

520 Lafayette Road North St. Paul, MN 55155-4194

Compliance inspection report form

Existing Subsurface Sewage Treatment System (SSTS)

Doc Type: Compliance and Enforcement

Instructions: Inspection results based on Minnesota Pollution Control Agency (MPCA) requirements and attached supporting documentation – additional local requirements may also apply. Further information can be found here: https://www.pca.state.mn.us/sites/default/files/wg-wwists4-31a.pdf.

Inspector must submit completed form to Local Governmental Unit (LGU) and system owner within 15 days of final determination of compliance or noncompliance.

Parce	el ID# or Sec/Twp/Range: 30.028.21.43.0006	coal regulatory outbority, Mark Court, CE4 400 coal	
	erty address: 6685 Military Road Woodbury, MN 55129	ocal regulatory authority: Wash County 651-430-6655	
	er/representative: Gary Kammerude	Owner's phone: 651-757-80	028
	system description: 1500 gallon septic tank with a gravity		020
_	tem status	To section and an indicate the section and	
	em status on date (mm/dd/yyyy): 5/4/2021		
	Compliant – Certificate of compliance*	Noncompliant − Notice of noncompliance	
¥	(Valid for 3 years from report date unless evidence of an imminent threat to public health or safety requiring removal and abatement under section 145A.04, subdivision 8 is discovered or a shorter time frame exists in Local Ordinance.) *Note: Compliance indicates conformance with Minn R. 7080.1500 as of system status date above and does not guarantee future performance.	An imminent threat to public health and safety (ITPHS upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within ten receipt of this notice or within a shorter period if requil local ordinance or under section 145A.04 subdivision	months of red by 8. aded,
	Reason(s) for noncompliance (check all applica		
	☐ Impact on public health (Compliance component #☐ Tank integrity (Compliance component #2) – Failin	g to protect groundwater	
	 ☐ Tank integrity (Compliance component #2) – Failing ☐ Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance component of Compliance Compliance Compliance Compliance Compliance Compliance Compliance Component System not abandoned according to Minn. R. 7080 ☑ Soil separation (Compliance component #5) – Failing 	g to protect groundwater nent #3) – Imminent threat to public health and safety nent #3) – Failing to protect groundwater .2500 (Compliance component #3) – Failing to protect groun ng to protect groundwater mpliance component #4) – Noncompliant - local ordinance a	
Cert I here deten abuse	□ Tank integrity (Compliance component #2) – Failin □ Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance compor □ Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance compor □ System not abandoned according to Minn. R. 7080 □ Soil separation (Compliance component #5) – Failin □ Operating permit/monitoring plan requirements (Comments or recommendations Reviewed septic system records received from the City components of the component with the component of the component in the component with the component in the compon	g to protect groundwater nent #3) – Imminent threat to public health and safety nent #3) – Failing to protect groundwater .2500 (Compliance component #3) – Failing to protect ground ng to protect groundwater mpliance component #4) – Noncompliant - local ordinance a of Woodbury erect to determine the compliance status of this system. No erect made due to unknown conditions during system construction sage.	n, possible
Cert I here deten abuse By ty	□ Tank integrity (Compliance component #2) – Failin □ Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance compor □ Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance compor □ System not abandoned according to Minn. R. 7080 □ Soil separation (Compliance component #5) – Failin □ Operating permit/monitoring plan requirements (Comments or recommendations Reviewed septic system records received from the City components of the component with the component of the component in the component with the component in the compon	g to protect groundwater nent #3) – Imminent threat to public health and safety nent #3) – Failing to protect groundwater .2500 (Compliance component #3) – Failing to protect ground ng to protect groundwater mpliance component #4) – Noncompliant - local ordinance a of Woodbury ered to determine the compliance status of this system. No ered to determine the compliance status of this system constructions.	n, possible
Ceri I here deten abuse By ty can b	□ Tank integrity (Compliance component #2) – Failin □ Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance compor □ Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance compor □ System not abandoned according to Minn. R. 7080 □ Soil separation (Compliance component #5) – Failin □ Operating permit/monitoring plan requirements (Comments or recommendations Reviewed septic system records received from the City stiffication by certify that all the necessary information has been gather mination of future system performance has been nor can be see of the system, inadequate maintenance, or future water unping my name below, I certify the above statements to be	g to protect groundwater nent #3) – Imminent threat to public health and safety nent #3) – Failing to protect groundwater .2500 (Compliance component #3) – Failing to protect groun ng to protect groundwater mpliance component #4) – Noncompliant - local ordinance a of Woodbury ered to determine the compliance status of this system. No se made due to unknown conditions during system construction sage. In true and correct, to the best of my knowledge, and that this in	n, possible
Cert I here deten abuse By ty can b	□ Tank integrity (Compliance component #2) – Failin □ Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance compor □ Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance compor □ System not abandoned according to Minn. R. 7080 □ Soil separation (Compliance component #5) – Failin □ Operating permit/monitoring plan requirements (Comments or recommendations Reviewed septic system records received from the City stiffication be of the system, inadequate maintenance, or future water uniquing my name below, I certify the above statements to be see used for the purpose of processing this form.	g to protect groundwater nent #3) – Imminent threat to public health and safety nent #3) – Failing to protect groundwater .2500 (Compliance component #3) – Failing to protect ground ng to protect groundwater mpliance component #4) – Noncompliant - local ordinance a of Woodbury erect to determine the compliance status of this system. No erect made due to unknown conditions during system construction sage.	n, possible
Cert I here deten abuse By ty can b	□ Tank integrity (Compliance component #2) – Failin □ Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance compoi □ Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance compoi □ System not abandoned according to Minn. R. 7080 □ Soil separation (Compliance component #5) – Failin □ Operating permit/monitoring plan requirements (Comments or recommendations Reviewed septic system records received from the City stification aby certify that all the necessary information has been gather mination of future system performance has been nor can be see of the system, inadequate maintenance, or future water unping my name below, I certify the above statements to be see used for the purpose of processing this form. The system of the system in the seed of the system of processing this form. The system of the system in the system of processing this form. The system of the system of processing this form. The system of the system of processing this form. The system of the system of the system of processing this form. The system of the system of processing this form. The system of the	g to protect groundwater nent #3) – Imminent threat to public health and safety nent #3) – Failing to protect groundwater .2500 (Compliance component #3) – Failing to protect grounding to protect groundwater mpliance component #4) – Noncompliant - local ordinance a of Woodbury erect to determine the compliance status of this system. No erect made due to unknown conditions during system construction sage. etrue and correct, to the best of my knowledge, and that this in Certification number: 323 License number: 1568	n, possible
Cert I here deten abuse By ty can b Busin Inspe	□ Tank integrity (Compliance component #2) – Failin. □ Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance component #5) – Compliance Compliance Compliance Component System not abandoned according to Minn. R. 7080. □ System not abandoned according to Minn. R. 7080. □ Soil separation (Compliance component #5) – Failin. □ Operating permit/monitoring plan requirements (Comments or recommendations) Reviewed septic system records received from the City stification Set of the system, inadequate maintenance, or future water under the system, inadequate maintenance, or future water under the system, inadequate maintenance, or future water under the system purpose of processing this form. The set of the purpose of processing this form. The set of signature: Tom Trooien	g to protect groundwater nent #3) – Imminent threat to public health and safety nent #3) – Failing to protect groundwater .2500 (Compliance component #3) – Failing to protect groun ng to protect groundwater mpliance component #4) – Noncompliant - local ordinance a of Woodbury ered to determine the compliance status of this system. No e made due to unknown conditions during system construction sage. et rue and correct, to the best of my knowledge, and that this in Certification number: 323 License number: 1568 ad) Phone: 612-594-4496	n, possible

Compliance criteria:			Attached supporting documentation:	
System discharges sewage to the ground surface	☐ Yes*	⊠ No	☐ Other: ☑ Not applicable	
System discharges sewage to drain tile or surface waters.	☐ Yes*	⊠ No		
System causes sewage backup into dwelling or establishment.	☐ Yes*	⊠ No		
Any "yes" answer above indicate imminent threat to public health a				
Describe verification methods and	d results:			
nk integrity – Compliance	compo	onent #2		Mileta Mileta private anni de private anni de la constanta de
Compliance criteria:	[] V*	N.	Attached supporting documentation:	
System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit?	☐ Yes*	⊠ No	☐ Pumped at time of inspection Pir Name of maintenance business: Ser	ky's Sewe
Sewage tank(s) leak below their	☐ Yes*	⊠ No	License number of maintenance business: C97	
designed operating depth?				2021
			☐ Existing tank integrity assessment (Attach)	
If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks:			Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (must be within three	vears)
Any "yes" answer above indic	eates the	system	(See form instructions to ensure assessment of	
is failing to protect groundwar		System	Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1))	
			☐ Tank is Noncompliant (pumping not necessary – €	xplain belo
			☑ Other:	
Describe verification methods an				
cover appears OK.	Lowered a	a digital cam	era into the tank - bottom, walls, cover, baffles, riser an	d manhol

3.	Other compliance conditions — Compliance component #3 of 5
	3a. Maintenance hole covers appear to be structurally unsound (damaged, cracked, etc.), or unsecured? ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Unknown
	3b. Other issues (electrical hazards, etc.) to immediately and adversely impact public health or safety? ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Unknown *Yes to 3a or 3b - System is an imminent threat to public health and safety.
	3c. System is non-protective of ground water for other conditions as determined by inspector? ☐ Yes* ☒ No
	3d. System not abandoned in accordance with Minn. R. 7080.2500? □ Yes* ☑ No
	*Yes to 3c or 3d - System is failing to protect groundwater.
	Describe verification methods and results:
	Attached supporting documentation: ☑ Not applicable ☐
4.	Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* – Compliance component #4 of 5 ⋈ Not applicable
	Is the system operated under an Operating Permit? Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? Yes No If "yes", A below is required If "yes", B below is required BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed.
	Compliance criteria:
	a. Have the operating permit requirements been met?
	b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning? ☐ Yes ☐ No
	Any "no" answer indicates noncompliance.
	Describe verification methods and results:
	Attached supporting documentation: Operating permit (Attach)

5. Soil separation – Compliance component #5 of 5 Date of installation 1/9/1986 Unknown (mm/dd/yyyy) Shoreland/Wellhead protection/Food Yes □ No Attached supporting documentation: beverage lodging? Soil observation logs completed for the report (Attach) Compliance criteria (select one): ☐ Two previous verifications of required vertical separation (Attach) 5a. For systems built prior to April 1, 1996, ☐ Yes ☐ No* and not located in Shoreland or Wellhead ☐ Not applicable (No soil treatment area) Protection Area or not serving a food, beverage or lodging establishment: Drainfield has at least a two-foot vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock. 5b. Non-performance systems built April 1. ☐ Yes ☒ No* Indicate depths or elevations 1996, or later or for non-performance A. Bottom of distribution media 3.2 systems located in Shoreland or Wellhead Protection Areas or serving a food, B. Periodically saturated soil/bedrock 3.0 beverage, or lodging establishment: C. System separation 0 Drainfield has a three-foot vertical separation distance from periodically 3 D. Required compliance separation* saturated soil or bedrock.* *May be reduced up to 15 percent if allowed by Local Ordinance. 5c. "Experimental", "Other", or "Performance" ☐ Yes ☐ No* systems built under pre-2008 Rules; Type IV or V systems built under 2008 Rules 7080. 2350 or 7080.2400 (Advanced Inspector License required) Drainfield meets the designed vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock. *Any "no" answer above indicates the system is failing to protect groundwater. Describe verification methods and results: Upgrade requirements: (Minn. Stat. § 115.55) An imminent threat to public health and safety (ITPHS) must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within ten months of receipt of this notice or within a shorter period if required by local ordinance. If the system is failing to protect ground water, the system must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within the time required by local ordinance. If an existing system is not failing as defined in law, and has at least two feet of design soil separation, then the system need not be upgraded, repaired, replaced, or its use discontinued, notwithstanding any local ordinance that is more strict. This provision does not apply to systems in shoreland areas, Wellhead Protection Areas, or those used in connection with food, beverage, and lodging establishments as defined in law.

6685 MILITARY RD WOODBURY, MN 55129 WHE 5-4-21 SEPTIC DRAIN FIELD -B-1 (8)

5-4-21

	100				
University of Minnesota	ONSITE	SEWAGE	TREATMENT	PROGRAM	

Soil Observation Log

Project ID:

v 04.01.2021

Client:		U	Gary Kammerude		Locat	Location / Address:	6685 Mi	6685 Military Road Woodbury, MN 55129	bury, MN 55129
Soil parent m	Soil parent material(s): (Check all that apply)	k all that	apply)	Outwash Lacustrine	Loess Till	Alluvium	um Bedrock	Ш	Matter
Landscape Po	Landscape Position: (select one)	ne)		Slope %:	Slope shape			Elevation	Elevation-relative to benchmark:
Vegetation:				Soil survey map units:				Limiting Layer Elevation:	Elevation:
Weather Conc	Weather Conditions/Time of Day:	Jay:					Date	0	05/04/21
Observation	Observation #/Location:	À	B-1			sq0	Observation Type:		Auger
Denth (in)	Texture	Rock	Matrix Color(s)	Mottle Color(s)	Redox Kind(s)	Indicator(s)		Structure	
	0.000	Frag. %	(c) 1000 W 1000	(5) 1000 0000	(6) 511111 100 500	(2)	Shape	Grade	Consistence
9-0	top soil	<35%	10YR 3/2				Granular	Moderate	Friable
7 7	200	,350/	10YR 3/4				3	9	
7 -0	Sainty todail	0/00		***************************************			פומומ	אסחשו	רוומטוב
12.24	# io	,35%	10YR 4/4				Slocial	CA	į
£7.7I	Sitt todill	0/00					DIUCKY	MODE ALE	
24-48	Sandy Clay	~35%	10YR 4/6				Rlocky	Moderate	<u> </u>
5	Loam						6000		
	300 300						CARD LAND LAR.	****	

					C An				
	***		***************************************				ARAB ERAB E		
				•••••			******		
Comments	Comments Redox at 36"								
I hereby certi	ify that I have co	ompleted	I hereby certify that I have completed this work in accordance with		all applicable ordinances, rules and laws.	s and laws.			
	Tom Trooien		Tom Trooien	oien			1568		5/4/21
(Des	(Designer/Inspector)			(Signature)			(License #)		(Date)