

520 Lafayette Road North St. Paul, MN 55155-4194

## Compliance inspection report form **Existing Subsurface Sewage Treatment System (SSTS)**

Doc Type: Compliance and Enforcement

Instructions: Inspection results based on Minnesota Pollution Control Agency (MPCA) requirements and attached supporting documentation – additional local requirements may also apply. Further information can be found here: https://www.pca.state.mn.us/sites/default/files/wg-wwists4-31a.pdf.

Inspector must submit completed form to Local Governmental Unit (LGU) and system owner within 15 days of final determination of compliance or noncompliance.

Property information	Local tracking number:
Parcel ID# or Sec/Twp/Range: 33.030.21.11.0002	ocal regulatory authority: Wash County 651-430-6655
Property address: 6868 Jamaca Ave N Stillwater, MN 55082	
Owner/representative: Myles Schifsky	Owner's phone: 612-272-9887
Brief system description: Septic tank and a gravity, rock trench	drainfield
System status	
System status on date (mm/dd/yyyy): 5/6/2021	
☐ Compliant – Certificate of compliance*	☐ Noncompliant – Notice of noncompliance
(Valid for 3 years from report date unless evidence of an imminent threat to public health or safety requiring removal and abatement under section 145A.04, subdivision 8 is discovered or a shorter time frame exists in Local Ordinance.)  *Note: Compliance indicates conformance with Minn. R. 7080.1500 as of system status date above and does not guarantee future performance.	Systems failing to protect ground water must be ungraded
<ul> <li>☐ Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance compor</li> <li>☐ System not abandoned according to Minn. R. 7080</li> <li>☐ Soil separation (Compliance component #5) – Failing</li> </ul>	nent #3) – Imminent threat to public health and safety nent #3) – Failing to protect groundwater .2500 (Compliance component #3) – Failing to protect groundwater
I hereby certify that all the necessary information has been gathe	e made due to unknown conditions during system construction, possible
	e true and correct, to the best of my knowledge, and that this information
Business name: All State Septic Services LLC	Certification number: 323
Inspector signature: Tom Trooien	License number: 1568
(This document has been electronically signe	Phone: 612-594-4496
Necessary or locally required supporting do	ocumentation (must be attached)
<ul> <li>Soil observation logs</li> <li>☐ Locally required forms</li> <li>☐ Other information (list):</li> <li>Site plan</li> </ul>	☐ Tank Integrity Assessment ☐ Operating Permit
https://www.pca.state.mn.us • 651-296-6300 • 800-657-386 wq-wwists4-31b • 1/11/21	64 • Use your preferred relay service • Available in alternative formats  Page 1 of 4

Compliance criteria:		Attached supporting documentation:
System discharges sewage to the ground surface	☐ Yes* ☒ No	Other:
System discharges sewage to drain ile or surface waters.	☐ Yes* ☒ No	Not applicable Not applicable
System causes sewage backup into lwelling or establishment.	☐ Yes* ☒ No	
Any "yes" answer above indicates imminent threat to public health an		
Describe verification methods and	results:	
nk integrity – Compliance	component #2	
Compliance criteria:	DV* MN-	Attached supporting documentation:
System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit?	☐ Yes* ☑ No	<ul> <li>✓ Pumped at time of inspection</li> <li>Pinky's S</li> <li>Name of maintenance business:</li> </ul>
Sewage tank(s) leak below their	☐ Yes* ☒ No	Name of maintenance business: Service  License number of maintenance business: C9755
designed operating depth?		Date of maintenance: 5/6/2021
		Existing tank integrity assessment (Attach)
		Date of maintenance
		(mm/dd/yyyy): (must be within three years
If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks:	441	
If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks:  Any "yes" answer above indicates failing to protect groundwates.		(See form instructions to ensure assessment complied Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1))
Any "yes" answer above indica		
Any "yes" answer above indica		Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1))
Any "yes" answer above indicated is failing to protect groundwated beautiful protect groundwated beautiful protect groundwated by the second second protect groundwated by the second groundwated by the second groundwated by the second groundwate	results:	Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1))  ☐ Tank is Noncompliant (pumping not necessary – explain ☐ Other:
Any "yes" answer above indicated is failing to protect groundwated.  Describe verification methods and The tank was pumped during the inspection.	results:	Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1))  ☐ Tank is Noncompliant (pumping not necessary – explain ☐ Other:  wer Service. Lowered a digital camera into the tank - bottom.
Any "yes" answer above indicated is failing to protect groundwated beautiful protect groundwated beautiful protect groundwated by the second second protect groundwated by the second groundwated by the second groundwated by the second groundwate	results:	Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1))  ☐ Tank is Noncompliant (pumping not necessary – explain ☐ Other:  wer Service. Lowered a digital camera into the tank - bottom.
Any "yes" answer above indicated is failing to protect groundwated.  Describe verification methods and The tank was pumped during the inspection.	results:	Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1))  ☐ Tank is Noncompliant (pumping not necessary – explain ☐ Other:  wer Service. Lowered a digital camera into the tank - bottom.
Any "yes" answer above indicated is failing to protect groundwated.  Describe verification methods and The tank was pumped during the inspection.	results:	Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1))  ☐ Tank is Noncompliant (pumping not necessary – explain ☐ Other:  wer Service. Lowered a digital camera into the tank - bottom.
Any "yes" answer above indicated is failing to protect groundwated.  Describe verification methods and The tank was pumped during the inspection.	results:	Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1))  ☐ Tank is Noncompliant (pumping not necessary – explain ☐ Other:  wer Service. Lowered a digital camera into the tank - bottom.
Any "yes" answer above indicated is failing to protect groundwated.  Describe verification methods and The tank was pumped during the inspection.	results:	Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1))  ☐ Tank is Noncompliant (pumping not necessary – explain ☐ Other:  wer Service. Lowered a digital camera into the tank - bottom.
Any "yes" answer above indicated is failing to protect groundwated.  Describe verification methods and The tank was pumped during the inspection.	results:	Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1))  ☐ Tank is Noncompliant (pumping not necessary – explain ☐ Other:  wer Service. Lowered a digital camera into the tank - bottom.

3a. Maintenance hole covers appear to be structurally unsound (damaged, cracked, etc.), or unsecured?    Yes' Si No   Unknown   Yes to 3a or 3b - System is an imminent threat to public health or safety?   Yes' Si No   Unknown   Yes to 3a or 3b - System is an imminent threat to public health and safety.  3b. System is non-protective of ground water for other conditions as determined by inspector?   Yes' Si No   Yes' Si No   System not abandoned in accordance with Minn. R. 7080,2500?    Yes to 3c or 3d - System is failing to protect groundwater.   Describe verification methods and results:    Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* — Compliance component #4 of 5 Si Not applicable	. (	Otl	ner compliance conditions – Compliance component #3 of 5		
"Yes to 3a or 3b - System is an imminent threat to public health and safety.  3c. System is non-protective of ground water for other conditions as determined by inspector?  3d. System not abandoned in accordance with Minn. R. 7080.2500?  "Yes to 3c or 3d - System is failing to protect groundwater.  Describe verification methods and results:  Attached supporting documentation:  Not applicable  Describe verification methods and results:  Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* — Compliance component #4 of 5		За.	[	cured?	
3d. System not abandoned in accordance with Minn. R. 7080.2500?  "Yes to 3c or 3d - System is falling to protect groundwater.  Describe verification methods and results:    Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* — Compliance component #4 of 5   Not applicable		3b.	[18]	y? ☐ Yes*	☑ No ☐ Unknow
Attached supporting documentation:   Not applicable  Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* — Compliance component #4 of 5 Not applicable  Is the system operated under an Operating Permit?		Зс.	System is non-protective of ground water for other conditions as determined by inspector?	☐ Yes*	⊠ No
Attached supporting documentation:  Not applicable   Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* — Compliance component #4 of 5  Not applicable is the system operated under an Operating Permit?  Solution of the system operated under an Operating Permit?  Solution of the system operated under an Operating Permit?  Solution of the system operated under an Operating Permit?  Solution of the system operated under an Operating Permit?  Solution of the system design?  Solution of the system design of the system design of the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed.  Compliance criteria:  a. Have the operating permit requirements been met?  Solution operating the system design of the system de		3d.	System not abandoned in accordance with Minn. R. 7080.2500?	☐ Yes*	⊠ No
Attached supporting documentation: ☑ Not applicable ☐  Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* — Compliance component #4 of 5 ☑ Not applicable Is the system operated under an Operating Permit? ☐ Yes ☑ No If "yes", A below is required is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? ☐ Yes ☑ No If "yes", B below is required BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed.  Compliance criteria:  a. Have the operating permit requirements been met? ☐ Yes ☐ No b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and property functioning? ☐ Yes ☐ No  Any "no" answer indicates noncompliance.  Describe verification methods and results:			*Yes to 3c or 3d - System is failing to protect groundwater.		
Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* — Compliance component #4 of 5  Not applicable  Is the system operated under an Operating Permit?  Is the system design?  Yes  No  If "yes", A below is required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design?  If "yes", B below is required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design.  If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed.  Compliance criteria:  a. Have the operating permit requirements been met?  Yes  No  b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning?  Yes  No  Any "no" answer indicates noncompliance.  Describe verification methods and results:			Describe verification methods and results:		
Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* — Compliance component #4 of 5  Not applicable  Is the system operated under an Operating Permit?  Is the system design?  Yes  No  If "yes", A below is required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design?  If "yes", B below is required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design.  If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed.  Compliance criteria:  a. Have the operating permit requirements been met?  Yes  No  b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning?  Yes  No  Any "no" answer indicates noncompliance.  Describe verification methods and results:					
Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* — Compliance component #4 of 5  Not applicable  Is the system operated under an Operating Permit?  Is the system design?  Yes  No  If "yes", A below is required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design?  If "yes", B below is required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design.  If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed.  Compliance criteria:  a. Have the operating permit requirements been met?  Yes  No  b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning?  Yes  No  Any "no" answer indicates noncompliance.  Describe verification methods and results:					
Is the system operated under an Operating Permit?			Attached supporting documentation:   Not applicable		
Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? Yes No BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design  If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed.  Compliance criteria:  a. Have the operating permit requirements been met?  b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning? Yes No  Any "no" answer indicates noncompliance.  Describe verification methods and results:	(	Ор	erating permit and nitrogen BMP* - Compliance component #4 o	f 5 🛮 N	lot applicable
BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design  If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed.  Compliance criteria:  a. Have the operating permit requirements been met?  b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning? Yes No  Any "no" answer indicates noncompliance.  Describe verification methods and results:	1	s th	e system operated under an Operating Permit? ☐ Yes ☒ No ☐	f "yes", A	below is required
a. Have the operating permit requirements been met?  b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning? Yes No  Any "no" answer indicates noncompliance.  Describe verification methods and results:	1:	s th	1988년 전문 128일 전에 전문으로 발생하는 것이라면 보다는 생생님이 있다면 함께 하는 사람들이 없는 사람들이 되었다면 하다면 하는 사람이 되었다면 하는 것이 없는데 그렇게 되었다면 하는데 다른	f "yes", B	below is require
a. Have the operating permit requirements been met?  b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning?  Any "no" answer indicates noncompliance.  Describe verification methods and results:	1	f th	e answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed	<i>l</i> .	
b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning? Yes No  Any "no" answer indicates noncompliance.  Describe verification methods and results:	(	Con	npliance criteria:		
b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning? Yes No  Any "no" answer indicates noncompliance.  Describe verification methods and results:		а	. Have the operating permit requirements been met?		
Describe verification methods and results:		b	원이 보이 되어 있는 병에 발견하게 되었다면 내용 회의 회원에서 보이 되었습니다. 나는 아니는 아니는 아니라 아니라 아니라 내용이 되었다면 하다.		
Describe verification methods and results:			Any "no" answer indicates noncompliance.		
Attached supporting documentation:					
Attached supporting documentation:					
Attached supporting documentation:					
Attached supporting documentation:					
Attached supporting documentation:					
Attached supporting documentation:					
Attached supporting documentation:					
Attached supporting documentation:					
Attached supporting documentation:					
Attached supporting documentation:   Operating permit (Attach)					
Attached supporting documentation:			Attached supporting documentation.		
			Attached supporting documentation:		

## 5. Soil separation - Compliance component #5 of 5 Date of installation 1985 Unknown (mm/dd/yyyy) Shoreland/Wellhead protection/Food ☐ Yes ☒ No Attached supporting documentation: beverage lodging? Soil observation logs completed for the report (Attach) Compliance criteria (select one): ☐ Two previous verifications of required vertical separation (Attach) 5a. For systems built prior to April 1, 1996, ✓ Yes □ No\* and not located in Shoreland or Wellhead ☐ Not applicable (No soil treatment area) Protection Area or not serving a food. beverage or lodging establishment: Drainfield has at least a two-foot vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock. 5b. Non-performance systems built April 1, ☐ Yes ☐ No\* Indicate depths or elevations 1996, or later or for non-performance A. Bottom of distribution media 3 systems located in Shoreland or Wellhead Protection Areas or serving a food, B. Periodically saturated soil/bedrock 5.5 beverage, or lodging establishment: C. System separation 2.5 Drainfield has a three-foot vertical separation distance from periodically 2 D. Required compliance separation\* saturated soil or bedrock.\* \*May be reduced up to 15 percent if allowed by Local Ordinance. 5c. "Experimental", "Other", or "Performance" ☐ Yes ☐ No\* systems built under pre-2008 Rules; Type IV or V systems built under 2008 Rules 7080. 2350 or 7080.2400 (Advanced Inspector License required) Drainfield meets the designed vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock. \*Any "no" answer above indicates the system is failing to protect groundwater. Describe verification methods and results: Upgrade requirements: (Minn. Stat. § 115.55) An imminent threat to public health and safety (ITPHS) must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within ten months of receipt of this notice or within a shorter period if required by local ordinance. If the system is failing to protect ground water, the system must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within the time required by local ordinance. If an existing system is not failing as defined in law, and has at least two feet of design soil separation, then the system need not be upgraded, repaired, replaced, or its use discontinued, notwithstanding any local ordinance that is more strict. This provision does not apply to systems in shoreland areas, Wellhead Protection Areas, or those used in connection with food, beverage, and lodging establishments as defined in law.

## Soil Observation Log

Project ID:

ON SITE SEWAGE TREATMENT PROGRAM	

Consistence Friable 6868 Jamaca Ave N Stillwater, MN 55082 Loose Loose Loose 5/6/21 (Date) Elevation-relative to benchmark: Limiting Layer Elevation: |------ Structure-------05/06/21 Organic Matter Structureless Moderate Grade Weak Weak Bedrock Date Observation Type: Single grain (License #) Granular Granular Granular Shape 1568 Alluvium Location / Address: Indicator(s) hereby certify that I have completed this work in accordance with all applicable ordinances, rules and laws. E Slope shape Redox Kind(s) Loess Outwash Lacustrine (Signature) Soil survey map units: Mottle Color(s) Slope %: Tom Trooien Matrix Color(s) Myles Schifsky 10YR 3/2 10YR 4/6 10YR 3/4 7.5YR 4/4 Soil parent material(s): (Check all that apply) B-1 Frag. % <35% <35% Rock <35% <35% Weather Conditions/Time of Day: Landscape Position: (select one) (Designer/Inspector) Observation #/Location: Tom Trooien fine sand fine sand **Texture** topsoil sand Comments Vegetation: Depth (in) 18-48 48-66 6-18 9-0 Client:

