#### **Midwest Sewer Services**

P.O. Box 10853 White Bear Lake, MN 55110 651-492-7550/Brian@Midwestsoiltesting.com

Brian Humpal MPCA Licensed Advanced Inspector

#### SUBSURFACE SEWAGE TREATMENT SYSTEM (SSTS) COMPLIANCE REPORT

Inspection Address: 12991 32<sup>nd</sup> St S, Afton, MN 55001

#### **REPORT SUMMARY**

I have performed an "MPCA Compliance Inspection" on this system and have reviewed the original design/permit records on file at Washington County. This very old system (installed in 1989) consists of a pre-cast septic tank and a rock trench drainfield. It should be noted that the average life expectancy of a septic system is approximately 30 years. Meyer Sewer Service pumped the septic tank on March 25, 2021.

Predicated on my inspection of the system and my review of the original design/permit records, it is my opinion that this system <u>presently meets</u> MPCA minimum compliance inspection requirements.

Midwest Sewer Services have been hired to perform a compliance inspection of this SSTS for compliance with local ordinances pursuant to Minn. Stat. § 115.55 (2013). This compliance inspection covers only the criteria required by Minn. Stat. § 115.55 Subd. 5a (2013) and Minn. R. 7080.1500 (2011). A compliance inspection is an indication of the current compliance status of the system and does not guarantee the performance or longevity of this system beyond the date of inspection, as it is impossible to determine the future performance of any system. Midwest Sewer Services disclaim any use of this compliance inspection beyond determining SSTS compliance pursuant to Minn. Stat. § 115.55 Subd. 5a (2013) and Minn. R. 7080.1500 (2011).

Please contact me should you have any questions.

Hermon Brian Humpal

Christopher Uebe

Brian Humpal



520 Lafayette Road North St. Paul, MN 55155-4194

# Compliance inspection report form

#### **Existing Subsurface Sewage Treatment System (SSTS)**

Doc Type: Compliance and Enforcement

**Instructions:** Inspection results based on Minnesota Pollution Control Agency (MPCA) requirements and attached supporting documentation – additional local requirements may also apply. Further information can be found here: <a href="https://www.pca.state.mn.us/sites/default/files/wq-wwists4-31a.pdf">https://www.pca.state.mn.us/sites/default/files/wq-wwists4-31a.pdf</a>.

Inspector must submit completed form to Local Governmental Unit (LGU) and system owner within 15 days of final determination of compliance or noncompliance.

Property information	Local tracking number:				
Parcel ID# or Sec/Twp/Range: Loca	Local regulatory authority: Washington County				
Property address: 12991 32 <sup>nd</sup> St S, Afton, MN 55001					
Owner/representative: Ralph & Susan Jobe	Owner's phone: 651-436-5387				
Brief system description: A pre-cast septic tank and a rock trench of	ock trench drainfield.				
System status					
System status on date (mm/dd/yyyy): 3/26/2021					
□ Compliant – Certificate of compliance*	☐ Noncompliant – Notice of noncompliance				
(Valid for 3 years from report date unless evidence of an imminent threat to public health or safety requiring removal and abatement under section 145A.04, subdivision 8 is discovered or a shorter time frame exists in Local Ordinance.)	An imminent threat to public health and safety (ITPHS) must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within ten months of receipt of this notice or within a shorter period if required by local ordinance or under section 145A.04 subdivision 8.				
*Note: Compliance indicates conformance with Minn. R. 7080.1500 as of system status date above and does not guarantee future performance.	Systems failing to protect ground water must be upgraded, replaced, or use discontinued within the time required by local ordinance.				
Reason(s) for noncompliance (check all applicable)					
☐ Impact on public health (Compliance component #1) – Imminer	nt threat to public health and safety				
☐ Tank integrity (Compliance component #2) – Failing to protect of	groundwater				
☐ Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance component #3) – In	nminent threat to public health and safety				
☐ Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance component #3) – Fa	ailing to protect groundwater				
☐ System not abandoned according to Minn. R. 7080.2500 (Com	pliance component #3) – Failing to protect groundwater				
☐ Soil separation (Compliance component #5) – Failing to protect	groundwater				
Operating permit/monitoring plan requirements (Compliance co	mponent #4) – Noncompliant - local ordinance applies				
Comments or recommendations					
A 181 11					
Certification					
I hereby certify that all the necessary information has been gathered determination of future system performance has been nor can be maduse of the system, inadequate maintenance, or future water usage	ade due to unknown conditions during system construction, possible				
By typing my name below, I certify the above statements to be true can be used for the purpose of processing this form.	e and correct, to the best of my knowledge, and that this information				
Business name: Midwest Sewer Services	Certification number: C5342/C9852				
Inspector signature: Brian Humpal (After Un	License number: L2896				
(This document has been electronically signed)	Phone: 651-492-7550				
Necessary or locally required supporting docu	mentation (must be attached)				
Soil observation logs ☐ Locally required forms	☐ Tank Integrity Assessment ☐ Operating Permit				
☑ Other information (list):	_ , _ , _ ,				
Report Summary, Property Information, Disclaimer, License					
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					

https://www.pca.state.mn.us wq-wwists4-31b • 1/11/21

### 1. Impact on public health – Compliance component #1 of 5

Compliance criteria:		Attached supporting documentation:
System discharges sewage to the	☐ Yes* ☒ No	Other:
ground surface		☐ Not applicable
System discharges sewage to drain tile or surface waters.	☐ Yes* ☐ No	
System causes sewage backup into dwelling or establishment.	☐ Yes* ⊠ No	
Any "yes" answer above indicates imminent threat to public health an	•	
Describe verification methods and	results:	
None of the above found.		

### 2. Tank integrity – Compliance component #2 of 5

Compliance criteria:		Attached supporting documentation:			
System consists of a seepage pit,	☐ Yes* ☒ No	☑ Pumped at time of inspection			
cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit?		Name of maintenance business:	Meyer Sewer Service		
Sewage tank(s) leak below their	leak below their ☐ Yes* ☒ No License number of maintenance busines		L915		
designed operating depth?		Date of maintenance:	3/25/2021		
		☐ Existing tank integrity assessment (Attach	)		
		Date of maintenance			
If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks:		(mm/dd/yyyy): (must be within t	hree years)		
Any "yes" answer above indicate is failing to protect groundwater		(See form instructions to ensure assessme Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1))	ent complies with		
		☐ Tank is Noncompliant (pumping not necessa	ry – explain below)		
		Other:			
Describe verification methods and	results:				

### **3. Other compliance conditions** – Compliance component #3 of 5

	3a.	. Maintenance hole covers appear to be structurally unsound (damaged, cracked, etc.)	), or uns	secured?		
		☐ Yes* ☑ No ☐ Unknown				
	3b.	. Other issues (electrical hazards, etc.) to immediately and adversely impact public health	h or safe	ety? ☐ Yes*	⊠ No ☐ Unkn	own
		*Yes to 3a or 3b - System is an imminent threat to public health and safety.				
		System is non-protective of ground water for other conditions as determined by inspe	ector?	☐ Yes*	⊠ No	
	3d.	. System not abandoned in accordance with Minn. R. 7080.2500?		☐ Yes*	⊠ No	
		*Yes to 3c or 3d - System is failing to protect groundwater.				
		Describe verification methods and results:				
		Attached supporting documentation: ⊠ Not applicable □				
	_	- anating name: tand nituagen DNAD* Compliance common or				
4.	Op	perating permit and nitrogen BMP* – Compliance componer	nt #4	of 5 $\boxtimes$ N	Not applicable	
<u>4.</u>					Not applicable below is requ	
<u>4.</u>	Is th		□No	If "yes", A	below is requ	ired
4.	Is th	he system operated under an Operating Permit?	□No	If "yes", A	below is requ	ired
4.	Is th	he system operated under an Operating Permit?  He system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design?  He system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design?  He system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design	□ No	If "yes", A If "yes", B	below is requ	ired
4.	Is th	he system operated under an Operating Permit?  He system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design?  He Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design  The answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be co	□ No	If "yes", A If "yes", B	below is requ	ired
4.	Is the Is the If the Con	he system operated under an Operating Permit?  he system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design?   BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design  he answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be compliance criteria:	□ No	If "yes", A If "yes", B	below is requ	ired
4.	Is the Is the Con	the system operated under an Operating Permit?	□ No	If "yes", A If "yes", B	below is requ	ired
4.	Is the Is the Con	he system operated under an Operating Permit?	□ No	If "yes", A If "yes", B	below is requ	ired
4	Is the Is the Con	the system operated under an Operating Permit?	□ No	If "yes", A If "yes", B	below is requ	ired
4.	Is the Is the Con	he system operated under an Operating Permit?	□ No	If "yes", A If "yes", B	below is requ	ired
4.	Is the Is the Con	the system operated under an Operating Permit?	□ No	If "yes", A If "yes", B	below is requ	ired
4.	Is the Is the Con	the system operated under an Operating Permit?	□ No	If "yes", A If "yes", B	below is requ	ired
4.	Is the Is the Con	the system operated under an Operating Permit?	□ No	If "yes", A If "yes", B	below is requ	ired
4.	Is the Is the Con	the system operated under an Operating Permit?	□ No	If "yes", A If "yes", B	below is requ	ired
4	Is the Is the Con	the system operated under an Operating Permit?	□ No	If "yes", A If "yes", B	below is requ	ired
4	Is the Is the Con	the system operated under an Operating Permit?	□ No	If "yes", A If "yes", B	below is requ	ired
4.	Is the Is the Con	the system operated under an Operating Permit?	□ No	If "yes", A If "yes", B	below is requ	ired
4.	Is the Is the Con	the system operated under an Operating Permit?	□ No	If "yes", A If "yes", B	below is requ	ired
4.	Is the Is the Con	the system operated under an Operating Permit?	□ No	If "yes", A If "yes", B	below is requ	ired
4.	Is the Is the Con	the system operated under an Operating Permit?	□ No □ No	If "yes", A If "yes", B	below is requ	ired ired
4.	Is the Is the Con	the system operated under an Operating Permit?	□ No □ No	If "yes", A If "yes", B	below is requ	ired ired

#### 5. Soil separation – Compliance component #5 of 5

Date of installation 1989 (mm/dd/yyyy)	_ 🗌 Unknown		
Shoreland/Wellhead protection/Food beverage lodging?  Compliance criteria (select one):  5a. For systems built prior to April 1, 1996,	☐ Yes ☒ No  ☐ Yes ☒ No*	Attached supporting documentation:   ☐ Soil observation logs completed for the Two previous verifications of required separation (Attach)	
and not located in Shoreland or Wellhead Protection Area or not serving a food, beverage or lodging establishment:  Drainfield has at least a two-foot vertical separation distance from periodically		<ul><li>☐ Not applicable (No soil treatment area)</li><li>☐ Reviewed design and permit records.</li></ul>	
saturated soil or bedrock.  5b. Non-performance systems built April 1, 1996, or later or for non-performance systems located in Shoreland or Wellhead Protection Areas or serving a food, beverage, or lodging establishment:  Drainfield has a three-foot vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.*	☐ Yes ☐ No*	Indicate depths or elevations  A. Bottom of distribution media  B. Periodically saturated soil/bedrock  C. System separation  D. Required compliance separation*  *May be reduced up to 15 percent if allo	See Attached Boring Log(s)
5c. "Experimental", "Other", or "Performance" systems built under pre-2008 Rules; Type IV or V systems built under 2008 Rules 7080. 2350 or 7080.2400 (Advanced Inspector License required)  Drainfield meets the designed vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.		Ordinance.	

\*Any "no" answer above indicates the system is failing to protect groundwater.

Describe verification methods and results:

**Upgrade requirements:** (Minn. Stat. § 115.55) An imminent threat to public health and safety (ITPHS) must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within ten months of receipt of this notice or within a shorter period if required by local ordinance. If the system is failing to protect ground water, the system must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within the time required by local ordinance. If an existing system is not failing as defined in law, and has at least two feet of design soil separation, then the system need not be upgraded, repaired, replaced, or its use discontinued, notwithstanding any local ordinance that is more strict. This provision does not apply to systems in shoreland areas, Wellhead Protection Areas, or those used in connection with food, beverage, and lodging establishments as defined in law.


# Midwest Sewer Testing

## Subsurface Sewage Treatment System Owner/Property Information

This information will be used for the purpose of conducting an MPCA	Compliance Inspection.
Date of Inspection: March 26, 2021	Time: 10:30 AM
Property Address: 12991 32 <sup>nd</sup> St S, Afton, MN	Zip: 55001
Property Owner: Ralph & Susan Jobe	Phone: 651-436-5387
Tank(s)       Tank(s)Material       Soil Treatment System         Septic 1       □Fiberglass       □Rock trench         □Aerobic       □Plastic       □Gravelless trench         □Lift       □Metal       □Chamber trench         □Holding       □Concrete       □Seepage bed         □Other:       □Block       □Mound         □Other       □At-grade	Other  Alternative system Experimental system Cesspool system Other system
Are the tank maintenance covers accessible? ⊠ Yes ☐ No *If performed through the maintenance holes. Maintenance hole cov the ground surface to facilitate access and proper maintenance of	ers should be made accessible to
	Tank size (gals.): 1250
	esidents in home?
Number of bedrooms? 3 Are all floors drained by g	
Garbage disposal? Whirlpool bath?	,
More than one system (laundry, etc.)?  Does this property have any footing drain tiles connected to the se	antia gyratam?
Does this property have any footing drain thes connected to the se	eptic system?
Are any buildings on this property such as garages or out-building	•
Are there any additional systems on this property serving other bu	ildings?
Location of septic system on lot? South Side	
	e well a deep well? Y
Have you ever experienced any problems with the system such as surfacing of sewage onto the ground, septic tank overflowing, etc to the system?  If yes, explain:	
	nper: Meyer Sewer Service
	n on a monitoring plan?
Have you received notices from any government agency concerni	ng this system?
Is your property located in a shoreland management area? N	
Do you have any additional information that should be given to the	e new owner?
I hereby certify that the above information is correct to the best of my knowledge considered "non-compliant/failing" per MPCA rules, that the inspector must by local government unit within 15 days of the date of inspection completion. I a this report, that I/we are ultimately responsible for payment of all fees for all we by Inspect Minnesota and Midwest Soil Testing	law submit a copy of this report to the so agree that unless otherwise noted in

Date:

Owner/Occupant:



## **Soil Observations Log**

Location of Project: 12991 32nd St S, Afton, MN 55001						
		3/26/2021				
	cation System:					
So	Soil Observation: ST-1 Soil Observation:					
Surface Elevation of Observation	_	nd surface as last field trench	Surface Elevation of Observation			
Depth In Inches Rock %	Soils E	ncountered	Depth In Inches	Rock %	Soils	Encountered
0-16 16-22 22-43 43-56 56-65 65-70	10YR 3 10YR 4/4 I 10YR 4/4 M Trace 7.5YR 3/5	2/2 Silt Loam 3/4 Silt Loam 4/4 Silt Loam Fine Sandy Loam Iedium Sand With e Of Gravel 4 Medium Sand			Soils Encountered	
70" Depth	To End Of Soil O	bservation Or Redox	x Depth To End Of Soil Observation Or Red		Observation Or Redox	
Same Elevat	ion Of Observation	on Relative To System		Elevatio	n Of Observat	tion Relative To System
-36" Depth	To Bottom Of Di	stribution Media				Distribution Media
≥34" Of Se	paration			Of Sepa	aration	
End Of Soi	Observation At:	70"	End Of	Soil Oh	servation At:	
	edox Present At:		2 51		x Present At:	
	Vater Present At:		Standi		r Present At:	

Bottom Of Dist	ribution Medium At: 36 Inches
Signature:	Color Va


9 of 11

Soil borings are made in order to determine the type and structure of soils at various depths as as the location of the water table, impervious strata or bedrock.

Borings are most easily made with a hand auger, however other expedients may be utilized - back hoe, post hole auger, etc.

Soils encountered at various depths should be listed as to appearance, texture and composition.

Depth at which water, bedrock or heavy clay layer is encountered should be recorded.



# LOG OF SOIL BORING

BORING NO/
Depth Soil in Description Feet
DARK silf Loam
2
- TAN CLAY lOAM 3 3º
4 TAN LOAMY SAND
TAN FINE SAND
6 12
- TAN FING lOAMY SAND
TAN LINK SAND
8 8 -

#### **DISCLAIMER**

# Brian L. Humpal, Inc. dba. Midwest Sewer Services, Inspect Minnesota, Midwest Soil Testing Relative to Subsurface Sewage Treatment System (SSTS) Compliance Inspections

- 1. This inspection/report is being performed for only the seller/owner of the property on which the SSTS is located. In such case that another party is paying for the inspection, the contract is between only said party and Brian L. Humpal, Inc.; there is no contract between Brian L. Humpal, Inc. and any other party unless otherwise noted.
- 3. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. has not been retained to warranty, guarantee, or certify the proper functioning of the SSTS for any period of time beyond the date of inspection or into the future. Because of the numerous factors (usage, maintenance, soil characteristics, previous failures, etc.) which may affect the proper operation of an SSTS, as well as the inability of Brian L. Humpal, Inc. to supervise or monitor the use or maintenance of the SSTS, the report shall not be construed as a warranty by Brian L. Humpal, Inc. that the SSTS will function properly for any particular party for any period of time.
- 4. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. is unable to verify the frequency and/or, quality of prior or future maintenance of the SSTS. Maintenance of the tank(s) must be performed through the tanks maintenance hole. The removal of solids from any location other than the maintenance hole is not a compliant method of maintenance. It is strongly recommended that maintenance covers be made accessible to the ground surface to facilitate proper maintenance.
- 5. Minimum Compliance Inspection requirements relative to this inspection and this report include <u>only</u> verification that the SSTS has tank(s) (septic tanks, lift tanks, dosing tanks, stilling tanks, etc.) which are watertight below the designed operating depth, the required separation between the bottom of the subsurface soil distribution medium and seasonally saturated soils, no back-ups of sewage into the dwelling, no discharge of sewage/effluent to the ground surface or surface waters, and no imminent safety hazards. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. does not inspect plumbing or pumps prior to the first SSTS component as these are plumbing components. The performance of exterior pumps and associated components are not inspected as they are considered to be maintenance items. Additionally, no indications relative to compliance with electrical code requirements have been made. It is recommended that any other applicable plumbing, electrical, housing, etc. inspections be performed by a qualified inspection business. Sewage back-up verification is limited to observing the floor drain area and/or the information supplied by the last occupants of the building prior to inspection. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. cannot guarantee that the information given to them by the last occupants of the building prior to inspection relative to back-ups is accurate.
- 4. Certification of this SSTS does not warranty future use beyond the date of the inspection. Any SSTS, old or new, can become hydraulically overloaded or discharge sewage/effluent to the ground surface as a result of more people moving into the house than were previously occupying the house, improper maintenance, heavy usage, leaking plumbing fixtures, groundwater infiltration, tree roots, freezing conditions, surface drainage problems, poor initial design, poor construction practices, or unsuitable materials used in constructing the system; the system can also simply stop working because of its age. An SSTS that has been properly designed and installed, properly maintained, and used in the manner for which the system was designed can be expected to provide service for twenty to twenty-five years on average. Some parts of the SSTS such as alarms, switches, pumps, filters, etc. will most likely have to be repaired or replaced over the lifetime of the system.
- 5. A Compliance Inspection is not meant to be a test or inspection for longevity of the system; a Compliance Inspection is strictly for the purpose of determining if the SSTS is protective of public health and safety, as well as the groundwater at the date and time the inspection was performed. This inspection is not intended to determine if the SSTS was originally designed or installed to past or present MPCA or other Local Government Unit code requirements. This inspection is not intended to determine if the SSTS was designed and/or installed to support the anticipated flow from the building as the use of the building may have changed since the design and construction of the SSTS due to the addition of bedrooms, occupants, etc. In addition, this inspection is not intended to determine the quality of the original SSTS design, the quality of the construction practices used while installing the SSTS, or the quality of the materials used in constructing the SSTS.
- 6. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. cannot guarantee the performance of SSTS products/components such as: gravelless pipe, chamber trenches, effluent filters, tanks, sewage pre-treatment components, piping, etc. Products such as gravelless pipe are no longer approved for installation in the State of Minnesota and may have a significantly reduced performance and/or life expectancy.
- 7. WINTER WORK: By accepting this report, it is understood that inspections conducted during winter months (approximately November 1<sup>st</sup> through April 1<sup>st</sup>) are more difficult to perform because of possible snow cover and/or ground frost. SSTS components such as tanks, maintenance covers, tank inspection pipes, subsurface distribution medium inspection pipes, and soil treatment areas are more difficult or impossible to locate due to snow cover and/or ground frost. In addition, soil borings are more difficult to perform due to snow cover and/or ground frost. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. will attempt to use the same level of standards when performing work during winter periods as when performing work during non-winter periods. However, the recipient of this report understands that because of the aforementioned considerations, the same level of standards may not be possible.
- 8. By accepting this report, the client understands that Brian L. Humpal, Inc. will not be responsible for any monetary damages exceeding the fee for the services provided.

# Subsurface Sewage Treatment Systems

Non-transferable

# **Business License**

# **Midwest Sewer Services**

License # L2896

License Expires: 12/22/2021

Issued: 11/06/2020

# **Specialty Area(s):**

Installer

Maintainer

Service Provider

Advanced Designer

Advanced Inspector

# **Designated Certified Individual(s):**

Cert #

Name

**Certification Expires:** 

C5342

Brian L'Humpal

10/15/2023

Installer, Maintainer, Serv Prov, Adv Designer, Adv Inspector

C9852

Christopher R Uebe

3/4/2024

Designer, Inspector



520 Lafayette Road North St. Paul, Minnesota 55155-4194 Nich Haig

Nick Haig, Supervisor Certification and Training Unit