Midwest Sewer Services

P.O. Box 10853 White Bear Lake, MN 55110 651-492-7550/Brian@Midwestsoiltesting.com

Brian Humpal

MPCA Licensed Advanced Inspector

SUBSURFACE SEWAGE TREATMENT SYSTEM (SSTS) COMPLIANCE REPORT

Inspection Address: 423 Neal Ave S, Afton, MN 55001

REPORT SUMMARY

I have performed an "MPCA Compliance Inspection" on this system and have reviewed the original design/permit records, along with a previous compliance inspection from 2009, which were on file at Washington County. This very old system (installed in 1978) consists of a pre-cast septic tank and a rock trench drainfield. It should be noted that the average life expectancy of a septic system is approximately 30 years. Ron's Sewer Service pumped the septic tank on March 24, 2021.

Predicated on my inspection of the system and my review of the records, it is my opinion that this system presently meets MPCA minimum compliance inspection requirements.

Midwest Sewer Services have been hired to perform a compliance inspection of this SSTS for compliance with local ordinances pursuant to Minn. Stat. § 115.55 (2013). This compliance inspection covers only the criteria required by Minn. Stat. § 115.55 Subd. 5a (2013) and Minn. R. 7080.1500 (2011). A compliance inspection is an indication of the current compliance status of the system and does not guarantee the performance or longevity of this system beyond the date of inspection, as it is impossible to determine the future performance of any system. Midwest Sewer Services disclaim any use of this compliance inspection beyond determining SSTS compliance pursuant to Minn. Stat. § 115.55 Subd. 5a (2013) and Minn. R. 7080.1500 (2011).

Please contact me should you have any questions.

Christopher Uebe

Brian Humpal



520 Lafayette Road North St. Paul, MN 55155-4194

Compliance inspection report form

Existing Subsurface Sewage Treatment System (SSTS)

Doc Type: Compliance and Enforcement

Instructions: Inspection results based on Minnesota Pollution Control Agency (MPCA) requirements and attached supporting documentation – additional local requirements may also apply. Further information can be found here: https://www.pca.state.mn.us/sites/default/files/wq-wwists4-31a.pdf.

Inspector must submit completed form to Local Governmental Unit (LGU) and system owner within 15 days of final determination of compliance or noncompliance.

Parcel ID# or Sec/Twp/Range:	Local tracking number:
	Local regulatory authority: Washington County
Property address: 423 Neal Ave S, Afton, MN 5500	01
Owner/representative: Wes & Anita Miller	Owner's phone: 651-337-1353
Brief system description: A pre-cast septic tank and	a rock trench drainfield.
System status	
System status on date (mm/dd/yyyy): 4/1/2021	
☐ Compliant – Certificate of compliance*	☐ Noncompliant – Notice of noncompliance
(Valid for 3 years from report date unless eva an imminent threat to public health or safety re- removal and abatement under section 145A.04 subdivision 8 is discovered or a shorter time fra in Local Ordinance.) *Note: Compliance indicates conformance v	equiring upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within ten months of receipt of this notice or within a shorter period if required by local ordinance or under section 145A.04 subdivision 8. Systems failing to protect ground water must be upgraded,
R. 7080.1500 as of system status date above does not guarantee future performance.	
Reason(s) for noncompliance (check al	ıll applicable)
☐ Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance comp☐ System not abandoned according to Minn. R. 708	ponent #3) – Imminent threat to public health and safety
 Soil separation (Compliance component #5) − Fa Operating permit/monitoring plan requirements (Comments or recommendations 	
☐ Operating permit/monitoring plan requirements (0	ailing to protect groundwater
Certification I hereby certify that all the necessary information has determination of future system performance has been abuse of the system, inadequate maintenance, or future.	Solution in the component status of this system. No in nor can be made due to unknown conditions during system construction, possible ture water usage.
Certification I hereby certify that all the necessary information has determination of future system performance has been abuse of the system, inadequate maintenance, or future.	ailing to protect groundwater (Compliance component #4) – Noncompliant - local ordinance applies s been gathered to determine the compliance status of this system. No in nor can be made due to unknown conditions during system construction, possible
Certification I hereby certify that all the necessary information has determination of future system performance has been abuse of the system, inadequate maintenance, or future by typing my name below, I certify the above statem.	Solution in the component status of this system. No in nor can be made due to unknown conditions during system construction, possible ture water usage.
Certification I hereby certify that all the necessary information has determination of future system performance has been abuse of the system, inadequate maintenance, or future by typing my name below, I certify the above statem can be used for the purpose of processing this form.	Failing to protect groundwater (Compliance component #4) – Noncompliant - local ordinance applies Is been gathered to determine the compliance status of this system. No In nor can be made due to unknown conditions during system construction, possible ture water usage. In ments to be true and correct, to the best of my knowledge, and that this information
Certification Certification I hereby certify that all the necessary information has determination of future system performance has been abuse of the system, inadequate maintenance, or future by typing my name below, I certify the above statem can be used for the purpose of processing this form. Business name: Midwest Sewer Services	So been gathered to determine the compliance status of this system. No in nor can be made due to unknown conditions during system construction, possible ture water usage. The ments to be true and correct, to the best of my knowledge, and that this information in the compliance status of the construction
Certification I hereby certify that all the necessary information has determination of future system performance has been abuse of the system, inadequate maintenance, or future system be used for the purpose of processing this form. Business name: Midwest Sewer Services Inspector signature: Chicken Technology I and the purpose of processing this form. (This document has been electronic technology I and the purpose of processing this form.)	Compliance component #4) – Noncompliant - local ordinance applies s been gathered to determine the compliance status of this system. No n nor can be made due to unknown conditions during system construction, possible ture water usage. ments to be true and correct, to the best of my knowledge, and that this information Certification number: C5342/C9852 License number: L2896

https://www.pca.state.mn.us wq-wwists4-31b • 1/11/21

1. Impact on public health – Compliance component #1 of 5

Compliance criteria:		Attached supporting documentation:
System discharges sewage to the ground surface	☐ Yes* ⊠ No	Other:
- ground surface		☐ Not applicable
System discharges sewage to drain tile or surface waters.	☐ Yes* ☒ No	
System causes sewage backup into dwelling or establishment.	☐ Yes* ☒ No	
Any "yes" answer above indicates imminent threat to public health an	•	
Describe verification methods and	results:	
None of the above found.		

2. Tank integrity – Compliance component #2 of 5

Compliance criteria:		Attached supporting documentation:				
System consists of a seepage pit,	☐ Yes* ⊠ No	☑ Pumped at time of inspection				
cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit?	esspool, drywell, leaching pit,					
Sewage tank(s) leak below their	☐ Yes* ⊠ No	License number of maintenance business: <u>L4007</u>				
designed operating depth?		Date of maintenance: 3/24/2021				
		☐ Existing tank integrity assessment (Attach)				
		Date of maintenance				
If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks:		(mm/dd/yyyy): (must be within three years)				
Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is failing to protect groundwater.		(See form instructions to ensure assessment complies with Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1))				
		☐ Tank is Noncompliant (pumping not necessary – explain below)				
		Other:				
Describe verification methods and	results:					

https://www.pca.state.mn.us wq-wwists4-31b • 1/11/21 651-296-6300

800-657-3864

Use your preferred relay service •

3. Other compliance conditions – Compliance component #3 of 5

	За.	Maintenance hole covers appear to be structurally unsound (damaged, cracked, etc.), or unsec ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Unknown	cured?	
	3h	Other issues (electrical hazards, etc.) to immediately and adversely impact public health or safety	2 □ Vec*	⊠ No. □ Hakaowa
	JD.	*Yes to 3a or 3b - System is an imminent threat to public health and safety.	: 🗀 163	MINO CINIOWII
	30	System is non-protective of ground water for other conditions as determined by inspector?	☐ Yes*	⊠ No
		System not abandoned in accordance with Minn. R. 7080.2500?	☐ Yes*	
	Ju.	*Yes to 3c or 3d - System is failing to protect groundwater.	□ res	⊠ NO
		Describe verification methods and results:		
		Describe vernication methods and results.		
		Attached supporting documentation: Not applicable		
4.	Ор	erating permit and nitrogen BMP* – Compliance component #4 of	5 🛛 N	lot applicable
4.		·		
4.	Is th	e system operated under an Operating Permit?	"yes", A	below is required
4.	Is th	e system operated under an Operating Permit?	"yes", A	below is required
4.	Is th	e system operated under an Operating Permit?	"yes", A "yes", B	below is required
4.	Is th	e system operated under an Operating Permit?	"yes", A "yes", B	below is required
4.	Is th	e system operated under an Operating Permit? Pe system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? Pe Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design Pe answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed. Permit Property Propert	"yes", A "yes", B	below is required
4.	Is the Is the Cor	e system operated under an Operating Permit?	"yes", A "yes", B	below is required
4.	Is the Is the Cor	e system operated under an Operating Permit?	"yes", A "yes", B	below is required
4.	Is the Is the Cor	e system operated under an Operating Permit?	"yes", A "yes", B	below is required
4.	Is the Is the Cor	e system operated under an Operating Permit?	"yes", A "yes", B	below is required
4.	Is the Is the Cor	e system operated under an Operating Permit?	"yes", A "yes", B	below is required
4	Is the Is the Cor	e system operated under an Operating Permit?	"yes", A "yes", B	below is required
4.	Is the Is the Cor	e system operated under an Operating Permit?	"yes", A "yes", B	below is required
4.	Is the Is the Cor	e system operated under an Operating Permit?	"yes", A "yes", B	below is required
4.	Is the Is the Cor	e system operated under an Operating Permit?	"yes", A "yes", B	below is required
4.	Is the Is the Cor	e system operated under an Operating Permit?	"yes", A "yes", B	below is required
4.	Is the Is the Cor	e system operated under an Operating Permit?	"yes", A "yes", B	below is required
4.	Is the Is the Cor	e system operated under an Operating Permit?	"yes", A "yes", B	below is required
4.	Is the Is the Cor	e system operated under an Operating Permit?	"yes", A "yes", B	below is required
4.	Is the Is the Cor	e system operated under an Operating Permit?	"yes", A "yes", B	below is required
4.	Is the Is the Cor	e system operated under an Operating Permit?	"yes", A "yes", B	below is required below is required

5. Soil separation – Compliance component #5 of 5

Date of installation 1978 (mm/dd/yyyy)	_		
Shoreland/Wellhead protection/Food	⊠ Yes □ No	Attached supporting documentation:	
beverage lodging?		oxtimes Soil observation logs completed for th	e report (Attach)
Compliance criteria (select one):		☐ Two previous verifications of required separation (Attach)	vertical
5a.For systems built prior to April 1, 1996, and not located in Shoreland or Wellhead	☐ Yes ☐ No*	☐ Not applicable (No soil treatment area	1)
Protection Area or not serving a food, beverage or lodging establishment:		□ Reviewed previous compliance insper	ction from 2009.
Drainfield has at least a two-foot vertical		Reviewed design and permit records.	
separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.		Wellhead protection area.	
5b. Non-performance systems built April 1, 1996, or later or for non-performance systems located in Shoreland or Wellhead Protection Areas or serving a food, beverage, or lodging establishment: Drainfield has a three-foot vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.*	⊠ Yes □ No*	Indicate depths or elevations A. Bottom of distribution media B. Periodically saturated soil/bedrock C. System separation D. Required compliance separation* *May be reduced up to 15 percent if allowed ordinance.	See Attached Boring Log(s)
5c. "Experimental", "Other", or "Performance" systems built under pre-2008 Rules; Type IV or V systems built under 2008 Rules 7080. 2350 or 7080.2400 (Advanced Inspector License required) Drainfield meets the designed vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock. *Any "no" answer above indicates the			

Describe verification methods and results:

failing to protect groundwater.

Upgrade requirements: (Minn. Stat. § 115.55) An imminent threat to public health and safety (ITPHS) must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within ten months of receipt of this notice or within a shorter period if required by local ordinance. If the system is failing to protect ground water, the system must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within the time required by local ordinance. If an existing system is not failing as defined in law, and has at least two feet of design soil separation, then the system need not be upgraded, repaired, replaced, or its use discontinued, notwithstanding any local ordinance that is more strict. This provision does not apply to systems in shoreland areas, Wellhead Protection Areas, or those used in connection with food, beverage, and lodging establishments as defined in law.


Midwest Sewer Testing

Subsurface Sewage Treatment System Owner/Property Information

This information will be used for the purpose of conducting an MPCA	Compliance Inspection.
Date of Inspection: 3/24/2021 & 4/1/2021	Time: 10:30 AM
Property Address: 423 Neal Ave S, Afton, MN	Zip: 55001
Property Owner: Wes & Anita Miller	Phone: 651-337-1353
Tank(s) Tank(s)Material Soil Treatment System Septic 1 Fiberglass ⊠Rock trench Aerobic Plastic Gravelless trench Lift Metal Chamber trench Holding ⊠Concrete Seepage bed Other: Block Mound Other At-grade	Other Alternative system Experimental system Cesspool system Other system
Are the tank maintenance covers accessible? Yes No *If i performed through the maintenance holes. Maintenance hole cover the ground surface to facilitate access and proper maintenance of the second surface.	ers should be made accessible to
	Γank size (gals.): 1,000
	sidents in home?
Number of bedrooms? 3 Are all floors drained by g	
Garbage disposal? Whirlpool bath?	
More than one system (laundry, etc.)?	
Does this property have any footing drain tiles connected to the se	-
Are any buildings on this property such as garages or out-building	s connected to this system?
Are there any additional systems on this property serving other bu	ildings?
Location of septic system on lot? South Side	
Location of water well on lot? North Side	well a deep well? Y
Have you ever experienced any problems with the system such as:	tree roots, sewage back-ups,
surfacing of sewage onto the ground, septic tank overflowing, etc. to the system? If yes, explain:	
	per: Ron's Sewer Service
	on a monitoring plan?
Have you received notices from any government agency concerning	ng this system'?
Is your property located in a shoreland management area? N	
Do you have any additional information that should be given to the	e new owner?
I hereby certify that the above information is correct to the best of my knowledge considered "non-compliant/failing" per MPCA rules, that the inspector must by local government unit within 15 days of the date of inspection completion. I al this report, that I/we are ultimately responsible for payment of all fees for all wo by Inspect Minnesota and Midwest Soil Testing	law submit a copy of this report to the so agree that unless otherwise noted in

Date:

Owner/Occupant:



Soil Observations Log

	Location of Project: 423 Neal Ave S, Afton, MN 55001						
Observations Made By: Midwest Sewer Ser						Date:	4/1/2021
Classification System: USDA							
	Soil	Observation:	ST-1		Soil O	bservation:	
Surf Elevat Observ	ion of	_	nd surface as last field trench		face tion of vation		
Depth In Inches	Rock %	Soils E	ncountered	Depth In Inches	Rock %	<u>Soils</u>	Encountered
0-12 12-37 37-54 54-60 60-100	≈15	10YR 3/4 M Trace 10YR 4/4 Medi 10YR 5/4 7.5YR 3/4 L	2 Loamy Sand ledium Sand With e Of Gravel um Sand With Gravel 4 Medium Sand Loamy Sand With e Of Gravel				
100"	Depth T	o End Of Soil O	bservation Or Redox		Depth T	o End Of Soil	Observation Or Redox
Same			n Relative To System				tion Relative To System
-67"			stribution Media				Distribution Media
≥33"	Of Sepa	ration			Of Sepa	iration	
End Of Soil Observation At: 100" End					Soil Oh	servation At:	
		dox Present At:	None			x Present At:	
Standing Water Present At: None				Standi		r Present At:	

Bottom Of Dist	ribution Medium At: 67 Inches
Signature:	Offer 1/2

Log Of Soil Borings

Location of Project: 423 Neal Ave S, Afton, MN 55001						
Borings Made By: Inspect Minnesota				Date:	7/20/09	
Auger Used: Hand/Bucket			Class	Classification System: USDA		
	Boring Number:	1		Boring Number:		
Surface			Surface			
Elevation	of Though end o	f 1st drainfield trench	Elevation	of		
Boring			Boring			
Depth In	Soils E	ncountered	Depth In	Soils Encountered		
Inches 0-10	10VD 2	/2 Silt Loam	Inches			
10-56		amy Sand & Gravel				
56-70		and & Gravel (Drainfield)				
70-96	7.5YR 3/-	4 Loamy Sand				
0.611	D T LOC D			D 11 T E 10(D		
	96" Depth To End Of Boring Or Mottled Soils				oring Or Mottled Soils	
Same	Elevation Of Boring	g Relative To System		Elevation Of Boring	Relative To System	
-70"	Depth To Bottom (Of System		Depth To Bottom C	of System	
>/=26"	Of Separation			Of Separation		
	End Of Boring At:	96"		End Of Boring At:		
Mottl	ed Soil Present At:	None	Mottle	ed Soil Present At:		
Standing Water Present At: None				Water Present At:		

Dottolli Oi Distributioli Picululli Ati 70 Ilicii	Bottom	Of	Distribution	Medium At:	70	Inche
---	---------------	----	--------------	------------	----	-------

DISCLAIMER

Brian L. Humpal, Inc. dba. Midwest Sewer Services, Inspect Minnesota, Midwest Soil Testing Relative to Subsurface Sewage Treatment System (SSTS) Compliance Inspections

- 1. This inspection/report is being performed for only the seller/owner of the property on which the SSTS is located. In such case that another party is paying for the inspection, the contract is between only said party and Brian L. Humpal, Inc.; there is no contract between Brian L. Humpal, Inc. and any other party unless otherwise noted.
- 3. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. has not been retained to warranty, guarantee, or certify the proper functioning of the SSTS for any period of time beyond the date of inspection or into the future. Because of the numerous factors (usage, maintenance, soil characteristics, previous failures, etc.) which may affect the proper operation of an SSTS, as well as the inability of Brian L. Humpal, Inc. to supervise or monitor the use or maintenance of the SSTS, the report shall not be construed as a warranty by Brian L. Humpal, Inc. that the SSTS will function properly for any particular party for any period of time.
- 4. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. is unable to verify the frequency and/or, quality of prior or future maintenance of the SSTS. Maintenance of the tank(s) must be performed through the tanks maintenance hole. The removal of solids from any location other than the maintenance hole is not a compliant method of maintenance. It is strongly recommended that maintenance covers be made accessible to the ground surface to facilitate proper maintenance.
- 5. Minimum Compliance Inspection requirements relative to this inspection and this report include <u>only</u> verification that the SSTS has tank(s) (septic tanks, lift tanks, dosing tanks, stilling tanks, etc.) which are watertight below the designed operating depth, the required separation between the bottom of the subsurface soil distribution medium and seasonally saturated soils, no back-ups of sewage into the dwelling, no discharge of sewage/effluent to the ground surface or surface waters, and no imminent safety hazards. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. does not inspect plumbing or pumps prior to the first SSTS component as these are plumbing components. The performance of exterior pumps and associated components are not inspected as they are considered to be maintenance items. Additionally, no indications relative to compliance with electrical code requirements have been made. It is recommended that any other applicable plumbing, electrical, housing, etc. inspections be performed by a qualified inspection business. Sewage back-up verification is limited to observing the floor drain area and/or the information supplied by the last occupants of the building prior to inspection. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. cannot guarantee that the information given to them by the last occupants of the building prior to inspection relative to back-ups is accurate.
- 4. Certification of this SSTS does not warranty future use beyond the date of the inspection. Any SSTS, old or new, can become hydraulically overloaded or discharge sewage/effluent to the ground surface as a result of more people moving into the house than were previously occupying the house, improper maintenance, heavy usage, leaking plumbing fixtures, groundwater infiltration, tree roots, freezing conditions, surface drainage problems, poor initial design, poor construction practices, or unsuitable materials used in constructing the system; the system can also simply stop working because of its age. An SSTS that has been properly designed and installed, properly maintained, and used in the manner for which the system was designed can be expected to provide service for twenty to twenty-five years on average. Some parts of the SSTS such as alarms, switches, pumps, filters, etc. will most likely have to be repaired or replaced over the lifetime of the system.
- 5. A Compliance Inspection is not meant to be a test or inspection for longevity of the system; a Compliance Inspection is strictly for the purpose of determining if the SSTS is protective of public health and safety, as well as the groundwater at the date and time the inspection was performed. This inspection is not intended to determine if the SSTS was originally designed or installed to past or present MPCA or other Local Government Unit code requirements. This inspection is not intended to determine if the SSTS was designed and/or installed to support the anticipated flow from the building as the use of the building may have changed since the design and construction of the SSTS due to the addition of bedrooms, occupants, etc. In addition, this inspection is not intended to determine the quality of the original SSTS design, the quality of the construction practices used while installing the SSTS, or the quality of the materials used in constructing the SSTS.
- 6. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. cannot guarantee the performance of SSTS products/components such as: gravelless pipe, chamber trenches, effluent filters, tanks, sewage pre-treatment components, piping, etc. Products such as gravelless pipe are no longer approved for installation in the State of Minnesota and may have a significantly reduced performance and/or life expectancy.
- 7. WINTER WORK: By accepting this report, it is understood that inspections conducted during winter months (approximately November 1st through April 1st) are more difficult to perform because of possible snow cover and/or ground frost. SSTS components such as tanks, maintenance covers, tank inspection pipes, subsurface distribution medium inspection pipes, and soil treatment areas are more difficult or impossible to locate due to snow cover and/or ground frost. In addition, soil borings are more difficult to perform due to snow cover and/or ground frost. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. will attempt to use the same level of standards when performing work during winter periods as when performing work during non-winter periods. However, the recipient of this report understands that because of the aforementioned considerations, the same level of standards may not be possible.
- 8. By accepting this report, the client understands that Brian L. Humpal, Inc. will not be responsible for any monetary damages exceeding the fee for the services provided.

Subsurface Sewage Treatment Systems

Non-transferable

Business License

Midwest Sewer Services

License # L2896

License Expires: 12/22/2021

Issued: 11/06/2020

Specialty Area(s):

Installer

Maintainer

Service Provider

Advanced Designer

Advanced Inspector

Designated Certified Individual(s):

Cert #

Name

Certification Expires:

C5342

Brian L'Humpal

10/15/2023

Installer, Maintainer, Serv Prov, Adv Designer, Adv Inspector

C9852

Christopher R Uebe

3/4/2024

Designer, Inspector



520 Lafayette Road North St. Paul, Minnesota 55155-4194 Nich Haig

Nick Haig, Supervisor Certification and Training Unit