Midwest Sewer Services

P.O. Box 10853 White Bear Lake, MN 55110 651-492-7550/Brian@Midwestsoiltesting.com

Brian Humpal

MPCA Licensed Advanced Inspector

SUBSURFACE SEWAGE TREATMENT SYSTEM (SSTS) COMPLIANCE REPORT

REPORT SUMMARY

I have performed an "MPCA Compliance Inspection" on this system. I have contacted Washington County and was advised that there are no records for this system. This very old system (installed in 1983) consists of a pre-cast septic tank and a rock trench drainfield. It should be noted that the average life expectancy of a septic system is approximately 30 years. Pinky's Sewer Service pumped the septic tank on March 8, 2021.

My inspection indicates that this system is presently "non-compliant" in accordance with MPCA rules 7080.1500 Subp.4(B)(E) because of the lack of the required two foot separation between the bottom of the drainfield and seasonally saturated soils.

In accordance with MPCA rules, I am sending a copy of this complete report to Washington County. I cannot officially speak on behalf of the County relative to the upgrade requirements of these non-compliant systems. Please contact the Washington County Department of Public Health & Environment (651-430-6655) to verify the County's position.

Please advise buyer, agents, lender, etc. to contact me should they have any questions regarding this system.

Christopher Uebe

Brian Humpal

Brian Humpal



520 Lafayette Road North St. Paul, MN 55155-4194

Compliance inspection report form

Existing Subsurface Sewage Treatment System (SSTS)

Doc Type: Compliance and Enforcement

Instructions: Inspection results based on Minnesota Pollution Control Agency (MPCA) requirements and attached supporting documentation – additional local requirements may also apply. Further information can be found here: https://www.pca.state.mn.us/sites/default/files/wq-wwists4-31a.pdf.

Inspector must submit completed form to Local Governmental Unit (LGU) and system owner within 15 days of final determination of compliance or noncompliance.

Property information	Local tracking number:
Parcel ID# or Sec/Twp/Range:Local	al regulatory authority: Washington County
Property address: 7542 Lawton Ave S, Cottage Grove, MN 5501	6
Owner/representative: John Moes	Owner's phone: 651-308-0624
Brief system description: $\underline{\textbf{A}}$ pre-cast septic tank and a rock trench	drainfield.
System status	
System status on date (mm/dd/yyyy): 3/8/2021	
☐ Compliant – Certificate of compliance*	
(Valid for 3 years from report date unless evidence of an imminent threat to public health or safety requiring removal and abatement under section 145A.04, subdivision 8 is discovered or a shorter time frame exists	An imminent threat to public health and safety (ITPHS) must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within ten months of receipt of this notice or within a shorter period if required by local ordinance or under section 145A.04 subdivision 8.
in Local Ordinance.) *Note: Compliance indicates conformance with Minn. R. 7080.1500 as of system status date above and does not guarantee future performance.	Systems failing to protect ground water must be upgraded, replaced, or use discontinued within the time required by local ordinance.
Reason(s) for noncompliance (check all applicable	9)
☐ Impact on public health (Compliance component #1) – Immine☐ Tank integrity (Compliance component #2) – Failing to protect	
☐ Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance component #3) – II	
☐ Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance component #3) – F	•
☐ System not abandoned according to Minn. R. 7080.2500 (Com	
⊠ Soil separation (Compliance component #5) – Failing to protect	t groundwater
☐ Operating permit/monitoring plan requirements (Compliance co	omponent #4) – Noncompliant - local ordinance applies
Comments or recommendations	
Contification	
Certification	
I hereby certify that all the necessary information has been gathered determination of future system performance has been nor can be mabuse of the system, inadequate maintenance, or future water usage.	nade due to unknown conditions during system construction, possible
By typing my name below, I certify the above statements to be true can be used for the purpose of processing this form.	ue and correct, to the best of my knowledge, and that this information
Business name: Midwest Sewer Services	Certification number: C5342/C9852
Inspector signature: Bein Thempal Home Ma	License number: L2896
(This document has been electronically signed)	Phone: 651-492-7550
Necessary or locally required supporting docu	umentation (must be attached)
⊠ Soil observation logs □ Locally required forms	☐ Tank Integrity Assessment ☐ Operating Permit
☑ Other information (list):	
Report Summary, Property Information, Disclaimer, License	

1. Impact on public health – Compliance component #1 of 5

Compliance criteria:		Attached supporting documentation:
System discharges sewage to the	☐ Yes* ☒ No	Other:
ground surface		☐ Not applicable
System discharges sewage to drain tile or surface waters.	☐ Yes* ☒ No	
System causes sewage backup into dwelling or establishment.	☐ Yes* ☒ No	
Any "yes" answer above indicates imminent threat to public health an	•	
Describe verification methods and	results:	
None of the above found.		

2. Tank integrity – Compliance component #2 of 5

Compliance criteria:		Attached supporting do	ocumentation:			
System consists of a seepage pit,	☐ Yes* ☒ No	☑ Pumped at time of inspection				
cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit?		Name of maintenance b	usiness:	Pinky's Sewer Service		
Sewage tank(s) leak below their	☐ Yes* ☒ No	License number of maintenance business: L1673				
designed operating depth?		Date of maintenance:		3/8/2021		
		☐ Existing tank integrity assessment (Attach)				
		Date of maintenance				
If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks:		(mm/dd/yyyy):	(must be within three years)			
Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is failing to protect groundwater.		(See form instructions to Minn. R. 7082.0700 sub		ent complies with		
		☐ Tank is Noncompliant (pumping not necessary – explain below)				
		Other:				
Describe verification methods and	results:					

3. Other compliance conditions – Compliance component #3 of 5

	3a.	Maintenance hole covers appear to be structura ☐ Yes* ☐ No ☐ Unknown	ally unsound (dam	aged, cra	acked, etc.	<i>)</i> , or arrov	cureu:		
	3b.	Other issues (electrical hazards, etc.) to immediat	elv and adverselv	impact p	ublic healt	n or safet	v? □ Yes*	⊠ No □ Unkno	own
		*Yes to 3a or 3b - System is an imminent thr	-				, _		
	3c.	System is non-protective of ground water for ot	-		-	ector?	☐ Yes*	⊠ No	
		System not abandoned in accordance with Min			, ,		_ □ Yes*	_	
		*Yes to 3c or 3d - System is failing to protect					_	_	
		Describe verification methods and results:	3						
		Attached supporting documentation: ⊠ Not	annliaghla 🖂						
		Attached supporting documentation. 🖂 Not	арріісавіе 🔲 _						
1.	Ор	erating permit and nitrogen BMP	* – Compliar	nce co	mponei	nt #4 c	of 5 ⊠ N	lot applicable	
1.		erating permit and nitrogen BMP e system operated under an Operating Permit?	* – Compliar	nce co	<u> </u>			lot applicable below is requi	 red
1.	ls th				☐ Yes	□No	If "yes", A	below is requi	
1.	ls th	e system operated under an Operating Permit?	ecified in the syste	em desig	☐ Yes	□No	If "yes", A	below is requi	
1.	Is th	e system operated under an Operating Permit? e system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP sp	pecified in the system des	em desig s <i>ign</i>	☐ Yes In? ☐ Yes	□ No	If "yes", A If "yes", B	below is requi	
1.	Is th	e system operated under an Operating Permit? e system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP sp BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified	pecified in the system des	em desig s <i>ign</i>	☐ Yes In? ☐ Yes	□ No	If "yes", A If "yes", B	below is requi	
1.	Is th	e system operated under an Operating Permit? e system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP sp BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified e answer to both questions is "no", this appliance criteria:	pecified in the system des	em desig sign not need	☐ Yes In? ☐ Yes	□ No	If "yes", A If "yes", B	below is requi	
<u>1.</u>	Is th Is th Cor	e system operated under an Operating Permit? e system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP sp BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified e answer to both questions is "no", this hpliance criteria: Have the operating permit requirements been	pecified in the system design in the system design section does not met?	em desig sign not need □ Yes	☐ Yes In? ☐ Yes If to be co	□ No	If "yes", A If "yes", B	below is requi	
1.	Is th Is th Cor	e system operated under an Operating Permit? e system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP sp BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified e answer to both questions is "no", this appliance criteria: Have the operating permit requirements been Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and prop	pecified in the system design in the system design section does numet?	em desig sign not need □ Yes	☐ Yes In? ☐ Yes If to be co	□ No	If "yes", A If "yes", B	below is requi	
1.	Is th Is th Cor	e system operated under an Operating Permit? e system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP sp BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified e answer to both questions is "no", this hpliance criteria: Have the operating permit requirements been	necified in the system designed in the system designed in the system does not be section does not be met? The perly functioning?	em desig sign not need □ Yes	☐ Yes In? ☐ Yes If to be co	□ No	If "yes", A If "yes", B	below is requi	
1.	Is th Is th Cor	e system operated under an Operating Permit? e system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP sp BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified e answer to both questions is "no", this appliance criteria: Have the operating permit requirements been Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and prop Any "no" answer indicates noncom	necified in the system designed in the system designed in the system does not be section does not be met? The perly functioning?	em desig sign not need □ Yes	☐ Yes In? ☐ Yes If to be co	□ No	If "yes", A If "yes", B	below is requi	
1.	Is th Is th Cor	e system operated under an Operating Permit? e system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP sp BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified e answer to both questions is "no", this appliance criteria: Have the operating permit requirements been Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and prop Any "no" answer indicates noncom	necified in the system designed in the system designed in the system does not be section does not be met? The perly functioning?	em desig sign not need □ Yes	☐ Yes In? ☐ Yes If to be co	□ No	If "yes", A If "yes", B	below is requi	
1.	Is th Is th Cor	e system operated under an Operating Permit? e system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP sp BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified e answer to both questions is "no", this appliance criteria: Have the operating permit requirements been Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and prop Any "no" answer indicates noncom	necified in the system design and the system does not be section does not be met? The perly functioning?	em desig sign not need □ Yes	☐ Yes In? ☐ Yes If to be co	□ No	If "yes", A If "yes", B	below is requi	
1.	Is th Is th Cor	e system operated under an Operating Permit? e system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP sp BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified e answer to both questions is "no", this appliance criteria: Have the operating permit requirements been Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and prop Any "no" answer indicates noncom	necified in the system design and the system does not be section does not be met? The perly functioning?	em desig sign not need □ Yes	☐ Yes In? ☐ Yes If to be co	□ No	If "yes", A If "yes", B	below is requi	
1.	Is th Is th Cor	e system operated under an Operating Permit? e system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP sp BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified e answer to both questions is "no", this appliance criteria: Have the operating permit requirements been Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and prop Any "no" answer indicates noncom	necified in the system design and the system does not be section does not be met? The perly functioning?	em desig sign not need □ Yes	☐ Yes In? ☐ Yes If to be co	□ No	If "yes", A If "yes", B	below is requi	
1.	Is th Is th Cor	e system operated under an Operating Permit? e system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP sp BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified e answer to both questions is "no", this appliance criteria: Have the operating permit requirements been Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and prop Any "no" answer indicates noncom	necified in the system design and the system does not be section does not be met? The perly functioning?	em desig sign not need □ Yes	☐ Yes In? ☐ Yes If to be co	□ No	If "yes", A If "yes", B	below is requi	
1.	Is th Is th Cor	e system operated under an Operating Permit? e system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP sp BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified e answer to both questions is "no", this appliance criteria: Have the operating permit requirements been Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and prop Any "no" answer indicates noncom	necified in the system design and the system does not be section does not be met? The perly functioning?	em desig sign not need □ Yes	☐ Yes In? ☐ Yes If to be co	□ No	If "yes", A If "yes", B	below is requi	
1.	Is th Is th Cor	e system operated under an Operating Permit? e system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP sp BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified e answer to both questions is "no", this appliance criteria: Have the operating permit requirements been Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and prop Any "no" answer indicates noncom	necified in the system design and the system does not be section does not be met? The perly functioning?	em desig sign not need □ Yes	☐ Yes In? ☐ Yes If to be co	□ No	If "yes", A If "yes", B	below is requi	
1.	Is th Is th Cor	e system operated under an Operating Permit? e system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP sp BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified e answer to both questions is "no", this appliance criteria: Have the operating permit requirements been Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and prop Any "no" answer indicates noncom	necified in the system design and the system does not be section does not be met? The perly functioning?	em desig sign not need □ Yes	☐ Yes In? ☐ Yes If to be co	□ No	If "yes", A If "yes", B	below is requi	
1.	Is th Is th Cor	e system operated under an Operating Permit? e system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP sp BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified e answer to both questions is "no", this appliance criteria: Have the operating permit requirements been Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and prop Any "no" answer indicates noncom	necified in the system design and the system does not be section does not be met? The perly functioning?	em desig sign not need □ Yes	☐ Yes In? ☐ Yes If to be co	□ No	If "yes", A If "yes", B	below is requi	
1.	Is th Is th Cor	e system operated under an Operating Permit? e system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP sp BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified e answer to both questions is "no", this appliance criteria: Have the operating permit requirements been Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and prop Any "no" answer indicates noncom Describe verification methods and results:	necified in the system design and the system does not be section does not be met? The perly functioning?	em desig	□ Yes In? □ Yes If to be co	□ No □ No	If "yes", A If "yes", B	below is requi	

5. Soil separation – Compliance component #5 of 5

Date of installation 1983 (mm/dd/yyyy)	Unknown			
Shoreland/Wellhead protection/Food	☐ Yes ☒ No	Attached supporting documentation:		
beverage lodging?		⊠ Soil observation logs completed for the report (Attach)		
Compliance criteria (select one):		☐ Two previous verifications of required	vertical	
5a. For systems built prior to April 1, 1996,	☐ Yes ⊠ No*	separation (Attach)		
and not located in Shoreland or Wellhead Protection Area or not serving a food,	1	☐ Not applicable (No soil treatment area	1)	
beverage or lodging establishment:				
Drainfield has at least a two-foot vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.				
5b. Non-performance systems built April 1,	☐ Yes ☐ No*	Indicate depths or elevations		
1996, or later or for non-performance systems located in Shoreland or Wellhea Protection Areas or serving a food,	d	A. Bottom of distribution media	See Attached Boring Log(s)	
beverage, or lodging establishment:		B. Periodically saturated soil/bedrock		
Drainfield has a three-foot vertical separation distance from periodically		C. System separation		
saturated soil or bedrock.*		D. Required compliance separation*		
		*May be reduced up to 15 percent if allo Ordinance.	wed by Local	
5c. "Experimental", "Other", or "Performance systems built under pre-2008 Rules; Type IV or V systems built under 2008 Rules 7080. 2350 or 7080.2400 (Advanced Inspector License required)	" Yes No*			
Drainfield meets the designed vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.				
*Any "no" answer above indicates the failing to protect groundwater.	e system is			

Upgrade requirements: (Minn. Stat. § 115.55) An imminent threat to public health and safety (ITPHS) must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within ten months of receipt of this notice or within a shorter period if required by local ordinance. If the system is failing to protect ground water, the system must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within the time required by local ordinance. If an existing system is not failing as defined in law, and has at least two feet of design soil separation, then the system need not be upgraded, repaired, replaced, or its use discontinued, notwithstanding any local ordinance that is more strict. This provision does not apply to systems in shoreland areas, Wellhead Protection Areas, or those used in connection with food, beverage, and lodging establishments as defined in law.


Describe verification methods and results:

Midwest Sewer Testing Subsurface Sewage Treatment System Owner/Property Information This information will be used for the purpose of conducting an MPCA Compliance Inspection.

This information will be used for the purpose of conducting an MPCA	Compliance inspection.
Date of Inspection: March 8, 2021	Time: 11:00 AM
Property Address: 7542 Lawton Ave S, Cottage Grove, MN	Zip: 55016
Property Owner: John Moes	Phone: 651-308-0624
Tank(s) Tank(s)Material Soil Treatment System	Other Alternative system Experimental system Cesspool system Other system
Are the tank maintenance covers accessible? ⊠ Yes ☐ No *If r	no, proper maintenance must be
performed through the maintenance holes. Maintenance hole cove	ers should be made accessible to
the ground surface to facilitate access and proper maintenance of the	he system.
	Γank size (gals.): 1500
	sidents in home?
Number of bedrooms? 4 Are all floors drained by gr	
Garbage disposal? Whirlpool bath?	
More than one system (laundry, etc.)? Does this property have any footing drain tiles connected to the set	ntia avatam?
Does this property have any rooting drain thes connected to the se	ptic system?
Are any buildings on this property such as garages or out-buildings. Are there any additional systems on this property serving other buildings.	•
The there any additional systems on this property serving other out	
Location of septic system on lot? West Side	
	well a deep well? Y
Have you ever experienced any problems with the system such as: surfacing of sewage onto the ground, septic tank overflowing, etc.; to the system? If yes, explain:	
When was the system last pumped? 3/8/2021 Name of pump	per: Pinky's Sewer Service
	on a monitoring plan?
Have you received notices from any government agency concerning	ng this system?
Is your property located in a shoreland management area? N	
Do you have any additional information that should be given to the	e new owner?
I hereby certify that the above information is correct to the best of my knowledge considered "non-compliant/failing" per MPCA rules, that the inspector must by local government unit within 15 days of the date of inspection completion. I also this report, that I/we are ultimately responsible for payment of all fees for all works by Inspect Minnesota and Midwest Soil Testing	law submit a copy of this report to the so agree that unless otherwise noted in

Date:

Owner/Occupant:



Soil Observations Log

ı	Locati	on of Project:	7542 Lawton Ave S	. Cotta	ae Grov	e. MN 55016	5
	Observations Made By: Midwest Sewer Serv			<u>, </u>	Date:	3/8/2021	
-	Classification System: USDA						
		Observation:	ST-1		Soil C	bservation:	
Surfac Elevatio Observa	n of	_	nd surface as last field trench	Surface Elevation of Observation			
Depth In Inches	Rock %	Soils E	ncountered	Depth In Inches Soils Encountered		Encountered	
0-25 25-45 45-50		10YR 4 10YR 4/4	2/2 Silt Loam 1/3 Silt Loam Silt Loam With 1/4 10YR 7/1 Redox				
45" De	epth T	o End Of Soil O	bservation Or Redox		Depth T	o End Of Soil	Observation Or Redox
Same El	levatio	n Of Observatio	n Relative To System		Elevatio	n Of Observat	tion Relative To System
			stribution Media				Distribution Media
=0" Of	f Sepa	ration			Of Sepa	iration	
End Of	f Soil C	Observation At:	50"	End Of	Soil Oh	servation At:	
2110 01		lox Present At:	45"	2.10 01		x Present At:	
Standing Water Present At: None			Standi		r Present At:		
	<u> </u>				<u> </u>		

Bottom Of Distribution Medium At: 51 Inches				
Signature:	Offer 1/2			

DISCLAIMER

Brian L. Humpal, Inc. dba. Midwest Sewer Services, Inspect Minnesota, Midwest Soil Testing Relative to Subsurface Sewage Treatment System (SSTS) Compliance Inspections

- 1. This inspection/report is being performed for only the seller/owner of the property on which the SSTS is located. In such case that another party is paying for the inspection, the contract is between only said party and Brian L. Humpal, Inc.; there is no contract between Brian L. Humpal, Inc. and any other party unless otherwise noted.
- 3. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. has not been retained to warranty, guarantee, or certify the proper functioning of the SSTS for any period of time beyond the date of inspection or into the future. Because of the numerous factors (usage, maintenance, soil characteristics, previous failures, etc.) which may affect the proper operation of an SSTS, as well as the inability of Brian L. Humpal, Inc. to supervise or monitor the use or maintenance of the SSTS, the report shall not be construed as a warranty by Brian L. Humpal, Inc. that the SSTS will function properly for any particular party for any period of time.
- 4. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. is unable to verify the frequency and/or, quality of prior or future maintenance of the SSTS. Maintenance of the tank(s) must be performed through the tanks maintenance hole. The removal of solids from any location other than the maintenance hole is not a compliant method of maintenance. It is strongly recommended that maintenance covers be made accessible to the ground surface to facilitate proper maintenance.
- 5. Minimum Compliance Inspection requirements relative to this inspection and this report include only verification that the SSTS has tank(s) (septic tanks, lift tanks, dosing tanks, stilling tanks, etc.) which are watertight below the designed operating depth, the required separation between the bottom of the subsurface soil distribution medium and seasonally saturated soils, no back-ups of sewage into the dwelling, no discharge of sewage/effluent to the ground surface or surface waters, and no imminent safety hazards. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. does not inspect plumbing or pumps prior to the first SSTS component as these are plumbing components. The performance of exterior pumps and associated components are not inspected as they are considered to be maintenance items. Additionally, no indications relative to compliance with electrical code requirements have been made. It is recommended that any other applicable plumbing, electrical, housing, etc. inspections be performed by a qualified inspection business. Sewage back-up verification is limited to observing the floor drain area and/or the information supplied by the last occupants of the building prior to inspection relative to back-ups is accurate.
- 4. Certification of this SSTS does not warranty future use beyond the date of the inspection. Any SSTS, old or new, can become hydraulically overloaded or discharge sewage/effluent to the ground surface as a result of more people moving into the house than were previously occupying the house, improper maintenance, heavy usage, leaking plumbing fixtures, groundwater infiltration, tree roots, freezing conditions, surface drainage problems, poor initial design, poor construction practices, or unsuitable materials used in constructing the system; the system can also simply stop working because of its age. An SSTS that has been properly designed and installed, properly maintained, and used in the manner for which the system was designed can be expected to provide service for twenty to twenty-five years on average. Some parts of the SSTS such as alarms, switches, pumps, filters, etc. will most likely have to be repaired or replaced over the lifetime of the system
- 5. A Compliance Inspection is not meant to be a test or inspection for longevity of the system; a Compliance Inspection is strictly for the purpose of determining if the SSTS is protective of public health and safety, as well as the groundwater at the date and time the inspection was performed. This inspection is not intended to determine if the SSTS was originally designed or installed to past or present MPCA or other Local Government Unit code requirements. This inspection is not intended to determine if the SSTS was designed and/or installed to support the anticipated flow from the building as the use of the building may have changed since the design and construction of the SSTS due to the addition of bedrooms, occupants, etc. In addition, this inspection is not intended to determine the quality of the original SSTS design, the quality of the construction practices used while installing the SSTS, or the quality of the materials used in constructing the SSTS.
- 6. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. cannot guarantee the performance of SSTS products/components such as: gravelless pipe, chamber trenches, effluent filters, tanks, sewage pre-treatment components, piping, etc. Products such as gravelless pipe are no longer approved for installation in the State of Minnesota and may have a significantly reduced performance and/or life expectancy.
- 7. WINTER WORK: By accepting this report, it is understood that inspections conducted during winter months (approximately November 1st through April 1st) are more difficult to perform because of possible snow cover and/or ground frost. SSTS components such as tanks, maintenance covers, tank inspection pipes, subsurface distribution medium inspection pipes, and soil treatment areas are more difficult or impossible to locate due to snow cover and/or ground frost. In addition, soil borings are more difficult to perform due to snow cover and/or ground frost. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. will attempt to use the same level of standards when performing work during winter periods as when performing work during non-winter periods. However, the recipient of this report understands that because of the aforementioned considerations, the same level of standards may not be possible.
- 8. By accepting this report, the client understands that Brian L. Humpal, Inc. will not be responsible for any monetary damages exceeding the fee for the services provided.

Subsurface Sewage Treatment Systems

Non-transferable

Business License

Midwest Sewer Services

License # L2896

License Expires: 12/22/2021

Issued: 11/06/2020

Specialty Area(s):

Installer

Maintainer

Service Provider

Advanced Designer

Advanced Inspector

Designated Certified Individual(s):

Cert #

Name

Certification Expires:

C5342

Brian L'Humpal

10/15/2023

Installer, Maintainer, Serv Prov, Adv Designer, Adv Inspector

C9852

Christopher R Uebe

3/4/2024

Designer, Inspector

MINNESOTA POLLUTION CONTROL AGENCY

520 Lafayette Road North St. Paul, Minnesota 55155-4194 Mich Haig

Nick Haig, Supervisor Certification and Training Unit