# **Midwest Sewer Services**

P.O. Box 10853 White B	ear Lake, MN 55110	Brian Humpal	
651-492-7550/Brian@Mie	dwestsoiltesting.com	MPCA Licensed Advanced Inspector	
SUBSURFACE SEWAGE TREATMENT SYSTEM (SSTS) COMPLIANCE REPORT			
Date: May 21, 2021         Time: 11:30 AM         Owner: Todd Loehr			
Inspection Address: 12597 12 <sup>th</sup> St N, West Lakeland, MN 55082			

# **REPORT SUMMARY**

I have performed an "MPCA Compliance Inspection" on this system and have reviewed the original design/permit records on file at Washington County. This system consists of two pre-cast septic tanks and a rock trench drainfield. Pinky's Sewer Service pumped the septic tanks on May 21, 2021. There is a separate system for a outbuilding. A compliance inspection was not completed on that system at this time.

Predicated on my inspection of the system and my review of the original design/permit records, it is my opinion that this system <u>presently meets</u> MPCA minimum compliance inspection requirements.

Midwest Sewer Services have been hired to perform a compliance inspection of this SSTS for compliance with local ordinances pursuant to Minn. Stat. § 115.55 (2013). This compliance inspection covers only the criteria required by Minn. Stat. § 115.55 Subd. 5a (2013) and Minn. R. 7080.1500 (2011). A compliance inspection is an indication of the current compliance status of the system and does not guarantee the performance or longevity of this system beyond the date of inspection, as it is impossible to determine the future performance of any system. Midwest Sewer Services disclaim any use of this compliance inspection beyond determining SSTS compliance pursuant to Minn. Stat. § 115.55 Subd. 5a (2013) and Minn. R. 7080.1500 (2011).

Please contact me should you have any questions.

After the

Brian Humpal

Brian Humpal

Christopher

Uebe



520 Lafayette Road North St. Paul, MN 55155-4194

# Compliance inspection report form

## Existing Subsurface Sewage Treatment System (SSTS)

Doc Type: Compliance and Enforcement

**Instructions:** Inspection results based on Minnesota Pollution Control Agency (MPCA) requirements and attached supporting documentation – additional local requirements may also apply. Further information can be found here: https://www.pca.state.mn.us/sites/default/files/wq-wwists4-31a.pdf.

2 of 11

# Inspector must submit completed form to Local Governmental Unit (LGU) and system owner within 15 days of final determination of compliance or noncompliance.

# **Property information**

Local tracking number:

Parcel ID# or Sec/Twp/Range: \_\_\_\_\_ Lo Property address: 12597 12<sup>th</sup> St N, West Lakeland, MN 55082

Owner/representative: Todd Loehr

Local regulatory authority: Washington County

Owner's phone: 715-559-7700

Brief system description: Two pre-cast septic tanks and a rock trench drainfield.

## System status

System status on date (mm/dd/yyyy): 5/21/2021

#### Compliant – Certificate of compliance\*

(Valid for 3 years from report date unless evidence of an imminent threat to public health or safety requiring removal and abatement under section 145A.04, subdivision 8 is discovered or a shorter time frame exists in Local Ordinance.)

\*Note: Compliance indicates conformance with Minn. R. 7080.1500 as of system status date above and does not guarantee future performance.

#### Noncompliant – Notice of noncompliance

An imminent threat to public health and safety (ITPHS) must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within ten months of receipt of this notice or within a shorter period if required by local ordinance or under section 145A.04 subdivision 8.

Systems failing to protect ground water must be upgraded, replaced, or use discontinued within the time required by local ordinance.

#### Reason(s) for noncompliance (check all applicable)

Impact on public health (Compliance component #1) – Imminent threat to public health and safety

Tank integrity (Compliance component #2) – *Failing to protect groundwater* 

- Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance component #3) Imminent threat to public health and safety
- □ Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance component #3) Failing to protect groundwater

System not abandoned according to Minn. R. 7080.2500 (Compliance component #3) – Failing to protect groundwater

- Soil separation (Compliance component #5) Failing to protect groundwater
- Operating permit/monitoring plan requirements (Compliance component #4) Noncompliant local ordinance applies

#### **Comments or recommendations**

There is a separate system for a outbuilding. A compliance inspection was not completed on that system at this time.

# Certification

I hereby certify that all the necessary information has been gathered to determine the compliance status of this system. No determination of future system performance has been nor can be made due to unknown conditions during system construction, possible abuse of the system, inadequate maintenance, or future water usage.

By typing my name below, I certify the above statements to be true and correct, to the best of my knowledge, and that this information can be used for the purpose of processing this form.

Business name: Midwest Sewer Services

Brian Humpal Inspector signature: (This document has been electronically signed)

Certification number: C5342/C9852

License number: L2896

Phone: 651-492-7550

# Necessary or locally required supporting documentation (must be attached)

- ☑ Soil observation logs
   ☑ Other information (list):
- Locally required forms
- Tank Integrity Assessment

Operating Permit

Report Summary, Property Information, Disclaimer, License

## <sup>3 of 11</sup> **1. Impact on public health** – Compliance component #1 of 5

		Attached supporting documentation:
System discharges sewage to the ground surface	🗌 Yes* 🛛 No	☐ Other: ☐ Not applicable
System discharges sewage to drain ile or surface waters.	🗌 Yes* 🛛 No	_
System causes sewage backup into dwelling or establishment.	🗌 Yes* 🛛 No	

None of the above found.

Describe verification methods and results:

# 2. Tank integrity – Compliance component #2 of 5

Compliance criteria:		Attached supporting de	ocumentation:			
System consists of a seepage pit,	🗌 Yes* 🛛 No	_ ── ── ── ── ── ── ── ── ── ── ── ── ──				
cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit?		Name of maintenance b	usiness:	Pinky's Sewer Service		
Sewage tank(s) leak below their	🗌 Yes* 🛛 No	License number of maintenance business: L1673				
designed operating depth?		Date of maintenance:		5/21/2021		
		Existing tank integrity assessment (Attach)				
If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks:		Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy):	(must be within	three years)		
Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is failing to protect groundwater.		(See form instructions to ensure assessment complies with Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1))				
		Tank is Noncompliant (pumping not necessary – explain below)				
		Other:				

Describe verification methods and results:

# 3. Other compliance conditions – Compliance component #3 of 5

. 0	ther compliance conditions – compliance component #3 of 5	
38	a. Maintenance hole covers appear to be structurally unsound (damaged, cracked, etc.), or unse □ Yes* ⊠ No □ Unknown	ecured?
3k	<ol> <li>Other issues (electrical hazards, etc.) to immediately and adversely impact public health or safet</li> </ol>	v?□Yes* ⊠No □Unknow
	*Yes to 3a or 3b - System is an imminent threat to public health and safety.	
30	c. System is non-protective of ground water for other conditions as determined by inspector?	🗌 Yes* 🛛 No
	d. System not abandoned in accordance with Minn. R. 7080.2500?	— — □ Yes* ⊠ No
	*Yes to 3c or 3d - System is failing to protect groundwater.	
	Describe verification methods and results:	
	Attached supporting documentation: 🛛 Not applicable	
ls	perating permit and nitrogen BMP* – Compliance component #4 o the system operated under an Operating Permit?	lf "yes", A below is require
ls	perating permit and nitrogen BMP* – Compliance component #4 o	lf "yes", A below is require
ls i	perating permit and nitrogen BMP* – Compliance component #4 o         the system operated under an Operating Permit?         Yes       No         the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design?       Yes	lf "yes", A below is require If "yes", B below is require
ls f	perating permit and nitrogen BMP* – Compliance component #4 o         the system operated under an Operating Permit?          □ Yes □ No □         the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? □ Yes □ No □         BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design	lf "yes", A below is require If "yes", B below is require
ls f	perating permit and nitrogen BMP* – Compliance component #4 o         the system operated under an Operating Permit?          □ Yes □ No □         the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? □ Yes □ No □          ■ BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design         the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed of the system criteria:	lf "yes", A below is require If "yes", B below is require
ls f	perating permit and nitrogen BMP* – Compliance component #4 o         the system operated under an Operating Permit?          □ Yes □ No □         the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design?          □ Yes □ No □         BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design          ■ Yes □ No □         the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed         ompliance criteria:          □ Yes □ No         a. Have the operating permit requirements been met?          □ Yes □ No	lf "yes", A below is require If "yes", B below is require
ls f	perating permit and nitrogen BMP* – Compliance component #4 of the system operated under an Operating Permit?	lf "yes", A below is require If "yes", B below is require
ls : Is : <b>If</b> :	perating permit and nitrogen BMP* – Compliance component #4 o         the system operated under an Operating Permit?       □ Yes □ No □         the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design?       □ Yes □ No □         BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design       □ Yes □ No □         the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed       □ Yes □ No         b       Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning?       □ Yes □ No         Any "no" answer indicates noncompliance.       □	lf "yes", A below is require If "yes", B below is require
ls : Is : <b>If</b> :	perating permit and nitrogen BMP* – Compliance component #4 of the system operated under an Operating Permit?	lf "yes", A below is require If "yes", B below is require
ls : Is : <b>If</b> :	perating permit and nitrogen BMP* – Compliance component #4 o         the system operated under an Operating Permit?       □ Yes □ No □         the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design?       □ Yes □ No □         BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design       □ Yes □ No □         the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed       □ Yes □ No         b       Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning?       □ Yes □ No         Any "no" answer indicates noncompliance.       □	lf "yes", A below is require If "yes", B below is require
ls 1 ls 1 <b>lf</b> 1	perating permit and nitrogen BMP* – Compliance component #4 o         the system operated under an Operating Permit?       □ Yes □ No □         the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design?       □ Yes □ No □         BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design       □ Yes □ No □         the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed       □ Yes □ No         b       Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning?       □ Yes □ No         Any "no" answer indicates noncompliance.       □	lf "yes", A below is require If "yes", B below is require
ls : Is : <b>If</b> :	perating permit and nitrogen BMP* – Compliance component #4 o         the system operated under an Operating Permit?       □ Yes □ No □         the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design?       □ Yes □ No □         BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design       □ Yes □ No □         the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed       □ Yes □ No         b       Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning?       □ Yes □ No         Any "no" answer indicates noncompliance.       □	lf "yes", A below is require If "yes", B below is require
ls : Is : <b>If</b> :	perating permit and nitrogen BMP* – Compliance component #4 o         the system operated under an Operating Permit?       □ Yes □ No □         the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design?       □ Yes □ No □         BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design       □ Yes □ No □         the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed       □ Yes □ No         b       Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning?       □ Yes □ No         Any "no" answer indicates noncompliance.       □	lf "yes", A below is require If "yes", B below is require
ls : Is : <b>If</b> :	perating permit and nitrogen BMP* – Compliance component #4 o         the system operated under an Operating Permit?       □ Yes □ No □         the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design?       □ Yes □ No □         BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design       □ Yes □ No □         the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed       □ Yes □ No         b       Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning?       □ Yes □ No         Any "no" answer indicates noncompliance.       □	lf "yes", A below is require If "yes", B below is require
ls : Is : <b>If</b> :	perating permit and nitrogen BMP* – Compliance component #4 o         the system operated under an Operating Permit?       □ Yes □ No □         the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design?       □ Yes □ No □         BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design       □ Yes □ No □         the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed       □ Yes □ No         b       Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning?       □ Yes □ No         Any "no" answer indicates noncompliance.       □	lf "yes", A below is require If "yes", B below is require
ls : Is : <b>If</b> :	perating permit and nitrogen BMP* – Compliance component #4 o         the system operated under an Operating Permit?       □ Yes □ No □         the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design?       □ Yes □ No □         BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design       □ Yes □ No □         the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed       □ Yes □ No         b       Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning?       □ Yes □ No         Any "no" answer indicates noncompliance.       □	lf "yes", A below is require If "yes", B below is require

4 of 11

Attached supporting documentation:

### **5** of 11 **5. Soil separation** – Compliance component #5 of 5

Date of installation 2002 (mm/dd/yyyy)	Unknown			
Shoreland/Wellhead protection/Food	🛛 Yes 🗌 No	Attached supporting documentation:		
beverage lodging?		igtiarrow Soil observation logs completed for th	e report (Attach)	
Compliance criteria (select one):		Two previous verifications of required separation ( <i>Attach</i> )		
5a. For systems built prior to April 1, 1996, and not located in Shoreland or Wellhe	☐ Yes ☐ No*	□ Not applicable (No soil treatment area)		
Protection Area or not serving a food, beverage or lodging establishment:	ad	Reviewed design and permit records.		
Drainfield has at least a two-foot vertica separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.	al			
5b. Non-performance systems built April 1,	🛛 Yes 🗌 No*	Indicate depths or elevations		
1996, or later or for non-performance systems located in Shoreland or Wellhe Protection Areas or serving a food.	ead	A. Bottom of distribution media	See Attached Boring Log(s)	
beverage, or lodging establishment:		B. Periodically saturated soil/bedrock		
Drainfield has a three-foot vertical separation distance from periodically		C. System separation		
saturated soil or bedrock.*		D. Required compliance separation*		
		*May be reduced up to 15 percent if allo Ordinance.	owed by Local	
5c. "Experimental", "Other", or "Performan systems built under pre-2008 Rules; Type IV or V systems built under 2008 Rules 7080. 2350 or 7080.2400 (Advanced Inspector License required)				
Drainfield meets the designed vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.				

\*Any "no" answer above indicates the system is failing to protect groundwater.

Describe verification methods and results:


**Upgrade requirements:** (*Minn. Stat.* § 115.55) An imminent threat to public health and safety (ITPHS) must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within ten months of receipt of this notice or within a shorter period if required by local ordinance. If the system is failing to protect ground water, the system must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within the time required by local ordinance. If an existing system is not failing as defined in law, and has at least two feet of design soil separation, then the system need not be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued, notwithstanding any local ordinance that is more strict. This provision does not apply to systems in shoreland areas, Wellhead Protection Areas, or those used in connection with food, beverage, and lodging establishments as defined in law.

# Midwest Sewer Testing Subsurface Sewage Treatment System Owner/Property Information

This information will be used for the purpose of conducting an MPCA Compliance Inspection.				
Date of Inspection: May 21, 2021	Time: 11:30 AM			
Property Address: 12597 12 <sup>th</sup> St N, West Lakeland, MN	Zip: 55082			
Property Owner: Todd Loehr	Phone: 715-559-7700			
Tank(s)       Tank(s)Material       Soil Treatment System         Septic 2       Fiberglass       Rock trench         Aerobic       Plastic       Gravelless trench         Lift       Metal       Chamber trench         Holding       Concrete       Seepage bed         Other:       Block       Mound         Other       Other       At-grade	Other Alternative system Experimental system Cesspool system Other system Garage			
Are the tank maintenance covers accessible? 🛛 Yes 🗌 No *If	no, proper maintenance must be			
performed through the maintenance holes. Maintenance hole cov	ers should be made accessible to			
the ground surface to facilitate access and proper maintenance of	the system.			
Year house built: 2002 Year septic installed: 2002	Tank size (gals.): 1200, 1000			
	esidents in home?			
Number of bedrooms? 5 Are all floors drained by g				
Garbage disposal? Whirlpool bath				
More than one system (laundry, etc.)?				
Does this property have any footing drain tiles connected to the se	eptic system?			
Are any buildings on this property such as garages or out-building	gs connected to this system?			
Are there any additional systems on this property serving other buildings? Yes, system for garage (not inspected)				
Location of septic system on lot? Southeast Side				
	e well a deep well? Y			
Have you ever experienced any problems with the system such as: tree roots, sewage back-ups, surfacing of sewage onto the ground, septic tank overflowing, etc.; or have any repairs been made to the system? If yes, explain:				
When was the system last pumped? 5/20/2021   Name of pumper: Pinky's Sewer Service				
How often pumped in previous years?Is system on a monitoring plan?				
Have you received notices from any government agency concerning this system?				
Is your property located in a shoreland management area? Y				
Do you have any additional information that should be given to the new owner?				

I hereby certify that the above information is correct to the best of my knowledge. I also understand that if the system is considered "non-compliant/failing" per MPCA rules, that the inspector must by law submit a copy of this report to the local government unit within 15 days of the date of inspection completion. I also agree that unless otherwise noted in this report, that I/we are ultimately responsible for payment of all fees for all work performed relative to this inspection by Inspect Minnesota and Midwest Soil Testing

Owner/Occupant:



#### 8 of 11


# Soil Observations Log

Location of Project: 12597 12th St N, West Lakeland, MN 55082								
Ot			Midwest Sewer Ser			Date:	5/21/2021	
C	lassific	ation System:	USDA					
	Soil	Observation:	ST-1	Soil O		bservation:		
Surf Elevat Obser	ion of	-	nd surface as last field trench	Surface Elevation of Observation		Elevation of		
Depth In Inches	Rock %	<u>Soils</u> E	ncountered	Depth In Inches			Encountered	
0-10 10-22 22-60	≈15-20	10YR 3 7.5YR 4/4 San	/3 Silt Loam 8/4 Silt Loam dy Loam With Gravel Isal At 60"					
60"	Depth T	o End Of Soil O	bservation Or Redox		Depth T	<u>I</u> To End Of Soil	Observation Or Redox	
Same		tion Of Observation Relative To System					ion Relative To System	
-27"						Distribution Media		
≥33"	≥33" Of Separation			Of Sepa	aration			
End Of Soil Observation At: 60" End Of Soil Observation At:								
		dox Present At:	None			x Present At:		
Stan	Standing Water Present At: None			Standi		r Present At:		
Standing water Present At. None St			- turiu					

Bottom Of Distribution Medium At: 27 Inches

Signature:

Abra Va



JUEDKAMP にみん ЧCВ Г

# **DISCLAIMER**

## Brian L. Humpal, Inc. dba. Midwest Sewer Services, Inspect Minnesota, Midwest Soil Testing Relative to Subsurface Sewage Treatment System (SSTS) Compliance Inspections

- 1. This inspection/report is being performed for only the seller/owner of the property on which the SSTS is located. In such case that another party is paying for the inspection, the contract is between only said party and Brian L. Humpal, Inc.; there is no contract between Brian L. Humpal, Inc. and any other party unless otherwise noted.
- 3. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. has not been retained to warranty, guarantee, or certify the proper functioning of the SSTS for any period of time beyond the date of inspection or into the future. Because of the numerous factors (usage, maintenance, soil characteristics, previous failures, etc.) which may affect the proper operation of an SSTS, as well as the inability of Brian L. Humpal, Inc. to supervise or monitor the use or maintenance of the SSTS, the report shall not be construed as a warranty by Brian L. Humpal, Inc. that the SSTS will function properly for any particular party for any period of time.
- 4. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. is unable to verify the frequency and/or, quality of prior or future maintenance of the SSTS. Maintenance of the tank(s) must be performed through the tanks maintenance hole. The removal of solids from any location other than the maintenance hole is not a compliant method of maintenance. It is strongly recommended that maintenance covers be made accessible to the ground surface to facilitate proper maintenance.
- 5. Minimum Compliance Inspection requirements relative to this inspection and this report include <u>only</u> verification that the SSTS has tank(s) (septic tanks, lift tanks, dosing tanks, stilling tanks, etc.) which are watertight below the designed operating depth, the required separation between the bottom of the subsurface soil distribution medium and seasonally saturated soils, no back-ups of sewage into the dwelling, no discharge of sewage/effluent to the ground surface or surface waters, and no imminent safety hazards. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. does not inspect plumbing or pumps prior to the first SSTS component as these are plumbing components. The performance of exterior pumps and associated components are not inspected as they are considered to be maintenance items. Additionally, no indications relative to compliance with electrical code requirements have been made. It is recommended that any other applicable plumbing, electrical, housing, etc. inspections be performed by a qualified inspection business. Sewage back-up verification is limited to observing the floor drain area and/or the information supplied by the last occupants of the building prior to inspection. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. cannot guarantee that the information given to them by the last occupants of the building prior to inspection relative to back-ups is accurate.
- 4. Certification of this SSTS does not warranty future use beyond the date of the inspection. Any SSTS, old or new, can become hydraulically overloaded or discharge sewage/effluent to the ground surface as a result of more people moving into the house than were previously occupying the house, improper maintenance, heavy usage, leaking plumbing fixtures, groundwater infiltration, tree roots, freezing conditions, surface drainage problems, poor initial design, poor construction practices, or unsuitable materials used in constructing the system; the system can also simply stop working because of its age. An SSTS that has been properly designed and installed, properly maintained, and used in the manner for which the system was designed can be expected to provide service for twenty to twenty-five years on average. Some parts of the SSTS such as alarms, switches, pumps, filters, etc. will most likely have to be repaired or replaced over the lifetime of the system.
- 5. A Compliance Inspection is not meant to be a test or inspection for longevity of the system; a Compliance Inspection is strictly for the purpose of determining if the SSTS is protective of public health and safety, as well as the groundwater at the date and time the inspection was performed. This inspection is not intended to determine if the SSTS was originally designed or installed to past or present MPCA or other Local Government Unit code requirements. This inspection is not intended to determine if the SSTS was designed and/or installed to support the anticipated flow from the building as the use of the building may have changed since the design and construction of the SSTS due to the addition of bedrooms, occupants, etc. In addition, this inspection is not intended to determine the quality of the original SSTS design, the quality of the construction practices used while installing the SSTS, or the quality of the materials used in constructing the SSTS.
- 6. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. cannot guarantee the performance of SSTS products/components such as: gravelless pipe, chamber trenches, effluent filters, tanks, sewage pre-treatment components, piping, etc. Products such as gravelless pipe are no longer approved for installation in the State of Minnesota and may have a significantly reduced performance and/or life expectancy.
- 7. WINTER WORK: By accepting this report, it is understood that inspections conducted during winter months (approximately November 1<sup>st</sup> through April 1<sup>st</sup>) are more difficult to perform because of possible snow cover and/or ground frost. SSTS components such as tanks, maintenance covers, tank inspection pipes, subsurface distribution medium inspection pipes, and soil treatment areas are more difficult or impossible to locate due to snow cover and/or ground frost. In addition, soil borings are more difficult to perform due to snow cover and/or ground frost. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. will attempt to use the same level of standards when performing work during winter periods as when performing work during non-winter periods. However, the recipient of this report understands that because of the aforementioned considerations, the same level of standards may not be possible.
- 8. By accepting this report, the client understands that Brian L. Humpal, Inc. will not be responsible for any monetary damages exceeding the fee for the services provided.

# Subsurface Sewage Treatment Systems Mon-transferable Business License

# **Midwest Sewer Services**

License # L2896

License Expires: 12/22/2021

Issued: 11/06/2020

# **Specialty Area(s):**

Installer Maintainer Service Provider Advanced Designer Advanced Inspector

# **Designated Certified Individual(s):**

Cert #	Name	Certification Expires
C5342	Brian L Humpal	10/15/2023
	Installer, Maintainer, Serv Prov,	Adv Designer, Adv Inspector
C9852	Christopher R Uebe	3/4/2024
	Designer, Inspector	



520 Lafayette Road North St. Paul, Minnesota 55155-4194

Mich Haig

Nick Haig, Supervisor Certification and Training Unit