

## Compliance inspection report form **Existing Subsurface Sewage Treatment System (SSTS)**

520 Lafayette Road North St. Paul, MN 55155-4194

Doc Type: Compliance and Enforcement

Instructions: Inspector must submit completed form to Local Governmental Unit (LGU) and system owner within 15 days of final determination of compliance or noncompliance. Instructions for filling out this form are located on the Minnesota Pollution Control Agency (MPCA) website at https://www.pca.state.mn.us/sites/default/files/wq-wwists4-31a.pdf.

Property information	Local tracking	number:
Parcel ID# or Sec/Twp/Range: 30.032.19.13.0004	Reason for Inspection	property sale
Local regulatory authority info: Washington County 651-430-66	55	
Property address: 16980 197th St N Marine on St Croix, MN 58	5047	
Owner/representative: George Amoth		Owner's phone:
Brief system description: 2 septic tanks and pump tank lifting to	a rnound drainfield	
System status		
System status on date (mm/dd/yyyy): _6/9/2021		
☐ Compliant – Certificate of compliance*	☐ Noncompliant – Noti	ce of noncompliance
(Valid for 3 years from report date unless evidence of an imminent threat to public health or safety requiring removal and abatement under section 145A.04, subdivision 8 is discovered or a shorter time frame exists in Local Ordinance.)	use discontinued within the a	ound water must be upgraded, replaced, or time required by local ordinance. health and safety (ITPHS) must be se discontinued within ten months of receipt
*Note: Compliance indicates conformance with Minn. R. 7080.1500 as of system status date above and does not guarantee future performance.	of this notice or within a shounder section 145A.04 subd	rter period if required by local ordinance or ivision 8.
Reason(s) for noncompliance (check all applicab	le)	
☐ Impact on public health (Compliance component #1)	- Imminent threat to public	health and safety
☐ Tank integrity (Compliance component #2) – Failing	to protect groundwater	
Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance compone		
Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance compone		
System not abandoned according to Minn. R. 7080.2	2500 (Compliance compone	nt #3) – Failing to protect groundwater
Soil separation (Compliance component #5) – Failing		
☐ Operating permit/monitoring plan requirements (Com	npliance component #4) – N	loncompliant - local ordinance applies
Comments or recommendations		
Reviewed septic system records on file with Washington (	County	
Certification		
I hereby certify that all the necessary information has been gathered t future system performance has been nor can be made due to unknow inadequate maintenance, or future water usage.		
By typing my name below, I certify the above statements to be true used for the purpose of processing this form.	and correct, to the best of my	knowledge, and that this information can be
Business name: All State Septic Services LLC		Certification number: 323
Inspector signature:Tom Trooien		License number: 1568
(This document has been electronically sign	nea')	Phone: 612-594-4496
Necessary or locally required supporting do	cumentation (must b	pe attached)
Soil observation logs		
Other information (list):	Sensorionio Ex rank inte	grity / 155050 more
a care mornador (nec).		

ess Name: All State Septic Services Ll	LC	Date: 6/9/2021
npact on public health – C	ompliance com	ponent #1 of 5
Compliance criteria:		Attached supporting documentation:
System discharges sewage to the ground surface	☐ Yes* ☒ No	☐ Other: ☐ Not applicable
System discharges sewage to drain tile or surface waters.	☐ Yes* ☒ No	
System causes sewage backup into dwelling or establishment.	☐ Yes* ☒ No	
Any "yes" answer above indicates imminent threat to public health a		
Describe verification methods and	d results:	
Compliance criteria:  System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit?	☐ Yes* ☒ No	Attached supporting documentation:  Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector  Name of maintenance business:
Compliance criteria:  System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit,		Attached supporting documentation:  Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector  Name of maintenance business:  License number of maintenance business:  Date of maintenance:
Compliance criteria:  System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit?  Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth?	☐ Yes* ☒ No	Attached supporting documentation:  Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector  Name of maintenance business:  License number of maintenance business:
Compliance criteria:  System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit?  Sewage tank(s) leak below their	☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Yes* ☒ No	Attached supporting documentation:  Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector  Name of maintenance business:  License number of maintenance business:  Date of maintenance:  Existing tank integrity assessment (Attach)  Date of maintenance  (mm/dd/yyyy):  (See form instructions to ensure assessment complies with Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1))
Compliance criteria:  System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit?  Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth?  If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks:  Any "yes" answer above indice	☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Yes* ☒ No	Attached supporting documentation:  Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector  Name of maintenance business:  License number of maintenance business:  Date of maintenance:  Existing tank integrity assessment (Attach)  Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy):  (See form instructions to ensure assessment complies with Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1))  Tank is Noncompliant (pumping not necessary – explain below
Compliance criteria:  System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit?  Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth?  If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks:  Any "yes" answer above indice	☐ Yes* ☑ No ☐ Yes* ☑ No ☐ Yes* ☑ No  ates the system ter.	Attached supporting documentation:  Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector  Name of maintenance business:  License number of maintenance business:  Date of maintenance:  Existing tank integrity assessment (Attach)  Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy):  (See form instructions to ensure assessment complies with
Compliance criteria:  System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit?  Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth?  If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks:  Any "yes" answer above indicing failing to protect groundwate.	☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ ates the system ter. ☐ dresults:	Attached supporting documentation:  Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector  Name of maintenance business:  License number of maintenance business:  Date of maintenance:  Existing tank integrity assessment (Attach)  Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy):  (See form instructions to ensure assessment complies with Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1))  Tank is Noncompliant (pumping not necessary – explain below
Compliance criteria:  System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit?  Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth?  If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks:  Any "yes" answer above indicing failing to protect groundwate.	☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ ates the system ter. ☐ dresults:	Attached supporting documentation:  Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector  Name of maintenance business:  License number of maintenance business:  Date of maintenance:  Existing tank integrity assessment (Attach)  Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (must be within three years)  (See form instructions to ensure assessment complies with Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1))  Tank is Noncompliant (pumping not necessary – explain below Other:
Compliance criteria:  System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit?  Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth?  If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks:  Any "yes" answer above indicing failing to protect groundwate.	☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ ates the system ter. ☐ dresults:	Attached supporting documentation:  Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector  Name of maintenance business:  License number of maintenance business:  Date of maintenance:  Existing tank integrity assessment (Attach)  Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (must be within three years)  (See form instructions to ensure assessment complies with Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1))  Tank is Noncompliant (pumping not necessary – explain below Other:
Compliance criteria:  System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit?  Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth?  If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks:  Any "yes" answer above indicing failing to protect groundwate.	☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ ates the system ter. ☐ dresults:	Attached supporting documentation:  Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector  Name of maintenance business:  License number of maintenance business:  Date of maintenance:  Existing tank integrity assessment (Attach)  Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (must be within three years)  (See form instructions to ensure assessment complies with Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1))  Tank is Noncompliant (pumping not necessary – explain below Other:
Compliance criteria:  System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit?  Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth?  If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks:  Any "yes" answer above indicing failing to protect groundwate.	☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ ates the system ter. ☐ dresults:	Attached supporting documentation:  Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector  Name of maintenance business:  License number of maintenance business:  Date of maintenance:  Existing tank integrity assessment (Attach)  Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy):  (See form instructions to ensure assessment complies Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1))  Tank is Noncompliant (pumping not necessary – explain be Other:

P	Property Address: 16980 197th St N Marine on St Croix, MN 5504	7
D	Business Name: All State Septic Services LLC	Date: 6/9/2021
3.	3. Other compliance conditions - Compliance compliance	omponent #3 of 5
	3a. Maintenance hole covers appear to be structurally unsound	
	☐ Yes* ☑ No ☐ Unknown	(variaged, oracled, etc.), or unsecured:
	3b. Other issues (electrical hazards, etc.) to immediately and adve	selv impact public health or safety?
	*Yes to 3a or 3b - System is an imminent threat to public	The state of the s
	3c. System is non-protective of ground water for other condition	
	3d. System not abandoned in accordance with Minn. R. 7080.25	
	*Yes to 3c or 3d - System is failing to protect groundwar	
	Describe verification methods and results:	er.
	Describe vernication methods and results:	
	<b>Attached supporting documentation:</b> ⊠ Not applicable	
4.	. Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* – Comp	liance component #4 of 5 🛮 Not applicable
	Is the system operated under an Operating Permit?	☐ Yes ☒ No If "yes", A below is required
	Is the system operated under an Operating Permit?  Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the	•
	The state of the s	system design?  Yes No If "yes", B below is required
	Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system	system design?  Yes  No If "yes", B below is required in design
	Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the	system design?  Yes  No If "yes", B below is required in design
	Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system If the answer to both questions is "no", this section do	system design?  Yes  No If "yes", B below is required in design
	Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system of the answer to both questions is "no", this section do Compliance criteria:  a. Have the operating permit requirements been met?	system design?  Yes No If "yes", B below is required in design  es not need to be completed.
	Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system of the answer to both questions is "no", this section do Compliance criteria:  a. Have the operating permit requirements been met?  b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly function	system design?  Yes No If "yes", B below is required in design  es not need to be completed.
	Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system of the answer to both questions is "no", this section do Compliance criteria:  a. Have the operating permit requirements been met?  b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly function Any "no" answer indicates noncompliance.	system design?  Yes No If "yes", B below is required in design  es not need to be completed.
	Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system of the answer to both questions is "no", this section do Compliance criteria:  a. Have the operating permit requirements been met?  b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly function	system design?  Yes No If "yes", B below is required in design  es not need to be completed.
	Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system of the answer to both questions is "no", this section do Compliance criteria:  a. Have the operating permit requirements been met?  b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly function Any "no" answer indicates noncompliance.	system design?  Yes No If "yes", B below is required in design  es not need to be completed.
	Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system of the answer to both questions is "no", this section do Compliance criteria:  a. Have the operating permit requirements been met?  b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly function Any "no" answer indicates noncompliance.	system design?  Yes No If "yes", B below is required in design  es not need to be completed.
	Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system of the answer to both questions is "no", this section do Compliance criteria:  a. Have the operating permit requirements been met?  b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly function Any "no" answer indicates noncompliance.	system design?  Yes No If "yes", B below is required in design  es not need to be completed.
	Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system of the answer to both questions is "no", this section do Compliance criteria:  a. Have the operating permit requirements been met?  b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly function Any "no" answer indicates noncompliance.	system design?  Yes No If "yes", B below is required in design  es not need to be completed.
	Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system of the answer to both questions is "no", this section do Compliance criteria:  a. Have the operating permit requirements been met?  b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly function Any "no" answer indicates noncompliance.	system design?  Yes No If "yes", B below is required in design  es not need to be completed.
	Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system of the answer to both questions is "no", this section do Compliance criteria:  a. Have the operating permit requirements been met?  b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly function Any "no" answer indicates noncompliance.	system design?  Yes No If "yes", B below is required in design  es not need to be completed.
	Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system of the answer to both questions is "no", this section do Compliance criteria:  a. Have the operating permit requirements been met?  b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly function Any "no" answer indicates noncompliance.	system design?  Yes No If "yes", B below is required in design  es not need to be completed.
	Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system of the answer to both questions is "no", this section do Compliance criteria:  a. Have the operating permit requirements been met?  b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly function Any "no" answer indicates noncompliance.	system design?  Yes No If "yes", B below is required in design  es not need to be completed.
	Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system of the answer to both questions is "no", this section do Compliance criteria:  a. Have the operating permit requirements been met?  b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly function Any "no" answer indicates noncompliance.  Describe verification methods and results:	system design?  Yes No If "yes", B below is required in design  es not need to be completed.

https://www.pca.state.mn.us wq-wwists4-31b • 4/28/2021

usiness Name: All State Septic Services LLC		Date: <u>6</u>	/9/2021
Soil separation – Compliance com	nponent #5	of 5	
Date of installation 10/26/2005 (mm/dd/yyyy)	Unknown		
Shoreland/Wellhead protection/Food beverage lodging?	⊠ Yes □ No	Attached supporting documentation:  Soil observation logs completed for th	e report
Compliance criteria (select one):		☐ Two previous verifications of required	
5a. For systems built prior to April 1, 1996, and not located in Shoreland or Wellhead Protection Area or not serving a food, beverage or lodging establishment:	☐ Yes ☐ No*	☐ Not applicable (No soil treatment area☐	)
Drainfield has at least a two-foot vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.			
	⊠ Yes □ No*	Indicate depths or elevations	
April 1, 1996, or later or for non- performance systems located in Shoreland		A. Bottom of distribution media	102
or Wellhead Protection Areas or serving a food, beverage, or lodging establishment:		B. Periodically saturated soil/bedrock	98.6
Drainfield has a three-foot vertical		C. System separation	3.4
separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock,*		D. Required compliance separation*	3
Saturated Soil of Degrock.		*May be reduced up to 15 percent if allo Ordinance.	wed by Local
5c. "Experimental", "Other", or "Performance" systems built under pre-2008 Rules; Type IV or V systems built under 2008 Rules 7080. 2350 or 7080.2400 (Intermediate Inspector License required ≤ 2,500 gallons per day; Advanced Inspector License required > 2,500 gallons per day)	☐ Yes ☐ No*		
Drainfield meets the designed vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.			
*Any "no" answer above indicates the syfailing to protect groundwater.	ystem is		

**Upgrade requirements:** (Minn. Stat. § 115.55) An imminent threat to public health and safety (ITPHS) must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within ten months of receipt of this notice or within a shorter period if required by local ordinance. If the system is failing to protect ground water, the system must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within the time required by local ordinance. If an existing system is not failing as defined in law, and has at least two feet of design soil separation, then the system need not be upgraded, repaired, replaced, or its use discontinued, notwithstanding any local ordinance that is more strict. This provision does not apply to systems in shoreland areas, Wellhead Protection Areas, or those used in connection with food, beverage, and lodging establishments as defined in law.

## C&B EXCAVATING/SEWER, INC.

16115 Quality Trail North Scandia, MN 55073 (651) 433-3986 FAX (651) 433-4481 COMPLETE SEPTIC SYSTEM SERVICES Installation•Tank Pumping•Line Cleaning•Pump Repairs•Compliance Inspections
Frozen Sewerlines Thawed•Ground Thawing •Basement/Driveway Excavation•Hauling
MPCA CERTIFIED LICENSE #484 BONDED•INSURED old well it Abandon CON THE PARTY House 140 0 Oreperty Like DYUS מיטסווין B2 0 B1 FICTOR EVER EVER

Threw Lorence 16980 1974 st. 100. Copas m.a.

Property address: 16980 197th St City: Marina on St. Croix	State: MM Zip code: 55047
The state of the s	ZIP WUE.
Optional section: Sewage Tank Compliance	Certification
his form does not represent a complete system inspection	n report and only a visi
nstructions: This section of the form may be completed and Maintenance Business who personally conducts the necessary the system.	signed by a Designated Certified Individual (DCI) of a licensed SSTS procedures to assess the compliance status of each sewage tank in
when this section of the form is signed by a qualified certified period system Compliance Inspection Report: Compliance in pund on the MPCA website at <a "failure="" "imminent="" a="" be="" below="" but="" by="" condition="" cover(s)="" damaged,="" depth="" designed="" failure="" groundwater."="" has="" health="" hole="" href="https://www.pga.shate.mn.lisakee.nn.li&lt;/td&gt;&lt;td&gt;professional, it becomes necessary supporting documentation to an specific form - Existing system (wg-wwists4-31b). This form can be&lt;/td&gt;&lt;/tr&gt;&lt;tr&gt;&lt;td&gt;ndividual other than the COTO&lt;/td&gt;&lt;td&gt;when existing septic tank compliance status is determined by an ction report. It represents a third party assessment of SSTS&lt;/td&gt;&lt;/tr&gt;&lt;tr&gt;&lt;td&gt;Certificate of sewage tank compliance&lt;/td&gt;&lt;td&gt;&lt;/td&gt;&lt;/tr&gt;&lt;tr&gt;&lt;td&gt;Affirm all three statements:&lt;/td&gt;&lt;td&gt;Notice of sewage tank non-compliance&lt;/td&gt;&lt;/tr&gt;&lt;tr&gt;&lt;td&gt;The SSTS does not contain a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit.  It does not contain a sewage tank that was designed to be watertight, but subsequently leaks below the designed operating depth.  It does not represent an imminent safety threat by reason of unsecured, damaged, or weak maintenance hole cover(s) or other unsafe condition.&lt;/td&gt;&lt;td&gt;Select all that apply:  The SSTS has a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit – " it="" leaks="" maintenance="" of="" operating="" or="" other="" presents="" protect="" public="" reason="" safety="" seferic="" sewage="" subsequently="" t<="" tank="" td="" testing="" that="" the="" threat="" to="" unsafe="" unsecured,="" was="" watertight,="" weak="" –=""></a>	
mpany information	as a solic ricalul or Sarety."
mpany name: PINKYS Sewer Service	Designated Certified Individual (DCI) information  Print name: MCII CHMC
iness license number: 1673	Certification number: (7 \$ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \
ersonally conducted the work described above as a Designate siness. I personally conducted the necessary procedures to as	d Certified Individual of a Minnesota-licensed SSTS Maintenance seess the compliance status of each sewage tank in this SSTS:
signated Certified ividual's signature:	Date (mm/dd/yyyy): 6/36/20



## Soil Observation Log

v 04.01.2021

Project ID:

Client:			George Amoth	oth		Locat	Location / Address:	16980 197	7th St N Marine on	16980 197th St N Marine on St Croix, MN 55047
Soil parent m	Soil parent material(s): (Check all that apply)	ck all that	apply)		Outwash   Lacustrine	Loess	Alluvium	um Bedrock	ck Organic Matter	Matter
Landscape Po	Landscape Position: (select one)	ne)			Slope %:	Slope shape			Elevatio	Elevation-relative to benchmark:
Vegetation:				So	Soil survey map units:				Limiting Layer Elevation:	Elevation:
Weather Conc	Weather Conditions/Time of Day:	Day:						Date	0	06/09/21
Observation	Observation #/Location:	B-1	-1				Obs	Observation Type:		Auger
Donth (in)	Tovturo	Rock	Matrix Color(c)	olor(c)	Mottle Color(c)	Dodov Vind(c)	(a) and inches	_	Structure	
Deptil (III)	ا جردما ج	Frag. %	ואמרו וא כינ	0(5)	ואוסררוב רסוסו (s)	vedox nilid(s)	IIIUICALOI (S)	Shape	Grade	Consistence
0.47	a color	E 2000 WAY	10YR 4/3	1/3						
2	sailuy toaiii									
			*********							
	305 30									
	33.6 3			***************************************						
				***********	***************************************		,			
	ARE ARE			**********	**********					
				**********						
	ALC HE			************						
				**********						
	ARE SEE E			***************************************						
	ANC HAN			***************************************						
Comments										
I hereby certii	hereby certify that I have completed this work in accordance with	ompleted t	this work in	accordar		all applicable ordinances. rules and laws.	and laws.			
			•	ŀ		•		0		9
	I om I roolen		-1	Iom Irooien				1568		6/9/21
(nes	(Designer/Inspector)				(Signature)			(License #)		(Date)



# Soil Observation Log

v 04.01.2021

Project ID:

Client:			George Amoth			Locat	Location / Address:	16980 197t	h St N Marine on	16980 197th St N Marine on St Croix, MN 55047
Soil parent material(s): (Check all that apply)	(s): (Chec	ck all that	apply)	Outro	Outwash   Lacustrine	Loess Till	Alluvium	n Bedrock	Ц	Organic Matter
Landscape Position: (select one)	(select or	ne)		Slc	Slope %:	Slope shape			Elevatio	Elevation-relative to benchmark:
Vegetation:				Soil su	Soil survey map units:				Limiting Layer Elevation:	Elevation:
Weather Conditions/Time of Day:	Time of L	Day:						Date		06/09/21
Observation #/Location:	ocation:	À	B-2				lesq0	Observation Type:		Auger
Denth (in) Tex	Texture	Rock	Matrix Color(s)	_	Mottle Color(s)	Dodov Kind(c)	Indicator(c)		Structure	
	o linov	Frag. %	ואמרו וא בסנסו		אסרווב בסוסו (s)	(s) NIII (s)	IIIdicatol (s)	Shape	Grade	Consistence
22			10YR 4/3	••••••						** ***** ****
	2									****
••••	XXX XXX X		***********	**********						
	EN MAR MAR									
***************************************	odos osas osas			········		•				
	K ARK HER D						T	AP BARA BARA		
	E DERE DERE I			**********						
	AK 28K 288			**********						
•••••	MAR MAR MAI			**********						
	K 333K 355K 3									
	er ner ner					•				
	*** *** **									
***************************************	****			**********			•••••			
						•		****		
Comments										
l hereby certify that I have completed this work in accordance with all applicable ordinances, rules and laws.	I have co	ompleted t	this work in acco	ordance	with all applicab	le ordinances, rules	and laws.			
Tom Trooien	ooien		Tom	Tom Trooien				1568		6/9/21
(Designer/Inspector)	nspector)				(Signature)			(License #)		(Date)