

520 Lafayette Road North St. Paul, MN 55155-4194

wg-wwists4-31b • 1/11/21

## Compliance inspection report form

**Existing Subsurface Sewage Treatment System (SSTS)** 

Doc Type: Compliance and Enforcement

Page 1 of 4

Instructions: Inspection results based on Minnesota Pollution Control Agency (MPCA) requirements and attached supporting documentation - additional local requirements may also apply. Further information can be found here: https://www.pca.state.mn.us/sites/default/files/wq-wwists4-31a.pdf. Inspector must submit completed form to Local Governmental Unit (LGU) and system owner within 15 days of final determination of compliance or noncompliance. **Property information** Local tracking number: Local regulatory authority: Washington County 651-430-6655 Parcel ID# or Sec/Twp/Range: 03.029.21.42.0006 Property address: 9636 53rd St N Lake Elmo, MN 55042 Owner/representative: Gregg & Julie Nielsen Owner's phone: 651-295-7067 Brief system description: One 1500 gallon septic tank and one pump tank lifting to a gravity drainfield System status System status on date (mm/dd/yyyy): 4/6/2021 Noncompliant - Notice of noncompliance ☐ Compliant – Certificate of compliance\* An imminent threat to public health and safety (ITPHS) must be (Valid for 3 years from report date unless evidence of upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within ten months of an imminent threat to public health or safety requiring receipt of this notice or within a shorter period if required by removal and abatement under section 145A.04. subdivision 8 is discovered or a shorter time frame exists local ordinance or under section 145A.04 subdivision 8. in Local Ordinance.) Systems failing to protect ground water must be upgraded. \*Note: Compliance indicates conformance with Minn. replaced, or use discontinued within the time required by local R. 7080.1500 as of system status date above and ordinance. does not guarantee future performance. Reason(s) for noncompliance (check all applicable) Impact on public health (Compliance component #1) - Imminent threat to public health and safety ☐ Tank integrity (Compliance component #2) – Failing to protect groundwater Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance component #3) – Imminent threat to public health and safety Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance component #3) - Failing to protect groundwater System not abandoned according to Minn. R. 7080.2500 (Compliance component #3) - Failing to protect groundwater Soil separation (Compliance component #5) - Failing to protect groundwater Operating permit/monitoring plan requirements (Compliance component #4) - Noncompliant - local ordinance applies Comments or recommendations Certification I hereby certify that all the necessary information has been gathered to determine the compliance status of this system. No determination of future system performance has been nor can be made due to unknown conditions during system construction, possible abuse of the system, inadequate maintenance, or future water usage. By typing my name below, I certify the above statements to be true and correct, to the best of my knowledge, and that this information can be used for the purpose of processing this form. Certification number: 323 Business name: All State Septic Services LLC License number: 1568 Inspector signature: \_Tom Trooien (This document has been electronically signed) Phone: 612-594-4496 Necessary or locally required supporting documentation (must be attached) ☐ Locally required forms ☐ Tank Integrity Assessment Operating Permit ○ Other information (list): Site plan Available in alternative formats 651-296-6300 800-657-3864 Use your preferred relay service https://www.pca.state.mn.us

Compliance criteria:		Attached supporting documentation:	
System discharges sewage to the ground surface	☐ Yes* ☒ No	☐ Other: ☑ Not applicable	
System discharges sewage to drain tile or surface waters.	☐ Yes* ☒ No	Za Not applicable	
System causes sewage backup into dwelling or establishment.	☐ Yes* ☒ No		
Any "yes" answer above indicates imminent threat to public health ar			
Describe verification methods and	results:		
ank integrity – Compliance	component	#2 of 5	
A 11 14 1			
Compliance criteria:		Attached supporting documentation:	
Compliance criteria:  System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit?	☐ Yes* ☒ No	☑ Pumped at time of inspection	Pinky's Sewe
System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit,	☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Yes* ☒ No	Name of maintenance business:  License number of maintenance business	Pinky's Sewer Service s: C9755
System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit?  Sewage tank(s) leak below their		Name of maintenance business:  License number of maintenance business  Date of maintenance:	Pinky's Sewel Service s: C9755 4/6/2021
System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit?  Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth?		Name of maintenance business:  License number of maintenance business	Pinky's Sewer Service s: C9755 4/6/2021 h)
System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit?  Sewage tank(s) leak below their	☐ Yes* ☒ No	<ul> <li>☑ Pumped at time of inspection</li> <li>Name of maintenance business:</li> <li>License number of maintenance business</li> <li>Date of maintenance:</li> <li>☐ Existing tank integrity assessment (Attack Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy):</li> </ul>	Pinky's Sewer Service s: C9755 4/6/2021 h)
System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit?  Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth?  If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks:  Any "yes" answer above indicates	☐ Yes* ☒ No	<ul> <li>✓ Pumped at time of inspection</li> <li>Name of maintenance business:</li> <li>License number of maintenance business</li> <li>Date of maintenance:</li> <li>✓ Existing tank integrity assessment (Attack Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy):</li> <li>(must be within (See form instructions to ensure assessment)</li> </ul>	Pinky's Sewer Service s: C9755 4/6/2021 h) three years)
System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit?  Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth?  If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks:  Any "yes" answer above indicates	☐ Yes* ☒ No  ates the systemer.	Name of maintenance business:  License number of maintenance business  Date of maintenance:  □ Existing tank integrity assessment (Attack Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy):  (See form instructions to ensure assessment)  Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1))	Pinky's Sewer Service s: C9755 4/6/2021 h) three years)

3.	Other compliance conditions – Compliance component #3 of 5
	<ul> <li>3a. Maintenance hole covers appear to be structurally unsound (damaged, cracked, etc.), or unsecured?</li> <li>☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Unknown</li> <li>3b. Other issues (electrical hazards, etc.) to immediately and adversely impact public health or safety? ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Unknown</li> </ul>
	*Yes to 3a or 3b - System is an imminent threat to public health and safety.
	3c. System is non-protective of ground water for other conditions as determined by inspector? ☐ Yes* ☒ No
	3d. System not abandoned in accordance with Minn. R. 7080.2500? □ Yes* ☑ No
	*Yes to 3c or 3d - System is failing to protect groundwater.
	Describe verification methods and results:
	Attached supporting documentation:   Not applicable
4.	Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* – Compliance component #4 of 5 ⊠ Not applicable
	Is the system operated under an Operating Permit?  Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design?   Yes No If "yes", A below is required BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design  If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed.  Compliance criteria:
	a. Have the operating permit requirements been met? ☐ Yes ☐ No
	b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning? ☐ Yes ☐ No
	Any "no" answer indicates noncompliance.
	Describe verification methods and results:
	Attached supporting documentation:   Operating permit (Attach)

https://www.pca.state.mn.us wq-wwists4-31b • 1/11/21

## 5. Soil separation – Compliance component #5 of 5 Date of installation 5/30/1992 Unknown (mm/dd/yyyy) Shoreland/Wellhead protection/Food ☐ Yes ☒ No Attached supporting documentation: beverage lodging? Soil observation logs completed for the report (Attach) Compliance criteria (select one): Two previous verifications of required vertical separation (Attach) ☐ Yes ☒ No\* 5a. For systems built prior to April 1, 1996. ☐ Not applicable (No soil treatment area) and not located in Shoreland or Wellhead Protection Area or not serving a food, beverage or lodging establishment: Drainfield has at least a two-foot vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock. 5b. Non-performance systems built April 1, ☐ Yes ☐ No\* Indicate depths or elevations 1996, or later or for non-performance 2 A. Bottom of distribution media systems located in Shoreland or Wellhead Protection Areas or serving a food, 2 B. Periodically saturated soil/bedrock beverage, or lodging establishment: C. System separation 0 Drainfield has a three-foot vertical separation distance from periodically D. Required compliance separation\* 2 saturated soil or bedrock.\* \*May be reduced up to 15 percent if allowed by Local Ordinance. ☐ Yes ☐ No\* 5c. "Experimental", "Other", or "Performance" systems built under pre-2008 Rules: Type IV or V systems built under 2008 Rules 7080. 2350 or 7080.2400 (Advanced Inspector License required) Drainfield meets the designed vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock. \*Any "no" answer above indicates the system is failing to protect groundwater. Describe verification methods and results: Upgrade requirements: (Minn. Stat. § 115.55) An imminent threat to public health and safety (ITPHS) must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within ten months of receipt of this notice or within a shorter period if required by local ordinance. If the system is failing to protect ground water, the system must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within the time required by local ordinance. If an existing system is not failing as defined in law, and has at least two feet of design soil separation, then the system need not be upgraded, repaired, replaced, or its use discontinued, notwithstanding any local ordinance that is more strict. This provision does not apply to systems in shoreland areas, Wellhead Protection Areas, or those used in connection with food, beverage, and lodging establishments as defined in law.

## ONSITE SEWAGE TREATMENT PROGRAM

## Soil Observation Log

Project ID:

Consistence Friable 4/6/21 Firm Firm v 04.01.2020 9636 53rd St N Lake Elmo, MN 55042 Elevation-relative to benchmark: |----- Structure------Limiting Layer Elevation: Organic Matter Moderate Moderate Grade Strong Bedrock Date Observation Type: Shape Granular Blocky Platy 1568 Alluvium Location / Address: Indicator(s) hereby certify that I have completed this work in accordance with all applicable ordinances, rules and laws. S S Ē Slope shape Concentrations Redox Kind(s) Depletions Loess Lacustrine Soil survey map units: Mottle Color(s) 10YR 6/8 7.5YR 6/2 Slope %: Outwash Gregg & Julie Neilsen Matrix Color(s) 7.5YR 4/6 10YR 4/6 10YR 3/2 Soil parent material(s): (Check all that apply) Frag. % <35% <35% Rock <35% Weather Conditions/Time of Day: Landscape Position: (select one) Observation #/Location: Sandy Clay Loam Comments Redox at 24" Clay Loam Tom Trooien topspoil **Texture** Vegetation: Depth (in) 10-20 20-30 0-10 Client:

(Date)

(License #)

(Signature)

(Designer/Inspector)

9636 53RD STN LAKE ELMO, MN 55092

WIE

