## **Midwest Sewer Services**

P.O. Box 10853 White B	ear Lake, MN 55110	Brian Humpal	
651-492-7550/Brian@Midwestsoiltesting.com		MPCA Licensed Advanced Inspector	
SUBSURFACE SEWAGE TREATMENT SYSTEM (SSTS) COMPLIANCE REPORT			
Date: April 16, 2021Time: 9:45 AMOwner: Barbara Jones			
Inspection Address: 1535 Oakgreen Ave N, West Lakeland, MN 55082			

## **REPORT SUMMARY**

I have performed an "MPCA Compliance Inspection" on this system and have reviewed the original design/permit records on file at Washington County. This older system (installed in 1992) consists of a pre-cast septic tank and a rock trench drainfield. It should be noted that the average life expectancy of a septic system is approximately 30 years. Pinky's Sewer Service pumped the septic tank on April 16, 2021.

Although not a compliance criteria, it should be noted that the septic tank manhole cover is buried. I recommend extending this cover to the ground surface to facilitate easier access and proper maintenance.

Predicated on my inspection of the system and my review of the original design/permit records, it is my opinion that this system <u>presently meets</u> MPCA minimum compliance inspection requirements.

Midwest Sewer Services have been hired to perform a compliance inspection of this SSTS for compliance with local ordinances pursuant to Minn. Stat. § 115.55 (2013). This compliance inspection covers only the criteria required by Minn. Stat. § 115.55 Subd. 5a (2013) and Minn. R. 7080.1500 (2011). A compliance inspection is an indication of the current compliance status of the system and does not guarantee the performance or longevity of this system beyond the date of inspection, as it is impossible to determine the future performance of any system. Midwest Sewer Services disclaim any use of this compliance inspection beyond determining SSTS compliance pursuant to Minn. Stat. § 115.55 Subd. 5a (2013) and Minn. R. 7080.1500 (2011).

Please contact me should you have any questions.

Afren Va

Brian Humpal

Christopher

Uebe

Brian Humpal



520 Lafayette Road North St. Paul, MN 55155-4194

## Compliance inspection report form

## **Existing Subsurface Sewage Treatment System (SSTS)**

Doc Type: Compliance and Enforcement

Instructions: Inspection results based on Minnesota Pollution Control Agency (MPCA) requirements and attached supporting documentation - additional local requirements may also apply. Further information can be found here: https://www.pca.state.mn.us/sites/default/files/wq-wwists4-31a.pdf.

2 of 11

#### Inspector must submit completed form to Local Governmental Unit (LGU) and system owner within 15 days of final determination of compliance or noncompliance.

## **Property information**

Local tracking number:

Parcel ID# or Sec/Twp/Range:

Owner/representative: Barbara Jones

Local regulatory authority: Washington County Property address: 1535 Oakgreen Ave N, West Lakeland, MN 55082

Owner's phone: 651-303-6172

Brief system description: A pre-cast septic tanks and a rock trench drainfield.

## System status

System status on date (mm/dd/yyyy): 4/16/2021

#### Compliant – Certificate of compliance\*

(Valid for 3 years from report date unless evidence of an imminent threat to public health or safety requiring removal and abatement under section 145A.04, subdivision 8 is discovered or a shorter time frame exists in Local Ordinance.)

\*Note: Compliance indicates conformance with Minn. R. 7080.1500 as of system status date above and does not guarantee future performance.

#### Noncompliant – Notice of noncompliance

An imminent threat to public health and safety (ITPHS) must be upgraded. replaced. or its use discontinued within ten months of receipt of this notice or within a shorter period if required by local ordinance or under section 145A.04 subdivision 8.

Systems failing to protect ground water must be upgraded. replaced, or use discontinued within the time required by local ordinance.

#### Reason(s) for noncompliance (check all applicable)

□ Impact on public health (Compliance component #1) – Imminent threat to public health and safety

Tank integrity (Compliance component #2) – Failing to protect groundwater

- Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance component #3) Imminent threat to public health and safety
- Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance component #3) Failing to protect groundwater

System not abandoned according to Minn. R. 7080.2500 (Compliance component #3) – Failing to protect groundwater

Soil separation (Compliance component #5) – Failing to protect groundwater

Operating permit/monitoring plan requirements (Compliance component #4) – Noncompliant - local ordinance applies

#### **Comments or recommendations**

Although not a compliance criteria, it should be noted that the septic tank manhole cover is buried. I recommend extending this cover to the ground surface to facilitate easier access and proper maintenance.

## Certification

I hereby certify that all the necessary information has been gathered to determine the compliance status of this system. No determination of future system performance has been nor can be made due to unknown conditions during system construction, possible abuse of the system, inadequate maintenance, or future water usage.

By typing my name below, I certify the above statements to be true and correct, to the best of my knowledge, and that this information can be used for the purpose of processing this form.

Business name: Midwest Sewer Services

Inspector signature:	Brian Humpal Hour Um
	(This document has been electronically signed)

Certification number: C5342/C9852

License number: L2896

Phone: 651-492-7550

## Necessary or locally required supporting documentation (must be attached)

- Soil observation logs Other information (list):
- Locally required forms
- Tank Integrity Assessment

Operating Permit

Report Summary, Property Information, Disclaimer, License

## <sup>3 of 11</sup> **1. Impact on public health** – Compliance component #1 of 5

Compliance criteria:		Attached supporting documentation:
System discharges sewage to the ground surface	🗌 Yes* 🛛 No	Other: Not applicable
stem discharges sewage to drain or surface waters.	🗌 Yes* 🛛 No	_
rstem causes sewage backup into velling or establishment.	🗌 Yes* 🛛 No	

imminent threat to public health and safety.

### Describe verification methods and results:

None of the above found.

## 2. Tank integrity – Compliance component #2 of 5

Compliance criteria:		Attached supporting documentatio	n:			
System consists of a seepage pit,	🗌 Yes* 🛛 No	_ ⊠ Pumped at time of inspection				
cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit?		Name of maintenance business:	Pinky's Sewer Service			
Sewage tank(s) leak below their	🗌 Yes* 🛛 No	License number of maintenance busin	ess: <u>L1673</u>			
designed operating depth?		Date of maintenance:	4/16/2021			
		Existing tank integrity assessment (Attach)				
If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks:		Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (must be with	nin three years)			
Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is failing to protect groundwater.		(See form instructions to ensure asses Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1))	sment complies with			
		Tank is Noncompliant (pumping not nec	essary – explain below)			
		Other:				

#### Describe verification methods and results:

Although not a compliance criteria, it should be noted that the septic tank manhole cover is buried. I recommend extending this cover to the ground surface to facilitate easier access and proper maintenance.

## 3. Other compliance conditions – Compliance component #3 of 5

<i>.</i> .	the compliance conditions – compliance component #3 of 5	
3	Ba. Maintenance hole covers appear to be structurally unsound (damaged, cracked, etc.), or unse ☐ Yes*	ecured?
3	Bb. Other issues (electrical hazards, etc.) to immediately and adversely impact public health or safet	v?□Yes* ⊠No □Unknow
	*Yes to 3a or 3b - System is an imminent threat to public health and safety.	
2	Bc. System is non-protective of ground water for other conditions as determined by inspector?	🗌 Yes* 🛛 No
	3d. System not abandoned in accordance with Minn. R. 7080.2500?	— — — □ Yes* ⊠ No
	*Yes to 3c or 3d - System is failing to protect groundwater.	
	Describe verification methods and results:	
	Attached supporting documentation: 🛛 Not applicable 🗌	
ls	<b>Operating permit and nitrogen BMP*</b> – Compliance component #4 of the system operated under an Operating Permit?	lf "yes", A below is require
ls	<b>Operating permit and nitrogen BMP*</b> – Compliance component #4 o	lf "yes", A below is require
ls Is	Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* – Compliance component #4 of the system operated under an Operating Permit?          □ Yes □ No         □ Yes □ No         the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? □ Yes □ No	lf "yes", A below is require If "yes", B below is require
ls Is If	Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* – Compliance component #4 of the system operated under an Operating Permit?       Yes       No         If the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design?       Yes       No         BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design       Yes       No	lf "yes", A below is require If "yes", B below is require
ls Is If	Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* – Compliance component #4 of the system operated under an Operating Permit?       Yes       No         If the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design?       Yes       No         BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design       Yes       No         If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed       Yes	lf "yes", A below is require If "yes", B below is require
ls Is If	Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* – Compliance component #4 of the system operated under an Operating Permit?       Yes       No         If the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design?       Yes       No         BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design       Yes       No         The answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed formpliance criteria:	lf "yes", A below is require If "yes", B below is require
ls Is If	Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* – Compliance component #4 of the system operated under an Operating Permit?       Yes       No         If the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design?       Yes       No         BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design       Yes       No         If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed formpliance criteria:       A. Have the operating permit requirements been met?       Yes       No         b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning?       Yes       No	lf "yes", A below is require If "yes", B below is require
ls Is If	Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* – Compliance component #4 of the system operated under an Operating Permit?       Yes No         If the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design?       Yes No         If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed formpliance criteria:       Any "no" answer indicates noncompliance.	lf "yes", A below is require If "yes", B below is require
ls Is If	Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* – Compliance component #4 of the system operated under an Operating Permit?       Yes       No         If the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design?       Yes       No         BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design       Yes       No         If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed formpliance criteria:       A. Have the operating permit requirements been met?       Yes       No         b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning?       Yes       No	lf "yes", A below is requir If "yes", B below is requir
ls Is If	Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* – Compliance component #4 of the system operated under an Operating Permit?       Yes No         If the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design?       Yes No         If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed formpliance criteria:       Any "no" answer indicates noncompliance.	lf "yes", A below is require If "yes", B below is require
ls Is If	Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* – Compliance component #4 of the system operated under an Operating Permit?       Yes No         If the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design?       Yes No         If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed formpliance criteria:       Any "no" answer indicates noncompliance.	lf "yes", A below is require If "yes", B below is require
ls Is If	Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* – Compliance component #4 of the system operated under an Operating Permit?       Yes No         If the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design?       Yes No         If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed formpliance criteria:       Any "no" answer indicates noncompliance.	lf "yes", A below is require If "yes", B below is require
ls Is If	Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* – Compliance component #4 of the system operated under an Operating Permit?       Yes No         If the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design?       Yes No         If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed formpliance criteria:       Any "no" answer indicates noncompliance.	lf "yes", A below is require If "yes", B below is require
ls Is If	Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* – Compliance component #4 of the system operated under an Operating Permit?       Yes No         If the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design?       Yes No         If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed formpliance criteria:       Any "no" answer indicates noncompliance.	lf "yes", A below is require If "yes", B below is require
ls Is If	Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* – Compliance component #4 of the system operated under an Operating Permit?       Yes No         If the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design?       Yes No         If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed formpliance criteria:       Any "no" answer indicates noncompliance.	lf "yes", A below is require If "yes", B below is require

4 of 11

Attached supporting documentation:

### 5 of 11 5. Soil separation – Compliance component #5 of 5

Date of installation 1992 (mm/dd/yyyy)	Unknown			
Shoreland/Wellhead protection/Food	🗌 Yes 🛛 No	Attached supporting documentation:		
beverage lodging?		oxtimes Soil observation logs completed for th	e report (Attach)	
Compliance criteria (select one):		Two previous verifications of required vertical separation (Attach)		
5a. For systems built prior to April 1, 199 and not located in Shoreland or Well		□ Not applicable (No soil treatment area)		
Protection Area or not serving a food beverage or lodging establishment:	,	$\boxtimes$ Reviewed design and permit records.		
Drainfield has at least a two-foot vert separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.				
5b. Non-performance systems built April		Indicate depths or elevations		
1996, or later or for non-performance systems located in Shoreland or Wel Protection Areas or serving a food,		A. Bottom of distribution media	See Attached Boring Log(s)	
beverage, or lodging establishment:		B. Periodically saturated soil/bedrock		
Drainfield has a three-foot vertical separation distance from periodically		C. System separation		
saturated soil or bedrock.*		D. Required compliance separation*		
		*May be reduced up to 15 percent if allo Ordinance.	owed by Local	
5c. "Experimental", "Other", or "Performa systems built under pre-2008 Rules; Type IV or V systems built under 200 Rules 7080. 2350 or 7080.2400 (Advanced Inspector License require	08			
Drainfield meets the designed vertica separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.				

\*Any "no" answer above indicates the system is failing to protect groundwater.

Describe verification methods and results:


**Upgrade requirements:** (*Minn. Stat.* § 115.55) An imminent threat to public health and safety (ITPHS) must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within ten months of receipt of this notice or within a shorter period if required by local ordinance. If the system is failing to protect ground water, the system must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within the time required by local ordinance. If an existing system is not failing as defined in law, and has at least two feet of design soil separation, then the system need not be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued, notwithstanding any local ordinance that is more strict. This provision does not apply to systems in shoreland areas, Wellhead Protection Areas, or those used in connection with food, beverage, and lodging establishments as defined in law.

## Midwest Sewer Testing Subsurface Sewage Treatment System Owner/Property Information

This information will be used for the purpose of conducting an MPCA Compliance Inspection.				
Date of Inspection: April 16, 2021	Time: 9:45 AM			
Property Address: 1535 Oakgreen Ave N, West Lakeland, MN	Zip: 55082			
Property Owner: Barbara Jones	Phone: 651-303-6172			
Tank(s)       Tank(s)Material       Soil Treatment System         Septic 1       Fiberglass       Rock trench         Aerobic       Plastic       Gravelless trench         Lift       Metal       Chamber trench         Holding       Concrete       Seepage bed         Other:       Block       Mound         Other       At-grade	Other Alternative system Experimental system Cesspool system Other system			
Are the tank maintenance covers accessible? $\Box$ Yes $\boxtimes$ No *If	no, proper maintenance must be			
performed through the maintenance holes. Maintenance hole cover				
the ground surface to facilitate access and proper maintenance of t	he system.			
Year house built: 1992 Year septic installed: 1992	Tank size (gals.): 1500			
	sidents in home?			
Number of bedrooms? 4 Are all floors drained by g				
Garbage disposal? Whirlpool bath?	2			
More than one system (laundry, etc.)?				
Does this property have any footing drain tiles connected to the se	ptic system?			
Are any buildings on this property such as garages or out-building	s connected to this system?			
Are there any additional systems on this property serving other bu	ildings?			
	-			
Location of septic system on lot? East Side				
	e well a deep well? Y			
Have you ever experienced any problems with the system such as	tree roots, sewage back-ups,			
surfacing of sewage onto the ground, septic tank overflowing, etc.; or have any repairs been made				
to the system? If yes, explain:				
	per: Pinky's Sewer Service			
How often pumped in previous years?   Is system on a monitoring plan?				
Have you received notices from any government agency concerning this system?				
Is your property located in a shoreland management area? N				
Do you have any additional information that should be given to the new owner?				

I hereby certify that the above information is correct to the best of my knowledge. I also understand that if the system is considered "non-compliant/failing" per MPCA rules, that the inspector must by law submit a copy of this report to the local government unit within 15 days of the date of inspection completion. I also agree that unless otherwise noted in this report, that I/we are ultimately responsible for payment of all fees for all work performed relative to this inspection by Inspect Minnesota and Midwest Soil Testing

Owner/Occupant:



#### 8 of 11

## Soil Observations Log

Location of Project: 1535 Oakgreen Ave N, West Lakeland, MN 55082							
Ot			By: Midwest Sewer Services		Date:	4/16/2021	
		ation System:	USDA				
	Soi	Observation:	ST-1		Soil C	bservation:	
	face tion of vation	-	nd surface as last Tield trench	Surface Elevation of Observation			
Depth In Inches	Rock %	<u>Soils E</u>	ncountered	Depth In Inches	Rock %	<u>Soils</u>	Encountered
0-7 7-28 28-40 40-54	≈15 ≈25 ≈15-25	10YR 3/4 San 10YR 4/4 Medin 10YR 4/4 Medin	2/2 Silt Loam d Loam With Gravel um Sand With Gravel um Sand With Gravel Isal At 54"				
54"	Depth T	o End Of Soil O	bservation Or Redox		Depth T	o End Of Soil	Observation Or Redox
Same		ation Of Observation Relative To System Elevation Of Observation Relative To System					
	-28" Depth To Bottom Of Distribution Media			Depth T	o Bottom Of I	Distribution Media	
≥26"	Of Sepa				Of Sepa	ration	
End		Observation At:	54"	End Of	Call Ob	servation At:	
EIIU		dox Present At:	None			x Present At:	
Stan				Standi		r Present At:	
Standing Water Present At: None Stand			Stand				

Bottom Of Distribution Medium At: 28 Inches

Signature:

Other Va

Logs of Soil Borings. B-31 for or Project Dan Vilson 9 of 12/12/201 Winkley Adding Lotb Tings made by TEVRY NEFF Date 2-23-92 CIANTIFICATION System: AASHO \_\_\_\_; USDA-SCS \_\_\_\_; Unified \_\_\_\_; other (dugar used (check two): Hand \_\_\_\_\_, or Power \_\_\_\_; Flight \_\_\_\_, or Bucket \_\_\_\_; other Depth Ba Boring number Boring number By Depth. 1n Surface elevation 100.5 Surface elevation 100 feet feet 0 -Park Brown Sandy Loan, maist part Brown same, Loan, Moist ij4. Brown sandy clay Louns, moist 9" 1 -----Brown clay beaux, proist 13" Brown broken to course grain Loams Sand, Morst 1 Small rocts . Decesional rock 2 Brown sandy Lown, moist. 3.11 3 Brown medeum to course quain 3 ..... Lorma sand, Moist. 412" Aroun Leanny sand - nedium to Light occasional motiles from <u>.</u> . 4 -----Coavse grain , Moist 66 " so", Lot of small rocks. fol & rack, 5 ----66' Obstruction-6 ----7 8 -----8 和時代的時 End of boring at 30. M. Feet End of boring at 66 in. fact. Standing water table: Standing water table: Present at \_\_\_\_\_ feet of depth, Present at \_\_\_\_\_ feet of depth, hours after boring, hours after boring. Not present in boring hole Not present in boring hole 二十二 法法国和公司 Mottled soil: Mottled soil: Observed at 5/2 feet of depth. Observed at feet of depth. Not present in boring hole Not present in boring hole Observations and comments: Observations and comments:

## **DISCLAIMER**

## Brian L. Humpal, Inc. dba. Midwest Sewer Services, Inspect Minnesota, Midwest Soil Testing Relative to Subsurface Sewage Treatment System (SSTS) Compliance Inspections

- 1. This inspection/report is being performed for only the seller/owner of the property on which the SSTS is located. In such case that another party is paying for the inspection, the contract is between only said party and Brian L. Humpal, Inc.; there is no contract between Brian L. Humpal, Inc. and any other party unless otherwise noted.
- 3. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. has not been retained to warranty, guarantee, or certify the proper functioning of the SSTS for any period of time beyond the date of inspection or into the future. Because of the numerous factors (usage, maintenance, soil characteristics, previous failures, etc.) which may affect the proper operation of an SSTS, as well as the inability of Brian L. Humpal, Inc. to supervise or monitor the use or maintenance of the SSTS, the report shall not be construed as a warranty by Brian L. Humpal, Inc. that the SSTS will function properly for any particular party for any period of time.
- 4. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. is unable to verify the frequency and/or, quality of prior or future maintenance of the SSTS. Maintenance of the tank(s) must be performed through the tanks maintenance hole. The removal of solids from any location other than the maintenance hole is not a compliant method of maintenance. It is strongly recommended that maintenance covers be made accessible to the ground surface to facilitate proper maintenance.
- 5. Minimum Compliance Inspection requirements relative to this inspection and this report include <u>only</u> verification that the SSTS has tank(s) (septic tanks, lift tanks, dosing tanks, stilling tanks, etc.) which are watertight below the designed operating depth, the required separation between the bottom of the subsurface soil distribution medium and seasonally saturated soils, no back-ups of sewage into the dwelling, no discharge of sewage/effluent to the ground surface or surface waters, and no imminent safety hazards. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. does not inspect plumbing or pumps prior to the first SSTS component as these are plumbing components. The performance of exterior pumps and associated components are not inspected as they are considered to be maintenance items. Additionally, no indications relative to compliance with electrical code requirements have been made. It is recommended that any other applicable plumbing, electrical, housing, etc. inspections be performed by a qualified inspection business. Sewage back-up verification is limited to observing the floor drain area and/or the information supplied by the last occupants of the building prior to inspection. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. cannot guarantee that the information given to them by the last occupants of the building prior to inspection relative to back-ups is accurate.
- 4. Certification of this SSTS does not warranty future use beyond the date of the inspection. Any SSTS, old or new, can become hydraulically overloaded or discharge sewage/effluent to the ground surface as a result of more people moving into the house than were previously occupying the house, improper maintenance, heavy usage, leaking plumbing fixtures, groundwater infiltration, tree roots, freezing conditions, surface drainage problems, poor initial design, poor construction practices, or unsuitable materials used in constructing the system; the system can also simply stop working because of its age. An SSTS that has been properly designed and installed, properly maintained, and used in the manner for which the system was designed can be expected to provide service for twenty to twenty-five years on average. Some parts of the SSTS such as alarms, switches, pumps, filters, etc. will most likely have to be repaired or replaced over the lifetime of the system.
- 5. A Compliance Inspection is not meant to be a test or inspection for longevity of the system; a Compliance Inspection is strictly for the purpose of determining if the SSTS is protective of public health and safety, as well as the groundwater at the date and time the inspection was performed. This inspection is not intended to determine if the SSTS was originally designed or installed to past or present MPCA or other Local Government Unit code requirements. This inspection is not intended to determine if the SSTS was designed and/or installed to support the anticipated flow from the building as the use of the building may have changed since the design and construction of the SSTS due to the addition of bedrooms, occupants, etc. In addition, this inspection is not intended to determine the quality of the original SSTS design, the quality of the construction practices used while installing the SSTS, or the quality of the materials used in constructing the SSTS.
- 6. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. cannot guarantee the performance of SSTS products/components such as: gravelless pipe, chamber trenches, effluent filters, tanks, sewage pre-treatment components, piping, etc. Products such as gravelless pipe are no longer approved for installation in the State of Minnesota and may have a significantly reduced performance and/or life expectancy.
- 7. WINTER WORK: By accepting this report, it is understood that inspections conducted during winter months (approximately November 1<sup>st</sup> through April 1<sup>st</sup>) are more difficult to perform because of possible snow cover and/or ground frost. SSTS components such as tanks, maintenance covers, tank inspection pipes, subsurface distribution medium inspection pipes, and soil treatment areas are more difficult or impossible to locate due to snow cover and/or ground frost. In addition, soil borings are more difficult to perform due to snow cover and/or ground frost. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. will attempt to use the same level of standards when performing work during winter periods as when performing work during non-winter periods. However, the recipient of this report understands that because of the aforementioned considerations, the same level of standards may not be possible.
- 8. By accepting this report, the client understands that Brian L. Humpal, Inc. will not be responsible for any monetary damages exceeding the fee for the services provided.

# Subsurface Sewage Treatment Systems Mon-transferable Business License

# **Midwest Sewer Services**

License # L2896

License Expires: 12/22/2021

Issued: 11/06/2020

# **Specialty Area(s):**

Installer Maintainer Service Provider Advanced Designer Advanced Inspector

# **Designated Certified Individual(s):**

Cert #	Name	Certification Expires
C5342	Brian L Humpal	10/15/2023
	Installer, Maintainer, Serv Prov,	Adv Designer, Adv Inspector
C9852	Christopher R Uebe	3/4/2024
	Designer, Inspector	



520 Lafayette Road North St. Paul, Minnesota 55155-4194

Mich Haig

Nick Haig, Supervisor Certification and Training Unit