Midwest Sewer Services

P.O. Box 10853 White Bear Lake, MN 55110 651-492-7550/Brian@Midwestsoiltesting.com Brian Humpal

MPCA Licensed Advanced Inspector

SUBSURFACE SEWAGE TREATMENT SYSTEM (SSTS) COMPLIANCE REPORT

Date: March 31, 2021 **Time:** 10:45 AM Owner: Bill Griggs

Inspection Address: 77 Long Lake Rd, Mahtomedi, MN 55115

REPORT SUMMARY

I have performed an "MPCA Compliance Inspection" on this system and have reviewed the original design/permit records, along with a previous compliance inspection from 2017, which were on file at Washington County. This system consists of two pre-cast septic tanks, a pre-cast lift tank, and a rock trench drainfield. Meyer Sewer Service pumped the septic tanks and lift tank on March 31, 2021.

Although not a compliance criteria, it should be noted that the septic tanks and lift tank manhole covers are buried. I recommend extending these covers to the ground surface to facilitate easier access and proper maintenance.

Predicated on my inspection of the system and my review of the records, it is my opinion that this system presently meets MPCA minimum compliance inspection requirements.

Midwest Sewer Services have been hired to perform a compliance inspection of this SSTS for compliance with local ordinances pursuant to Minn. Stat. § 115.55 (2013). This compliance inspection covers only the criteria required by Minn. Stat. § 115.55 Subd. 5a (2013) and Minn. R. 7080.1500 (2011). A compliance inspection is an indication of the current compliance status of the system and does not guarantee the performance or longevity of this system beyond the date of inspection, as it is impossible to determine the future performance of any system. Midwest Sewer Services disclaim any use of this compliance inspection beyond determining SSTS compliance pursuant to Minn. Stat. § 115.55 Subd. 5a (2013) and Minn. R. 7080.1500 (2011).

Please contact me should you have any questions.

Marin Van Uebe

Christopher

Brian Humpal



520 Lafayette Road North St. Paul, MN 55155-4194

Compliance inspection report form

Existing Subsurface Sewage Treatment System (SSTS)

Doc Type: Compliance and Enforcement

Instructions: Inspection results based on Minnesota Pollution Control Agency (MPCA) requirements and attached supporting documentation – additional local requirements may also apply. Further information can be found here: https://www.pca.state.mn.us/sites/default/files/wq-wwists4-31a.pdf.

Inspector must submit completed form to Local Governmental Unit (LGU) and system owner within 15 days of final determination of compliance or noncompliance.

Property information	Local tracking number:
Parcel ID# or Sec/Twp/Range: Local	regulatory authority: Washington County
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Owner/representative: Bill Griggs	Owner's phone: 651-538-6539
Brief system description: Two pre-cast septic tanks, a pre-cast lift ta	nk, and a rock trench drainfield.
System status	
System status on date (mm/dd/yyyy): 3/31/2021	
□ Compliant – Certificate of compliance* □	Noncompliant – Notice of noncompliance
(Valid for 3 years from report date unless evidence of an imminent threat to public health or safety requiring removal and abatement under section 145A.04, subdivision 8 is discovered or a shorter time frame exists in Local Ordinance.) *Note: Compliance indicates conformance with Minn. R. 7080.1500 as of system status date above and	An imminent threat to public health and safety (ITPHS) must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within ten months of receipt of this notice or within a shorter period if required by local ordinance or under section 145A.04 subdivision 8. Systems failing to protect ground water must be upgraded, replaced, or use discontinued within the time required by local ordinance.
•	
☐ Impact on public health (Compliance component #1) — Imminent ☐ Tank integrity (Compliance component #2) — Failing to protect g ☐ Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance component #3) — Im ☐ Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance component #3) — Fa ☐ System not abandoned according to Minn. R. 7080.2500 (Comp ☐ Soil separation (Compliance component #5) — Failing to protect ☐ Operating permit/monitoring plan requirements (Compliance cor Comments or recommendations Although not a compliance criteria, it should be noted that the septi	roundwater minent threat to public health and safety iling to protect groundwater liance component #3) – Failing to protect groundwater groundwater nponent #4) – Noncompliant - local ordinance applies c tanks and lift tank manhole covers are buried.
Certification	
determination of future system performance has been nor can be ma abuse of the system, inadequate maintenance, or future water usage	de due to unknown conditions during system construction, possible
By typing my name below , I certify the above statements to be true can be used for the purpose of processing this form.	and correct, to the best of my knowledge, and that this information
Business name: Midwest Sewer Services	Certification number: C5342/C9852
Inspector signature: Brian Humpal Hotel	License number: L2006
Parcel ID# or Sec/Twp/Range: Local regulatory authority: Washington County Property address: 77 Long Lake Rd, Mahtomedi, MN 55115 Owner/representative: Bill Griggs Owner's phone: 651-538-6539 Brief system description: Two pre-cast septic tanks, a pre-cast lift tank, and a rock trench drainfield. System status System status on date (mm/dd/yyyy): _3/31/2021	
(· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
☐ Other information (list):	☑ Tank Integrity Assessment ☐ Operating Permit

https://www.pca.state.mn.us wq-wwists4-31b • 1/11/21

1. Impact on public health – Compliance component #1 of 5

Compliance criteria:	Scharges sewage to the rface Yes* No Other: Not applicable	
System discharges sewage to the ground surface	☐ Yes* ☒ No	
System discharges sewage to drain tile or surface waters.	☐ Yes* ☐ No	☐ Not applicable
System causes sewage backup into dwelling or establishment.	☐ Yes* ☒ No	
Any "yes" answer above indicates imminent threat to public health an	•	
Describe verification methods and	results:	Other:
None of the above found.		

2. Tank integrity – Compliance component #2 of 5

	Attached supporting docu	ımentation:	
☐ Yes* ⊠ No	□ Pumped at time of inspection		
	Name of maintenance busir	ness:	Meyer Sewer Service
☐ Yes* ⊠ No	License number of maintena	ance business	L915
	Date of maintenance:		3/31/2021
	☐ Existing tank integrity asses	ssment (Attach)
	Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (m	nust be within t	hree years)
_	•		ent complies with
	☐ Tank is Noncompliant (pump	oing not necessa	ry – explain below)
·	Other:		
		□ Yes* ☑ No □ Pumped at time of inspection Name of maintenance busin License number of mainten Date of maintenance: □ Existing tank integrity assess Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (see form instructions to en Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4	Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance business: Date of maintenance: □ Existing tank integrity assessment (Attach Date of maintenance (must be within the system of maintenance) (See form instructions to ensure assessment of maintenance) (See form instructions to ensure assessment of maintenance) (Tank is Noncompliant (pumping not necessary)

Describe verification methods and results:

Although not a compliance criteria, it should be noted that the septic tanks and lift tank manhole covers are buried. I recommend extending these covers to the ground surface to facilitate easier access and proper maintenance.

https://www.pca.state.mn.us wq-wwists4-31b • 1/11/21 651-296-6300

800-657-3864

Use your preferred relay service

Available in alternative formats

3. Other compliance conditions – Compliance component #3 of 5

	3a.	Maintenance hole covers appear to be structurally unsound (damaged, cracked, etc.), or unsec	cured?	
		☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Unknown		
	3b.	Other issues (electrical hazards, etc.) to immediately and adversely impact public health or safety	? ☐ Yes*	☑ No ☐ Unknown
		*Yes to 3a or 3b - System is an imminent threat to public health and safety.		
		System is non-protective of ground water for other conditions as determined by inspector?	☐ Yes*	⊠ No
	3d.	System not abandoned in accordance with Minn. R. 7080.2500?	☐ Yes*	⊠ No
		*Yes to 3c or 3d - System is failing to protect groundwater.		
		Describe verification methods and results:		
		Attached supporting documentation: ⊠ Not applicable □		
_	_	erating permit and nitrogen BMP* - Compliance component #4 of	:5 M v	lat amplicable
1 .	Op	erating permit and introgen bivir — compliance component #4 or	J ⊠ I	lot applicable
4.				below is required
4.	Is th		"yes", A	below is required
4.	Is th	e system operated under an Operating Permit?	"yes", A	below is required
4.	Is th	e system operated under an Operating Permit?	"yes", A "yes", B	below is required
4.	Is th	e system operated under an Operating Permit?	"yes", A "yes", B	below is required
<u>4.</u>	Is the Is the If the Con	e system operated under an Operating Permit? Pe system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? Pe Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design Pe answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed appliance criteria:	"yes", A "yes", B	below is required
4.	Is the Is the Con	e system operated under an Operating Permit?	"yes", A "yes", B	below is required
4	Is the Is the Con	The system operated under an Operating Permit? The system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? The system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design The answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed. The system design The answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed. The system design The answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed. The system design The answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed. The system design The answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed. The system design The answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed. The system design is "No", this section does not need to be completed. The system design is "No" is section does not need to be completed. The system design is "No" is section does not need to be completed. The system design is "No" is section does not need to be completed. The system design is "No" is section does not need to be completed. The system design is "No" is section does not need to be completed. The system design is "No" is section does not need to be completed. The system design is "No" is section does not need to be completed. The system design is "No" is section does not need to be completed. The system design is "No" is section does not need to be completed. The system design is "No" is section does not need to be completed. The system design is "No" is section does not need to be completed. The system design is "No" is section does not need to be completed. The system design is "No" is section does not need to be completed. The system design is "No" is section does not need to be completed. The system design is section does not need to be completed.	"yes", A "yes", B	below is required
4.	Is the Is the Con	The system operated under an Operating Permit? The system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? The system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design are answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed appliance criteria: The Have the operating permit requirements been met? The system design are answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed appliance criteria: The system operated under an Operating specified in the system design are answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed appliance criteria: The system operated under an Operating Permit? The system design? The syst	"yes", A "yes", B	below is required
4.	Is the Is the Con	The system operated under an Operating Permit? The system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? The system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design The answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed. The system design The answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed. The system design The answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed. The system design The answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed. The system design The answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed. The system design The answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed. The system design is "No", this section does not need to be completed. The system design is "No" is section does not need to be completed. The system design is "No" is section does not need to be completed. The system design is "No" is section does not need to be completed. The system design is "No" is section does not need to be completed. The system design is "No" is section does not need to be completed. The system design is "No" is section does not need to be completed. The system design is "No" is section does not need to be completed. The system design is "No" is section does not need to be completed. The system design is "No" is section does not need to be completed. The system design is "No" is section does not need to be completed. The system design is "No" is section does not need to be completed. The system design is "No" is section does not need to be completed. The system design is "No" is section does not need to be completed. The system design is "No" is section does not need to be completed. The system design is section does not need to be completed.	"yes", A "yes", B	below is required
4.	Is the Is the Con	The system operated under an Operating Permit? The system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? The system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design are answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed appliance criteria: The Have the operating permit requirements been met? The system design are answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed appliance criteria: The system operated under an Operating specified in the system design are answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed appliance criteria: The system operated under an Operating Permit? The system design? The syst	"yes", A "yes", B	below is required
4	Is the Is the Con	The system operated under an Operating Permit? The system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? The system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design are answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed appliance criteria: The Have the operating permit requirements been met? The system design are answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed appliance criteria: The system operated under an Operating specified in the system design are answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed appliance criteria: The system operated under an Operating Permit? The system design? The syst	"yes", A "yes", B	below is required
4.	Is the Is the Con	The system operated under an Operating Permit? The system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? The system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design are answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed appliance criteria: The Have the operating permit requirements been met? The system design are answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed appliance criteria: The system operated under an Operating specified in the system design are answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed appliance criteria: The system operated under an Operating Permit? The system design? The syst	"yes", A "yes", B	below is required
4.	Is the Is the Con	The system operated under an Operating Permit? The system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? The system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design are answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed appliance criteria: The Have the operating permit requirements been met? The system design are answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed appliance criteria: The system operated under an Operating specified in the system design are answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed appliance criteria: The system operated under an Operating Permit? The system design? The syst	"yes", A "yes", B	below is required
4.	Is the Is the Con	The system operated under an Operating Permit? The system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? The system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design are answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed appliance criteria: The Have the operating permit requirements been met? The system design are answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed appliance criteria: The system operated under an Operating specified in the system design are answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed appliance criteria: The system operated under an Operating Permit? The system design? The syst	"yes", A "yes", B	below is required
4.	Is the Is the Con	The system operated under an Operating Permit? The system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? The system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design are answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed appliance criteria: The Have the operating permit requirements been met? The system design are answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed appliance criteria: The system operated under an Operating specified in the system design are answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed appliance criteria: The system operated under an Operating Permit? The system design? The syst	"yes", A "yes", B	below is required
4.	Is the Is the Con	The system operated under an Operating Permit? The system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? The system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design are answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed appliance criteria: The Have the operating permit requirements been met? The system design are answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed appliance criteria: The system operated under an Operating specified in the system design are answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed appliance criteria: The system operated under an Operating Permit? The system design? The syst	"yes", A "yes", B	below is required
4.	Is the Is the Con	The system operated under an Operating Permit? The system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? The system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design are answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed appliance criteria: The Have the operating permit requirements been met? The system design are answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed appliance criteria: The system operated under an Operating specified in the system design are answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed appliance criteria: The system operated under an Operating Permit? The system design? The syst	"yes", A "yes", B	below is required
4.	Is the Is the Con	The system operated under an Operating Permit? The system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? The system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design are answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed appliance criteria: The Have the operating permit requirements been met? The system design are answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed appliance criteria: The system operated under an Operating specified in the system design are answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed appliance criteria: The system operated under an Operating Permit? The system design? The syst	"yes", A "yes", B	below is required
4.	Is the Is the Con	e system operated under an Operating Permit?	"yes", A "yes", B	below is required below is required
4.	Is the Is the Con	The system operated under an Operating Permit? The system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? The system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design are answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed appliance criteria: The Have the operating permit requirements been met? The system design are answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed appliance criteria: The system operated under an Operating specified in the system design are answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed appliance criteria: The system operated under an Operating Permit? The system design? The syst	"yes", A "yes", B	below is required below is required

5. Soil separation – Compliance component #5 of 5

Date of installation 2002 (mm/dd/yyyy)	Unknown										
Shoreland/Wellhead protection/Food	⊠ Yes □ No	Attached supporting documentation:									
beverage lodging?		\square Soil observation logs completed for the r	eport (Attach)								
Compliance criteria (select one):		Two previous verifications of required ve separation (Attach)	rtical								
5a. For systems built prior to April 1, 1996, and not located in Shoreland or Wellhead	☐ Yes ☐ No	Not applicable (No soil treatment area)									
Protection Area or not serving a food, beverage or lodging establishment:		□ Reviewed previous compliance inspection									
Drainfield has at least a two-foot vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.		Reviewed design and permit records.									
5b. Non-performance systems built April 1,	⊠ Yes □ No	Indicate depths or elevations									
1996, or later or for non-performance systems located in Shoreland or Wellhead Protection Areas or serving a food,	'		See Attached Boring Log(s)								
beverage, or lodging establishment:		B. Periodically saturated soil/bedrock									
Drainfield has a three-foot vertical separation distance from periodically		C. System separation									
saturated soil or bedrock.*		D. Required compliance separation*									
		*May be reduced up to 15 percent if allowed Ordinance.	ed by Local								
5c. "Experimental", "Other", or "Performance" systems built under pre-2008 Rules; Type IV or V systems built under 2008 Rules 7080. 2350 or 7080.2400 (Advanced Inspector License required)	Yes □ No	•									
Drainfield meets the designed vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.		_									


Describe verification methods and results:

failing to protect groundwater.

Upgrade requirements: (Minn. Stat. § 115.55) An imminent threat to public health and safety (ITPHS) must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within ten months of receipt of this notice or within a shorter period if required by local ordinance. If the system is failing to protect ground water, the system must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within the time required by local ordinance. If an existing system is not failing as defined in law, and has at least two feet of design soil separation, then the system need not be upgraded, repaired, replaced, or its use discontinued, notwithstanding any local ordinance that is more strict. This provision does not apply to systems in shoreland areas, Wellhead Protection Areas, or those used in connection with food, beverage, and lodging establishments as defined in law.

Midwest Sewer Testing Subsurface Sewage Treatment System Owner/Property Information This information will be used for the purpose of conducting an MPCA Compliance Inspection.

This information will	be used for the purpose of co	muucung an MFC	A Comphance inspection.
Date of Inspection: March 31	, 2021		Time: 10:45 AM
	Lake Rd, Mahtomedi,	MN	Zip: 55115
Property Owner: Bill Grigg	gs		Phone: 651-538-6539
Tank(s) Septic 2 Septic 2 Septic 2 Aerobic Lift Holding Other: Other	glass		Alternative system Experimental system Cesspool system Other system
			f no, proper maintenance must be
			vers should be made accessible to
the ground surface to facilitat	e access and proper m	naintenance of	f the system.
Year house built: 2002	Year septic installed		Tank size (gals.): 2-1000
How long has seller owned the			residents in home? 5
Number of bedrooms? 4	Are all floo	rs drained by	gravity? Lower Pumped
Garbage disposal? Y		Whirlpool bath	1? N
More than one system (launda			
Does this property have any f	ooting drain tiles com	nected to the s	septic system? N
			ngs connected to this system? N
Are there any additional syste	ems on this property s	erving other b	ouildings? N
Location of septic system on	lot? North Side		
Location of water well on lot			he well a deep well? Y
			s: tree roots, sewage back-ups,
		verflowing, et	c.; or have any repairs been made
to the system? If yes, e	explain:		
When was the system last pur	nned? 3/31/2021	Name of nu	mper: Meyer Sewer Service
How often pumped in previou	1		em on a monitoring plan? N
Have you received notices from			<u> </u>
Is your property located in a s			
Do you have any additional in			the new owner? N
considered "non-compliant/failing" p local government unit within 15 days	er MPCA rules, that the is s of the date of inspection esponsible for payment of	inspector must be completion. I	ge. I also understand that if the system is by law submit a copy of this report to the also agree that unless otherwise noted in work performed relative to this inspection
Owner/Occupant:			Date:



Log Of Soil Borings

Loc	cation of Project:	77 Long Lake Road, N	/lahtomedi,	MN 55115						
Е	Borings Made By:	Inspect Minnesota		Date:	8/7/17					
	Auger Used:	Hand/Bucket	Classit	fication System:	USDA					
	Boring Number:									
Surface Elevation Boring	of Same grou	and surface as last ofield trench	Surface Elevation of Boring	of						
Depth In Inches	Soils E	ncountered	Depth In Inches	Soils Er	ncountered					
0-12 12-35 35-80	10YR 3/4 Mediu ≈15-20% I 10YR 4/4 Medium (2 Loamy Sand Im Sand With Gravel Rock Fragments Course Sand With Gravel ock Fragments								
80"	Depth To End Of B	oring Or Redox		Depth To End Of Bo	oring Or Redox					
Same	Elevation Of Boring	g Relative To System	E	Elevation Of Boring	Relative To System					
-36"		Of Distribution Media	Depth To Bottom Of Distribution Media							
≥44"	Of Separation			Of Separation						
	End Of Boring At:	80"		End Of Boring At:						
	Redox Present At:	None		Redox Present At:						
Standing	Water Present At:	None		Water Present At:						
Starianing	acc. Tresent Att	HOTIC	Stariding							

Bottom Of Distribution Medium At: 36 Inches

JOB BUL GRAGES
77 LONG LAKE ROAD
MANTOMEDI

DATE 8-11-00

BORING LOG

.J			·						<u>. </u>	 g	of	11							. <u> </u>	<u></u>								
HOND AUGER:	CATION	BROWN	6/3		+/+							٠,																
30 20	CLASSIFICATIO	7 HO17	7.5 YR	- 1 Section 1	7.5 YR	_	 	+	 		 	<u> </u>	+	 	-+	- -	 	 	1	┞┼	+	++		 	-	++	-	+++
BOREHOLE DIAMETER 4".	HOLE #5	•	'	1 '	Ι,	1	. 1	·	1	•	`		•			•			•	1		•	•	·		1	•	,
BOREH	HOLE #4	- 706 901	LIGHT BROWN,	COPRET SAND	+-		+-		 	+-	+-		-	+	 	-	-	BH CAUING	- Stop	- -		- OKAY 6+	- SAND	-	-	 	-	
	HOLE #3	10P SOIL -	- SAND	- Mixed up	1 1	Lingth Brown	Ĺ		1.	 	!	+	LIGHT ARGUST	CORRSE SOND		_	+	 	- † -	0	 	 	OKAY 7	SAND		 	_	
ļ	HOLE #2	1108 401		COARSE SAND	+-1		1 		 			<u> </u>	1-	<u> </u>		+		<u> </u>	1 1	94	<u>.</u>	 	- OKAY 7' -	dans.		<u> </u>	1	┞╂┼
8-11-00	HOLE #1	J108 901	BROWN, MEDIUM	- GANE	· '	-	+ -	LIGHT BROWN, -	_	1	'		-	,	'	+	!	,		Q.C.	· }	 		OKAY /	· annes +	++	-	1-1-
DATE	DEPTH				· ·	2 -		•	ب م	<u> </u>	_	t -		U) n		V	ا ه		7 -		-	00 		-	—— დ		10 -

DISCLAIMER

Brian L. Humpal, Inc. dba. Midwest Sewer Services, Inspect Minnesota, Midwest Soil Testing Relative to Subsurface Sewage Treatment System (SSTS) Compliance Inspections

- 1. This inspection/report is being performed for only the seller/owner of the property on which the SSTS is located. In such case that another party is paying for the inspection, the contract is between only said party and Brian L. Humpal, Inc.; there is no contract between Brian L. Humpal, Inc. and any other party unless otherwise noted.
- 3. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. has not been retained to warranty, guarantee, or certify the proper functioning of the SSTS for any period of time beyond the date of inspection or into the future. Because of the numerous factors (usage, maintenance, soil characteristics, previous failures, etc.) which may affect the proper operation of an SSTS, as well as the inability of Brian L. Humpal, Inc. to supervise or monitor the use or maintenance of the SSTS, the report shall not be construed as a warranty by Brian L. Humpal, Inc. that the SSTS will function properly for any particular party for any period of time.
- 4. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. is unable to verify the frequency and/or, quality of prior or future maintenance of the SSTS. Maintenance of the tank(s) must be performed through the tanks maintenance hole. The removal of solids from any location other than the maintenance hole is not a compliant method of maintenance. It is strongly recommended that maintenance covers be made accessible to the ground surface to facilitate proper maintenance.
- 5. Minimum Compliance Inspection requirements relative to this inspection and this report include <u>only</u> verification that the SSTS has tank(s) (septic tanks, lift tanks, dosing tanks, stilling tanks, etc.) which are watertight below the designed operating depth, the required separation between the bottom of the subsurface soil distribution medium and seasonally saturated soils, no back-ups of sewage into the dwelling, no discharge of sewage/effluent to the ground surface or surface waters, and no imminent safety hazards. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. does not inspect plumbing or pumps prior to the first SSTS component as these are plumbing components. The performance of exterior pumps and associated components are not inspected as they are considered to be maintenance items. Additionally, no indications relative to compliance with electrical code requirements have been made. It is recommended that any other applicable plumbing, electrical, housing, etc. inspections be performed by a qualified inspection business. Sewage back-up verification is limited to observing the floor drain area and/or the information supplied by the last occupants of the building prior to inspection. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. cannot guarantee that the information given to them by the last occupants of the building prior to inspection relative to back-ups is accurate.
- 4. Certification of this SSTS does not warranty future use beyond the date of the inspection. Any SSTS, old or new, can become hydraulically overloaded or discharge sewage/effluent to the ground surface as a result of more people moving into the house than were previously occupying the house, improper maintenance, heavy usage, leaking plumbing fixtures, groundwater infiltration, tree roots, freezing conditions, surface drainage problems, poor initial design, poor construction practices, or unsuitable materials used in constructing the system; the system can also simply stop working because of its age. An SSTS that has been properly designed and installed, properly maintained, and used in the manner for which the system was designed can be expected to provide service for twenty to twenty-five years on average. Some parts of the SSTS such as alarms, switches, pumps, filters, etc. will most likely have to be repaired or replaced over the lifetime of the system.
- 5. A Compliance Inspection is not meant to be a test or inspection for longevity of the system; a Compliance Inspection is strictly for the purpose of determining if the SSTS is protective of public health and safety, as well as the groundwater at the date and time the inspection was performed. This inspection is not intended to determine if the SSTS was originally designed or installed to past or present MPCA or other Local Government Unit code requirements. This inspection is not intended to determine if the SSTS was designed and/or installed to support the anticipated flow from the building as the use of the building may have changed since the design and construction of the SSTS due to the addition of bedrooms, occupants, etc. In addition, this inspection is not intended to determine the quality of the original SSTS design, the quality of the construction practices used while installing the SSTS, or the quality of the materials used in constructing the SSTS.
- 6. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. cannot guarantee the performance of SSTS products/components such as: gravelless pipe, chamber trenches, effluent filters, tanks, sewage pre-treatment components, piping, etc. Products such as gravelless pipe are no longer approved for installation in the State of Minnesota and may have a significantly reduced performance and/or life expectancy.
- 7. WINTER WORK: By accepting this report, it is understood that inspections conducted during winter months (approximately November 1st through April 1st) are more difficult to perform because of possible snow cover and/or ground frost. SSTS components such as tanks, maintenance covers, tank inspection pipes, subsurface distribution medium inspection pipes, and soil treatment areas are more difficult or impossible to locate due to snow cover and/or ground frost. In addition, soil borings are more difficult to perform due to snow cover and/or ground frost. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. will attempt to use the same level of standards when performing work during winter periods as when performing work during non-winter periods. However, the recipient of this report understands that because of the aforementioned considerations, the same level of standards may not be possible.
- 8. By accepting this report, the client understands that Brian L. Humpal, Inc. will not be responsible for any monetary damages exceeding the fee for the services provided.

Subsurface Sewage Treatment Systems

Non-transferable

Business License

Midwest Sewer Services

License # L2896

License Expires: 12/22/2021

Issued: 11/06/2020

Specialty Area(s):

Installer

Maintainer

Service Provider

Advanced Designer

Advanced Inspector

Designated Certified Individual(s):

Cert #

Name

Certification Expires:

C5342

Brian L'Humpal

10/15/2023

Installer, Maintainer, Serv Prov, Adv Designer, Adv Inspector

C9852

Christopher R Uebe

3/4/2024

Designer, Inspector



520 Lafayette Road North St. Paul, Minnesota 55155-4194 Nich Haig

Nick Haig, Supervisor Certification and Training Unit