

Compliance inspection report form **Existing Subsurface Sewage Treatment System (SSTS)**

520 Lafayette Road North St. Paul, MN 55155-4194

Doc Type: Compliance and Enforcement

Instructions: Inspection results based on Minnesota Pollution Control Agency (MPCA) requirements and attached supporting documentation - additional local requirements may also apply. Further information can be found here: https://www.pca.state.mn.us/sites/default/files/wg-wwists4-31a.pdf.

Inspector must submit completed form to Local Governmental Unit (LGU) and system owner within 15 days of final determination of compliance or noncompliance.

Property information	Local tracking number:
Parcel ID# or Sec/Twp/Range: 16.029.21.21.0009 Loc	cal regulatory authority: Washington County 651-430-6655
Property address: 3817 Innsdale Ave N Lake Elmo, MN 55042	
Owner/representative: Jeff Anderson Tice-Hause Design Build	
Brief system description: Two 1000 gallon septic tanks and a gra	vity, chamber drainfield
System status	
System status on date (mm/dd/yyyy): 3/16/2021	
☐ Compliant – Certificate of compliance*	☐ Noncompliant – Notice of noncompliance
(Valid for 3 years from report date unless evidence of an imminent threat to public health or safety requiring removal and abatement under section 145A.04, subdivision 8 is discovered or a shorter time frame exists in Local Ordinance.) *Note: Compliance indicates conformance with Minn. R. 7080.1500 as of system status date above and does not guarantee future performance.	An imminent threat to public health and safety (ITPHS) must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within ten months of receipt of this notice or within a shorter period if required by local ordinance or under section 145A.04 subdivision 8. Systems failing to protect ground water must be upgraded, replaced, or use discontinued within the time required by local ordinance.
☐ Soil separation (Compliance component #5) – Failing	o protect groundwater Int #3) – Imminent threat to public health and safety Int #3) – Failing to protect groundwater 500 (Compliance component #3) – Failing to protect groundwater
I hereby certify that all the necessary information has been gathere	made due to unknown conditions during system construction, possible
can be used for the purpose of processing this form.	ue and correct, to the best of my knowledge, and that this information
Business name: All State Septic Services LLC	Certification number: 323
Inspector signature: Tom Trooien	License number: 1568
(This document has been electronically signed)	1 Hone. 012 004 4430
Necessary or locally required supporting doc	umentation (must be attached)
☑ Soil observation logs☑ Locally required forms☑ Other information (list):Site plan	☐ Tank Integrity Assessment ☐ Operating Permit
https://www.pca.state.mn.us • 651-296-6300 • 800-657-3864	Use your preferred relay service

System discharges sewage to the ground surface System discharges sewage to drain tile or surface waters. System causes sewage backup into dwelling or establishment. Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is an imminent threat to public health and safety. Describe verification methods and results: Reviewed the history of the system and searched for surface o inspection. Ink integrity — Compliance component #2 of Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit?	
tile or surface waters. System causes sewage backup into dwelling or establishment. Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is an imminent threat to public health and safety. Describe verification methods and results: Reviewed the history of the system and searched for surface o inspection. nk integrity — Compliance component #2 of Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit,	utlet and seeping in yard - none observed during the
k integrity — Compliance component #2 of compliance criteria: ystem consists of a seepage pit, esspool, drywell, leaching pit, especial or sursults.	
k integrity — Compliance component #2 of Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, esspool, drywell, leaching pit,	
Reviewed the history of the system and searched for surface of aspection. **Reviewed the history of the system and searched for surface of aspection. **Parameters of the system and searched for surface of a seepage pit, as a	
nk integrity — Compliance component #2 of Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit,	
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, □ Yes* ☑ No	
cesspool, drywell, leaching pit,	Attached supporting documentation:
	☑ Pumped at time of inspection Pinky's \$
Sewage tank(s) leak below their ☐ Yes* ☒ No	Name of maintenance business: Service
designed operating depth?	License number of maintenance business: 1673 Date of maintenance: 3/16/202
	☐ Existing tank integrity assessment (Attach)
If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks:	Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (must be within three year
Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is failing to protect groundwater.	(See form instructions to ensure assessment compl Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1))
	☐ Tank is Noncompliant (pumping not necessary – explai☐ Other:
Describe verification methods and results:	

3.	Other compliance conditions – Compliance component #3 of 5
	3a. Maintenance hole covers appear to be structurally unsound (damaged, cracked, etc.), or unsecured? ☐ Yes* ☑ No ☐ Unknown
	3b. Other issues (electrical hazards, etc.) to immediately and adversely impact public health or safety? ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Unknown *Yes to 3a or 3b - System is an imminent threat to public health and safety.
	3c. System is non-protective of ground water for other conditions as determined by inspector? ☐ Yes* ☒ No
	3d. System not abandoned in accordance with Minn. R. 7080.2500? □ Yes* ☑ No
	*Yes to 3c or 3d - System is failing to protect groundwater.
	Describe verification methods and results:
4.	Attached supporting documentation: ☑ Not applicable ☐ Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* — Compliance component #4 of 5 ☑ Not applicable
	Is the system operated under an Operating Permit? Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed. Compliance criteria:
	a. Have the operating permit requirements been met?
	b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning?
	Any "no" answer indicates noncompliance.
	Describe verification methods and results:
	Attached supporting documentation: Operating permit (Attach)

5. Soil separation — Compliance component #5 of 5 Date of installation 5/9/2014 Unknown (mm/dd/yyyy) Shoreland/Wellhead protection/Food Yes □ No Attached supporting documentation: beverage lodging? Soil observation logs completed for the report (Attach) Compliance criteria (select one): ☐ Two previous verifications of required vertical separation (Attach) 5a. For systems built prior to April 1, 1996. ☐ Yes ☐ No* and not located in Shoreland or Wellhead ☐ Not applicable (No soil treatment area) Protection Area or not serving a food, beverage or lodging establishment: Drainfield has at least a two-foot vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock. 5b. Non-performance systems built April 1, Yes No* Indicate depths or elevations 1996, or later or for non-performance A. Bottom of distribution media 2 systems located in Shoreland or Wellhead Protection Areas or serving a food, B. Periodically saturated soil/bedrock 5.5 beverage, or lodging establishment: C. System separation 3.5 Drainfield has a three-foot vertical separation distance from periodically 3 D. Required compliance separation* saturated soil or bedrock.* *May be reduced up to 15 percent if allowed by Local Ordinance. 5c. "Experimental", "Other", or "Performance" ☐ Yes ☐ No* systems built under pre-2008 Rules: Type IV or V systems built under 2008 Rules 7080. 2350 or 7080.2400 (Advanced Inspector License required) Drainfield meets the designed vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock. *Any "no" answer above indicates the system is failing to protect groundwater. Describe verification methods and results: Upgrade requirements: (Minn. Stat. § 115.55) An imminent threat to public health and safety (ITPHS) must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within ten months of receipt of this notice or within a shorter period if required by local ordinance. If the system is failing to protect ground water, the system must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within the time required by local ordinance. If an existing system is not failing as defined in law, and has at least two feet of design soil separation, then the system need not be upgraded, repaired, replaced, or its use discontinued, notwithstanding any local ordinance that is more strict. This provision does not apply to systems in shoreland areas, Wellhead Protection Areas, or those used in connection with food, beverage, and lodging establishments as defined in law.

UNIVERSITY OF MINNESOIA	Onsite Sewage Treatment Program

Soil Observation Log

Project ID:

v 04.01.2020

Client:		Tice	Tice-Hause Design Build	Pli	Locati	Location / Address:	3817 Inn	3817 Innsdale Ave N Lake Elmo, MN 55042	Elmo, MN 55042
Soil parent m	Soil parent material(s): (Check all that apply)	ck all that	apply)	Outwash Lacustrine	Loess Till	Alluvium	Bedrock	k Organic Matter	Matter
Landscape Po	Landscape Position: (select one)	(aut		Slope %:	Slope shape			Elevation-	Elevation-relative to benchmark:
Vegetation:				Soil survey map units:				Limiting Layer Elevation:	levation:
Weather Cond	Weather Conditions/Time of Day:	Day:					Date		
Observatic	Observation #/Location:					Observ	Observation Type:		
Donth (in)	Tovtino	Rock	Matrix Color(c)		Bodow Wind(c)	(2)203001		Structure	
Depui (III)	ו בערמו ב	Frag. %	Mati IX COLOI (5)	Mottle Cotol (s)	Redox Mild(s)	Indicator(s)	Shape	Grade	Consistence
Ç	7	OF MAN MAN	10YR 3/3		•••••		-		
2	Loalily Salid						Granular	Moderate	rnable
10.07	Sandy Clay	× 300 300 3	7.5YR 4/4		•				1
/7-01	Loam	OR MAK MIX					blocky	Moderate	Friable
07 70		. KKK MKK 2**	7.5YR 5/4				-	-	: - - - -
04-77	Sandy Loam	OK MAK MAK					Granular	Moderate	Friable
77 07	,		10YR 5/4						-
40-00	סווס	***					Single grain	w eak	Foose
	ASSE MINE M					***********			
	AND AND								
	MAKE MAKE M					,			
						•			

Comments OK to 66"	OK to 66"					•			
Similario	00 00 00				-				
і пегеру сепі	ry that I have co	ompieted	I nereby certify that I have completed this work in accordance with		all applicable ordinances, rules and laws.	and laws.			
	Tom Trooien		1/2	on word			1568		3/16/21

