

## Compliance inspection report form

**Existing Subsurface Sewage Treatment System (SSTS)** 

520 Lafayette Road North St. Paul, MN 55155-4194

Doc Type: Compliance and Enforcement

Property information	Local tracking number:
	al regulatory authority: Washington County
Property address: 16706 3rd St. N. Lakeland, Mn. 55043	
Owner/representative: John Young	Owner's phone: 651-304-7566
brief system description: 2 septic tanks to gravity drainfield	
System status	
System status on date (mm/dd/yyyy): 3/12/2021	
☐ Compliant – Certificate of compliance*	□ Noncompliant – Notice of noncompliance
(Valid for 3 years from report date unless evidence of an imminent threat to public health or safety requiring	An imminent threat to public health and safety (ITPHS) must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within ten months or
subdivision 8 is discovered or a shorter time frame exists	local ordinance or under section 145A.04 subdivision 8.
in Local Ordinance.)	Systems failing to protect ground water must be upgraded,
*Note: Compliance indicates conformance with Minn. R. 7080.1500 as of system status date above and does not guarantee future performance.	replaced, or use discontinued within the time required by local ordinance.
Reason(s) for noncompliance (check all applicable	e)
☐ Impact on public health (Compliance component #1) -	
TANK INTEGRATY	
Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance componer	
Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance componer	
	500 (Compliance component #3) – Failing to protect groundwater
Soil separation (Compliance component #5) – Failing	pliance component #4) – Noncompliant - local ordinance applies
Comments or recommendations	phantos component in ty morrosinguality recall or an area approximation
Comments of recommendations	
Certification	

Instructions: Inspection results based on Minnesota Pollution Control Agency (MPCA) requirements and attached supporting

 Soil observation logs Other information (list):

Business name: David R Brow

inspector signature:

can be used for the purpose of processing this form.

(This degument has been electronically signed)

Necessary or locally required supporting documentation (must be attached)

□ Locally required forms

By typing my name below, I certify the above statements to be true and correct, to the best of my knowledge, and that this information

☐ Tank Integrity Assessment

Certification number: 9370

License number: 3649

Phone: 651.788.3296

## 1. Impact on public health - Compliance component #1 of 5 Compliance criteria: Attached supporting documentation: ☐ Yes\* ☒ No Other: System discharges sewage to the ground surface ☐ Not applicable ☐ Yes\* ☐ No System discharges sewage to drain tile or curface waters System causes sewage backup into ☐ Yes\* ☒ No dwelling or establishment. Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is an imminent threat to public health and safety. Describe verification methods and results: 2. Tank integrity - Compliance component #2 of 5 Attached supporting documentation: Compliance criteria: Pumped at time of inspection ☐ Yes\* ⊠ No System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, Name of maintenance business: Meyer's or other pit? License number of maintenance business: L915 ☐ Yes\* ⊠ No Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating deput 3/12/2021 Date of maintenance: □ Existing tank integrity assessment (Attach) Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (must be within three years) If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: (See form instructions to ensure assessment complies with Any "yes" answer above indicates the system Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1)) is failing to protect groundwater. i ank is increompliant (pumping not necessary - explain below) Other: Describe verification methods and results:

	3a. Maintenance hole covers appear to be structurally unsound (damaged, cracked, etc.), or unsecured?
	☐ Yes* ☑ No ☐ Unknown
	3b. Other issues (electrical hazards, etc.) to immediately and adversely impact public health or safety? ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Unknown
	*Yes to 3a or 3b - System is an imminent threat to public health and safety.
	36. System is non protective of ground mater for either conditions as determined by impressor?   485 1405
	3d. System not abandoned in accordance with Minn. R. 7080.2500? ☐ Yes* ☐ No
	*Yes to 3c or 3d - System is failing to protect groundwater.
	Describe verification methods and results:
	Attached supporting documentation:   Not applicable
4.	Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* – Compliance component #4 of 5 ⊠ Not applicable
	Is the system operated under an Operating Permit? ☐ Yes ☐ No If "yes", A below is required
	Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design?   Yes No If "yes", B below is required
	BMF - Dest ivianagement Fractice(s) specified in the system design
	If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed.
	Compliance criteria:
	a. Have the operating permit requirements been met?
	b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning? ☐ Yes ☐ No
	Any "no" answer indicates noncompliance,
	b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning? ☐ Yes ☐ No

## 5. Soil separation - Compliance component #5 of 5 Date of installation 6/17/1993 Unknown (mm/dd/yyyy) Shoreland/Wellhead protection/Food ☐ Yes ☐ No Attached supporting documentation: beverage lodging? Soil observation logs completed for the report (Attach) T I WU previous vermoations of required vertical Compnance cinteria (select one). separation (Attach) Yes □ No\* 5a. For systems built prior to April 1, 1996. ☐ Not applicable (No soil treatment area) and not located in Shoreland or Wellhead Protection Area or not serving a food, beverage or lodging establishment: Drainfield has at least a two-foot vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock. 5b. Non-performance systems built April 1, Yes □ No\* Indicate depths or elevations 1996, or later or for non-performance A. Bottom of distribution media systems located in Shoreland or Wellhead Protection Areas or serving a food. B. Periodically saturated soil/bedrock 72" beverage, or lodging establishment: 40" C. System separation Drainfield has a three-foot vertical separation distance from periodically D. Required compliance separation\* 24" saturated soil or bedrock.\* Ordinance. 5c. "Experimental", "Other", or "Performance" ☐ Yes ☐ No\* systems built under pre-2008 Rules; Type IV or V systems built under 2008 Rules 7080, 2350 or 7080, 2400 (Advanced Inspector License required) Drainfield meets the designed vertical oparation distance il om periodically saturated soil or bedrock. \*Any "no" answer above indicates the system is failing to protect groundwater.

Describe verification methods and results:

Upgrade requirements: (Minn. Stat. § 115.55) An imminent threat to public health and safety (ITPHS) must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within ten months of receipt of this notice or within a shorter period if required by local ordinance. If the system is failing to protect ground water, the system must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within the time required by local ordinance. If an existing system is not failing as defined in law, and has at least two feet of design soil separation, then the system need not be upgraded, repaired, replaced, or its use discontinued, notwithstanding any local ordinance that is more strict. This provision does not apply to systems in shoreland areas, Wellhead Protection Areas, or those used in connection with food, beverage, and lodging establishments as defined in law.

SEPTIC 100 (ell 1000 - 10001 201-42 01-20 200 (sage Qualen

16706 3rd ST. N. LAKELAND, MN 55043