

520 Lafayette Road North St. Paul, MN 55155-4194

Compliance inspection report form

Existing Subsurface Sewage Treatment System (SSTS)

Doc Type: Compliance and Enforcement

Owner's phone: 612-578-8734

Instructions: Inspection results based on Minnesota Pollution Control Agency (MPCA) requirements and attached supporting documentation - additional local requirements may also apply. Further information can be found here: https://www.pca.state.mn.us/sites/default/files/wq-wwists4-31a.pdf.

Inspector must submit completed form to Local Governmental Unit (LGU) and system owner within 15 days of final determination of compliance or noncompliance.

Property information

Local tracking number:

Parcel ID# or Sec/Twp/Range:	Loc

al regulatory authority: Washington County

Property address:	19083 Larkspur Ave N, Marine on St. Croix	
		_

Owner/representative: Eric Schwartz-Sharp Buyer

Brief system description: 3 1000 gallon tanks to a mound system

System status

System status on date (mm/dd/yyyy): 6/29/2021

Compliant – Certificate of compliance*

(Valid for 3 years from report date unless evidence of an imminent threat to public health or safety requiring removal and abatement under section 145A.04, subdivision 8 is discovered or a shorter time frame exists in Local Ordinance.)

*Note: Compliance indicates conformance with Minn. R. 7080.1500 as of system status date above and does not guarantee future performance.

□ Noncompliant – Notice of noncompliance

An imminent threat to public health and safety (ITPHS) must be upgraded. replaced. or its use discontinued within ten months of receipt of this notice or within a shorter period if required by local ordinance or under section 145A.04 subdivision 8.

Systems failing to protect ground water must be upgraded. replaced, or use discontinued within the time required by local ordinance.

Reason(s) for noncompliance (check all applicable)

- □ Impact on public health (Compliance component #1) Imminent threat to public health and safety
- Tank integrity (Compliance component #2) Failing to protect groundwater
- Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance component #3) Imminent threat to public health and safety
- Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance component #3) Failing to protect groundwater
- System not abandoned according to Minn. R. 7080.2500 (Compliance component #3) Failing to protect groundwater
- Soil separation (Compliance component #5) Failing to protect groundwater
- Operating permit/monitoring plan requirements (Compliance component #4) Noncompliant local ordinance applies

Comments or recommendations

Certification

I hereby certify that all the necessary information has been gathered to determine the compliance status of this system. No determination of future system performance has been nor can be made due to unknown conditions during system construction, possible abuse of the system, inadequate maintenance, or future water usage.

By typing my name below, I certify the above statements to be true and correct, to the best of my knowledge, and that this information can be used for the purpose of processing this form.

Business name: MJL Contracting Inc.

Inspector signature: Danielle Lang

Certification number:	8190
License number:	2449

(This document has been electronically signed)

Phone:	763-244-9070

Necessary or locally required supporting documentation (must be attached)

Soil observation logs Other information (list):

- Locally required forms
- Tank Integrity Assessment
- Operating Permit

1. Impact on public health – Compliance component #1 of 5

Compliance criteria:		_ Attached supporting documentation:
System discharges sewage to the ground surface	🗆 Yes* 🛛 No	Other: Not applicable
tem discharges sewage to drain or surface waters.	🗌 Yes* 🛛 No	_
rstem causes sewage backup into velling or establishment.	🗌 Yes* 🛛 No	

Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is an imminent threat to public health and safety.

Describe verification methods and results:

searched for seepage in yard

2. Tank integrity – Compliance component #2 of 5

Compliance criteria:		Attached supporting of	locumentation:	
System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit,	🗌 Yes* 🛛 No	$_$ Pumped at time of inspection		
or other pit?		Name of maintenance business:		Hassle Free
Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth?	🗌 Yes* 🛛 No	License number of maintenance business: <u>3287</u>		
		Date of maintenance:		6/29/2021
		Existing tank integrity asses		n)
If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks:		Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy):	(must be within	three years)
Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is failing to protect groundwater.		(See form instructions to ensure assessment complies with Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1))		
		Tank is Noncompliant (pumping not necessary – explain below)		
		Other:		

Describe verification methods and results:

Visual

3. Other compliance conditions - Compliance component #3 of 5

_	3a. Maintenance hole covers appear to be structurally unsound (damaged, cracked, etc.), or unsecured?
	3b. Other issues (electrical hazards, etc.) to immediately and adversely impact public health or safety? 🗌 Yes* 🛛 No 🗋 Unknown
	*Yes to 3a or 3b - System is an imminent threat to public health and safety.
	3c. System is non-protective of ground water for other conditions as determined by inspector? □ Yes* ⊠ No
	3d. System not abandoned in accordance with Minn. R. 7080.2500? □ Yes* ⊠ No
	*Yes to 3c or 3d - System is failing to protect groundwater.
	Describe verification methods and results:
	Visual
	Attached supporting documentation: 🛛 Not applicable
4.	Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* – Compliance component #4 of 5 🛛 Not applicable
	Is the system operated under an Operating Permit?
	Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? \Box Yes \Box No If "yes", B below is required
	BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design
	If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed.
	Compliance criteria:
	a. Have the operating permit requirements been met?
	b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning? Yes No
	Any "no" answer indicates noncompliance.
	Describe verification methods and results:

Attached supporting documentation: Operating permit (Attach)

5. Soil separation – Compliance component #5 of 5

Date of installation	(mm/dd/yyyy)	_ 🛛 Unkı	nown			
Shoreland/Wellhead	protection/Food	🛛 Yes 🗌 No		Attached supporting documentation:		
beverage lodging?				Soil observation log	s completed for th	e report (Attach)
Compliance criteria (select one):				Two previous verifications of required vertical separation (Attach)		
5a. For systems built prior to April 1, 1996, and not located in Shoreland or Wellhead Protection Area or not serving a food, beverage or lodging establishment:		⊠ Yes	🗌 No*	Not applicable (No soil treatment area)		
				Tank replacement 2016 possible install 2012		
Drainfield has at lea separation distance saturated soil or be						
5b. Non-performance s		☐ Yes	🗌 No*	Indicate depths or elevations		
1996, or later or for systems located in .	non-performance Shoreland or Wellhead			A. Bottom of distribution	on media	24+"
Protection Areas or serving a foo beverage, or lodging establishme	U			B. Periodically saturat	ted soil/bedrock	23"
3 3 3	5			C. System separation		47"
Drainfield has a three-foot ve separation distance from per	from periodically			D. Required complian	ce separation*	36"
saturated soil or be	edrock.*			*May be reduced up to Ordinance.	o 15 percent if allo	owed by Local
5c. "Experimental", "Oth systems built under Type IV or V system Rules 7080. 2350 o (Advanced Inspecto Drainfield meets the separation distance	r pre-2008 Rules; ns built under 2008 nr 7080.2400 pr License required) e designed vertical	☐ Yes	□ No*			
saturated soil or be						

*Any "no" answer above indicates the system is failing to protect groundwater.

Describe verification methods and results:

Upgrade requirements: (*Minn. Stat.* § 115.55) An imminent threat to public health and safety (ITPHS) must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within ten months of receipt of this notice or within a shorter period if required by local ordinance. If the system is failing to protect ground water, the system must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within the time required by local ordinance. If an existing system is not failing as defined in law, and has at least two feet of design soil separation, then the system need not be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued, notwithstanding any local ordinance that is more strict. This provision does not apply to systems in shoreland areas, Wellhead Protection Areas, or those used in connection with food, beverage, and lodging establishments as defined in law.