## ZIERKE SOIL TESTING

Dave Pary 16775 205<sup>th</sup> St Ct N Scandia, MN 55073

5/21/2021

Dear Dave Pary,

At your request, I have conducted a septic inspection to determine the compliance status of your septic system pursuant to Minnesota Rules Chapter 7080.1500.

The compliance test set out in 7080.1500 has three main inquiries: 1). Is the system functioning hydraulically (disposing of effluent in a manner that prevents it from coming in contact with people)? 2). Are the septic tanks water tight? 3). Does the system have sufficient vertical separation between the bottom of the septic system and restrictive layers (bedrock, standing water, seasonally wet layers, etc) to provide full treatment of effluent?

Based off of these criteria, your system is <u>compliant</u>. A certification of compliance is in effect for three years from the date it is issued. To be clear, this should not be construed as a guarantee of future system function – there are too many factors that influence the lifespan of a septic system for an inspector to predict or even guess how long a septic system will last. A copy of this report will be filed with your local unit of government for their records.

Sincerely,

Benjamin Zierke

MPCA Lic 119, Cert 9594

ADDRESS: 28587 Jeffrey Ave Chisago City, MN 55013

PHONE 651-249-1346

EMAIL benzierke@gmail.com



520 Lafayette Road North St. Paul, MN 55155-4194

# Compliance inspection report form

### **Existing Subsurface Sewage Treatment System (SSTS)**

Doc Type: Compliance and Enforcement

Instructions: Inspection results based on Minnesota Pollution Control Agency (MPCA) requirements and attached supporting documentation – additional local requirements may also apply. Further information can be found here: https://www.pca.state.mn.us/sites/default/files/wg-wwists4-31a.pdf.

Inspector must submit completed form to Local Governmental Unit (LGU) and system owner within 15 days of final determination of compliance or noncompliance.

Property information	Local tracking number:
Parcel ID# or Sec/Twp/Range: 1903219310006 Local	regulatory authority: Washington County
Property address: 16775 205th St Ct N Scandia, MN 55073	
Owner/representative: Dave Pary	Owner's phone: 651-433-4339
Brief system description: (2) 1000 gallon septic tanks, 1000 gallon	lift station, mound dispersal system
System status	
System status on date (mm/dd/yyyy): _5/21/2021	
□ Compliant – Certificate of compliance*     □	☐ Noncompliant – Notice of noncompliance
(Valid for 3 years from report date unless evidence of an imminent threat to public health or safety requiring removal and abatement under section 145A.04, subdivision 8 is discovered or a shorter time frame exists in Local Ordinance.)	An imminent threat to public health and safety (ITPHS) must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within ten months of receipt of this notice or within a shorter period if required by local ordinance or under section 145A.04 subdivision 8.
*Note: Compliance indicates conformance with Minn. R. 7080.1500 as of system status date above and does not guarantee future performance.	Systems failing to protect ground water must be upgraded, replaced, or use discontinued within the time required by local ordinance.
Reason(s) for noncompliance (check all applicable)	
Soil separation (Compliance component #5) - Failing to	protect groundwater #3) – Imminent threat to public health and safety #3) – Failing to protect groundwater 10 (Compliance component #3) – Failing to protect groundwater
Certification  I hereby certify that all the necessary information has been gathered determination of future system performance has been nor can be made and the system performance of the system performance has been nor can be made as the system performance has been not can be made as the system performance has been not can be made as the system performance has been not can be made as the system performance has been not can be made as the system performance has been not can be made as the system performance has been not can be made as the system performance has been not can be made as the system performance has been not can be made as the system performance has been not can be made as the system performance has been not can be made as the system performance has been not can be made as the system performance has	to determine the compliance status of this system. No
abuse of the system, inadequate maintenance, or future water usag	e.
By typing my name below, I certify the above statements to be true can be used for the purpose of processing this form.	e and correct, to the best of my knowledge, and that this information
Business name: Zierke Soil Testing	Certification number: 9594
Inspector signature:	License number: 119
(This document has been electronically signed)	Phone: 651-249-1346
Necessary or locally required supporting docu	mentation (must be attached)
<ul> <li>☑ Soil observation logs</li> <li>☑ Locally required forms</li> <li>☑ Other information (list):</li> <li>Site sketch</li> </ul>	☐ Tank Integrity Assessment ☐ Operating Permit
Liver //	Notice to the section of the section

System discharges sewage to drain lile or surface			Attached supporting documentation:	
System discharges sewage to drain lite or surface waters.  System causes sewage backup into dwelling or establishment.  Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is an imminent threat to public health and safety.  Describe verification methods and results:  No signs of discharge, mound ponding, or backup observed during site visit 5/20/2021.  Attached supporting documentation:  System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit?  Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth?  If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks:  Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is falling to protect groundwater.  System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit?  Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth?  Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is falling to protect groundwater.	System discharges sewage to the	☐ Yes* ☒ No	Other:	
tile or surface waters.  System causes sewage backup into dwelling or establishment.  Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is an imminent threat to public health and safety.  Describe verification methods and results:  No signs of discharge, mound ponding, or backup observed during site visit 5/20/2021.  **Total Compliance Component**   2 of 5  **Compliance criteria:**  System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit?*  Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth?*  If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks:  Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is falling to protect groundwater.    System causes sewage backup into designed operating depth?*    Attached supporting documentation:	ground surface		Not applicable     ■     Not applicable     Not applicable	
Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is an imminent threat to public health and safety.  Describe verification methods and results:  No signs of discharge, mound ponding, or backup observed during site visit 5/20/2021.  Ank integrity — Compliance component #2 of 5  Compliance criteria:  System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit?  Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth?  Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is failing to protect groundwater.  Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is failing to protect groundwater.  Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is failing to protect groundwater.  Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is failing to protect groundwater.  Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is failing to protect groundwater.	System discharges sewage to drain tile or surface waters.	☐ Yes* ☒ No		
Integrity — Compliance component #2 of 5  Compliance criteria:  System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit?  Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth?  If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks:  Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is failing to protect groundwater.  Arkached supporting documentation:  Yes* ☑ No  □ Yes* ☑ No □ Yes* ☑ No □ License number of maintenance business: □ Existing tank integrity assessment (Attach) □ Date of maintenance □ (must be within three years)  (See form instructions to ensure assessment complies Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1)) □ Tank is Noncompliant (pumping not necessary – explain to the content of		☐ Yes* ☒ No		
Attached supporting documentation:  System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit?  Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth?  If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks:  Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is failing to protect groundwater.  Attached supporting documentation:  Pumped at time of inspection  Name of maintenance business:  License number of maintenance business:  License number of maintenance business:  Existing tank integrity assessment (Attach)  Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (must be within three years)  (See form instructions to ensure assessment complies Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1))  Tank is Noncompliant (pumping not necessary – explain to the control of				
Attached supporting documentation:  System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit?  Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth?  If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks:  Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is falling to protect groundwater.  Attached supporting documentation:  Pumped at time of inspection  Name of maintenance business:  License number of maintenance business: 2428  Date of maintenance: 5/20/2021  Existing tank integrity assessment (Attach)  Date of maintenance  (must be within three years)  (See form instructions to ensure assessment complies Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1))  Tank is Noncompliant (pumping not necessary – explain to the compliant to the compli	Describe verification methods and	l results:		
Compliance criteria:  System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit?  Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth?  If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks:  Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is failing to protect groundwater.  Attached supporting documentation:  □ Yes* □ No  □ Yes* □ No  □ Yes* □ No  □ License number of maintenance business: 2428  □ Date of maintenance: 5/20/2021  □ Existing tank integrity assessment (Attach)  □ Date of maintenance  (must be within three years)  (See form instructions to ensure assessment complies Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1))  □ Tank is Noncompliant (pumping not necessary – explain to Other:				
System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit?  Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth?  If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks:  Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is failing to protect groundwater.  System consists of a seepage pit, which seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit?  Name of maintenance business: Smilies  License number of maintenance business: 2428  5/20/2021  Existing tank integrity assessment (Attach)  Date of maintenance (must be within three years)  (See form instructions to ensure assessment complies Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1))  Tank is Noncompliant (pumping not necessary – explain to Other:		component #2		
cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit?  Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth?  If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks:  Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is failing to protect groundwater.  Name of maintenance business: 2428  License number of maintenance business: 2428  Date of maintenance: 5/20/2021  □ Existing tank integrity assessment (Attach)  Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (must be within three years)  (See form instructions to ensure assessment complies Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1))  □ Tank is Noncompliant (pumping not necessary – explain to Other:		□ Vos* □ No		
Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth?  License number of maintenance business: 2428  Date of maintenance: 5/20/2021  Existing tank integrity assessment (Attach)  Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (must be within three years)  Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is failing to protect groundwater.  (See form instructions to ensure assessment complies Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1))  Tank is Noncompliant (pumping not necessary – explain to Other:	cesspool, drywell, leaching pit,	☐ les ⊠ MO	00000	Cmilion
designed operating depth?  Date of maintenance:  Existing tank integrity assessment (Attach)  Date of maintenance  (must be within three years)  Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is failing to protect groundwater.  (See form instructions to ensure assessment complies Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1))  Tank is Noncompliant (pumping not necessary – explain to Other:		□ Yes* ⊠ No		
Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (must be within three years)  Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is failing to protect groundwater.    Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (must be within three years)  (See form instructions to ensure assessment complies Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1))    Tank is Noncompliant (pumping not necessary – explain to Other:	Sawaga tank(c) lask halow their	L res Mino	Election families of maintenance backings	120
If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks:  Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is failing to protect groundwater.  Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (must be within three years)  (See form instructions to ensure assessment complies Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1))  Tank is Noncompliant (pumping not necessary – explain to Other:			Date of maintenance:	5/20/2021
Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is failing to protect groundwater.  (See form instructions to ensure assessment complies Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1))  Tank is Noncompliant (pumping not necessary – explain by Other:				
is failing to protect groundwater.  Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1))  Tank is Noncompliant (pumping not necessary – explain by Other:			Existing tank integrity assessment (Attach	n)
☐ Tank is Noncompliant (pumping not necessary – explain t☐ Other:	designed operating depth?  If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks:		Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (must be within	three years)
	designed operating depth?  If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks:  Any "yes" answer above indicates.		Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (must be within to get form instructions to ensure assessment)	three years)
Describe verification methods and results:	designed operating depth?  If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks:  Any "yes" answer above indicates.		Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (must be within the form instructions to ensure assessment (Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1))	three years) ent complies
	designed operating depth?  If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks:  Any "yes" answer above indicates.		□ Existing tank integrity assessment (Attach Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (must be within  (See form instructions to ensure assessm Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1))  □ Tank is Noncompliant (pumping not necessar	three years) ent complies
	designed operating depth?  If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks:  Any "yes" answer above indicis failing to protect groundward	d results:	□ Existing tank integrity assessment (Attach Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (must be within  (See form instructions to ensure assessm Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1))  □ Tank is Noncompliant (pumping not necessary)  □ Other:	three years) ent complies
	If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks:  Any "yes" answer above indicis failing to protect groundward.  Describe verification methods an	d results:	□ Existing tank integrity assessment (Attach Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (must be within  (See form instructions to ensure assessm Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1))  □ Tank is Noncompliant (pumping not necessary)  □ Other:	three years) ent complies
	If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks:  Any "yes" answer above indicis failing to protect groundward.  Describe verification methods an	d results:	□ Existing tank integrity assessment (Attach Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (must be within  (See form instructions to ensure assessm Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1))  □ Tank is Noncompliant (pumping not necessary)  □ Other:	three years) ent complies
	If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks:  Any "yes" answer above indicis failing to protect groundward.  Describe verification methods an	d results:	□ Existing tank integrity assessment (Attach Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (must be within  (See form instructions to ensure assessm Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1))  □ Tank is Noncompliant (pumping not necessary)  □ Other:	three years) ent complies
	If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks:  Any "yes" answer above indicis failing to protect groundward.  Describe verification methods an	d results:	□ Existing tank integrity assessment (Attach Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (must be within  (See form instructions to ensure assessm Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1))  □ Tank is Noncompliant (pumping not necessary)  □ Other:	three years) ent complies
	If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks:  Any "yes" answer above indicis failing to protect groundward.  Describe verification methods an	d results:	□ Existing tank integrity assessment (Attach Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (must be within  (See form instructions to ensure assessm Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1))  □ Tank is Noncompliant (pumping not necessary)  □ Other:	three years) ent complies
	If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks:  Any "yes" answer above indicis failing to protect groundward.  Describe verification methods an	d results:	□ Existing tank integrity assessment (Attach Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (must be within  (See form instructions to ensure assessm Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1))  □ Tank is Noncompliant (pumping not necessary)  □ Other:	three years) ent complies
	If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks:  Any "yes" answer above indicis failing to protect groundward.  Describe verification methods an	d results:	□ Existing tank integrity assessment (Attach Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (must be within  (See form instructions to ensure assessm Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1))  □ Tank is Noncompliant (pumping not necessary)  □ Other:	three years) ent complies

3.	Other compliance conditions – Compliance component #3 of 5			
	3a. Maintenance hole covers appear to be structurally unsound (damaged, cracked, etc.), or unsecured?  ☐ Yes* ☐ No ☐ Unknown			
	3b. Other issues (electrical hazards, etc.) to immediately and adversely impact public health or safety? ☐ Yes* ☐	No 🗌 Unknown		
	*Yes to 3a or 3b - System is an imminent threat to public health and safety.			
	3c. System is non-protective of ground water for other conditions as determined by inspector? ☐ Yes* ☐	No		
	3d. System not abandoned in accordance with Minn. R. 7080.2500? ☐ Yes* ☐	No		
	*Yes to 3c or 3d - System is failing to protect groundwater.			
	Describe verification methods and results:			
	Attached supporting documentation:   Not applicable			
4.	Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* — Compliance component #4 of 5 ⊠ Not	applicable		
	Is the system operated under an Operating Permit?	low is required		
	Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? ☐ Yes ☐ No If "yes", B below is red			
	BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design			
	If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed.			
	Compliance criteria:			
	a. Have the operating permit requirements been met? ☐ Yes ☐ No			
	b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning?  Yes No			
	Any "no" answer indicates noncompliance.			
	Describe verification methods and results:			
	Attached supporting documentation:   Operating permit (Attach)			

https://www.pca.state.mn.us wq-wwists4-31b • 1/11/21

#### 5. Soil separation – Compliance component #5 of 5 Date of installation 9/6/2005 Unknown (mm/dd/yyyy) Shoreland/Wellhead protection/Food ☐ Yes ☐ No Attached supporting documentation: beverage lodging? Soil observation logs completed for the report (Attach) Two previous verifications of required vertical Compliance criteria (select one): separation (Attach) 5a. For systems built prior to April 1, 1996. Yes No\* Not applicable (No soil treatment area) and not located in Shoreland or Wellhead Protection Area or not serving a food, beverage or lodging establishment: Drainfield has at least a two-foot vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock. 5b. Non-performance systems built April 1, Indicate depths or elevations 1996, or later or for non-performance A. Bottom of distribution media 100.1 systems located in Shoreland or Wellhead Protection Areas or serving a food, 97.3' B. Periodically saturated soil/bedrock beverage, or lodging establishment: 2.8' C. System separation Drainfield has a three-foot vertical 3.0' (2.55' with D. Required compliance separation\* separation distance from periodically allowance) saturated soil or bedrock.\* \*May be reduced up to 15 percent if allowed by Local Ordinance. 5c. "Experimental", "Other", or "Performance" ☐ Yes ☐ No\* systems built under pre-2008 Rules; Type IV or V systems built under 2008 Rules 7080. 2350 or 7080.2400 (Advanced Inspector License required) Drainfield meets the designed vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock. \*Any "no" answer above indicates the system is failing to protect groundwater. Describe verification methods and results: Upgrade requirements: (Minn. Stat. § 115.55) An imminent threat to public health and safety (ITPHS) must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within ten months of receipt of this notice or within a shorter period if required by local ordinance. If the system is failing to protect ground water, the system must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within the time required by local ordinance. If an existing system is not failing as defined in law, and has at least two feet of design soil separation, then the system need not be upgraded, repaired, replaced, or its use discontinued, notwithstanding any local ordinance that is more strict. This provision does not apply to systems in shoreland areas, Wellhead Protection Areas, or those used in connection with food, beverage, and lodging establishments as defined in law.

### **Logs of Soil Borings**

Location of Project:

16775 205th St Ct N Scandia, MN 55073

Borings Made by Ben Zierke

Date:

5/20/2021

Hand bucket auger used for borings; USDA - SCS Soil Classification used.

Depth, in Inches	Boring Number 1	Depth, in Inches	Boring Number 2
0-11"	10YR 3/2 fine sandy loam		
11-18"	10YR 4/4 fine sandy loam		
18-40"	7.5YR 4/6 silt loam,5/2 depletions at 32",5YR 4/4 concentrations at 40"		
End of boring at Standing water tab Present at Standing water not p Mottled Soil: Observed at Mottled soil not pres Comments:	feet of depth Hours after boring oresent in hole 2.7 feet of depth	End of boring at Standing water tab Present at Standing water not p Mottled Soil: Observed at Mottled soil not pres Comments:	feet of depth Hours after boring feet of depth feet of depth
Depth, in Inches	Boring Number 3	Depth, in Inches	Boring Number 4
O	feet	O	feet
End of boring at Standing water tal Present at Standing water not Mottled Soil: Observed at	feet of depth feet of depth feet of depth feet of depth	End of boring at Standing water tal: Present at Standing water not p Mottled Soil: Observed at	feet of depth  feet of depth  feet of depth  feet of depth
Mottled soil not pre Comments:	esent in bore hole	Mottled soil not pre Comments:	sent in dore note



Disclaimer: Map and parcel data are believed to be accurate, but accuracy is not guaranteed. This is not a legal document and should not be substituted for a title search, appraisal, survey, or for zoning verification.

80 ft

20

20 m