

520 Lafayette Road North St. Paul, MN 55155-4194

Compliance Inspection Form

Existing Subsurface Sewage Treatment Systems (SSTS)

Doc Type: Compliance and Enforcement

Inspection results based on Minnesota Pollution Control Agency (MPCA) requirements and attached forms – additional local requirements may also apply.	For local tracking purposes:
Submit completed form to Local Unit of Government (LUG) and system owner within 15 days	r
System Status	
System status on date (mm/dd/yyyy): 5/25/2016	
	mpliant – Notice of Noncompliance rade Requirements on page 3.)
Reason(s) for noncompliance (check all applicable)	
☐ Impact on Public Health (Compliance Component #1) – Imminent threa	at to public health and safety
☐ Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance Component #3) – Imminent	t threat to public health and safety
☐ Tank Integrity (Compliance Component #2) – Failing to protect ground	
Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance Component #3) – Failing to	T 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 10
 Soil Separation (Compliance Component #4) – Failing to protect groun □ Operating permit/monitoring plan requirements (Compliance Compone 	
— Operating permitmonitoring plan requirements (compliance compone	nt #0) — Noncompilant
Property Information Parcel ID# or Sec/Twp/F	Panga:
	on for inspection: Sale
	er's phone: 651-260-7359
or	001 200 1000
Owner's representative: Repre	esentative phone:
Local regulatory authority: Washington County Regul	latory authority phone: 651-430-6655
Brief system description: Septic tank with gravity drainfield. 3 trenches.	
Comments or recommendations:	
Certification	
I hereby certify that all the necessary information has been gathered to determine to determination of future system performance has been nor can be made due to unknown possible abuse of the system, inadequate maintenance, or future water usage.	
Inspector name: Benjamin Zierke Certif	ication number: 9594
Business name: Zierke Soil Testing L	icense number: 119
Inspector signature:	Phone number: 651-462-2294
Necessary or Locally Required Attachments	
Soil boring logs	per local ordinance
Other information (list):	

1.	impact on Public Health – C	ompliance componen	t #1 of 5		
	Compliance criteria:		Verification method(s):		
	System discharges sewage to the ground surface.	☐ Yes ⊠ No	☑ Searched for surface outlet☑ Searched for seeping in yard/backup in home		
	System discharges sewage to drain tile or surface waters.	☐ Yes ⊠ No	 ☐ Excessive ponding in soil system/D-boxes ☐ Homeowner testimony (See Comments/Explanation) 		
	System causes sewage backup into dwelling or establishment.	☐ Yes ⊠ No	☐ "Black soil" above soil dispersal system ☐ System requires "emergency" pumping		
ii.	Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is an imminent threat to public health and safety.		☐ Performed dye test ☐ Unable to verify (See Comments/Explanation) ☐ Other methods not listed (See Comments/Explanation)		
	Comments/Explanation:				
	Homeowner has not had any hydraulic	issues with the system.			
2	Tank Integrity - Compliance	component #2 of 5			
Abs 0	Compliance criteria:	domponent #2 of o	Verification method(s):		
15	System consists of a seepage pit,	☐ Yes ☐ No	☐ Probed tank(s) bottom		
	cesspool, drywell, or leaching pit.	163 1140	Examined construction records		
	Seepage pits meeting 7080.2550 may be compliant if allowed in local ordinance.		☐ Examined Tank Integrity Form (Attach)		
	Sewage tank(s) leak below their	☐ Yes ☐ No	Observed liquid level below operating depth		
	designed operating depth.		☐ Examined empty (pumped) tanks(s)☐ Probed outside tank(s) for "black soil"		
	If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks:		☐ Probed duside tank(s) for black soil ☐ Unable to verify (See Comments/Explanation)		
	Any "yes" answer above indi system is failing to protect g		☐ Other methods not listed (See Comments/Explanation)		
	Comments/Explanation:				
	Tank not pumped as part of inspection uncovering a manhole to pump.	- age of system indicates	it could be a block tank. Homeowner did not recall ever		
	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,				
3.	Other Compliance Condition	ns – Compliance compor	nent #3 of 5		
	 a. Maintenance hole covers are damaged, cracked, unsecured, or appear to be structurally unsound. ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Unknown b. Other issues (electrical hazards, etc.) to immediately and adversely impact public health or safety. ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Unknown 				
	*System is an imminent threat to	-			
	Explain:				
	c. System is non-protective of ground	water for other conditions a	s determined by inspector . ☐ Yes* ⊠ No		
	*System is failing to protect grou		, _ _		
	Explain:				

4. Soil Separation - Compliance component #4 of 5					
Date of installation: 1974	Unknown	Verification method(s):			
(mm/dd/yyyy) Shoreland/Wellhead protection/Food beverage lodging? Compliance criteria:	⊠ Yes □ No	Soil observation does not expire. Pro observations by two independent pa unless site conditions have been alto requirements differ.	rties are sufficient,		
For systems built prior to April 1, 1996, and not located in Shoreland or Wellhead Protection Area or not serving a food, beverage or lodging establishment: Drainfield has at least a two-foot vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.	☐ Yes ☐ No	☐ Conducted soil observation(s) (Atlach ☐ Two previous verifications (Atlach ☐ Not applicable (Holding tank(s), no ☐ Unable to verify (See Comments/Explanation)	n boring logs) drainfield)		
Non-performance systems built April 1, 1996, or later or for non-performance systems located in Shoreland or Wellhead Protection Areas or serving a food, beverage, or lodging establishment: Drainfield has a three-foot vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.*	☐ Yes ⊠ No	Comments/Explanation: See Attached Boring Logs + Sketch			
"Experimental", "Other", or "Performance" systems built under pre-2008 Rules; Type IV or V systems built under 2008 Rules (7080. 2350 or 7080.2400 (Advanced Inspector License required) Drainfield meets the designed vertical separation distance from periodically	☐ Yes ☐ No	A. Bottom of distribution media B. Periodically saturated soil/bedrock C. System separation	1		
Any "no" answer above indicates to failing to protect groundwater. 5. Operating Permit and Nitrogen		D. Required compliance separation* *May be reduced up to 15 percent if Ordinance. Ce component #5 of 5	allowed by Local		
Is the system operated under an Operating Is the system required to employ a Nitroger BMP = Best Management Practice(s) s If the answer to both questions is "n Compliance criteria	Permit? Yes In BMP? Yes Specified in the system of	☐ No If "yes", A below is require ☐ No If "yes", B below is requiredesign	ed		
a. Operating Permit number: Have the Operating Permit requirements been met?		☐ Yes ☐ No			
b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place		g?			
Any "no" answer indicates Nonce		y: Li tes Li NO			

Upgrade Requirements (Minn. Stat. § 115.55) An imminent threat to public health and safety (ITPHS) must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within ten months of receipt of this notice or within a shorter period if required by local ordinance. If the system is failing to protect ground water, the system must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within the time required by local ordinance. If an existing system is not failing as defined in law, and has at least two feet of design soil separation, then the system need not be upgraded, repaired, replaced, or its use discontinued, notwithstanding any local ordinance that is more strict. This provision does not apply to systems in shoreland areas, Wellhead Protection Areas, or those used in connection with food, beverage, and lodging establishments as defined in law.

Logs of Soil Borings

Location of Project:

7039 165th St N Hugo MN 55039

Borings Made by Ben Zierke

Date:

5/25/2016

Hand bucket auger used for borings; USDA - SCS Soil Classification used.

Depth, in Inches 0	Boring Number 1	Depth, in Inches	Boring Number 2
0-12"	10YR 3/3 sandy loam, dark orange concentrations		
12-18"	10YR 5/2 loamy sand, strong concentrations and reductions		
End of boring at Standing water table Present at Standing water not p Mottled Soil: Observed at Mottled soil not pres Comments:	feet of depth Hours after boring resent in hole X 0 feet of depth	End of boring at Standing water tabl Present at Standing water not produced to the standing water not produced to the standing water not produced at Mottled soil not present the standing water table to the standing water table to the standing water table tab	feet of depth Hours after boring resent in hole feet of depth
Depth, in		Dandh :-	
Inches	Boring Number 3	Depth, in Inches	Boring Number 4
Inches 0		Inches 0	
P	teet e: feet of depth Hours after boring resent in hole	Inches	teet e: feet of depth Hours after boring resent in hole

