Operating Person Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen B BMP = Best Management Practice(s) sport of the answer to both questions is "no" Compliance criteria:  a. Have the operating permit requirements b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place an Any "no" answer indicates not be supported by the system of the syste	ermit?	If "yes", A below is required If "yes", B below is required
	Is the system operated under an Operating Person Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen B BMP = Best Management Practice(s) sport of the answer to both questions is "no" Compliance criteria:  a. Have the operating permit requirements b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place an Any "no" answer indicates not be supported by the system of the syste	ermit?	If "yes", A below is required If "yes", B below is required
	Is the system operated under an Operating Person Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen B BMP = Best Management Practice(s) sport of the answer to both questions is "no" Compliance criteria:  a. Have the operating permit requirements b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place an Any "no" answer indicates not be supported by the system of the syste	ermit?	If "yes", A below is required If "yes", B below is required
	Is the system operated under an Operating Person Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen B BMP = Best Management Practice(s) sport of the answer to both questions is "no" Compliance criteria:  a. Have the operating permit requirements b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place an Any "no" answer indicates not be supported by the system of the syste	ermit?   Yes   No BMP specified in the system design?   Yes   No pecified in the system design ", this section does not need to be complete s been met?   Yes   No and properly functioning?   Yes   No ancompliance. Pesults:	If "yes", A below is required If "yes", B below is required d.

### 5. Soil separation – Compliance component #5 of 5

Date of installation 2009 (mm/dd/yyyy)	Unknown					
Shoreland/Wellhead protection/Fo	ood ☐ Yes ☒ No	Attached supporting documentation:				
beverage lodging?		☐ Soil observation logs completed for the	e report (Attach)			
Compliance criteria (select on	e):	☐ Two previous verifications of required	vertical			
5a. For systems built prior to April 1,		separation (Attach)				
and not located in Shoreland or Protection Area or not serving a		☐ Not applicable (No soil treatment area)				
beverage or lodging establishme		□ Reviewed previous compliance inspection	ction from 2015.			
Drainfield has at least a two-foot		Reviewed previous compliance inspec	ction from 2012.			
separation distance from periodi saturated soil or bedrock.	cally	Reviewed permit and design records.				
5b. Non-performance systems built		Indicate depths or elevations				
1996, or later or for non-perform systems located in Shoreland or		A. Bottom of distribution media	See Attached			
Protection Areas or serving a foo			Boring Log(s)			
beverage, or lodging establishme		B. Periodically saturated soil/bedrock				
Drainfield has a three-foot vertice separation distance from periodic		C. System separation				
saturated soil or bedrock.*	,	D. Required compliance separation*				
		*May be reduced up to 15 percent if allo Ordinance.	wed by Local			
5c. "Experimental", "Other", or "Peri systems built under pre-2008 Ru Type IV or V systems built under Rules 7080. 2350 or 7080.2400 (Advanced Inspector License red	r 2008					
Drainfield meets the designed ve separation distance from periodi saturated soil or bedrock.						
*Any "no" answer above indic failing to protect groundwater						

**Upgrade requirements:** (Minn. Stat. § 115.55) An imminent threat to public health and safety (ITPHS) must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within ten months of receipt of this notice or within a shorter period if required by local ordinance. If the system is failing to protect ground water, the system must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within the time required by local ordinance. If an existing system is not failing as defined in law, and has at least two feet of design soil separation, then the system need not be upgraded, repaired, replaced, or its use discontinued, notwithstanding any local ordinance that is more strict. This provision does not apply to systems in shoreland areas, Wellhead Protection Areas, or those used in connection with food, beverage, and lodging establishments as defined in law.


Describe verification methods and results:

## Midwest Sewer Testing Subsurface Sewage Treatment System Owner/Property Information This information will be used for the purpose of conducting an MPCA Compliance Inspection

This information will be used for the purpose of conducting an MPCA	Compliance inspection.
Date of Inspection: February 24, 2021	Time: 11:30 AM
Property Address: 4065 Osgood Ct N, Baytown Twp, MN	Zip: 55082
Property Owner: Byron Schmidt	Phone: 507-459-0222
Tank(s)       Tank(s)Material       Soil Treatment System         Septic 2       Fiberglass       Rock trench         Aerobic       Plastic       Gravelless trench         Lift       Metal       Chamber trench         Holding       Concrete       Seepage bed         Other:       Block       Dual Mound         Other       At-grade	Other  Alternative system Experimental system Cesspool system Other system
Are the tank maintenance covers accessible? ⊠ Yes ☐ No *If i	no, proper maintenance must be
performed through the maintenance holes. Maintenance hole cover the ground surface to facilitate access and proper maintenance of the surface to facilitate access and proper maintenance of the surface to facilitate access and proper maintenance of the surface to facilitate access and proper maintenance of the surface to facilitate access and proper maintenance of the surface to facilitate access and proper maintenance of the surface to facilitate access and proper maintenance of the surface to facilitate access and proper maintenance of the surface to facilitate access and proper maintenance of the surface to facilitate access and proper maintenance of the surface to facilitate access and proper maintenance of the surface to facilitate access and proper maintenance of the surface to facilitate access and proper maintenance of the surface to facilitate access and proper maintenance of the surface to facilitate access and proper maintenance of the surface to facilitate access and proper maintenance of the surface to facilitate access and proper maintenance of the surface to facilitate access and proper maintenance of the surface to facilitate access and proper maintenance of the surface access and proper maintenance of the surface access and proper maintenance access access and proper maintenance access access and proper maintenance access access and the surface access access and the surface access access access and the surface access access access access access and the surface access	ers should be made accessible to
	Γank size (gals.): 2-1500
	sidents in home?
Number of bedrooms? 6 Are all floors drained by gr	2
Garbage disposal? Y Whirlpool bath?	Y
More than one system (laundry, etc.)?	
Does this property have any footing drain tiles connected to the se	-
Are any buildings on this property such as garages or out-building	
Are there any additional systems on this property serving other bu	ıldıngs?
Location of septic system on lot? Northwest Side	
	well a deep well? Y
Have you ever experienced any problems with the system such as: surfacing of sewage onto the ground, septic tank overflowing, etc. to the system?  If yes, explain:	; or have any repairs been made
	per: Ron's Sewer Service
	on a monitoring plan?
Have you received notices from any government agency concerning	ng this system?
Is your property located in a shoreland management area? N	
Do you have any additional information that should be given to the	e new owner?
I hereby certify that the above information is correct to the best of my knowledge considered "non-compliant/failing" per MPCA rules, that the inspector must by local government unit within 15 days of the date of inspection completion. I also this report, that I/we are ultimately responsible for payment of all fees for all worby Inspect Minnesota and Midwest Soil Testing	law submit a copy of this report to the so agree that unless otherwise noted in

Date:

Owner/Occupant:



**NO SCALE** 

4065 Osgood Court N, Baytown Township, MN 55082

1 20	Car Famora I			8 (	of 11					ν.
SITE EV	ALUATIOI		15 15	COUNTY U		□ NE □ EX □ DV	and the second of the second o		CLASS V COMMERCIAL E FBL ESTABLISH	STABLISHMENT
PROPERTY A	DDRESS:	UN E	3 Le	CLAIR OD CT	<u> </u>	GEOCODE		<u>L</u>	IN WELLICAD	- NOTECTION ANDA
DATE:	291	0000 0009	TIME:	.00		<u>.</u>	•			
					REVIEW	Free Mean		·*·······		
SOIL CLASSIF	ICATION:				PARENT MAT	ERIAL:	A. Director			<u>Anna Langue, se esta esta</u>
		SOIL BORII	1 <b>G</b> 1		AND THE STATE OF T	re de la compe	SOI	BORI	NG 2	
ELEVATION C	F BORING:		LOCATION:	By BH5	ELEVATION C	F BORING	:		LOCATION:	
GPS COORDIN	*****		LON:		GPS COORDIN		Т:		LON:	
	-BORING		PIT	☐ PROBE	<del> </del>	BORING	<u> </u>		PIT	□ PROBE
SOIL HORIZON DEPTH (IN)	TEXTURE SANDY	COLOR	STRUCTURE	REDOXIMORPHIC FEATURES	SOIL HORIZON DEPTH (IN)	TEXTUR	E COI	LOR	STRUCTURE	REDOXIMORPHIC FEATURES
o"-8'	COAM	3/2 104R	SBK		<u> </u>			<del></del>		
8"-24"	LOAM	514	5 <b>3</b> K		<u> </u>					· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
24"	CUARE	10 4F 4/3	5B P							
								<del></del>		<del></del>
····				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
					<u> </u>					
				SOIL REVIEW	CONCLUS	ONS				
☐ SITE SI	UITABLE	į		DEPTH INFOR	MATION:			SOIL T	EXTURE:	
	TABLE SOIL RBED SOIL	STAND	ING WATER:	SATU	RATED SOIL:			SOIL S	IZING FACTOR:	
	ACTED SOIL	BEDRO	CK;	MAXII	NUM DEPTH OF	SYSTEM:	· · ·	LINEA	R LOADING RAT	E:
				SITE	REVIEW			1 - 1		
	CHECK AI	L THAT APPI	-Y	EASEA	ENTS ON LOT:				SETBACKS	
	AND OR WETLA		ION		UTILITY	BL	UFFLINE		4	
☐ POND, ☐ FLOOD	LAKE, STREAM PLAIN	A, RIVER			DRAINAGE	RIV	/ER			
☐ 10 YEA	AR FLOOD ELE	VATION			OTHER	PO	ND, LAKE,	STREA	M, WETLAND	
☐ WELL		SING DEPTH:			OTTLER	WE	:LL			
				<u> </u>				··	<del></del>	
COMMENTS/N	OTES:									
									······································	
					· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	··· - · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				

JOB PASPBERRY RIDGE
OF GOOD AUE NO.
LOT I BLOCK I
BAYTOWN TOWNS HIP
ONTE // 18 7006

BORING LOG

BOREHOVE DEAMETER 4 "32

TH HOLE ! /	- BOLE 1 2	FOTE # 3	HOLE 1 4	HOLE 15.	HOLE 16
TOP SOIL .	TOP SOIL	TOP SOIL	TOP 501L	TOP 501L	TOP SOIL
SAMPY	SANOV	SAMOY	SANDY _	SANDY	SANDY
+ Loam	- LOAM -	LOAM -	LOAM -	LOAM	LOAM
- YELLOWISH	YELLEWISH .		YELLOWISH	YELLO WISH	YELLOWISH
BASUN .	BROWN _	<del>_</del> _	BROWN LOAM	BROWN SANDY	BAOUN
- SAND V	SAMDY _		REPRISH BROWN	Lohan	צ מאתכ
LOAM	_ LOAM	LIBNT _	SANDY LOAM	_ YELLOWIAH _	AKAGS
<del></del>	<del></del>	BROWN	YELLOWISH _	BROWN -	
		BANDY _	LBROWN _	LOAM -	- YELLOUING !
REDDISH	<del></del>	L Lean	LOAM -		- BROWN
BAOWN -	-1	1	MOTTLED -	- MOTTLES -	- SANDY
SAMPY	REDDISH -			+012	LOAM
	- BROWN -	LIGHT BRAWH	E SOL -		
FORAN	- SANDY -	SANDY LONA	<del>-</del>		_ ANOTICED
_	LOAM -	MATTLED GOLL	<u> </u>	h	_ SOIL
LIGHT	<del></del>	YELLOUNS IT	<u>.</u>	OBSTRUCTION	_
MOTTLE _		BROWN LOAL	<del>-</del>	<del>]</del>	
<b>†</b>	5 <i>011</i> _	Montes sur.	4	<del>[-</del>	<u>.</u>
576P		5700		<del></del> ┼	·
T	- 370P -	十 ・ <i>**/**</i> ・	5508	MOTIFIED	STOP
<del>_</del>		<del></del>	MOTTLED -	- 6014 -	
MOTILED	MATTLOD -	MOTTLED .	5014	2/ -	PhotTLao
5012	SOIL -	- Ball -	_1	<del>                                     </del>	6014
3011		38"	24" -	<del>-</del>	Z6"
_	35"	<del>                                     </del>	<del></del>	5014	· ,
<u> </u>		<u>+</u>	<u>.</u>	CLA SSIFICATION	•
	<u>.</u>	<u> </u>		TOP SOIL	
<del>-</del> -		<u> </u>	<b>T</b> :	BROWN LOAM	•
<del>-</del>	_	-	<del></del>	7,6 YR 4/4	•
<del>``</del>			_	Y ELLSWISH	-
<del></del>		<u> </u>	<del>                                     </del>	BROWN LOAM	<del></del> -
_	<u> </u>		† <del>-</del> -	10YR 518 -	•
-	<del>-</del>	Ţ	T: -	REDDIAH BROWN	_
<del></del>		<u>+</u> -	<del>-</del>	LOAM	•
-	+	<u> </u>		2.5 YR 514	
<del>-</del>	<del>-</del>	<u>_</u> '	<u>T.</u>	LIGHT BROWN	•
-	<u>.</u>	<u>.                                    </u>	T	LOAM	<del>-</del>
	•	1	·	7.5 YR 6/3	•

### **DISCLAIMER**

## Brian L. Humpal, Inc. dba. Midwest Sewer Services, Inspect Minnesota, Midwest Soil Testing Relative to Subsurface Sewage Treatment System (SSTS) Compliance Inspections

- 1. This inspection/report is being performed for only the seller/owner of the property on which the SSTS is located. In such case that another party is paying for the inspection, the contract is between only said party and Brian L. Humpal, Inc.; there is no contract between Brian L. Humpal, Inc. and any other party unless otherwise noted.
- 3. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. has not been retained to warranty, guarantee, or certify the proper functioning of the SSTS for any period of time beyond the date of inspection or into the future. Because of the numerous factors (usage, maintenance, soil characteristics, previous failures, etc.) which may affect the proper operation of an SSTS, as well as the inability of Brian L. Humpal, Inc. to supervise or monitor the use or maintenance of the SSTS, the report shall not be construed as a warranty by Brian L. Humpal, Inc. that the SSTS will function properly for any particular party for any period of time.
- 4. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. is unable to verify the frequency and/or, quality of prior or future maintenance of the SSTS. Maintenance of the tank(s) must be performed through the tanks maintenance hole. The removal of solids from any location other than the maintenance hole is not a compliant method of maintenance. It is strongly recommended that maintenance covers be made accessible to the ground surface to facilitate proper maintenance.
- 5. Minimum Compliance Inspection requirements relative to this inspection and this report include <u>only</u> verification that the SSTS has tank(s) (septic tanks, lift tanks, dosing tanks, stilling tanks, etc.) which are watertight below the designed operating depth, the required separation between the bottom of the subsurface soil distribution medium and seasonally saturated soils, no back-ups of sewage into the dwelling, no discharge of sewage/effluent to the ground surface or surface waters, and no imminent safety hazards. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. does not inspect plumbing or pumps prior to the first SSTS component as these are plumbing components. The performance of exterior pumps and associated components are not inspected as they are considered to be maintenance items. Additionally, no indications relative to compliance with electrical code requirements have been made. It is recommended that any other applicable plumbing, electrical, housing, etc. inspections be performed by a qualified inspection business. Sewage back-up verification is limited to observing the floor drain area and/or the information supplied by the last occupants of the building prior to inspection. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. cannot guarantee that the information given to them by the last occupants of the building prior to inspection relative to back-ups is accurate.
- 4. Certification of this SSTS does not warranty future use beyond the date of the inspection. Any SSTS, old or new, can become hydraulically overloaded or discharge sewage/effluent to the ground surface as a result of more people moving into the house than were previously occupying the house, improper maintenance, heavy usage, leaking plumbing fixtures, groundwater infiltration, tree roots, freezing conditions, surface drainage problems, poor initial design, poor construction practices, or unsuitable materials used in constructing the system; the system can also simply stop working because of its age. An SSTS that has been properly designed and installed, properly maintained, and used in the manner for which the system was designed can be expected to provide service for twenty to twenty-five years on average. Some parts of the SSTS such as alarms, switches, pumps, filters, etc. will most likely have to be repaired or replaced over the lifetime of the system.
- 5. A Compliance Inspection is not meant to be a test or inspection for longevity of the system; a Compliance Inspection is strictly for the purpose of determining if the SSTS is protective of public health and safety, as well as the groundwater at the date and time the inspection was performed. This inspection is not intended to determine if the SSTS was originally designed or installed to past or present MPCA or other Local Government Unit code requirements. This inspection is not intended to determine if the SSTS was designed and/or installed to support the anticipated flow from the building as the use of the building may have changed since the design and construction of the SSTS due to the addition of bedrooms, occupants, etc. In addition, this inspection is not intended to determine the quality of the original SSTS design, the quality of the construction practices used while installing the SSTS, or the quality of the materials used in constructing the SSTS.
- 6. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. cannot guarantee the performance of SSTS products/components such as: gravelless pipe, chamber trenches, effluent filters, tanks, sewage pre-treatment components, piping, etc. Products such as gravelless pipe are no longer approved for installation in the State of Minnesota and may have a significantly reduced performance and/or life expectancy.
- 7. WINTER WORK: By accepting this report, it is understood that inspections conducted during winter months (approximately November 1<sup>st</sup> through April 1<sup>st</sup>) are more difficult to perform because of possible snow cover and/or ground frost. SSTS components such as tanks, maintenance covers, tank inspection pipes, subsurface distribution medium inspection pipes, and soil treatment areas are more difficult or impossible to locate due to snow cover and/or ground frost. In addition, soil borings are more difficult to perform due to snow cover and/or ground frost. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. will attempt to use the same level of standards when performing work during winter periods as when performing work during non-winter periods. However, the recipient of this report understands that because of the aforementioned considerations, the same level of standards may not be possible.
- 8. By accepting this report, the client understands that Brian L. Humpal, Inc. will not be responsible for any monetary damages exceeding the fee for the services provided.

## Subsurface Sewage Treatment Systems

Non-transferable

# **Business License**

### **Midwest Sewer Services**

License # L2896

License Expires: 12/22/2021

Issued: 11/06/2020

## **Specialty Area(s):**

Installer

Maintainer

Service Provider

Advanced Designer

Advanced Inspector

## **Designated Certified Individual(s):**

Cert #

Name

**Certification Expires:** 

C5342

Brian L'Humpal

10/15/2023

Installer, Maintainer, Serv Prov, Adv Designer, Adv Inspector

C9852

Christopher R Uebe

3/4/2024

Designer, Inspector



520 Lafayette Road North St. Paul, Minnesota 55155-4194 Nich Haig

Nick Haig, Supervisor Certification and Training Unit