

Compliance inspection report form **Existing Subsurface Sewage Treatment System (SSTS)**

520 Lafayette Road North

St. Paul, MN 55155-4194

Doc Type: Compliance and Enforcement

Instructions: Inspection results based on Minnesota Pollution Control Agency (MPCA) requirements and attached supporting documentation - additional local requirements may also apply. Further information can be found here: https://www.pca.state.mn.us/sites/default/files/wg-wwists4-31a.pdf.

Inspector must submit completed form to Local Governmental Unit (LGU) and system owner within 15 days of final determination of compliance or noncompliance.

Property information	Local tracking number:
Parcel ID# or Sec/Twp/Range: _18.029.20.21.0006	al regulatory authority: Wash County 651-430-6655
Property address: 12355 40th St N Stillwater, MN 55082	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
Owner/representative: Dale & Val Crosby	Owner's phone: 612-839-6008
Brief system description: 2 precast septic tanks and a gravity, rocl	trench drainfield
System status	
System status on date (mm/dd/yyyy): _5/24/2021	
☐ Compliant – Certificate of compliance*	☐ Noncompliant – Notice of noncompliance
(Valid for 3 years from report date unless evidence of an imminent threat to public health or safety requiring removal and abatement under section 145A.04, subdivision 8 is discovered or a shorter time frame exists in Local Ordinance.)	An imminent threat to public health and safety (ITPHS) must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within ten months of receipt of this notice or within a shorter period if required by local ordinance or under section 145A.04 subdivision 8. Systems failing to protect ground water must be upgraded,
*Note: Compliance indicates conformance with Minn. R. 7080.1500 as of system status date above and does not guarantee future performance.	replaced, or use discontinued within the time required by local ordinance.
☐ Soil separation (Compliance component #5) – Failing to	Imminent threat to public health and safety protect groundwater (#3) – Imminent threat to public health and safety (#3) – Failing to protect groundwater (00 (Compliance component #3) – Failing to protect groundwater to protect groundwater (iance component #4) – Noncompliant - local ordinance applies
I hereby certify that all the necessary information has been gathered determination of future system performance has been nor can be made abuse of the system, inadequate maintenance, or future water usag	ade due to unknown conditions during system construction, possible
By typing my name below, I certify the above statements to be true can be used for the purpose of processing this form.	e and correct, to the best of my knowledge, and that this information
Business name: All State Septic Services LLC	Certification number: 323
Inspector signature: Tom Trooien	License number: 1568
(This document has been electronically signed)	Phone: 612-594-4496
Necessary or locally required supporting docu	mentation (must be attached)
☑ Soil observation logs☑ Locally required forms☑ Other information (list):Site plan	☐ Tank Integrity Assessment ☐ Operating Permit
https://www.pca.state.mn.us • 651-296-6300 • 800-657-3864	Use your preferred relay service


1. Impact on public health – Compliance component #1 of 5 Compliance criteria: Attached supporting documentation: System discharges sewage to the ☐ Yes* ☒ No Other: ground surface Not applicable ☐ Yes* ☑ No System discharges sewage to drain tile or surface waters. System causes sewage backup into ☐ Yes* ☒ No dwelling or establishment. Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is an imminent threat to public health and safety. Describe verification methods and results: Searched for surface outlet and seeping in yard - none observed during the inspection. 2. Tank integrity – Compliance component #2 of 5 Compliance criteria: Attached supporting documentation: ☐ Yes* ☑ No System consists of a seepage pit, ☐ Pumped at time of inspection cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Name of maintenance business: Sewage tank(s) leak below their ☐ Yes* ☒ No License number of maintenance business: designed operating depth? Date of maintenance: Date of maintenance 4/9/2021 (mm/dd/yyyy): (must be within three years) If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indicates the system (See form instructions to ensure assessment complies with is failing to protect groundwater. Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1)) ☐ Tank is Noncompliant (pumping not necessary – explain below) Other: Describe verification methods and results:

3.	 Other compliance conditions – Compliance component #3 of 5 	
	3a. Maintenance hole covers appear to be structurally unsound (damaged, cracked, etc.), or unsecured? ☐ Yes* ☑ No ☐ Unknown	
	3b. Other issues (electrical hazards, etc.) to immediately and adversely impact public health or safety? ☐ Yes* ☒ N *Yes to 3a or 3b - System is an imminent threat to public health and safety.	□ Unknown
	3c. System is non-protective of ground water for other conditions as determined by inspector? ☐ Yes* ☒ N	0
	3d. System not abandoned in accordance with Minn. R. 7080.2500? ☐ Yes* ☑ N	0
	*Yes to 3c or 3d - System is failing to protect groundwater.	
	Describe verification methods and results:	
	Describe vermouses and results.	
	Attached supporting documentation: ⊠ Not applicable □	
4.	. Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* – Compliance component #4 of 5 ⊠ Not a	oplicable
	Is the system operated under an Operating Permit? Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? Yes No If "yes", A below BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design	•
	If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed.	
	Compliance criteria:	
	a. Have the operating permit requirements been met?	
	b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning? \Begin{array}{c} Yes \Begin{array}{c} No	
	Any "no" answer indicates noncompliance.	
	Describe verification methods and results:	
	Describe verification methods and results:	
	Attached supporting documentation: Operating permit (Attach)	

5. Soil separation – Compliance component #5 of 5

Date of installation 11/5/1999 (mm/dd/yyyy)	Unknown	
Shoreland/Wellhead protection/Food beverage lodging?	☐ Yes 🖾 No	Attached supporting documentation: ☑ Soil observation logs completed for the report (Attach)
Compliance criteria (select one): 5a. For systems built prior to April 1, 1996, and not located in Shoreland or Wellhead Protection Area or not serving a food, beverage or lodging establishment:	Yes No	☐ Two previous verifications of required vertical separation (Attach)☐ Not applicable (No soil treatment area)☐
Drainfield has at least a two-foot vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.		
5b. Non-performance systems built April 1,	☑ Yes ☐ No	Indicate depths or elevations
1996, or later or for non-performance systems located in Shoreland or Wellhead	1	A. Bottom of distribution media 3
Protection Areas or serving a food, beverage, or lodging establishment:		B. Periodically saturated soil/bedrock 6.3
Drainfield has a three-foot vertical		C. System separation 3.3
separation distance from periodically		D. Required compliance separation* 3
saturated soil or bedrock.*		*May be reduced up to 15 percent if allowed by Local Ordinance.
5c. "Experimental", "Other", or "Performance" systems built under pre-2008 Rules; Type IV or V systems built under 2008 Rules 7080. 2350 or 7080.2400 (Advanced Inspector License required)	′ ☐ Yes ☐ Noʻ	
Drainfield meets the designed vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.		
*Any "no" answer above indicates the failing to protect groundwater.	system is	
Describe verification methods and results	:	

Upgrade requirements: (Minn. Stat. § 115.55) An imminent threat to public health and safety (ITPHS) must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within ten months of receipt of this notice or within a shorter period if required by local ordinance. If the system is failing to protect ground water, the system must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within the time required by local ordinance. If an existing system is not failing as defined in law, and has at least two feet of design soil separation, then the system need not be upgraded, repaired, replaced, or its use discontinued, notwithstanding any local ordinance that is more strict. This provision does not apply to systems in shoreland areas, Wellhead Protection Areas, or those used in connection with food, beverage, and lodging establishments as defined in law.



INS PECTION PIPES

AS BUILT 12355-40TH STREET NC BAYTOWN TOWNSHIP, MN SCALE 1230.00

,	***	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
	UNIVERSITY OF MINNESOTA	ONSITE	SEWAGE	TREATMENT	PROGRAM	

Soil Observation Log

Project ID:

v 04.01.2021

Client:		Ď	Dale & Val Crosby		Locat	Location / Address:	12355	12355 40th St N Stillwater, MN 55082	ter, MN 55082
Soil parent m	Soil parent material(s): (Check all that apply)	ck all that	apply)	Outwash Dacustrine	Loess Till	Alluvium	um Bedrock	ck Organic Matter	: Matter
Landscape Pc	Landscape Position: (select one)	(auc		Slope %:	Slope shape			Elevatio	Elevation-relative to benchmark:
Vegetation:		grass	S	Soil survey map units:				Limiting Layer Elevation:	Elevation:
Weather Con	Weather Conditions/Time of Day:	Day:	clou	cloudy am			Date	0	05/24/21
Observati	Observation #/Location:		B-1			sq0	Observation Type:		Auger
Depth (in)	Texture	Rock	Matrix Color(s)	Mottle Color(s)	Redox Kind(s)	Indicator(c)	-	Structure	
		Frag. %	(c) 10000 10000	יייסרתר הסנסו (ع)	(c) DIIIV VODON	IIIGICACOI (s)	Shape	Grade	Consistence
0-21	sandy loam	<35%	10YR 2/2				200	, ico M	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
		ok ank na			•	***************************************	פומות	W Gak	rriable
21-40	candy loam	% ነ	10YR 3/3				-		
2	Thou family	200					Granular	Moderate	Friable
40-56	sandy loam	735%	10YR 4/4		•		-		
2	and company	0/00			•		Granular	Moderate	Friable
26-76	sandy loam	<35%	7.5YR 4/3						-
							Single grain	Weak	Poose
	OR ARE ME								
	***				••••				
	***************************************							•	
	*** *** **	***************************************				oooooooo			
	* *** ***	********							
Comments OK to 76"	OK to 76"								
I hereby certii	fy that I have co	ompleted t	his work in accorda	l hereby certify that I have completed this work in accordance with all applicable ordinances, rules and laws.	e ordinances, rules	and laws.			
	Tom Trooien		Tom Trooien	oien			1568		5/24/21
(Des	(Designer/Inspector)			(Signature)			(License #)		(Date)

			12355 HOEN St. N. (Baytown Musp) Stillwater, Mm 55082
Property address:		Parcel ID:	12333 105 Smiles + M = 55083
City:	State:	Zip code:	(Baytown Musp) Stillwater, 11/m 53002

Optional section: Sewage Tank Compliance Certification (Tank integrity assessment)

of Manher

This form does not represent a complete system inspection report and only certifies sewage tank compliance status. i.e., this form, completed, may serve as a tank integrity assessment.

Instructions: This section of the form may be completed and signed by a Designated Certified Individual (DCI) of a licensed SSTS Maintenance Business who personally conducts the necessary procedures to assess the compliance status of each sewage tank in the system

When this section of the form is signed by a qualified certified professional, it becomes necessary supporting documentation to an Existing System Compliance Inspection Report: Compliance inspection form - Existing system (wq-wwists4-31b). This form can be found on the MPCA website at https://www.pca.state.mn.us/water/service-and-maintenance.

The information and certified statement on this form is **required** when existing septic tank compliance status is determined by an individual other than the SSTS Inspector that submits an inspection report. This form represents a third party assessment of SSTS component compliance and is allowable under Minn. R. 7082.0700, subp. 4 Item (B) subitem (1). This form is valid for a period of three years beyond the signature date on this form unless a new evaluation is requested by the owner or owner's agent or is required according to local regulations. Additional Administrative Rule references for this activity can be found at Minn. R. 7082.0700, subp. 4 Items B, C, and D; 7083.0730 Item C.

certificate of	COMPAGE	tank	comp	liance

Affirm all three statements:

The SSTS does not contain a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit.

It does not contain a sewage tank that was designed to be watertight, but subsequently leaks below the designed operating depth.

It does not represent an imminent safety threat by reason of unsecured, damaged, or weak maintenance hole cover(s) or other unsafe

Notice of sewage tank non-compliance

Select all that apply:

The SSTS has a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit – "Failure to Protect Groundwater."

It has a sewage tank that was designed to be waterlight, but subsequently leaks below the designed operating depth – "Failure to Protect Groundwater."

It presents a threat to public safety by reason of unsecured, damaged, or weak maintenance hole cover(s) or other unsafe condition — "Imminent Threat to Public Health or Safety."

Company information	Designated Certific	ed Individual (DCI) information
Company name: Meyer Sewar Ser	CCEPrint name:	CHRISWAGNER
Company name: Meyer Sewer Ser Business license number: 1915	Certification number:	C9761

I personally conducted the work described above as a Designated Certified Individual of a Minnesota-licensed SSTS

Maintenance Business. I personally conducted the necessary procedures to assess the compliance status of each sewage tank in this SSTS.

By typing/signing my name below, I certify the above statements to be true and correct, to the best of my knowledge, and that this information can be used for the purpose of processing this form.

Designated Certified Individual's signature:

ssing this form.

Date (mm/dd/yyyy): 4/9/6

www.pca.state.mn.us

651-296-6300

800-657-3864

Use your preferred relay service

Available in alternative formats

wq-wwists4-38 . 1/7/21

Page 1 of 3