ZIERKE SOIL TESTING

Marion Abrahamson 7413 99th St Cir N White Bear Lake, MN 55110

May 7th 2021

Dear Marion Abrahamson,

At your request, I have conducted a septic inspection to determine the compliance status of your septic system pursuant to Minnesota Rules Chapter 7080.1500.

The compliance test set out in 7080.1500 has three main inquiries: 1). Is the system functioning hydraulically (disposing of effluent in a manner that prevents it from coming in contact with people)? 2). Are the septic tanks water tight? 3). Does the system have sufficient vertical separation between the bottom of the septic system and restrictive layers (bedrock, standing water, seasonally wet layers, etc) to provide full treatment of effluent?

Based off of these criteria, your system is <u>non-compliant</u> due to a lack of vertical separation between the bottom of your drain field and indicators of seasonally wet soil (redoximorphic features). Therefore, this system is considered "failing to protect groundwater" and <u>is not considered an imminent threat to public health</u>. I am required to provide copies of this report to you and to Washington County. You should contact them as to the next steps that will be required to bring the system into compliance.

Sincerely,

Benjamin Zierke

MPCA Lic 119, Cert 9594

ADDRESS: 28587 Jeffrey Ave Chisago City, MN 55013

PHONE 651-249-1346 EMAIL benzierke@gmail.com



520 Lafayette Road North St. Paul, MN 55155-4194

Compliance inspection report form

Existing Subsurface Sewage Treatment System (SSTS)

Doc Type: Compliance and Enforcement

Instructions: Inspection results based on Minnesota Pollution Control Agency (MPCA) requirements and attached supporting documentation – additional local requirements may also apply. Further information can be found here: https://www.pca.state.mn.us/sites/default/files/wq-wwists4-31a.pdf.

Inspector must submit completed form to Local Governmental Unit (LGU) and system owner within 15 days of final determination of compliance or noncompliance.

cking number:						
y: Washington County						
Owner's phone: 651-426-1109						
Notice of noncompliance						
An imminent threat to public health and safety (ITPHS) must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within ten months receipt of this notice or within a shorter period if required by local ordinance or under section 145A.04 subdivision 8. Systems failing to protect ground water must be upgraded,						
discontinued within the time required by local						
 Impact on public health (Compliance component #1) − Imminent threat to public health and safety Tank integrity (Compliance component #2) − Failing to protect groundwater Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance component #3) − Imminent threat to public health and safety Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance component #3) − Failing to protect groundwater System not abandoned according to Minn. R. 7080.2500 (Compliance component #3) − Failing to protect groundwater Soil separation (Compliance component #5) − Failing to protect groundwater Operating permit/monitoring plan requirements (Compliance component #4) − Noncompliant - local ordinance applies Comments or recommendations 						
ompliance status of this system. No a conditions during system construction, possible						
best of my knowledge, and that this information						
ation number: 9594						
ense number: 119						
Phone: 651-249-1346						
ust be attached)						
ity Assessment						

1. Impact on public health – Compliance component #1 of 5 Compliance criteria: Attached supporting documentation: System discharges sewage to the ☐ Yes*
☐ No Other: ground surface ☐ Yes* ⊠ No System discharges sewage to drain tile or surface waters. System causes sewage backup into ☐ Yes* ☒ No dwelling or establishment. Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is an imminent threat to public health and safety. Describe verification methods and results: 2. Tank integrity – Compliance component #2 of 5 Compliance criteria: Attached supporting documentation: System consists of a seepage pit. ☐ Yes*
☐ No Pumped at time of inspection cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, Name of maintenance business: **Smilies** or other pit? License number of maintenance business: 2428 Sewage tank(s) leak below their ☐ Yes*
☐ No designed operating depth? Date of maintenance: 5/3/2021 ☐ Existing tank integrity assessment (Attach) Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (must be within three years) If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: (See form instructions to ensure assessment complies with Any "yes" answer above indicates the system Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1)) is failing to protect groundwater. ☐ Tank is Noncompliant (pumping not necessary – explain below) Other: Describe verification methods and results: Present for pumping by Smilies 5/3/2021. Tank watertight and baffles in place.

3.	Other compliance conditions – Compliance component #3 of 5	
	3a. Maintenance hole covers appear to be structurally unsound (damaged, cracked, etc.), or unsec ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Unknown	cured?
	3b. Other issues (electrical hazards, etc.) to immediately and adversely impact public health or safety *Yes to 3a or 3b - System is an imminent threat to public health and safety.	? ☐ Yes* ⊠ No ☐ Unknown
	3c. System is non-protective of ground water for other conditions as determined by inspector?	☐ Yes* ⊠ No
	3d. System not abandoned in accordance with Minn. R. 7080.2500?	☐ Yes* ⊠ No
	*Yes to 3c or 3d - System is failing to protect groundwater.	
	Describe verification methods and results:	
	Attached supporting documentation: Not applicable	
4.	Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* – Compliance component #4 of	F 5 ⊠ Not applicable
	Is the system operated under an Operating Permit?	f "yes", A below is required
	Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? Yes No If BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design	f "yes", B below is required
	If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed	1.
	Compliance criteria:	
	a. Have the operating permit requirements been met? ☐ Yes ☐ No	
	b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning? ☐ Yes ☐ No	
	Any "no" answer indicates noncompliance.	
	Describe verification methods and results:	
	Attached supporting documentation: Operating permit (Attach)	

5. Soil separation – Compliance component #5 of 5 □ Unknown Date of installation (mm/dd/yyyy) Shoreland/Wellhead protection/Food ☐ Yes ☐ No Attached supporting documentation: beverage lodging? Soil observation logs completed for the report (Attach) Two previous verifications of required vertical Compliance criteria (select one): separation (Attach) ☐ Yes ⊠ No* 5a. For systems built prior to April 1, 1996, Not applicable (No soil treatment area) and not located in Shoreland or Wellhead Protection Area or not serving a food. beverage or lodging establishment: Drainfield has at least a two-foot vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock. 5b. Non-performance systems built April 1, ☐ Yes ☐ No* Indicate depths or elevations 1996, or later or for non-performance 95.8' A. Bottom of distribution media systems located in Shoreland or Wellhead Protection Areas or serving a food, 98.3 B. Periodically saturated soil/bedrock beverage, or lodging establishment: -2.5' C. System separation Drainfield has a three-foot vertical 2.0' D. Required compliance separation* separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.* *May be reduced up to 15 percent if allowed by Local Ordinance. ☐ Yes ☐ No* 5c. "Experimental", "Other", or "Performance" systems built under pre-2008 Rules; Type IV or V systems built under 2008 Rules 7080. 2350 or 7080.2400

*Any "no" answer above indicates the system is failing to protect groundwater.

Describe verification methods and results:

(Advanced Inspector License required)
Drainfield meets the designed vertical separation distance from periodically

saturated soil or bedrock.

Upgrade requirements: (Minn. Stat. § 115.55) An imminent threat to public health and safety (ITPHS) must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within ten months of receipt of this notice or within a shorter period if required by local ordinance. If the system is failing to protect ground water, the system must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within the time required by local ordinance. If an existing system is not failing as defined in law, and has at least two feet of design soil separation, then the system need not be upgraded, repaired, replaced, or its use discontinued, notwithstanding any local ordinance that is more strict. This provision does not apply to systems in shoreland areas, Wellhead Protection Areas, or those used in connection with food, beverage, and lodging establishments as defined in law.

B2: 97.5 B2 Redox: 93.7 B2 Probe Bottom of rock: 94.1 B2 Separation: 0.4 B1 Redox: 98.3 B1 Probe Bottom of rock: 95.8 Relative Elevations in B1 Separation: -2.5 Decimal Feet: B1: 100.0 Benchmark: 104.5 cover on septic tank) **B**1 Probe : B2 Probe 2 rainfield Septic Tank

Logs of Soil Borings

Location of Project:

7413 99th St Cir N White Bear Lake, MN 55110

Borings Made by Ben Zierke

Date:

5/3/2021

Hand bucket auger used for borings; USDA - SCS Soil Classification used.

Depth, in	Raving Number 1	Depth, in	Boring Number 2
Inches	Boring Number 1	Inches	Doinig Number 2
0		0	
0-9"	7.5YR 3/2 sandy loam	0-10"	10YR 3/2 loamy fine sand
9-20"	7.5YR 4/4 loamy fine sand	10-30"	10YR 4/4 loamy fine sand
20-26"	7.5YR 4/6 loam, 7.5YR 5/2 depletions present below 20"	30-66"	7.5YR 4/4 loamy fine sand with layers of 5YR 4/4 loam, depletions present in loam layer 7.5YR 5/1 at 46"
End of boring at Standing water tab Present at Standing water not p Mottled Soil: Observed at Mottled soil not pres Comments:	feet of depth Hours after boring 1.7 feet of depth 1.7 feet of depth	End of boring at Standing water tab Present at Standing water not I Mottled Soil: Observed at Mottled soil not pres Comments:	feet of depth Hours after boring 3.8 feet of depth
Depth, in Inches	Boring Number 3	Depth, in Inches	Boring Number 4
O End of boring at	feet	O End of boring at	feet
Standing water tab Present at Standing water not p Mottled Soil: Observed at Mottled soil not pre Comments:	feet of depth Hours after boring present in hole feet of depth	Standing water tal Present at Standing water not Mottled Soil: Observed at Mottled soil not pre Comments:	feet of depth Hours after boring present in hole feet of depth