Midwest Sewer Services

P.O. Box 10853 White Bear	Brian Humpal			
651-492-7550/Brian@Midwe	MPCA Licensed Advanced Inspector			
SUBSURFACE SEWAGE TREATMENT SYSTEM (SSTS) COMPLIANCE REPORT				
Date: 3/31/2021 & 4/1/2021	Time: 12:00 PM	Owner: Michael & Barbara LeRoux		
Inspection Address: 11640 Dellwood Rd N, Grant, MN 55082				

REPORT SUMMARY

I have performed an "MPCA Compliance Inspection" on this system and have reviewed the original design/permit records, along with a previous compliance inspection from 2014, which were on file at Washington County. This very old system (installed in 1979) consists of a pre-cast septic tank, a pre-cast lift tank, and a rock trench drainfield. It should be noted that the average life expectancy of a septic system is approximately 30 years. Pinky's Sewer Service pumped the septic tank and lift tank on April 1, 2021.

Although not a compliance criteria, it should be noted that the septic tank manhole cover is buried. I recommend extending these covers to the ground surface to facilitate easier access and proper maintenance. In addition, the lift pump is not equipped with an alarm. An alarm should be installed as soon as possible to notify the occupants of the house in the event of a pump malfunction.

Predicated on my inspection of the system and my review of the records, it is my opinion that this system <u>presently meets</u> MPCA minimum compliance inspection requirements.

Midwest Sewer Services have been hired to perform a compliance inspection of this SSTS for compliance with local ordinances pursuant to Minn. Stat. § 115.55 (2013). This compliance inspection covers only the criteria required by Minn. Stat. § 115.55 Subd. 5a (2013) and Minn. R. 7080.1500 (2011). A compliance inspection is an indication of the current compliance status of the system and does not guarantee the performance or longevity of this system beyond the date of inspection, as it is impossible to determine the future performance of any system. Midwest Sewer Services disclaim any use of this compliance inspection beyond determining SSTS compliance pursuant to Minn. Stat. § 115.55 Subd. 5a (2013) and Minn. R. 7080.1500 (2011).

Please contact me should you have any questions.

After the

Brian Humpal

Brian Humpol

Christopher

Uebe



520 Lafayette Road North St. Paul, MN 55155-4194

Compliance inspection report form

Existing Subsurface Sewage Treatment System (SSTS)

Doc Type: Compliance and Enforcement

Instructions: Inspection results based on Minnesota Pollution Control Agency (MPCA) requirements and attached supporting documentation – additional local requirements may also apply. Further information can be found here: https://www.pca.state.mn.us/sites/default/files/wq-wwists4-31a.pdf.

2 of 11

Inspector must submit completed form to Local Governmental Unit (LGU) and system owner within 15 days of final determination of compliance or noncompliance.

Property information

Local tracking number:

Parcel ID# or Sec/Twp/Range:

Local regulatory authority: Washington County

Owner's phone: 612-559-0484

Owner/representative: Michael & Barbara LeRoux

Property address: 11640 Dellwood Rd N. Grant. MN 55082

Brief system description: A pre-cast septic tank, a pre-cast lift tank, and a rock trench drainfield.

System status

System status on date (mm/dd/yyyy): 4/1/2021

Compliant – Certificate of compliance*

(Valid for 3 years from report date unless evidence of an imminent threat to public health or safety requiring removal and abatement under section 145A.04, subdivision 8 is discovered or a shorter time frame exists in Local Ordinance.)

*Note: Compliance indicates conformance with Minn. R. 7080.1500 as of system status date above and does not guarantee future performance.

Noncompliant – Notice of noncompliance

An imminent threat to public health and safety (ITPHS) must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within ten months of receipt of this notice or within a shorter period if required by local ordinance or under section 145A.04 subdivision 8.

Systems failing to protect ground water must be upgraded, replaced, or use discontinued within the time required by local ordinance.

Reason(s) for noncompliance (check all applicable)

Impact on public health (Compliance component #1) - Imminent threat to public health and safety

- Tank integrity (Compliance component #2) *Failing to protect groundwater*
- Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance component #3) Imminent threat to public health and safety
- □ Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance component #3) Failing to protect groundwater
- System not abandoned according to Minn. R. 7080.2500 (Compliance component #3) Failing to protect groundwater
- Soil separation (Compliance component #5) Failing to protect groundwater
- Operating permit/monitoring plan requirements (Compliance component #4) Noncompliant local ordinance applies

Comments or recommendations

Although not a compliance criteria, it should be noted that the septic tank manhole cover is buried. I recommend extending these covers to the ground surface to facilitate easier access and proper maintenance. In addition, the lift pump is not equipped with an alarm. An alarm should be installed as soon as possible to notify the occupants of the house in the event of a

Certification

I hereby certify that all the necessary information has been gathered to determine the compliance status of this system. No determination of future system performance has been nor can be made due to unknown conditions during system construction, possible abuse of the system, inadequate maintenance, or future water usage.

By typing my name below, I certify the above statements to be true and correct, to the best of my knowledge, and that this information can be used for the purpose of processing this form.

Business name: Midwest Sewer Services

Inspector signature:	Brian Humpal Hour Uh
	(This document has been electronically signed)

Certification number: C5342/C9852

License number: L2896

Phone: 651-492-7550

Necessary or locally required supporting documentation (must be attached)

- Soil observation logs
- Locally required forms
- Tank Integrity Assessment

Operating Permit

Other information (list):

Report Summary, Property Information, Disclaimer, License

^{3 of 11} **1. Impact on public health** – Compliance component #1 of 5

Compliance criteria:		Attached supporting documentation:	
System discharges sewage to the ground surface	🗌 Yes* 🛛 No	Other: Not applicable	
stem discharges sewage to drain or surface waters.	🗌 Yes* 🛛 No	_	
vstem causes sewage backup into velling or establishment.	🗌 Yes* 🛛 No		

imminent threat to public health and safety. Describe verification methods and results:

None of the above found.

2. Tank integrity – Compliance component #2 of 5

Compliance criteria:		Attached supporting documenta	tion:		
System consists of a seepage pit,	🗌 Yes* 🛛 No	_ Pumped at time of inspection			
cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit?		Name of maintenance business:	Pinky's Sewer Service		
Sewage tank(s) leak below their	🗌 Yes* 🛛 No	License number of maintenance business: L1673			
designed operating depth?		Date of maintenance:	4/1/2021		
		Existing tank integrity assessment (Attach)		
		Date of maintenance			
If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks:		(mm/dd/yyyy): (must be	within three years)		
Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is failing to protect groundwater.		(See form instructions to ensure as Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1))	sessment complies with		
		Tank is Noncompliant (pumping not r	necessary – explain below)		
		Other:			

Describe verification methods and results:

Although not a compliance criteria, it should be noted that the septic tank manhole cover is buried. I recommend extending these covers to the ground surface to facilitate easier access and proper maintenance. In addition, the lift pump is not equipped with an alarm. An alarm should be installed as soon as possible to notify the occupants of the house in the event of a pump malfunction.

3. Other compliance conditions – Compliance component #3 of 5

. 01	The compliance conditions – compliance component #5 015	
3a.	Maintenance hole covers appear to be structurally unsound (damaged, cracked, etc.), or unser ☐ Yes* ☐ No ☐ Unknown	cured?
3h	Other issues (electrical hazards, etc.) to immediately and adversely impact public health or safety	v?□Yes* ⊠No □Unki
00.	*Yes to 3a or 3b - System is an imminent threat to public health and safety.	
30	System is non-protective of ground water for other conditions as determined by inspector?	🗌 Yes* 🛛 No
	System not abandoned in accordance with Minn. R. 7080.2500?	□ Yes* ⊠ No
50.	*Yes to 3c or 3d - System is failing to protect groundwater.	
	Describe verification methods and results:	
	Describe vernication methods and results.	
	Attached supporting documentation: 🛛 Not applicable 🗌	
-	perating permit and nitrogen BMP* – Compliance component #4 of	f 5 🛛 Not applicable f "yes", A below is requ
ls th	Derating permit and nitrogen BMP* – Compliance component #4 of ne system operated under an Operating Permit? In the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design?	f "yes", A below is requ
ls th Is th	Derating permit and nitrogen BMP* – Compliance component #4 of ne system operated under an Operating Permit? Imposite the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? Imposite the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? Imposite the system design	f "yes", A below is requ f "yes", B below is requ
ls th Is th If th	Derating permit and nitrogen BMP* – Compliance component #4 of The system operated under an Operating Permit? The system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? The system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? The BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design The answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed	f "yes", A below is requ f "yes", B below is requ
ls th Is th If th Con	Derating permit and nitrogen BMP* – Compliance component #4 of The system operated under an Operating Permit? The system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? The system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? The BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design The answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed in the system and the system design	f "yes", A below is requ f "yes", B below is requ
ls th Is th If th Con	Derating permit and nitrogen BMP* – Compliance component #4 of The system operated under an Operating Permit? The system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? The system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? The BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design The answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed	f "yes", A below is requ f "yes", B below is requ
ls th Is th If th Con	Derating permit and nitrogen BMP* – Compliance component #4 of The system operated under an Operating Permit? The system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? The system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? The BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design The answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed in the system and the system design	f "yes", A below is requ f "yes", B below is requ
ls th Is th If th Con	Derating permit and nitrogen BMP* – Compliance component #4 of the system operated under an Operating Permit? \[\begin{aligned} & Yes & D & N & D & D & D & D & D & D & D & D	f "yes", A below is requ f "yes", B below is requ
ls th Is th If th Con	Decrating permit and nitrogen BMP* – Compliance component #4 of the system operated under an Operating Permit? \[\begin{aligned} & Yes & Divide No & Permit Preside Decision Permit Pe	f "yes", A below is requ f "yes", B below is requ
ls th Is th If th Con	Decrating permit and nitrogen BMP* – Compliance component #4 of the system operated under an Operating Permit? \[\begin{aligned} & Yes & Divide No & Prescipies & Divide No & Divide No & Prescipies & Divide No & Prescipies & Divide No & Divi	f "yes", A below is requ f "yes", B below is requ
ls th Is th If th Con	Decrating permit and nitrogen BMP* – Compliance component #4 of the system operated under an Operating Permit? \[\begin{aligned} & Yes & Divide No & Prescipies & Divide No & Divide No & Prescipies & Divide No & Prescipies & Divide No & Divi	f "yes", A below is requ f "yes", B below is requ
ls th Is th If th Con	Decrating permit and nitrogen BMP* – Compliance component #4 of the system operated under an Operating Permit? \[\begin{aligned} & Yes & Divide No & Prescipies & Divide No & Divide No & Prescipies & Divide No & Prescipies & Divide No & Divi	f "yes", A below is requ f "yes", B below is requ
ls th Is th If th Con	Decrating permit and nitrogen BMP* – Compliance component #4 of the system operated under an Operating Permit? \[\begin{aligned} & Yes & Divide No & Prescipies & Divide No & Divide No & Prescipies & Divide No & Prescipies & Divide No & Divi	f "yes", A below is requ f "yes", B below is requ
ls th Is th If th Con	Decrating permit and nitrogen BMP* – Compliance component #4 of the system operated under an Operating Permit? \[\begin{aligned} & Yes & Divide No & Prescipies & Divide No & Divide No & Prescipies & Divide No & Prescipies & Divide No & Divi	f "yes", A below is requ f "yes", B below is requ
ls th Is th If th Con	Decrating permit and nitrogen BMP* – Compliance component #4 of the system operated under an Operating Permit? \[\begin{aligned} & Yes & Divide No & Prescipies & Divide No & Divide No & Prescipies & Divide No & Prescipies & Divide No & Divi	f "yes", A below is requ f "yes", B below is requ
ls th Is th If th Con	Decrating permit and nitrogen BMP* – Compliance component #4 of the system operated under an Operating Permit? \[\begin{aligned} & Yes & Divide No & Prescipies & Divide No & Divide No & Prescipies & Divide No & Prescipies & Divide No & Divi	f "yes", A below is requ f "yes", B below is requ
ls th Is th If th Con	Decrating permit and nitrogen BMP* – Compliance component #4 of the system operated under an Operating Permit? \[\begin{aligned} & Yes & Divide No & Prescipies & Divide No & Divide No & Prescipies & Divide No & Prescipies & Divide No & Divi	f "yes", A below is requ f "yes", B below is requ

4 of 11

Attached supporting documentation:

5 of 11 5. Soil separation – Compliance component #5 of 5

Date of installation 1979 (mm/dd/yyyy)	Unknown		
Shoreland/Wellhead protection/F beverage lodging? Compliance criteria (select or 5a. For systems built prior to April 1 and not located in Shoreland or Protection Area or not serving a beverage or lodging establishm Drainfield has at least a two-foo separation distance from period	ne): 1, 1996, Wellhead a food, ent: t vertical	 Attached supporting documentation: ☑ Soil observation logs completed for th ☑ Two previous verifications of required separation (<i>Attach</i>) ☑ Not applicable (No soil treatment area ☑ Reviewed previous compliance inspective Reviewed design and permit records. Wellhead protection area. 	vertical
saturated soil or bedrock. 5b. Non-performance systems built 1996, or later or for non-perform systems located in Shoreland o Protection Areas or serving a for beverage, or lodging establishm Drainfield has a three-foot vertice separation distance from period saturated soil or bedrock.*	nance r Wellhead bod, nent: cal	Indicate depths or elevations A. Bottom of distribution media B. Periodically saturated soil/bedrock C. System separation D. Required compliance separation* *May be reduced up to 15 percent if allo Ordinance. 	See Attached Boring Log(s)
5c. "Experimental", "Other", or "Persystems built under pre-2008 R Type IV or V systems built under Rules 7080. 2350 or 7080.2400 (Advanced Inspector License re Drainfield meets the designed v separation distance from period saturated soil or bedrock.	ules; er 2008) equired) vertical		

*Any "no" answer above indicates the system is failing to protect groundwater.

Describe verification methods and results:


Upgrade requirements: (*Minn. Stat.* § 115.55) An imminent threat to public health and safety (ITPHS) must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within ten months of receipt of this notice or within a shorter period if required by local ordinance. If the system is failing to protect ground water, the system must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within the time required by local ordinance. If an existing system is not failing as defined in law, and has at least two feet of design soil separation, then the system need not be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued, notwithstanding any local ordinance that is more strict. This provision does not apply to systems in shoreland areas, Wellhead Protection Areas, or those used in connection with food, beverage, and lodging establishments as defined in law.

<u>Midwest Sewer Testing</u> Subsurface Sewage Treatment System Owner/Property Information

This information will be used for the purpose of conducting an MPCA Compliance Inspection.				
Date of Inspection: 3/31/2021 & 4/1/2021	Time: 12:00 PM			
Property Address: 11640 Dellwood Rd N, Grant, MN	Zip: 55082			
Property Owner: Michael & Barbara LeRoux	Phone: 612-559-0484			
Tank(s) Tank(s)Material Soil Treatment System Septic Fiberglass Rock trench Aerobic Plastic Gravelless trench Lift Metal Chamber trench Holding Concrete Seepage bed Other: Block Mound Other At-grade	Other Alternative system Experimental system Cesspool system Other system			
Are the tank maintenance covers accessible? Yes No *If performed through the maintenance holes. Maintenance hole cover the ground surface to facilitate access and proper maintenance of t	ers should be made accessible to			
	Fank size (gals.): 1200			
	sidents in home?			
Number of bedrooms? 3 Are all floors drained by graded b				
Garbage disposal? N Whirlpool bath?	N			
More than one system (laundry, etc.)? N				
Does this property have any footing drain tiles connected to the se	ptic system? N			
Are any buildings on this property such as garages or out-buildings connected to this system? N				
Are there any additional systems on this property serving other bu	ildings? N			
Location of septic system on lot? Northwest Side				
	well a deep well? Y			
Have you ever experienced any problems with the system such as: tree roots, sewage back-ups, surfacing of sewage onto the ground, septic tank overflowing, etc.; or have any repairs been made to the system? N If yes, explain:				
When was the system last pumped? 4/1/2021 Name of pumper: Pinky's Sewer Service				
When was the system last pumped: 4/1/2021Ivane of pumper: 1 mky's Sewer ServiceHow often pumped in previous years?Is system on a monitoring plan?				
Have you received notices from any government agency concerning this system?				
Is your property located in a shoreland management area? N				
Do you have any additional information that should be given to the new owner?				

I hereby certify that the above information is correct to the best of my knowledge. I also understand that if the system is considered "non-compliant/failing" per MPCA rules, that the inspector must by law submit a copy of this report to the local government unit within 15 days of the date of inspection completion. I also agree that unless otherwise noted in this report, that I/we are ultimately responsible for payment of all fees for all work performed relative to this inspection by Inspect Minnesota and Midwest Soil Testing

Owner/Occupant:



Soil Observations Log

	Location of Project: 11640 Dellwood Rd N, Grant, MN 55082							
Ob				dwest Sewer Services		Date:	3/31/2021	
C	lassific	ation System:	USDA					
	Soil	Observation:	ST-1		Soil C	bservation:		
Surf Elevat Obser	ion of	-	nd surface as last field trench	Surface Elevation of Observation				
Depth In Inches	Rock %	<u>Soils E</u>	ncountered	Depth In Inches	Rock %	<u>Soils</u>	Soils Encountered	
0-3 3-16 16-20 20-37 37-50 50-66 66-70		7.5YR 4/4 Loa 10YR 2/2 L (Origi 10YR 3/4 L 10YR 4/4 Mediu Trace 10YR 4/4 10YR 4/4 7.5YR	my Fine Sand (Fill) amy Fine Sand (Fill) oamy Fine Sand nal Topsoil) oamy Fine Sand oamy Fine Sand m Sand (Moist) With of Gravel Medium Sand Silt Loam With Soft Redox					
66"	Depth To End Of Soil Observation Or Redox				Depth 1	o End Of Soil	Observation Or Redox	
Same	Same Elevation Of Observation Relative To System			Elevatio	n Of Observat	ion Relative To System		
-33" Depth To Bottom Of Distribution Media			Depth T	o Bottom Of I	Distribution Media			
=33"	Of Sepa	iration			Of Sepa	aration		
			70"					
End		Observation At:	70"	End Of		servation At:		
		dox Present At:	66"	Redox Present At:				
Standing Water Present At: None S			Standi	ng Wate	r Present At:			

Bottom Of Distribution Medium At: 33 Inches

Signature:

Afren Va

Location of Project: 11640 Dellwood Road N, Grant, MN 55082					
Borings Made By: Inspect Minnesota		Date:		11/20/14	
	Auger Used: Hand/Bucket		Class	ification System:	USDA
E	Boring Number:	1		Boring Number:	
Surface		96.10'	Surface	2	
Elevation of		'k 100.00' top of	Elevation	of	
Boring	exi	sting well	Boring		
Depth In	Soils Er	ncountered		Depth In Soils Encountered	
Inches 0-11		'3 Sandy Loam	Inches		
11-21		Loamy Sand			
21-33	7.5YR 4/4	I Sandy Loam			
33-50		Fine Sand With			
50-72		Loamy Bands edium Sand With			
		And Trace Of Gravel			
93.45' E	levation To Botto	m Of Drainfield		Elevation To Bottor	n Of Drainfield
				Depth To Redox Or	
	Of Separation			Of Separation	
ļ,		701			
	End Of Boring At:	72"		End Of Boring At:	
	Redox Present At:	None	Standing	Redox Present At:	
Standing Water Present At: None			Standing	Water Present At:	

Bottom Of Distribution Medium At: 33" Or Elevation 93.45' At Soil Probe

DISCLAIMER

Brian L. Humpal, Inc. dba. Midwest Sewer Services, Inspect Minnesota, Midwest Soil Testing Relative to Subsurface Sewage Treatment System (SSTS) Compliance Inspections

- 1. This inspection/report is being performed for only the seller/owner of the property on which the SSTS is located. In such case that another party is paying for the inspection, the contract is between only said party and Brian L. Humpal, Inc.; there is no contract between Brian L. Humpal, Inc. and any other party unless otherwise noted.
- 3. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. has not been retained to warranty, guarantee, or certify the proper functioning of the SSTS for any period of time beyond the date of inspection or into the future. Because of the numerous factors (usage, maintenance, soil characteristics, previous failures, etc.) which may affect the proper operation of an SSTS, as well as the inability of Brian L. Humpal, Inc. to supervise or monitor the use or maintenance of the SSTS, the report shall not be construed as a warranty by Brian L. Humpal, Inc. that the SSTS will function properly for any particular party for any period of time.
- 4. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. is unable to verify the frequency and/or, quality of prior or future maintenance of the SSTS. Maintenance of the tank(s) must be performed through the tanks maintenance hole. The removal of solids from any location other than the maintenance hole is not a compliant method of maintenance. It is strongly recommended that maintenance covers be made accessible to the ground surface to facilitate proper maintenance.
- 5. Minimum Compliance Inspection requirements relative to this inspection and this report include <u>only</u> verification that the SSTS has tank(s) (septic tanks, lift tanks, dosing tanks, stilling tanks, etc.) which are watertight below the designed operating depth, the required separation between the bottom of the subsurface soil distribution medium and seasonally saturated soils, no back-ups of sewage into the dwelling, no discharge of sewage/effluent to the ground surface or surface waters, and no imminent safety hazards. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. does not inspect plumbing or pumps prior to the first SSTS component as these are plumbing components. The performance of exterior pumps and associated components are not inspected as they are considered to be maintenance items. Additionally, no indications relative to compliance with electrical code requirements have been made. It is recommended that any other applicable plumbing, electrical, housing, etc. inspections be performed by a qualified inspection business. Sewage back-up verification is limited to observing the floor drain area and/or the information supplied by the last occupants of the building prior to inspection. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. cannot guarantee that the information given to them by the last occupants of the building prior to inspection relative to back-ups is accurate.
- 4. Certification of this SSTS does not warranty future use beyond the date of the inspection. Any SSTS, old or new, can become hydraulically overloaded or discharge sewage/effluent to the ground surface as a result of more people moving into the house than were previously occupying the house, improper maintenance, heavy usage, leaking plumbing fixtures, groundwater infiltration, tree roots, freezing conditions, surface drainage problems, poor initial design, poor construction practices, or unsuitable materials used in constructing the system; the system can also simply stop working because of its age. An SSTS that has been properly designed and installed, properly maintained, and used in the manner for which the system was designed can be expected to provide service for twenty to twenty-five years on average. Some parts of the SSTS such as alarms, switches, pumps, filters, etc. will most likely have to be repaired or replaced over the lifetime of the system.
- 5. A Compliance Inspection is not meant to be a test or inspection for longevity of the system; a Compliance Inspection is strictly for the purpose of determining if the SSTS is protective of public health and safety, as well as the groundwater at the date and time the inspection was performed. This inspection is not intended to determine if the SSTS was originally designed or installed to past or present MPCA or other Local Government Unit code requirements. This inspection is not intended to determine if the SSTS was designed and/or installed to support the anticipated flow from the building as the use of the building may have changed since the design and construction of the SSTS due to the addition of bedrooms, occupants, etc. In addition, this inspection is not intended to determine the quality of the original SSTS design, the quality of the construction practices used while installing the SSTS, or the quality of the materials used in constructing the SSTS.
- 6. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. cannot guarantee the performance of SSTS products/components such as: gravelless pipe, chamber trenches, effluent filters, tanks, sewage pre-treatment components, piping, etc. Products such as gravelless pipe are no longer approved for installation in the State of Minnesota and may have a significantly reduced performance and/or life expectancy.
- 7. WINTER WORK: By accepting this report, it is understood that inspections conducted during winter months (approximately November 1st through April 1st) are more difficult to perform because of possible snow cover and/or ground frost. SSTS components such as tanks, maintenance covers, tank inspection pipes, subsurface distribution medium inspection pipes, and soil treatment areas are more difficult or impossible to locate due to snow cover and/or ground frost. In addition, soil borings are more difficult to perform due to snow cover and/or ground frost. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. will attempt to use the same level of standards when performing work during winter periods as when performing work during non-winter periods. However, the recipient of this report understands that because of the aforementioned considerations, the same level of standards may not be possible.
- 8. By accepting this report, the client understands that Brian L. Humpal, Inc. will not be responsible for any monetary damages exceeding the fee for the services provided.

Subsurface Sewage Treatment Systems Mon-transferable Business License

Midwest Sewer Services

License # L2896

License Expires: 12/22/2021

Issued: 11/06/2020

Specialty Area(s):

Installer Maintainer Service Provider Advanced Designer Advanced Inspector

Designated Certified Individual(s):

Cert #	Name	Certification Expires
C5342	Brian L Humpal	10/15/2023
	Installer, Maintainer, Serv Prov,	Adv Designer, Adv Inspector
C9852	Christopher R Uebe	3/4/2024
	Designer, Inspector	



520 Lafayette Road North St. Paul, Minnesota 55155-4194

Mich Haig

Nick Haig, Supervisor Certification and Training Unit