

Compliance inspection report form
Existing Subsurface Sewage Treatment System (SSTS)

520 Lafayette Road North St. Paul, MN 55155-4194

Doc Type: Compliance and Enforcement

Instructions: Inspector must submit completed form to Local Governmental Unit (LGU) and system owner within 15 days of final determination of compliance or noncompliance. Instructions for filling out this form are located on the Minnesota Pollution Control Agency (MPCA) website at <a href="https://www.pca.state.mn.us/sites/default/files/wq-wwists4-31a.pdf">https://www.pca.state.mn.us/sites/default/files/wq-wwists4-31a.pdf</a>.

Control rigoroy (Will Cray Website at https://www.pca.state.hiii.us/	sites/default/files/wd-wwists4-31a.pdf.
Property information	Local tracking number:
Parcel ID# or Sec/Twp/Range: <u>28.029.20.14.0008</u>	Reason for Inspection property sale
Local regulatory authority info: Washington County	
Property address: 1735 Overlook Trail N Stillwater, MN 55082	
Owner/representative: Patrick McNamara	Owner's phone: 651-214-3609
Brief system description: Two 1000 gallon precast septic tanks a	nd 1050 SF of gravity, rock trench drainfield
System status	
System status on date (mm/dd/yyyy): 6/24/2021	
☐ Compliant – Certificate of compliance*	☐ Noncompliant – Notice of noncompliance
abatement under section 145A.04, subdivision 8 is discovered or a shorter time frame exists in Local Ordinance.)  *Note: Compliance indicates conformance with Minn. R. 7080.1500 as of system status date above and does not guarantee future performance.  Reason(s) for noncompliance (check all applicable appli	Imminent threat to public health and safety to protect groundwater  nt #3) – Imminent threat to public health and safety  nt #3) – Failing to protect groundwater  500 (Compliance component #3) – Failing to protect groundwater of to protect groundwater  pliance component #4) – Noncompliant - local ordinance applies
Certification	
inadequate maintenance, or future water usage.	o determine the compliance status of this system. No determination of in conditions during system construction, possible abuse of the system, and correct, to the best of my knowledge, and that this information can be
Business name: All State Septic Services LLC	Certification number: 323
Inspector signature: Tom Trooien	License number: 1568
(This document has been electronically signe	
Necessary or locally required supporting doc	, 1 Hono. 012-004-4400
	quired forms

pact on public health — Compliance criteria:  ystem discharges sewage to the round surface  ystem discharges sewage to drain e or surface waters.  ystem causes sewage backup into welling or establishment.  ny "yes" answer above indicates naminent threat to public health and escribe verification methods and earched for seeping or surfacing to  k integrity — Compliance compliance criteria:	☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Yes* ☒ No  the system is and safety.  results: the ground surface	Attached supporting documentation:  Other:  Not applicable  - none observed during the inspection.	
ystem discharges sewage to the round surface  ystem discharges sewage to drain e or surface waters.  ystem causes sewage backup into welling or establishment.  ny "yes" answer above indicates minent threat to public health and escribe verification methods and earched for seeping or surfacing to  k integrity — Compliance	☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Yes* ☒ No  the system is and safety.  results: the ground surface	Other: Not applicable  - none observed during the inspection.	
ystem discharges sewage to drain e or surface waters.  ystem causes sewage backup into welling or establishment.  ny "yes" answer above indicates inminent threat to public health and escribe verification methods and earched for seeping or surfacing to	☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Yes* ☒ No  the system is and safety.  results: the ground surface	Not applicable	
e or surface waters.  ystem causes sewage backup into welling or establishment.  ny "yes" answer above indicates national threat to public health and escribe verification methods and earched for seeping or surfacing to  k integrity — Compliance	Yes* No  the system is and safety.  results: the ground surface	e - none observed during the inspection.	
welling or establishment.  ny "yes" answer above indicates inminent threat to public health and escribe verification methods and earched for seeping or surfacing to the weather than the weather that the weather than the weather that the weather than the weather the weather that the weather than the weather that the weather than	the system is and safety.  results: the ground surfact		
k integrity — Compliance	nd safety.  results: the ground surfac		
earched for seeping or surfacing to  k integrity — Compliance	the ground surfac		
<b>k integrity</b> – Compliance			
		Attached supporting documentation:	
system consists of a seepage pit, esspool, drywell, leaching pit, r other pit?	☐ Yes* ☒ No		Pinky's Sewer Service
sewage tank(s) leak below their	☐ Yes* ☒ No		***************************************
esigned operating depth?		Date of maintenance:	6/24/2021
		Existing tank integrity assessment (Attach)	)
yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks:		Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (must be within the	hree years)
		(See form instructions to ensure assessme Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1))	ent complies witi
		☐ Tank is Noncompliant (pumping not necessar☐ Other:	ry explain below
he septic tanks were pumped durin	g the inspection. L	owered a camera into the empty tanks - bottoms, walls	s, covers,
he manhole covers are more than o taked.	one foot below gra	de - recommend adding risers to grade. The manhole l	locations were
	Sewage tank(s) leak below their esigned operating depth?  Tyes, which sewage tank(s) leaks:  Any "yes" answer above indicated in the search of the septic tanks were pumped during affles and manhole covers appear of the septic tanks were pumped of tanks.	Sewage tank(s) leak below their esigned operating depth?  Tyes, which sewage tank(s) leaks:  Any "yes" answer above indicates the systems failing to protect groundwater.  Describe verification methods and results: The septic tanks were pumped during the inspection. Leaffles and manhole covers appear ok.	Name of maintenance business:  License number of maintenance business:  License number of maintenance business:  Date of maintenance:  Existing tank integrity assessment (Attach)  Date of maintenance  (must be within to the system of maintenance (must be within to the system of talling to protect groundwater.  Describe verification methods and results:  The septic tanks were pumped during the inspection. Lowered a camera into the empty tanks - bottoms, wall affles and manhole covers are more than one foot below grade - recommend adding risers to grade. The manhole

P	roperty Address: _1735 Overlook Trail N Stillwater, MN 55082	
В	usiness Name: All State Septic Services LLC Date: 6/24/2021	
3.	Other compliance conditions – Compliance component #3 of 5	
	3a. Maintenance hole covers appear to be structurally unsound (damaged, cracked, etc.), or unsecured?	
	☐ Yes* ☑ No ☐ Unknown	
	3b. Other issues (electrical hazards, etc.) to immediately and adversely impact public health or safety? 🗌 Yes* 🖾 No 🔲 Unknown	
	*Yes to 3a or 3b - System is an imminent threat to public health and safety.	
	3c. System is non-protective of ground water for other conditions as determined by inspector? ☐ Yes* ☒ No	
	3d. System not abandoned in accordance with Minn. R. 7080.2500? □ Yes* ☑ No	
	*Yes to 3c or 3d - System is failing to protect groundwater.	
	Describe verification methods and results:	
	Attached supporting documentation:   Not applicable	
4.	Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* – Compliance component #4 of 5 ⋈ Not applicable	
	Is the system operated under an Operating Permit? ☐ Yes ☒ No ☐ If "yes", A below is required	
	Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? 🗆 Yes 🔞 No <b>If "yes", B below is required</b>	
	BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design	
	If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed.	
	Compliance criteria:	
	a. Have the operating permit requirements been met? ☐ Yes ☐ No	
	I I II II I I I I I I I I I I I I I I	
	b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning?   Yes   No	
	Any "no" answer indicates noncompliance.	
	Any "no" answer indicates noncompliance.	
	Any "no" answer indicates noncompliance.	
	Any "no" answer indicates noncompliance.	
	Any "no" answer indicates noncompliance.	
	Any "no" answer indicates noncompliance.	
	Any "no" answer indicates noncompliance.	
	Any "no" answer indicates noncompliance.	
	Any "no" answer indicates noncompliance.	
	Any "no" answer indicates noncompliance.	


https://www.pca.state.mn.us wq-wwists4-31b • 4/28/2021

usiness Name: All State Septic Services LLC			Date: _	6/24/2021
Soil separation – Compliance co	mpone	ent #5 c	of 5	
Date of installation 10/16/1996 (mm/dd/yyyy)	_ 🗌 Unkı	nown		
Shoreland/Wellhead protection/Food beverage lodging?	☐ Yes	⊠ No	Attached supporting documentation:	
Compliance criteria (select one):			Soil observation logs completed for the log of	
5a. For systems built prior to April 1, 1996, and not located in Shoreland or Wellhead Protection Area or not serving a food, beverage or lodging establishment:	☐ Yes	□ No*	☐ Not applicable (No soil treatment are:	a)
Drainfield has at least a two-foot vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.				
5b. Non-performance systems built April 1, 1996, or later or for non-	⊠ Yes	□ No*	Indicate depths or elevations	
performance systems located in Shoreland			A. Bottom of distribution media	2.7
or Wellhead Protection Areas or serving a food, beverage, or lodging establishment:			B. Periodically saturated soil/bedrock	5.8
Drainfield has a three-foot vertical			C. System separation	3.1
separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.*			D. Required compliance separation*	3
- Boardon.			*May be reduced up to 15 percent if allo Ordinance.	owed by Local
5c. "Experimental", "Other", or "Performance" systems built under pre-2008 Rules; Type IV or V systems built under 2008 Rules 7080. 2350 or 7080.2400 (Intermediate Inspector License required ≤ 2,500 gallons per day; Advanced Inspector License required > 2,500 gallons per day)	☐ Yes	□ No*		
Drainfield meets the designed vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.				
*Any "no" answer above indicates the s failing to protect groundwater.	ystem i	S		
Describe verification methods and results:				

**Upgrade requirements:** (Minn. Stat. § 115.55) An imminent threat to public health and safety (ITPHS) must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within ten months of receipt of this notice or within a shorter period if required by local ordinance. If the system is failing to protect ground water, the system must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within the time required by local ordinance. If an existing system is not failing as defined in law, and has at least two feet of design soil separation, then the system need not be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued, notwithstanding any local ordinance that is more strict. This provision does not apply to systems in shoreland areas, Wellhead Protection Areas, or those used in connection with food, beverage, and lodging establishments as defined in law.

800-657-3864

WYE STILLWATER, MN 55082 6/24/21





## Soil Observation Log

v 04.01.2021

Project ID:

Client:		Δ.	Patrick McNamara		Locat	Location / Address:	1735 Ov	1735 Overlook Trail N Stillwater, MN 55082	.water, MN 55082
Soil parent m	Soil parent material(s): (Check all that apply)	k all that	apply)	Outwash   Lacustrine	Loess Till	Alluvium	m Bedrock	ock Organic Matter	Matter
Landscape Po	Landscape Position: (select one)	ne)		Slope %:	Slope shape			Elevation	Elevation-relative to benchmark:
Vegetation:		lawn	-	Soil survey map units:				Limiting Layer Elevation:	Elevation:
Weather Conc	Weather Conditions/Time of Day:	Jay:					Date	0	06/24/21
Observation	Observation #/Location:	B	B-1			Obse	Observation Type:		Auger
Denth (in)	Tovtino	Rock	Matrix Color(c)	Mottle Color(c)	Dodov Vind(c)	(0)200000	-	Structure	
Depen (III)	ובערמוב	Frag. %	ואומרו וא כטוטו (s)	ואוסרנוב בסוסו (s)	redox niild(s)	IIIGICALOI (S)	Shape	Grade	Consistence
0.13	meol vhora	7.35%	10YR 3/2				3		
2	and come	0/00					OI allula	Modelate	rriable
72 70	7	,350/	10YR 3/4	***************************************			_	-	-
13-40	toalily saild	%25%					Granular	Moderate	rnable
ç	fine sandy	,0 <b>3C</b> ,	7.5YR 4/3	10YR 7/8	Concentrations	S2	-		
7/-04	loam	<33%					Granular	Moderate	rnable
	*******					,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,		2000-2000-2000	
	****					***********		3000 3000 300	
	. Marc (2000). 2				•	p		ne neer neer	
	** *** ***				•	***************************************			
	*** *** ***							*****	
	***					***************************************			
					•				
Comments	Comments Redox at 69"								
I hereby certi	fy that I have co	mpleted	this work in accord	I hereby certify that I have completed this work in accordance with all applicable ordinances, rules and laws.	le ordinances, rules	and laws.			
	Tom Trooien		Tom Trooien	oien		•	1568		6/24/21
(Des	(Designer/Inspector)			(Signature)			(License #)		(Date)