Midwest Sewer Services

P.O. Box 10853 White Bear Lake, MN 55110 651-492-7550/Brian@Midwestsoiltesting.com

Brian Humpal

MPCA Licensed Advanced Inspector

SUBSURFACE SEWAGE TREATMENT SYSTEM (SSTS) COMPLIANCE REPORT

Date: March 8, 2021

Time: 2:30 PM

Owner: Dennis & Barbara Williamson

Inspection Address: 9589 55th St N, Lake Elmo, MN **Site Conditions:** 0" Snow 2" Frost

REPORT SUMMARY

I have performed an "MPCA Compliance Inspection" on this system, have reviewed the history of the system with the owner, Dean Raders, and have reviewed the original design/permit records on file at the City of Lake Elmo. This system consists of two pre-cast septic tanks, a precast lift tank, and a chamber trench drainfield. Ron's Sewer Service pumped the septic tanks and lift tank on March 8. 2021.

Predicated on my inspection of the system, my review of the history of the system with the owner, and my review of the original design/permit records, it is my opinion that this system presently meets MPCA minimum compliance inspection requirements.

Midwest Sewer Services have been hired to perform a compliance inspection of this SSTS for compliance with local ordinances pursuant to Minn. Stat. § 115.55 (2013). This compliance inspection covers only the criteria required by Minn. Stat. § 115.55 Subd. 5a (2013) and Minn. R. 7080.1500 (2011). A compliance inspection is an indication of the current compliance status of the system and does not guarantee the performance or longevity of this system beyond the date of inspection, as it is impossible to determine the future performance of any system. Midwest Sewer Services disclaim any use of this compliance inspection beyond determining SSTS compliance pursuant to Minn. Stat. § 115.55 Subd. 5a (2013) and Minn. R. 7080.1500 (2011).

Please contact me should you have any questions.

After the Brian Humpal

Christopher

Uebe

Brian Humpal



520 Lafayette Road North St. Paul, MN 55155-4194

Compliance inspection report form **Existing Subsurface Sewage Treatment System (SSTS)**

Doc Type: Compliance and Enforcement

Instructions: Inspection results based on Minnesota Pollution Control Agency (MPCA) requirements and attached supporting documentation – additional local requirements may also apply. Further information can be found here: https://www.pca.state.mn.us/sites/default/files/wq-wwists4-31a.pdf.

Inspector must submit completed form to Local Governmental Unit (LGU) and system owner within 15 days of final determination of compliance or noncompliance.

Property information	Local tracking number:
Parcel ID# or Sec/Twp/Range:Lo	ocal regulatory authority: Washington County
Property address: 9589 55 th St N, Lake Elmo, MN 55042	
Owner/representative: Dean Raders	Owner's phone: 651-773-7004
Brief system description: Two pre-cast septic tanks, a pre-cast li	ift tank, and a chamber trench drainfield.
System status	
System status on date (mm/dd/yyyy):3/8/2021	
☐ Compliant – Certificate of compliance*	☐ Noncompliant – Notice of noncompliance
(Valid for 3 years from report date unless evidence of an imminent threat to public health or safety requiring removal and abatement under section 145A.04, subdivision 8 is discovered or a shorter time frame exists	An imminent threat to public health and safety (ITPHS) must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within ten months of receipt of this notice or within a shorter period if required by local ordinance or under section 145A.04 subdivision 8.
in Local Ordinance.) *Note: Compliance indicates conformance with Minn. R. 7080.1500 as of system status date above and does not guarantee future performance.	Systems failing to protect ground water must be upgraded, replaced, or use discontinued within the time required by local ordinance.
Reason(s) for noncompliance (check all applicab	ıle)
☐ Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance component #3) — ☐ System not abandoned according to Minn. R. 7080.2500 (Co ☐ Soil separation (Compliance component #5) — Failing to proto ☐ Operating permit/monitoring plan requirements (Compliance Comments or recommendations It should be noted that the drainfield is located in a power line each	ompliance component #3) – Failing to protect groundwater fect groundwater component #4) – Noncompliant - local ordinance applies
abuse of the system, inadequate maintenance, or future water us. By typing my name below , I certify the above statements to be to	made due to unknown conditions during system construction, possible
can be used for the purpose of processing this form.	O-atification graph and OF040/000F0
Business name: Midwest Sewer Services	Certification number: C5342/C9852
Inspector signature: Brian Humpal (After 1)	License number: L2896
(This document has been electronically signed	
Necessary or locally required supporting do	
 ☑ Soil observation logs ☑ Other information (list): Report Summary, Property Information, Disclaimer, License 	☑ Tank Integrity Assessment ☐ Operating Permit
https://www.pca.state.mn.us • 651-296-6300 • 800-657-3864	 Use your preferred relay service Available in alternative formats

https://www.pca.state.mn.us wg-wwists4-31b • 1/11/21

1. Impact on public health – Compliance component #1 of 5

2. Tank integrity – Compliance component #2 of 5

Compliance criteria:		Attached supporting documentation:			
System consists of a seepage pit,	☐ Yes* ☒ No	☐ Pumped at time of inspection			
cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit?		Name of maintenance be	usiness:	Ron's Sewer Service	
Sewage tank(s) leak below their	☐ Yes* ⊠ No	License number of main	tenance business:	L4007	
designed operating depth?		Date of maintenance:		3/8/2021	
		☐ Existing tank integrity as	sessment (Attach))	
		Date of maintenance			
If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks:	_	(mm/dd/yyyy):	(must be within t	hree years)	
Any "yes" answer above indicatis failing to protect groundwate	-	(See form instructions to Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp		ent complies with	
		☐ Tank is Noncompliant (p	umping not necessa	ry – explain below)	
		Other:			
Describe verification methods and	results:				

3. Other compliance conditions – Compliance component #3 of 5

	3a.	Maintenance hole covers appear to be struct ☐ Yes* ☐ No ☐ Unknown	urally unsound (dam	agea, cra	acked, etc.	<i>)</i> , or arroc	,curcu:		
	3b.	Other issues (electrical hazards, etc.) to immed	iately and adversely	impact p	ublic healtl	n or safet	v? □ Yes*	⊠ No □ Unknov	vn
		*Yes to 3a or 3b - System is an imminent t	-				, –		
	3c.	System is non-protective of ground water for	-		-	ector?	☐ Yes*	⊠ No	
		System not abandoned in accordance with M			, ,		_ □ Yes*	_	
		*Yes to 3c or 3d - System is failing to prot					_	_	
		Describe verification methods and results	_						
		Attached supporting documentation:	iot applicable $\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ $						
1.	Ор	erating permit and nitrogen BM	1P* – Compliar	nce co	mponer	nt #4 c	f 5 ⊠ N	lot applicable	_
1.		<u> </u>	<u> </u>	nce co	<u> </u>				— ed
1.	Is th	e system operated under an Operating Permi	t?		☐ Yes	□No	If "yes", A	below is requir	
1.	Is th	<u> </u>	t? specified in the syste	em desig	☐ Yes	□No	If "yes", A	below is requir	
1.	Is th	e system operated under an Operating Permi e system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specifi	t? specified in the syste fied in the system des	em desig s <i>ign</i>	☐ Yes In? ☐ Yes	□ No	If "yes", A If "yes", B	below is requir	
1.	Is th	e system operated under an Operating Permi e system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specific e answer to both questions is "no", th	t? specified in the syste fied in the system des	em desig s <i>ign</i>	☐ Yes In? ☐ Yes	□ No	If "yes", A If "yes", B	below is requir	
<u>1.</u>	Is th Is th	e system operated under an Operating Permi e system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specifie e answer to both questions is "no", the appliance criteria:	t? specified in the syste ied in the system des nis section does n	em desig sign not need	☐ Yes In? ☐ Yes	□ No	If "yes", A If "yes", B	below is requir	
1.	Is th Is th If th Cor	e system operated under an Operating Permire system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specifice answer to both questions is "no", the impliance criteria: Have the operating permit requirements been	t? specified in the syste ied in the system des nis section does n en met?	em desig sign not need □ Yes	☐ Yes In? ☐ Yes If to be co	□ No	If "yes", A If "yes", B	below is requir	
1.	Is th Is th If th Cor	e system operated under an Operating Permire system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specific enswer to both questions is "no", the appliance criteria: Have the operating permit requirements been as the required nitrogen BMP in place and process and process as the required nitrogen BMP in place and process as the required nitrogen BMP in place and process as the required nitrogen BMP in place and process as the required nitrogen BMP in place and process as the required nitrogen BMP in place and process as the required nitrogen BMP in place and process as the required nitrogen BMP in place and process as the required nitrogen BMP in place and process as the required nitrogen BMP in place and process as the required nitrogen BMP in place and process as the required nitrogen BMP in place and process as the required nitrogen BMP in place and process as the required nitrogen BMP in place and process as the required nitrogen BMP in place and process as the required nitrogen BMP in place and process as the required nitrogen BMP in place and process as the required nitrogen BMP in place and process as the required nitrogen BMP in place and process as the required nitrogen BMP in place and process are the required nitrogen BMP in place and process are the required nitrogen BMP in place and process are the required nitrogen BMP in place and process are the required nitrogen BMP in place and process are the required nitrogen BMP in place and process are the required nitrogen BMP in place and process are the required nitrogen BMP in place and process are the required nitrogen BMP in place and process are the required nitrogen BMP in place and process are the required nitrogen BMP in place and process are the required nitrogen BMP in place and process are the required nitrogen BMP in place and process are the required nitrogen BMP in place and process are the required nitrogen BMP in place and process are the required nitrogen BMP in place and process are the required nitrogen BMP in pla	t? specified in the system desired in the system desired in the system desired in the system does not be a section does not be a sec	em desig sign not need □ Yes	☐ Yes In? ☐ Yes If to be co	□ No	If "yes", A If "yes", B	below is requir	
1.	Is th Is th If th Cor	e system operated under an Operating Permi e system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specifi e answer to both questions is "no", the appliance criteria: Have the operating permit requirements been Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and positions answer indicates nonce	t? specified in the system desiried in the system desiries section does not met? roperly functioning?	em desig sign not need □ Yes	☐ Yes In? ☐ Yes If to be co	□ No	If "yes", A If "yes", B	below is requir	
1.	Is th Is th If th Cor	e system operated under an Operating Permire system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specific enswer to both questions is "no", the appliance criteria: Have the operating permit requirements been as the required nitrogen BMP in place and process and process as the required nitrogen BMP in place and process as the required nitrogen BMP in place and process as the required nitrogen BMP in place and process as the required nitrogen BMP in place and process as the required nitrogen BMP in place and process as the required nitrogen BMP in place and process as the required nitrogen BMP in place and process as the required nitrogen BMP in place and process as the required nitrogen BMP in place and process as the required nitrogen BMP in place and process as the required nitrogen BMP in place and process as the required nitrogen BMP in place and process as the required nitrogen BMP in place and process as the required nitrogen BMP in place and process as the required nitrogen BMP in place and process as the required nitrogen BMP in place and process as the required nitrogen BMP in place and process as the required nitrogen BMP in place and process as the required nitrogen BMP in place and process are the required nitrogen BMP in place and process are the required nitrogen BMP in place and process are the required nitrogen BMP in place and process are the required nitrogen BMP in place and process are the required nitrogen BMP in place and process are the required nitrogen BMP in place and process are the required nitrogen BMP in place and process are the required nitrogen BMP in place and process are the required nitrogen BMP in place and process are the required nitrogen BMP in place and process are the required nitrogen BMP in place and process are the required nitrogen BMP in place and process are the required nitrogen BMP in place and process are the required nitrogen BMP in place and process are the required nitrogen BMP in place and process are the required nitrogen BMP in pla	t? specified in the system desiried in the system desiries section does not met? roperly functioning?	em desig sign not need □ Yes	☐ Yes In? ☐ Yes If to be co	□ No	If "yes", A If "yes", B	below is requir	
1.	Is th Is th If th Cor	e system operated under an Operating Permi e system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specifi e answer to both questions is "no", the appliance criteria: Have the operating permit requirements been Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and positions answer indicates nonce	t? specified in the system desiried in the system desiries section does not met? roperly functioning?	em desig sign not need □ Yes	☐ Yes In? ☐ Yes If to be co	□ No	If "yes", A If "yes", B	below is requir	
1.	Is th Is th If th Cor	e system operated under an Operating Permi e system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specifi e answer to both questions is "no", the appliance criteria: Have the operating permit requirements been Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and positions answer indicates nonce	t? specified in the system desiried in the system desiries section does not met? roperly functioning?	em desig sign not need □ Yes	☐ Yes In? ☐ Yes If to be co	□ No	If "yes", A If "yes", B	below is requir	
1.	Is th Is th If th Cor	e system operated under an Operating Permi e system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specifi e answer to both questions is "no", the appliance criteria: Have the operating permit requirements been Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and positions answer indicates nonce	t? specified in the system desiried in the system desiries section does not met? roperly functioning?	em desig sign not need □ Yes	☐ Yes In? ☐ Yes If to be co	□ No	If "yes", A If "yes", B	below is requir	
1.	Is th Is th If th Cor	e system operated under an Operating Permi e system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specifi e answer to both questions is "no", the appliance criteria: Have the operating permit requirements been Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and positions answer indicates nonce	t? specified in the system desiried in the system desiries section does not met? roperly functioning?	em desig sign not need □ Yes	☐ Yes In? ☐ Yes If to be co	□ No	If "yes", A If "yes", B	below is requir	
1.	Is th Is th If th Cor	e system operated under an Operating Permi e system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specifi e answer to both questions is "no", the appliance criteria: Have the operating permit requirements been Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and positions answer indicates nonce	t? specified in the system desiried in the system desiries section does not met? roperly functioning?	em desig sign not need □ Yes	☐ Yes In? ☐ Yes If to be co	□ No	If "yes", A If "yes", B	below is requir	
1.	Is th Is th If th Cor	e system operated under an Operating Permi e system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specifi e answer to both questions is "no", the appliance criteria: Have the operating permit requirements been Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and positions answer indicates nonce	t? specified in the system desiried in the system desiries section does not met? roperly functioning?	em desig sign not need □ Yes	☐ Yes In? ☐ Yes If to be co	□ No	If "yes", A If "yes", B	below is requir	
1.	Is th Is th If th Cor	e system operated under an Operating Permi e system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specifi e answer to both questions is "no", the appliance criteria: Have the operating permit requirements been Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and positions answer indicates nonce	t? specified in the system desiried in the system desiries section does not met? roperly functioning?	em desig sign not need □ Yes	☐ Yes In? ☐ Yes If to be co	□ No	If "yes", A If "yes", B	below is requir	
1.	Is th Is th If th Cor	e system operated under an Operating Permi e system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specifi e answer to both questions is "no", the appliance criteria: Have the operating permit requirements been Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and positions answer indicates nonce	t? specified in the system desiried in the system desiries section does not met? roperly functioning?	em desig sign not need □ Yes	☐ Yes In? ☐ Yes If to be co	□ No	If "yes", A If "yes", B	below is requir	
1.	Is th Is th If th Cor	e system operated under an Operating Permi e system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specifi e answer to both questions is "no", the appliance criteria: Have the operating permit requirements been Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and positions answer indicates nonce	t? specified in the system desiried in the system desiries section does not met? roperly functioning?	em desig sign not need □ Yes	☐ Yes In? ☐ Yes If to be co	□ No	If "yes", A If "yes", B	below is requir	
1.	Is th Is th If th Cor	e system operated under an Operating Permire system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specific enswer to both questions is "no", the appliance criteria: Have the operating permit requirements been as the required nitrogen BMP in place and puestions and management process. Describe verification methods and results.	t? specified in the system desiried in the system desiries section does not met? roperly functioning?	em desig sign not need Yes	□ Yes In? □ Yes If to be co	□ No □ No mpleted	If "yes", A If "yes", B	below is require	

5. Soil separation – Compliance component #5 of 5

Date of installation 2002 (mm/dd/yyyy)	_ 🗌 Unkr	nown			
Shoreland/Wellhead protection/Food		☐ No	Attached supporting documentation:		
beverage lodging?			oxtimes Soil observation logs completed for th	e report (Attach)	
Compliance criteria (select one):			☐ Two previous verifications of required separation (Attach)	vertical	
5a. For systems built prior to April 1, 1996, and not located in Shoreland or Wellhead	_	s □ No*	 Not applicable (No soil treatment area) ☑ Reviewed design and permit records. 		
Protection Area or not serving a food, beverage or lodging establishment:					
Drainfield has at least a two-foot vertical			Wellhead protection area.		
separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.					
5b. Non-performance systems built April 1,		☐ No*	Indicate depths or elevations	T	
1996, or later or for non-performance systems located in Shoreland or Wellhead Protection Areas or serving a food,			A. Bottom of distribution media	See Attached Boring Log(s)	
beverage, or lodging establishment:			B. Periodically saturated soil/bedrock		
Drainfield has a three-foot vertical			C. System separation		
separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.*			D. Required compliance separation*		
			*May be reduced up to 15 percent if allo Ordinance.	wed by Local	
5c. "Experimental", "Other", or "Performance" systems built under pre-2008 Rules; Type IV or V systems built under 2008 Rules 7080. 2350 or 7080.2400 (Advanced Inspector License required)	' □ Yes	□ No*			
Drainfield meets the designed vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.					
*Any "no" answer above indicates the	svstem	is			


Describe verification methods and results:

failing to protect groundwater.

Upgrade requirements: (Minn. Stat. § 115.55) An imminent threat to public health and safety (ITPHS) must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within ten months of receipt of this notice or within a shorter period if required by local ordinance. If the system is failing to protect ground water, the system must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within the time required by local ordinance. If an existing system is not failing as defined in law, and has at least two feet of design soil separation, then the system need not be upgraded, repaired, replaced, or its use discontinued, notwithstanding any local ordinance that is more strict. This provision does not apply to systems in shoreland areas, Wellhead Protection Areas, or those used in connection with food, beverage, and lodging establishments as defined in law.

Midwest Sewer Testing Subsurface Sewage Treatment System Owner/Property Information This information will be used for the purpose of conducting an MPCA Compliance Inspection.

This information will be used for the purpose of conducting an MFCA	Comphance inspection.			
Date of Inspection: March 8, 2021	Time: 2:30 PM			
Property Address: 9589 55 th St N, Lake Elmo, MN	Zip: 55042			
Property Owner: Dean Raders	Phone: 651-773-7004			
Tank(s) Tank(s)Material Soil Treatment System Septic 2 Fiberglass Rock trench Aerobic Plastic Gravelless trench Lift Metal Chamber trench Holding Concrete Seepage bed Other: Block Mound Other At-grade	Other Alternative system Experimental system Cesspool system Other system			
Are the tank maintenance covers accessible? ⊠ Yes ☐ No *If i	no, proper maintenance must be			
performed through the maintenance holes. Maintenance hole cover	ers should be made accessible to			
the ground surface to facilitate access and proper maintenance of t	he system.			
Year house built: 2002 Year septic installed: 2002	Γank size (gals.): 2-1000			
	sidents in home?			
Number of bedrooms? 4 Are all floors drained by g	ravity? Y			
Garbage disposal? Y Whirlpool bath?	Y			
More than one system (laundry, etc.)? N				
Does this property have any footing drain tiles connected to the se	ptic system? N			
Are any buildings on this property such as garages or out-buildings connected to this system? N				
Are there any additional systems on this property serving other bu	ildings? N			
Location of septic system on lot? East Side				
Location of water well on lot? West Side	e well a deep well? Y			
Have you ever experienced any problems with the system such as: surfacing of sewage onto the ground, septic tank overflowing, etc. to the system? If yes, explain:				
v i i	per: Ron's Sewer Service			
	on a monitoring plan? N			
Have you received notices from any government agency concerning	ng this system? N			
Is your property located in a shoreland management area? N				
Do you have any additional information that should be given to the	e new owner? N			
I hereby certify that the above information is correct to the best of my knowledge. I also understand that if the system is considered "non-compliant/failing" per MPCA rules, that the inspector must by law submit a copy of this report to the local government unit within 15 days of the date of inspection completion. I also agree that unless otherwise noted in this report, that I/we are ultimately responsible for payment of all fees for all work performed relative to this inspection by Inspect Minnesota and Midwest Soil Testing				
Owner/Occupant:	Date:			



Soil Observations Log

Location of Project: 9589 55th St N, Lake Elmo, MN 55042 Observations Made By: Midwest Sewer Services Date: 3/8/2 Classification System: USDA Soil Observation: ST-1 Soil Observation: Surface Elevation of Observation drainfield trench Surface Elevation of Observation	2021
Classification System: USDA Soil Observation: ST-1 Soil Observation: Surface Elevation of Same ground surface as last Classification System: USDA Soil Observation: Surface Elevation of Same ground surface as last	
Surface Elevation of Same ground surface as last Elevation of	
Elevation of Same ground surface as last Elevation of	
Depth In Inches Rock % Soils Encountered Depth In Inches Rock % Soils Encounte	red
7.5YR 2.5/3 Loam 7.5YR 3/4 Loamy To Medium Sand With Trace Of Gravel 7.5YR 4/4 Fine Sandy Loam With Trace Of Gravel	
66" Depth To End Of Soil Observation Or Redox Depth To End Of Soil Observation	n Or Redox
Same Elevation Of Observation Relative To System Elevation Of Observation Relative	,
-28" Depth To Bottom Of Distribution Media Depth To Bottom Of Distribution	Media
≥38" Of Separation Of Separation	
End Of Soil Observation At: 66" End Of Soil Observation At:	
Redox Present At: None Redox Present At:	
Standing Water Present At: None Standing Water Present At:	

Bottom Of Distribution Medium At: 28 Inches		
Signature:	Color Ole	

DISCLAIMER

Brian L. Humpal, Inc. dba. Midwest Sewer Services, Inspect Minnesota, Midwest Soil Testing Relative to Subsurface Sewage Treatment System (SSTS) Compliance Inspections

- 1. This inspection/report is being performed for only the seller/owner of the property on which the SSTS is located. In such case that another party is paying for the inspection, the contract is between only said party and Brian L. Humpal, Inc.; there is no contract between Brian L. Humpal, Inc. and any other party unless otherwise noted.
- 3. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. has not been retained to warranty, guarantee, or certify the proper functioning of the SSTS for any period of time beyond the date of inspection or into the future. Because of the numerous factors (usage, maintenance, soil characteristics, previous failures, etc.) which may affect the proper operation of an SSTS, as well as the inability of Brian L. Humpal, Inc. to supervise or monitor the use or maintenance of the SSTS, the report shall not be construed as a warranty by Brian L. Humpal, Inc. that the SSTS will function properly for any particular party for any period of time.
- 4. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. is unable to verify the frequency and/or, quality of prior or future maintenance of the SSTS. Maintenance of the tank(s) must be performed through the tanks maintenance hole. The removal of solids from any location other than the maintenance hole is not a compliant method of maintenance. It is strongly recommended that maintenance covers be made accessible to the ground surface to facilitate proper maintenance.
- 5. Minimum Compliance Inspection requirements relative to this inspection and this report include only verification that the SSTS has tank(s) (septic tanks, lift tanks, dosing tanks, stilling tanks, etc.) which are watertight below the designed operating depth, the required separation between the bottom of the subsurface soil distribution medium and seasonally saturated soils, no back-ups of sewage into the dwelling, no discharge of sewage/effluent to the ground surface or surface waters, and no imminent safety hazards. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. does not inspect plumbing or pumps prior to the first SSTS component as these are plumbing components. The performance of exterior pumps and associated components are not inspected as they are considered to be maintenance items. Additionally, no indications relative to compliance with electrical code requirements have been made. It is recommended that any other applicable plumbing, electrical, housing, etc. inspections be performed by a qualified inspection business. Sewage back-up verification is limited to observing the floor drain area and/or the information supplied by the last occupants of the building prior to inspection relative to back-ups is accurate.
- 4. Certification of this SSTS does not warranty future use beyond the date of the inspection. Any SSTS, old or new, can become hydraulically overloaded or discharge sewage/effluent to the ground surface as a result of more people moving into the house than were previously occupying the house, improper maintenance, heavy usage, leaking plumbing fixtures, groundwater infiltration, tree roots, freezing conditions, surface drainage problems, poor initial design, poor construction practices, or unsuitable materials used in constructing the system; the system can also simply stop working because of its age. An SSTS that has been properly designed and installed, properly maintained, and used in the manner for which the system was designed can be expected to provide service for twenty to twenty-five years on average. Some parts of the SSTS such as alarms, switches, pumps, filters, etc. will most likely have to be repaired or replaced over the lifetime of the system
- 5. A Compliance Inspection is not meant to be a test or inspection for longevity of the system; a Compliance Inspection is strictly for the purpose of determining if the SSTS is protective of public health and safety, as well as the groundwater at the date and time the inspection was performed. This inspection is not intended to determine if the SSTS was originally designed or installed to past or present MPCA or other Local Government Unit code requirements. This inspection is not intended to determine if the SSTS was designed and/or installed to support the anticipated flow from the building as the use of the building may have changed since the design and construction of the SSTS due to the addition of bedrooms, occupants, etc. In addition, this inspection is not intended to determine the quality of the original SSTS design, the quality of the construction practices used while installing the SSTS, or the quality of the materials used in constructing the SSTS.
- 6. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. cannot guarantee the performance of SSTS products/components such as: gravelless pipe, chamber trenches, effluent filters, tanks, sewage pre-treatment components, piping, etc. Products such as gravelless pipe are no longer approved for installation in the State of Minnesota and may have a significantly reduced performance and/or life expectancy.
- 7. WINTER WORK: By accepting this report, it is understood that inspections conducted during winter months (approximately November 1st through April 1st) are more difficult to perform because of possible snow cover and/or ground frost. SSTS components such as tanks, maintenance covers, tank inspection pipes, subsurface distribution medium inspection pipes, and soil treatment areas are more difficult or impossible to locate due to snow cover and/or ground frost. In addition, soil borings are more difficult to perform due to snow cover and/or ground frost. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. will attempt to use the same level of standards when performing work during winter periods as when performing work during non-winter periods. However, the recipient of this report understands that because of the aforementioned considerations, the same level of standards may not be possible.
- 8. By accepting this report, the client understands that Brian L. Humpal, Inc. will not be responsible for any monetary damages exceeding the fee for the services provided.

Subsurface Sewage Treatment Systems

Non-transferable

Business License

Midwest Sewer Services

License # L2896

License Expires: 12/22/2021

Issued: 11/06/2020

Specialty Area(s):

Installer

Maintainer

Service Provider

Advanced Designer

Advanced Inspector

Designated Certified Individual(s):

Cert #

Name

Certification Expires:

C5342

Brian L'Humpal

10/15/2023

Installer, Maintainer, Serv Prov, Adv Designer, Adv Inspector

C9852

Christopher R Uebe

3/4/2024

Designer, Inspector

MINNESOTA POLLUTION CONTROL AGENCY

520 Lafayette Road North St. Paul, Minnesota 55155-4194 Mich Haig

Nick Haig, Supervisor Certification and Training Unit