Midwest Sewer Services

P.O. Box 10853 White Bear Lake, MN 55110 Brian Humpal 651-492-7550/Brian@Midwestsoiltesting.com

MPCA Licensed Advanced Inspector

SUBSURFACE SEWAGE TREATMENT SYSTEM (SSTS) COMPLIANCE REPORT

Date: 6/1/2021 & 6/4/2021 **Time:** 1:15 PM Owner: Dana Nelson & Christine Nelson

Inspection Address: 2871 Legion Ave N, Lake Elmo, MN 55042

REPORT SUMMARY

I have performed an "MPCA Compliance Inspection" on this system and have reviewed the original design/permit records, along with a previous compliance inspection from 2005, which were on file at the City of Lake Elmo. This older system (installed in 1993) consists of a pre-cast septic tank, a pre-cast lift tank, and a rock trench drainfield. Meyer Sewer Service pumped the septic and lift tank on June 4, 2021.

Predicated on my inspection of the system and my review of the original design/permit records, it is my opinion that this system presently meets MPCA minimum compliance inspection requirements.

Midwest Sewer Services have been hired to perform a compliance inspection of this SSTS for compliance with local ordinances pursuant to Minn. Stat. § 115.55 (2013). This compliance inspection covers only the criteria required by Minn. Stat. § 115.55 Subd. 5a (2013) and Minn. R. 7080.1500 (2011). A compliance inspection is an indication of the current compliance status of the system and does not guarantee the performance or longevity of this system beyond the date of inspection, as it is impossible to determine the future performance of any system. Midwest Sewer Services disclaim any use of this compliance inspection beyond determining SSTS compliance pursuant to Minn. Stat. § 115.55 Subd. 5a (2013) and Minn. R. 7080.1500 (2011).

Please contact me should you have any questions.

Christopher Uebe

Brian Humpal

Brian Humpal



520 Lafayette Road North St. Paul, MN 55155-4194

Compliance inspection report form

Existing Subsurface Sewage Treatment System (SSTS)

Doc Type: Compliance and Enforcement

Instructions: Inspection results based on Minnesota Pollution Control Agency (MPCA) requirements and attached supporting documentation – additional local requirements may also apply. Further information can be found here: https://www.pca.state.mn.us/sites/default/files/wq-wwists4-31a.pdf.

Inspector must submit completed form to Local Governmental Unit (LGU) and system owner within 15 days of final determination of compliance or noncompliance.

Property information	Local tracking number:		
arcel ID# or Sec/Twp/Range: Local regulatory authority: Washington County			
Property address: 2871 Legion Ave N, Lake Elmo, MN 55042			
Owner/representative: Dana Nelson & Christine Nelson	Owner's phone: 651-230-6447		
Brief system description: A pre-cast septic tank, a pre-cast lift tal	nk, and a rock trench drainfield.		
System status			
System status on date (mm/dd/yyyy): _6/4/2021			
☐ Compliant – Certificate of compliance*	☐ Noncompliant – Notice of noncompliance		
(Valid for 3 years from report date unless evidence of an imminent threat to public health or safety requiring removal abatement under section 145A.04, subdivision 8 is discovered or a shorter time frame exists	An imminent threat to public health and safety (ITPHS) must upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within ten month receipt of this notice or within a shorter period if required by local ordinance or under section 145A.04 subdivision 8.		
in Local Ordinance.) *Note: Compliance indicates conformance with Minn. R. 7080.1500 as of system status date above and does not guarantee future performance.	Systems failing to protect ground water must be upgraded, replaced, or use discontinued within the time required by local ordinance.		
Reason(s) for noncompliance (check all applicab	le)		
 □ Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance component #3) – □ System not abandoned according to Minn. R. 7080.2500 (Co □ Soil separation (Compliance component #5) – Failing to prote □ Operating permit/monitoring plan requirements (Compliance Comments or recommendations 	mpliance component #3) – Failing to protect groundwater ect groundwater		
abuse of the system, inadequate maintenance, or future water use	made due to unknown conditions during system construction, possage.		
By typing my name below , I certify the above statements to be t can be used for the purpose of processing this form.	rue and correct, to the best of my knowledge, and that this informa		
Business name: Midwest Sewer Services	Certification number: C5342/C9852		
Inspector signature: Brian Humpal Affect 1	License number: L2896		
(This document has been electronically signed			
Necessary or locally required supporting do			
 ☑ Soil observation logs ☑ Other information (list): 	☐ Operating Permit		
Report Summary, Property Information, Disclaimer, License			

https://www.pca.state.mn.us wg-wwists4-31b • 1/11/21

1. Impact on public health – Compliance component #1 of 5

Compliance criteria:		Attached supporting documentation:
System discharges sewage to the ground surface	☐ Yes* ⊠ No	☐ Other: ☐ Not applicable
System discharges sewage to drain tile or surface waters.	☐ Yes* ☒ No	
System causes sewage backup into dwelling or establishment.	☐ Yes* ⊠ No	
Any "yes" answer above indicates imminent threat to public health an	•	
Describe verification methods and	results:	
None of the above found.		

2. Tank integrity – Compliance component #2 of 5

Compliance criteria:		Attached supporting documentation:				
System consists of a seepage pit,	☐ Yes* ☒ No	□ Pumped at time of insper				
cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit?		Name of maintenance business:		Meyer Sewer Service		
Sewage tank(s) leak below their	☐ Yes* ☑ No	License number of maintenance business: <u>L915</u>				
designed operating depth?		Date of maintenance:		6/4/2021		
	_	☐ Existing tank integrity as	ssessment (Attach)		
		Date of maintenance				
If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks:		(mm/dd/yyyy):	(must be within	three years)		
Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is failing to protect groundwater.		(See form instructions to Minn. R. 7082.0700 sub		ent complies with		
		☐ Tank is Noncompliant (p	umping not necessa	ary – explain below)		
		Other:				
Describe verification methods and	results:					

https://www.pca.state.mn.us wq-wwists4-31b • 1/11/21 800-657-3864

3. Other compliance conditions – Compliance component #3 of 5

		Maintenance hole covers appear to be structurally unsound (d ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Unknown	amaged, cracked, etc.,, or unse	ourcu:
	3b.	Other issues (electrical hazards, etc.) to immediately and advers	ely impact public health or safet	y? ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Unknown
		*Yes to 3a or 3b - System is an imminent threat to public I	health and safety.	
	3c.	System is non-protective of ground water for other conditions	as determined by inspector?	☐ Yes* ☒ No
	3d.	System not abandoned in accordance with Minn. R. 7080.250	0?	☐ Yes* ☒ No
		*Yes to 3c or 3d - System is failing to protect groundwater	r .	
		Describe verification methods and results:		
		Attached supporting documentation: ⊠ Not applicable □	1	
		Attached supporting documentation. (2) Not applicable	J	
4.	Ор	erating permit and nitrogen BMP* – Compl	iance component #4 o	f 5 🛭 Not applicable
	ls th	e system operated under an Operating Permit?	☐ Yes ☐ No	f "yes", A below is required
	ls th	e system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the s	ystem design? ☐ Yes ☐ No	If "yes", B below is required
	ls th	he system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the s BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system	-	f "yes", B below is required
		BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system	design	-
	lf th	BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system ne answer to both questions is "no", this section doe	design	-
	<i>lf th</i> Con	BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system ne answer to both questions is "no", this section doe mpliance criteria:	design	-
	<i>If th</i> Con	BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system ne answer to both questions is "no", this section doe mpliance criteria: 1. Have the operating permit requirements been met?	design s not need to be completed Yes No	-
	<i>If th</i> Con	BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system ne answer to both questions is "no", this section doe mpliance criteria: n. Have the operating permit requirements been met? o. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functionin	design s not need to be completed Yes No	-
	<i>If th</i> Con	BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system ne answer to both questions is "no", this section doe mpliance criteria: n. Have the operating permit requirements been met? n. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functionin Any "no" answer indicates noncompliance.	design s not need to be completed Yes No	-
	<i>If th</i> Con	BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system ne answer to both questions is "no", this section doe mpliance criteria: n. Have the operating permit requirements been met? o. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functionin	design s not need to be completed Yes No	-
	<i>If th</i> Con	BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system ne answer to both questions is "no", this section doe mpliance criteria: n. Have the operating permit requirements been met? n. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functionin Any "no" answer indicates noncompliance.	design s not need to be completed Yes No	-
	<i>If th</i> Con	BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system ne answer to both questions is "no", this section doe mpliance criteria: n. Have the operating permit requirements been met? n. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functionin Any "no" answer indicates noncompliance.	design s not need to be completed Yes No	-
	<i>If th</i> Con	BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system ne answer to both questions is "no", this section doe mpliance criteria: n. Have the operating permit requirements been met? n. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functionin Any "no" answer indicates noncompliance.	design s not need to be completed Yes No	-
	<i>If th</i> Con	BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system ne answer to both questions is "no", this section doe mpliance criteria: n. Have the operating permit requirements been met? n. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functionin Any "no" answer indicates noncompliance.	design s not need to be completed Yes No	-
	<i>If th</i> Con	BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system ne answer to both questions is "no", this section doe mpliance criteria: n. Have the operating permit requirements been met? n. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functionin Any "no" answer indicates noncompliance.	design s not need to be completed Yes No	-
	<i>If th</i> Con	BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system ne answer to both questions is "no", this section doe mpliance criteria: n. Have the operating permit requirements been met? n. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functionin Any "no" answer indicates noncompliance.	design s not need to be completed Yes No	-
	<i>If th</i> Con	BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system ne answer to both questions is "no", this section doe mpliance criteria: n. Have the operating permit requirements been met? n. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functionin Any "no" answer indicates noncompliance.	design s not need to be completed Yes No	-
	<i>If th</i> Con	BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system ne answer to both questions is "no", this section doe mpliance criteria: n. Have the operating permit requirements been met? n. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functionin Any "no" answer indicates noncompliance.	design s not need to be completed Yes No	-
	<i>If th</i> Con	BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system ne answer to both questions is "no", this section doe mpliance criteria: n. Have the operating permit requirements been met? n. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functionin Any "no" answer indicates noncompliance.	design s not need to be completed Yes No	-
	<i>If th</i> Con	BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system ne answer to both questions is "no", this section doe mpliance criteria: n. Have the operating permit requirements been met? n. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functionin Any "no" answer indicates noncompliance. Describe verification methods and results:	design s not need to be completed Yes No	1.

5. Soil separation – Compliance component #5 of 5

Date of installation 1993 (mm/dd/yyyy)	Unknown				
Shoreland/Wellhead protection/Food	I ⊠ Yes □ No	Attached supporting documentation:			
beverage lodging?		Soil observation logs completed for the report (Attach)			
Compliance criteria (select one):		☐ Two previous verifications of required separation (Attach)	vertical		
5a. For systems built prior to April 1, 19 and not located in Shoreland or We	llhead	☐ Not applicable (No soil treatment area)			
Protection Area or not serving a foo beverage or lodging establishment:	a,	☐ Reviewed previous compliance inspection from 2005.			
Drainfield has at least a two-foot verseparation distance from periodicall saturated soil or bedrock.		Reviewed design and permit records.			
5b. Non-performance systems built Apr		Indicate depths or elevations			
1996, or later or for non-performand systems located in Shoreland or We Protection Areas or serving a food,		A. Bottom of distribution media	See Attached Boring Log(s)		
beverage, or lodging establishment.		B. Periodically saturated soil/bedrock			
Drainfield has a three-foot vertical	v	C. System separation			
separation distance from periodicall saturated soil or bedrock.*	у	D. Required compliance separation*			
		*May be reduced up to 15 percent if allo Ordinance.	owed by Local		
5c. "Experimental", "Other", or "Perform systems built under pre-2008 Rules Type IV or V systems built under 20 Rules 7080. 2350 or 7080.2400 (Advanced Inspector License requir	;;08				
Drainfield meets the designed vertic separation distance from periodicall saturated soil or bedrock.					
*Any "no" answer above indicate	es the system is				

Describe verification methods and results:

failing to protect groundwater.


Upgrade requirements: (Minn. Stat. § 115.55) An imminent threat to public health and safety (ITPHS) must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within ten months of receipt of this notice or within a shorter period if required by local ordinance. If the system is failing to protect ground water, the system must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within the time required by local ordinance. If an existing system is not failing as defined in law, and has at least two feet of design soil separation, then the system need not be upgraded, repaired, replaced, or its use discontinued, notwithstanding any local ordinance that is more strict. This provision does not apply to systems in shoreland areas, Wellhead Protection Areas, or those used in connection with food, beverage, and lodging establishments as defined in law.

<u>Midwest Śewer Testing</u> Subsurface Sewage Treatment System Owner/Property Information

This information will be used for the purpose of conducting an MPCA Compliance Inspection.				
Date of Inspection: 6/1/2021 & 6/4/2021	Time: 1:15 PM			
Property Address: 2871 Legion Ave N, Lake Elmo, MN	Zip: 55042			
Property Owner: Dana & Christine Nelson	Phone: 651-230-6447			
Tank(s) Tank(s)Material Soil Treatment Septic 1 Fiberglass Rock trench Aerobic Plastic Gravelless tr Lift Metal Chamber tre Holding ⊠Concrete Seepage bed Other: Block Mound Other Mound Are the tank maintenance covers accessible? ∑Yes ☐ ☐	System Other Alternative system Tench Experimental system nch Cesspool system Other system			
performed through the maintenance holes. Maintenance he the ground surface to facilitate access and proper mainten	nole covers should be made accessible to ance of the system.			
Year house built: 1970 Year septic installed: 1993	<u> </u>			
	ber of residents in home?			
Number of bedrooms? 3 Are all floors drain	· ·			
<u> </u>	ool bath?			
More than one system (laundry, etc.)?	to the centic system?			
Does this property have any footing drain tiles connected to the septic system?				
Are any buildings on this property such as garages or out-buildings connected to this system?				
Are there any additional systems on this property serving				
Location of septic system on lot? Tanks - West Side, Drai				
Location of water well on lot? City Water	Is the well a deep well? N/A			
Have you ever experienced any problems with the system				
surfacing of sewage onto the ground, septic tank overflowing, etc.; or have any repairs been made to the system? If yes, explain:				
When was the system last pumped? 6/4/2021 Name	e of pumper: Meyer Sewer Service			
How often pumped in previous years?	Is system on a monitoring plan?			
Have you received notices from any government agency concerning this system?				
Is your property located in a shoreland management area?				
Do you have any additional information that should be given	ven to the new owner?			
I hereby certify that the above information is correct to the best of my knowledge. I also understand that if the system is considered "non-compliant/failing" per MPCA rules, that the inspector must by law submit a copy of this report to the local government unit within 15 days of the date of inspection completion. I also agree that unless otherwise noted in this report, that I/we are ultimately responsible for payment of all fees for all work performed relative to this inspection by Inspect Minnesota and Midwest Soil Testing				

Date:

Owner/Occupant:



Soil Observations Log

Location of Project: 2871 Legion Ave N, Lake Elmo, MN 55042							
Observations Made By: Midwest Sewer Services Date:		6/1/2021					
	Classification System: USDA						
	Soil Observation: ST-1		Soil Observation:				
Elevat	face tion of vation	_	nd surface as last Tield trench	Surface Elevation of Observation			
Depth In Inches	Rock %	ck % Soils Encountered		Depth In Inches	Rock %	<u>Soils</u>	Encountered
0-6 6-24 24-46 46-76		Rock % Soils Encountered Depth In Rock % Soils Encountered					
76"	76" Depth To End Of Soil Observation Or Redox			Depth T	o End Of Soil	Observation Or Redox	
Same	Elevatio	n Of Observatio	n Relative To System		Elevatio	n Of Observat	tion Relative To System
			stribution Media				Distribution Media
≥34"	Of Sepa	ration			Of Sepa	ıration	
End	Of Soil (Observation At:	76"	End Of	Soil Oh	servation At:	
2.10		dox Present At:	None	2 51		x Present At:	
Star		iter Present At:	None	Standi		r Present At:	
3							

Bottom Of Distribution Medium At: 42 Inches		
Signature:	Charles the	

.

DISCLAIMER

Brian L. Humpal, Inc. dba. Midwest Sewer Services, Inspect Minnesota, Midwest Soil Testing Relative to Subsurface Sewage Treatment System (SSTS) Compliance Inspections

- 1. This inspection/report is being performed for only the seller/owner of the property on which the SSTS is located. In such case that another party is paying for the inspection, the contract is between only said party and Brian L. Humpal, Inc.; there is no contract between Brian L. Humpal, Inc. and any other party unless otherwise noted.
- 3. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. has not been retained to warranty, guarantee, or certify the proper functioning of the SSTS for any period of time beyond the date of inspection or into the future. Because of the numerous factors (usage, maintenance, soil characteristics, previous failures, etc.) which may affect the proper operation of an SSTS, as well as the inability of Brian L. Humpal, Inc. to supervise or monitor the use or maintenance of the SSTS, the report shall not be construed as a warranty by Brian L. Humpal, Inc. that the SSTS will function properly for any particular party for any period of time.
- 4. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. is unable to verify the frequency and/or, quality of prior or future maintenance of the SSTS. Maintenance of the tank(s) must be performed through the tanks maintenance hole. The removal of solids from any location other than the maintenance hole is not a compliant method of maintenance. It is strongly recommended that maintenance covers be made accessible to the ground surface to facilitate proper maintenance.
- 5. Minimum Compliance Inspection requirements relative to this inspection and this report include <u>only</u> verification that the SSTS has tank(s) (septic tanks, lift tanks, dosing tanks, stilling tanks, etc.) which are watertight below the designed operating depth, the required separation between the bottom of the subsurface soil distribution medium and seasonally saturated soils, no back-ups of sewage into the dwelling, no discharge of sewage/effluent to the ground surface or surface waters, and no imminent safety hazards. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. does not inspect plumbing or pumps prior to the first SSTS component as these are plumbing components. The performance of exterior pumps and associated components are not inspected as they are considered to be maintenance items. Additionally, no indications relative to compliance with electrical code requirements have been made. It is recommended that any other applicable plumbing, electrical, housing, etc. inspections be performed by a qualified inspection business. Sewage back-up verification is limited to observing the floor drain area and/or the information supplied by the last occupants of the building prior to inspection. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. cannot guarantee that the information given to them by the last occupants of the building prior to inspection relative to back-ups is accurate.
- 4. Certification of this SSTS does not warranty future use beyond the date of the inspection. Any SSTS, old or new, can become hydraulically overloaded or discharge sewage/effluent to the ground surface as a result of more people moving into the house than were previously occupying the house, improper maintenance, heavy usage, leaking plumbing fixtures, groundwater infiltration, tree roots, freezing conditions, surface drainage problems, poor initial design, poor construction practices, or unsuitable materials used in constructing the system; the system can also simply stop working because of its age. An SSTS that has been properly designed and installed, properly maintained, and used in the manner for which the system was designed can be expected to provide service for twenty to twenty-five years on average. Some parts of the SSTS such as alarms, switches, pumps, filters, etc. will most likely have to be repaired or replaced over the lifetime of the system.
- 5. A Compliance Inspection is not meant to be a test or inspection for longevity of the system; a Compliance Inspection is strictly for the purpose of determining if the SSTS is protective of public health and safety, as well as the groundwater at the date and time the inspection was performed. This inspection is not intended to determine if the SSTS was originally designed or installed to past or present MPCA or other Local Government Unit code requirements. This inspection is not intended to determine if the SSTS was designed and/or installed to support the anticipated flow from the building as the use of the building may have changed since the design and construction of the SSTS due to the addition of bedrooms, occupants, etc. In addition, this inspection is not intended to determine the quality of the original SSTS design, the quality of the construction practices used while installing the SSTS, or the quality of the materials used in constructing the SSTS.
- 6. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. cannot guarantee the performance of SSTS products/components such as: gravelless pipe, chamber trenches, effluent filters, tanks, sewage pre-treatment components, piping, etc. Products such as gravelless pipe are no longer approved for installation in the State of Minnesota and may have a significantly reduced performance and/or life expectancy.
- 7. WINTER WORK: By accepting this report, it is understood that inspections conducted during winter months (approximately November 1st through April 1st) are more difficult to perform because of possible snow cover and/or ground frost. SSTS components such as tanks, maintenance covers, tank inspection pipes, subsurface distribution medium inspection pipes, and soil treatment areas are more difficult or impossible to locate due to snow cover and/or ground frost. In addition, soil borings are more difficult to perform due to snow cover and/or ground frost. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. will attempt to use the same level of standards when performing work during winter periods as when performing work during non-winter periods. However, the recipient of this report understands that because of the aforementioned considerations, the same level of standards may not be possible.
- 8. By accepting this report, the client understands that Brian L. Humpal, Inc. will not be responsible for any monetary damages exceeding the fee for the services provided.

Subsurface Sewage Treatment Systems

Non-transferable

Business License

Midwest Sewer Services

License # L2896

License Expires: 12/22/2021

Issued: 11/06/2020

Specialty Area(s):

Installer

Maintainer

Service Provider

Advanced Designer

Advanced Inspector

Designated Certified Individual(s):

Cert #

Name

Certification Expires:

C5342

Brian L'Humpal

10/15/2023

Installer, Maintainer, Serv Prov, Adv Designer, Adv Inspector

C9852

Christopher R Uebe

3/4/2024

Designer, Inspector



520 Lafayette Road North St. Paul, Minnesota 55155-4194 Nich Haig

Nick Haig, Supervisor Certification and Training Unit