Midwest Sewer Services

P.O. Box 10853 White Bear Lake, MN 55110 651-492-7550/Brian@Midwestsoiltesting.com

Brian Humpal

MPCA Licensed Advanced Inspector

SUBSURFACE SEWAGE TREATMENT SYSTEM (SSTS) COMPLIANCE REPORT

Date: March 15, 2021 **Time:** 9:30 AM **Owner:** Jackie Singer

Inspection Address: 10561 202nd St N, Scandia, MN 55073

REPORT SUMMARY

I have performed an "MPCA Compliance Inspection" on this system and have reviewed the original design/permit records, along with a previous compliance inspection from 2012, which were on file at Washington County. This system consists of two pre-cast septic tanks and a chamber trench drainfield. Smilie's Sewer Service pumped the septic tanks on March 15, 2021. The tanks were inspected and then sewage was pumped backed into the tanks due to road restrictions. The tanks are currently due for maintenance pumping and should be pumped as soon as possible in spring of 2021.

Predicated on my inspection of the system and my review of the records, it is my opinion that this system presently meets MPCA minimum compliance inspection requirements.

Midwest Sewer Services have been hired to perform a compliance inspection of this SSTS for compliance with local ordinances pursuant to Minn. Stat. § 115.55 (2013). This compliance inspection covers only the criteria required by Minn. Stat. § 115.55 Subd. 5a (2013) and Minn. R. 7080.1500 (2011). A compliance inspection is an indication of the current compliance status of the system and does not guarantee the performance or longevity of this system beyond the date of inspection, as it is impossible to determine the future performance of any system. Midwest Sewer Services disclaim any use of this compliance inspection beyond determining SSTS compliance pursuant to Minn. Stat. § 115.55 Subd. 5a (2013) and Minn. R. 7080.1500 (2011).

Please contact me should you have any questions.

Brian Humpal

Christopher Uebe

Brian Humpal



520 Lafayette Road North St. Paul, MN 55155-4194

Compliance inspection report form

Existing Subsurface Sewage Treatment System (SSTS)

Doc Type: Compliance and Enforcement

Instructions: Inspection results based on Minnesota Pollution Control Agency (MPCA) requirements and attached supporting documentation – additional local requirements may also apply. Further information can be found here: https://www.pca.state.mn.us/sites/default/files/wq-wwists4-31a.pdf.

Inspector must submit completed form to Local Governmental Unit (LGU) and system owner within 15 days of final determination of compliance or noncompliance.

| Property information | Local tracking number: |
|---|---|
| Parcel ID# or Sec/Twp/Range: | Local regulatory authority: Washington County |
| Property address: 10561 202 nd St N, Scandia, MN 55073 | |
| Owner/representative: Jackie Singer | Owner's phone: 612-730-7250 |
| Brief system description: Two pre-cast septic tanks and a c | chamber trench drainfield. |
| System status | |
| System status on date (mm/dd/yyyy): 3/15/2021 | |
| ☐ Compliant – Certificate of compliance* | ☐ Noncompliant – Notice of noncompliance |
| (Valid for 3 years from report date unless evidence an imminent threat to public health or safety requiring removal and abatement under section 145A.04, subdivision 8 is discovered or a shorter time frame ex in Local Ordinance.) | upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within ten months of receipt of this notice or within a shorter period if required by local ordinance or under section 145A.04 subdivision 8. |
| *Note: Compliance indicates conformance with M R. 7080.1500 as of system status date above and does not guarantee future performance. | Systems failing to protect ground water must be upgraded, replaced, or use discontinued within the time required by local ordinance. |
| Reason(s) for noncompliance (check all app | licable) |
| ☐ Soil separation (Compliance component #5) — Failing to ☐ Operating permit/monitoring plan requirements (Compliance Comments or recommendations Although not a compliance criteria, it should be noted that The tanks were inspected and then sewage was pumped by currently due for maintenance pumping and should be pumperation. Certification I hereby certify that all the necessary information has been getting the soil of the component of the processory. | #3) – Imminent threat to public health and safety #3) – Failing to protect groundwater 10 (Compliance component #3) – Failing to protect groundwater 10 protect groundwater 11 iance component #4) – Noncompliant - local ordinance applies 12 the drainfield is located in the powerline easement. 13 backed into the tanks due to road restrictions. The tanks are |
| abuse of the system, inadequate maintenance, or future was | |
| Business name: Midwest Sewer Services | Certification number: C5342/C9852 |
| Inspector signature: Brian Humpal After | License number: L2896 |
| (This document has been electronically | |
| Necessary or locally required supporting | |
| Soil observation logs ☐ Locally required form Other information (list): Report Summary, Property Information, Disclaimer, Licens | s |

https://www.pca.state.mn.us wq-wwists4-31b • 1/11/21

1. Impact on public health – Compliance component #1 of 5

| Compliance criteria: | | Attached supporting documentation: |
|---|-------------|------------------------------------|
| System discharges sewage to the ground surface | ☐ Yes* ⊠ No | ☐ Other: ☐ Not applicable |
| System discharges sewage to drain tile or surface waters. | ☐ Yes* ☒ No | |
| System causes sewage backup into dwelling or establishment. | ☐ Yes* ⊠ No | |
| Any "yes" answer above indicates imminent threat to public health an | • | |
| Describe verification methods and | results: | |
| None of the above found. | | |

2. Tank integrity – Compliance component #2 of 5

| Compliance criteria: | | Attached supporting documentation: | | | |
|--|-------------|---|---------------------------|--|--|
| System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, | ☐ Yes* ⊠ No | □ Pumped at time of inspection | | | |
| or other pit? | | Name of maintenance business: | Smilie's Sewer Service | | |
| Sewage tank(s) leak below their | ☐ Yes* ☒ No | License number of maintenance busin | ess: <u>L2428</u> | | |
| designed operating depth? | | Date of maintenance: | 3/15/2021 | | |
| | | ☐ Existing tank integrity assessment (Att | ach) | | |
| If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: | | Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (must be with | nin three years) | | |
| Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is failing to protect groundwater. | | (See form instructions to ensure asses Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1)) | sment complies with | | |
| | | ☐ Tank is Noncompliant (pumping not nece | essary – explain below) | | |
| | | Other: | | | |

Describe verification methods and results:

The tanks were inspected and then sewage was pumped backed into the tanks due to road restrictions. The tanks are currently due for maintenance pumping and should be pumped as soon as possible in spring of 2021.

https://www.pca.state.mn.us wq-wwists4-31b • 1/11/21 651-296-6300

800-657-3864

Use your preferred relay service

Available in alternative formats

3. Other compliance conditions – Compliance component #3 of 5

| | 3a. | . Maintenance hole covers appear to be structurally unsound (damaged, cracked, et | tc.), or uns | secured? | | |
|-----------|--------------------------|--|--|-----------------------------------|----------------------------------|--------|
| | | ☐ Yes* ☑ No ☐ Unknown | | | | |
| | 3b. | . Other issues (electrical hazards, etc.) to immediately and adversely impact public hea | alth or safe | ety? ☐ Yes* | ⊠ No ☐ Unknowr | 1 |
| | | *Yes to 3a or 3b - System is an imminent threat to public health and safety. | | | | |
| | | System is non-protective of ground water for other conditions as determined by ins | spector? | ☐ Yes* | ⊠ No | |
| | 3d. | . System not abandoned in accordance with Minn. R. 7080.2500? | | ☐ Yes* | ⊠ No | |
| | | *Yes to 3c or 3d - System is failing to protect groundwater. | | | | |
| | | Describe verification methods and results: | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | Attached supporting documentation: ⊠ Not applicable □ | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | _ | | | | | |
| 4. | Op | perating permit and nitrogen BMP* – Compliance compone | ent #4 | of 5 \boxtimes N | lot applicable | _ |
| 1. | | | | | lot applicable below is required | - d |
| <u>4.</u> | Is th | | es 🗌 No | If "yes", A | below is required | |
| 4. | Is th | he system operated under an Operating Permit? | es 🗌 No | If "yes", A | below is required | |
| 4. | Is th | he system operated under an Operating Permit? he system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design | es No | If "yes", A If "yes", B | below is required | |
| 4. | Is th | he system operated under an Operating Permit? he system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design he answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be one | es No | If "yes", A If "yes", B | below is required | |
| 4. | Is the Is the If the Con | he system operated under an Operating Permit? he system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design he answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be ompliance criteria: | es No | If "yes", A If "yes", B | below is required | |
| 4. | Is the Is the Con | he system operated under an Operating Permit? he system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design he answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be ompliance criteria: a. Have the operating permit requirements been met? Yes No | es No | If "yes", A If "yes", B | below is required | |
| 4. | Is the Is the Con | he system operated under an Operating Permit? he system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design he answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be ompliance criteria: a. Have the operating permit requirements been met? DYES No No No | es No | If "yes", A If "yes", B | below is required | |
| 4. | Is the Is the Con | he system operated under an Operating Permit? he system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design he answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be ompliance criteria: a. Have the operating permit requirements been met? b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning? Yes No Any "no" answer indicates noncompliance. | es No | If "yes", A If "yes", B | below is required | |
| 4 | Is the Is the Con | he system operated under an Operating Permit? he system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design he answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be ompliance criteria: a. Have the operating permit requirements been met? DYES No No No | es No | If "yes", A If "yes", B | below is required | |
| 4. | Is the Is the Con | he system operated under an Operating Permit? he system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design he answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be ompliance criteria: a. Have the operating permit requirements been met? b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning? Yes No Any "no" answer indicates noncompliance. | es No | If "yes", A If "yes", B | below is required | |
| 4. | Is the Is the Con | he system operated under an Operating Permit? he system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design he answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be ompliance criteria: a. Have the operating permit requirements been met? b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning? Yes No Any "no" answer indicates noncompliance. | es No | If "yes", A If "yes", B | below is required | |
| 4. | Is the Is the Con | he system operated under an Operating Permit? he system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design he answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be ompliance criteria: a. Have the operating permit requirements been met? b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning? Yes No Any "no" answer indicates noncompliance. | es No | If "yes", A If "yes", B | below is required | |
| 4. | Is the Is the Con | he system operated under an Operating Permit? he system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design he answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be ompliance criteria: a. Have the operating permit requirements been met? b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning? Yes No Any "no" answer indicates noncompliance. | es No | If "yes", A If "yes", B | below is required | |
| 4. | Is the Is the Con | he system operated under an Operating Permit? he system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design he answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be ompliance criteria: a. Have the operating permit requirements been met? b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning? Yes No Any "no" answer indicates noncompliance. | es No | If "yes", A If "yes", B | below is required | |
| 4 | Is the Is the Con | he system operated under an Operating Permit? he system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design he answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be ompliance criteria: a. Have the operating permit requirements been met? b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning? Yes No Any "no" answer indicates noncompliance. | es No | If "yes", A If "yes", B | below is required | |
| 4. | Is the Is the Con | he system operated under an Operating Permit? he system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design he answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be ompliance criteria: a. Have the operating permit requirements been met? b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning? Yes No Any "no" answer indicates noncompliance. | es No | If "yes", A If "yes", B | below is required | |
| 4 | Is the Is the Con | he system operated under an Operating Permit? he system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design he answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be ompliance criteria: a. Have the operating permit requirements been met? b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning? Yes No Any "no" answer indicates noncompliance. | es No | If "yes", A If "yes", B | below is required | |
| 4. | Is the Is the Con | he system operated under an Operating Permit? he system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design he answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be ompliance criteria: a. Have the operating permit requirements been met? b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning? Yes No Any "no" answer indicates noncompliance. | es No | If "yes", A If "yes", B | below is required | |
| 4. | Is the Is the Con | the system operated under an Operating Permit? | es □ No es □ No complet e | If "yes", A If "yes", B ed. | below is required | d |
| 4. | Is the Is the Con | he system operated under an Operating Permit? he system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design he answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be ompliance criteria: a. Have the operating permit requirements been met? b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning? Yes No Any "no" answer indicates noncompliance. | es □ No es □ No complet e | If "yes", A If "yes", B ed. | below is required | d |

5. Soil separation – Compliance component #5 of 5

| Date of installation 2003 (mm/dd/yyyy) | _ | | |
|---|-------------|--|-------------------------------|
| Shoreland/Wellhead protection/Food | ⊠ Yes □ No | Attached supporting documentation: | |
| beverage lodging? | | Soil observation logs completed for the logs. | e report (Attach) |
| Compliance criteria (select one): | | ☐ Two previous verifications of required separation (Attach) | vertical |
| 5a. For systems built prior to April 1, 1996, and not located in Shoreland or Wellhead | ☐ Yes ☐ No* | ☐ Not applicable (No soil treatment area |) |
| Protection Area or not serving a food, beverage or lodging establishment: | | ☐ Reviewed previous compliance inspec | ction from 2012. |
| Drainfield has at least a two-foot vertical | | Reviewed design and permit records. | |
| separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock. | | | |
| 5b.Non-performance systems built April 1, | ⊠ Yes □ No* | Indicate depths or elevations | |
| 1996, or later or for non-performance systems located in Shoreland or Wellhead Protection Areas or serving a food, beverage, or lodging establishment: | | A. Bottom of distribution media | See Attached Boring Log(s) |
| | | B. Periodically saturated soil/bedrock | |
| Drainfield has a three-foot vertical | | C. System separation | |
| separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.* | | D. Required compliance separation* | |
| | | *May be reduced up to 15 percent if allo Ordinance. | wed by Local |
| 5c. "Experimental", "Other", or "Performance" systems built under pre-2008 Rules; Type IV or V systems built under 2008 Rules 7080. 2350 or 7080.2400 (Advanced Inspector License required) | ☐ Yes ☐ No* | | |
| Drainfield meets the designed vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock. | | | |
| *Any "no" answer above indicates the | svstem is | | |

failing to protect groundwater.

Describe verification methods and results:

Upgrade requirements: (Minn. Stat. § 115.55) An imminent threat to public health and safety (ITPHS) must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within ten months of receipt of this notice or within a shorter period if required by local ordinance. If the system is failing to protect ground water, the system must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within the time required by local ordinance. If an existing system is not failing as defined in law, and has at least two feet of design soil separation, then the system need not be upgraded, repaired, replaced, or its use discontinued, notwithstanding any local ordinance that is more strict. This provision does not apply to systems in shoreland areas, Wellhead Protection Areas, or those used in connection with food, beverage, and lodging establishments as defined in law.

Midwest Sewer Testing


Subsurface Sewage Treatment System Owner/Property Information

| This information will be used for the purpose of conducting an MP | CA Compliance Inspection. |
|--|---|
| Date of Inspection: March 13, 2021 | Time: 9:30 AM |
| Property Address: 10561 202 nd St N, Scandia, MN | Zip: 55073 |
| Property Owner: Jackie Singer | Phone: 612-730-7250 |
| Tank(s) Tank(s)Material Soil Treatment System Septic 2 Fiberglass Rock trench Aerobic Plastic Gravelless trench Lift Metal Chamber trench Holding Concrete Seepage bed Other: Block Mound Other At-grade | Other Alternative system Experimental system Cesspool system Other system |
| Are the tank maintenance covers accessible? ⊠ Yes □ No * | |
| performed through the maintenance holes. Maintenance hole co | |
| the ground surface to facilitate access and proper maintenance of | of the system. |
| Year house built: 2003 Year septic installed: 2003 | Tank size (gals.): 1-1500, 1-1000 |
| | residents in home? |
| Number of bedrooms? 3 Are all floors drained by | |
| Garbage disposal? N Whirlpool bat | th? Y |
| More than one system (laundry, etc.)? N | |
| Does this property have any footing drain tiles connected to the | septic system? |
| Are any buildings on this property such as garages or out-buildings | ings connected to this system? |
| Are there any additional systems on this property serving other | buildings? |
| Location of septic system on lot? East Side | |
| Location of water well on lot? North Side Is | the well a deep well? Y |
| Have you ever experienced any problems with the system such surfacing of sewage onto the ground, septic tank overflowing, e to the system? If yes, explain: | |
| When was the system last pumped? 3/15/2021 Name of pu | umper: Smilie's Sewer Service |
| How often pumped in previous years? Is syst | em on a monitoring plan? |
| Have you received notices from any government agency concer | rning this system? |
| Is your property located in a shoreland management area? Y | |
| Do you have any additional information that should be given to | the new owner? |
| I hereby certify that the above information is correct to the best of my knowle considered "non-compliant/failing" per MPCA rules, that the inspector must local government unit within 15 days of the date of inspection completion. It is report, that I/we are ultimately responsible for payment of all fees for all by Inspect Minnesota and Midwest Soil Testing | by law submit a copy of this report to the lalso agree that unless otherwise noted in |

Date:

Owner/Occupant:





Soil Observations Log

| Location of Project: 10561 202nd St N, Scandia, MN 55073 | | | | | | | |
|--|-----------|---|--|--|---------|---------------|-------------------------|
| Observations Made By: Midwest Sewer Serv | | | | <u>, u</u> | Date: | 3/15/2021 | |
| | | ation System: | USDA | | | | , , |
| | Soil | Observation: | ST-1 | | Soil C | bservation: | |
| Surfa Elevati Observ | ion of | _ | nd surface as last field trench | Surface Elevation of Observation | | | |
| Depth In Inches | Rock % | Soils E | ncountered | Depth In Inches | Rock % | Soils | <u>Encountered</u> |
| 0-20 20-32 32-38 38-58 58-68 | ≈10 | 10YR 3/4 Medii 10YR 4/ 10YR 3/4 M Trace 10YR 3/3 M Trace O | B Medium Sand um Sand With Gravel B Sandy Loam ledium Sand With C Of Gravel Sedium Sand With Gravel And Fron Nodules | | | | |
| 68" | Depth T | o End Of Soil O | bservation Or Redox | | Depth T | o End Of Soil | Observation Or Redox |
| | | | n Relative To System | | | | tion Relative To System |
| | | | stribution Media | | | | Distribution Media |
| ≥37" | Of Sepa | Iration | | | Of Sepa | Iration | |
| End (| Of Soil (| Observation At: | 68" | End Of | Soil Ob | servation At: | |
| | | dox Present At: | None | | | x Present At: | |
| Stan | ding Wa | ater Present At: | None | Standi | | r Present At: | |
| | Treme | | | | | · | |

| Bottom Of Dist | Bottom Of Distribution Medium At: 31 Inches | | | |
|----------------|---|--|--|--|
| | | | | |
| Signature: | Offer 1/2 | | | |

Log Of Soil Borings

| Location of Project: 10561 202nd Street N, Scandia, MN 55073 | | | | | |
|--|-------------------------------------|--|----------------------------------|---------------------|--------------------|
| | Borings Made By: | Inspect Minnesota | <u>'</u> | Date: | 8/18/12 |
| Auger Used: Hand/Bucket | | | Classification System: USDA | | |
| | Boring Number: | 1 | | Boring Number: | |
| Surface Elevation Boring | of Same grou | ind surface at last inspection pipe | Surface Elevation o Boring | of | |
| Depth In Inches | Soils E | <u>ncountered</u> | Depth In Inches | Soils En | <u>icountered</u> |
| 0-22 22-28 28-47 47-58 58-72 | 7.5YR 4/ 7.5YR 4/4 5 7.5YR 3/ | /3 Loamy Sand 4 Loamy Sand 5andy Clay Loam 4 Loamy Sand Coarse Sand, Trace Grave | | | |
| 72" | Depth To End Of B | oring Or Redox | С | Depth To End Of Bo | oring Or Redox |
| Same | Elevation Of Boring | g Relative To System | E | Elevation Of Boring | Relative To System |
| | Depth To Bottom (| of System | | Depth To Bottom O | f System |
| ≥40" | Of Separation | | C | Of Separation | |
| | End Of Boring At: | 72" | ı | End Of Boring At: | |
| | Redox Present At: | None | | Redox Present At: | |
| Standing | Water Present At: | None | | Water Present At: | |
| | | | | | |

| Bottom Of Distribution Medium At: 32 Inches | |
|---|--|
| | |

DATE 7-5-97

BOREHOLE DIAMETER 4"-3" HAND AUGER

| PEPTH FEET | HOLE #1 | HOLE #2 | HOLE #3 | HOLE #4 | HOLE #5 | HOLE #6 |
|----------------|-----------------|--------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------|--|---------------|
| | TOP SOIL | TOP SOIL | TOP 501L | TOP SOIL | 70P SOIL | <u>-</u> - |
| 1 - | _STRONG BROWN, | BROWN, MEDIUM - | BROWN, MEDIUM - | BROWN, MEDIUM - | BROWN, MEDIUM - | |
| 2 — | | | STRONG BROWN | | BROWN, MEDIUM _ SAND WITH _ LOAM | |
| 3 — | STRONG BROWN, _ | BROWN, MEDIUM - TO COARSE - | LOAM WITH SAND LAYERS | | BROWN, MEDIUM - | |
| 4 — | | HEAVY ROCKS | BROWN, MEDIUM - | - - | ROCKS | |
| 5 — | BROWN, COARSE - | BH CAUING STOP | BROWN, MEDIUM | BROWN, COARSE | | |
| 6 - | 5.77.0 | - | SAND | ROCKS STOP | 570P | _ |
| 7 — | STOP _ | OKAY. 5'+ | STOP | | | |
| 8 — | OKAY 6'+ | | OKAY 6'+ | OKAY 6'+ | OKAY 6'+ | - |
| - | - - | <u>+</u> - | + | ‡ | + - | + |
| 9 - | - | - - | | <u> </u> | | Ī |

DISCLAIMER

Brian L. Humpal, Inc. dba. Midwest Sewer Services, Inspect Minnesota, Midwest Soil Testing Relative to Subsurface Sewage Treatment System (SSTS) Compliance Inspections

- 1. This inspection/report is being performed for only the seller/owner of the property on which the SSTS is located. In such case that another party is paying for the inspection, the contract is between only said party and Brian L. Humpal, Inc.; there is no contract between Brian L. Humpal, Inc. and any other party unless otherwise noted.
- 3. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. has not been retained to warranty, guarantee, or certify the proper functioning of the SSTS for any period of time beyond the date of inspection or into the future. Because of the numerous factors (usage, maintenance, soil characteristics, previous failures, etc.) which may affect the proper operation of an SSTS, as well as the inability of Brian L. Humpal, Inc. to supervise or monitor the use or maintenance of the SSTS, the report shall not be construed as a warranty by Brian L. Humpal, Inc. that the SSTS will function properly for any particular party for any period of time.
- 4. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. is unable to verify the frequency and/or, quality of prior or future maintenance of the SSTS. Maintenance of the tank(s) must be performed through the tanks maintenance hole. The removal of solids from any location other than the maintenance hole is not a compliant method of maintenance. It is strongly recommended that maintenance covers be made accessible to the ground surface to facilitate proper maintenance.
- 5. Minimum Compliance Inspection requirements relative to this inspection and this report include <u>only</u> verification that the SSTS has tank(s) (septic tanks, lift tanks, dosing tanks, stilling tanks, etc.) which are watertight below the designed operating depth, the required separation between the bottom of the subsurface soil distribution medium and seasonally saturated soils, no back-ups of sewage into the dwelling, no discharge of sewage/effluent to the ground surface or surface waters, and no imminent safety hazards. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. does not inspect plumbing or pumps prior to the first SSTS component as these are plumbing components. The performance of exterior pumps and associated components are not inspected as they are considered to be maintenance items. Additionally, no indications relative to compliance with electrical code requirements have been made. It is recommended that any other applicable plumbing, electrical, housing, etc. inspections be performed by a qualified inspection business. Sewage back-up verification is limited to observing the floor drain area and/or the information supplied by the last occupants of the building prior to inspection. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. cannot guarantee that the information given to them by the last occupants of the building prior to inspection relative to back-ups is accurate.
- 4. Certification of this SSTS does not warranty future use beyond the date of the inspection. Any SSTS, old or new, can become hydraulically overloaded or discharge sewage/effluent to the ground surface as a result of more people moving into the house than were previously occupying the house, improper maintenance, heavy usage, leaking plumbing fixtures, groundwater infiltration, tree roots, freezing conditions, surface drainage problems, poor initial design, poor construction practices, or unsuitable materials used in constructing the system; the system can also simply stop working because of its age. An SSTS that has been properly designed and installed, properly maintained, and used in the manner for which the system was designed can be expected to provide service for twenty to twenty-five years on average. Some parts of the SSTS such as alarms, switches, pumps, filters, etc. will most likely have to be repaired or replaced over the lifetime of the system.
- 5. A Compliance Inspection is not meant to be a test or inspection for longevity of the system; a Compliance Inspection is strictly for the purpose of determining if the SSTS is protective of public health and safety, as well as the groundwater at the date and time the inspection was performed. This inspection is not intended to determine if the SSTS was originally designed or installed to past or present MPCA or other Local Government Unit code requirements. This inspection is not intended to determine if the SSTS was designed and/or installed to support the anticipated flow from the building as the use of the building may have changed since the design and construction of the SSTS due to the addition of bedrooms, occupants, etc. In addition, this inspection is not intended to determine the quality of the original SSTS design, the quality of the construction practices used while installing the SSTS, or the quality of the materials used in constructing the SSTS.
- 6. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. cannot guarantee the performance of SSTS products/components such as: gravelless pipe, chamber trenches, effluent filters, tanks, sewage pre-treatment components, piping, etc. Products such as gravelless pipe are no longer approved for installation in the State of Minnesota and may have a significantly reduced performance and/or life expectancy.
- 7. WINTER WORK: By accepting this report, it is understood that inspections conducted during winter months (approximately November 1st through April 1st) are more difficult to perform because of possible snow cover and/or ground frost. SSTS components such as tanks, maintenance covers, tank inspection pipes, subsurface distribution medium inspection pipes, and soil treatment areas are more difficult or impossible to locate due to snow cover and/or ground frost. In addition, soil borings are more difficult to perform due to snow cover and/or ground frost. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. will attempt to use the same level of standards when performing work during winter periods as when performing work during non-winter periods. However, the recipient of this report understands that because of the aforementioned considerations, the same level of standards may not be possible.
- 8. By accepting this report, the client understands that Brian L. Humpal, Inc. will not be responsible for any monetary damages exceeding the fee for the services provided.

Subsurface Sewage Treatment Systems

Non-transferable

Business License

Midwest Sewer Services

License # L2896

License Expires: 12/22/2021

Issued: 11/06/2020

Specialty Area(s):

Installer

Maintainer

Service Provider

Advanced Designer

Advanced Inspector

Designated Certified Individual(s):

Cert #

Name

Certification Expires:

C5342

Brian L'Humpal

10/15/2023

Installer, Maintainer, Serv Prov, Adv Designer, Adv Inspector

C9852

Christopher R Uebe

3/4/2024

Designer, Inspector



520 Lafayette Road North St. Paul, Minnesota 55155-4194 Mich Haig

Nick Haig, Supervisor Certification and Training Unit