

520 Lafayette Road North St. Paul, MN 55155-4194

# Compliance inspection report form

### Existing Subsurface Sewage Treatment System (SSTS)

Doc Type: Compliance and Enforcement

Owner's phone: 651-335-4439

**Instructions:** Inspection results based on Minnesota Pollution Control Agency (MPCA) requirements and attached supporting documentation – additional local requirements may also apply. Further information can be found here: <a href="https://www.pca.state.mn.us/sites/default/files/wq-wwists4-31a.pdf">https://www.pca.state.mn.us/sites/default/files/wq-wwists4-31a.pdf</a>.

# Inspector must submit completed form to Local Governmental Unit (LGU) and system owner within 15 days of final determination of compliance or noncompliance.

### **Property information**

Local tracking number:

Parcel ID# or Sec/Twp/Range: \_\_\_\_\_

Local regulatory authority: Washington County

Property address: 23170 Itasca Ave Circle N, Forest Lake MN 55025

Owner/representative: Laura Scholl

Brief system description: 1500 main tank 1000 main 1000 lift to a mound system

### System status

System status on date (mm/dd/yyyy): 7/9/2021

#### Compliant – Certificate of compliance\*

(Valid for 3 years from report date unless evidence of an imminent threat to public health or safety requiring removal and abatement under section 145A.04, subdivision 8 is discovered or a shorter time frame exists in Local Ordinance.)

\*Note: Compliance indicates conformance with Minn. R. 7080.1500 as of system status date above and does not guarantee future performance.

#### Noncompliant – Notice of noncompliance

An imminent threat to public health and safety (ITPHS) must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within ten months of receipt of this notice or within a shorter period if required by local ordinance or under section 145A.04 subdivision 8.

Systems failing to protect ground water must be upgraded, replaced, or use discontinued within the time required by local ordinance.

#### Reason(s) for noncompliance (check all applicable)

- Impact on public health (Compliance component #1) Imminent threat to public health and safety
- Tank integrity (Compliance component #2) Failing to protect groundwater
- Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance component #3) Imminent threat to public health and safety
- Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance component #3) Failing to protect groundwater
- System not abandoned according to Minn. R. 7080.2500 (Compliance component #3) Failing to protect groundwater
- Soil separation (Compliance component #5) Failing to protect groundwater
- Operating permit/monitoring plan requirements (Compliance component #4) Noncompliant local ordinance applies

#### **Comments or recommendations**

### Certification

I hereby certify that all the necessary information has been gathered to determine the compliance status of this system. No determination of future system performance has been nor can be made due to unknown conditions during system construction, possible abuse of the system, inadequate maintenance, or future water usage.

By typing my name below, I certify the above statements to be true and correct, to the best of my knowledge, and that this information can be used for the purpose of processing this form.

Business name: MJL Contracting Inc.

Inspector signature: Danielle Lang

Certification number: 8190	
License number: 2449	

(This document has been electronically signed)

Ph	none: 763	3-244-9070

~ . . . .

## Necessary or locally required supporting documentation (must be attached)

Soil observation logs

- Locally required forms
- Tank Integrity Assessment

Operating Permit

### 1. Impact on public health – Compliance component #1 of 5

Compliance criteria:	1	Attached supporting documentation:
System discharges sewage to the ground surface	🗌 Yes* 🛛 No	Other: Not applicable
stem discharges sewage to drain or surface waters.	🗌 Yes* 🛛 No	
vstem causes sewage backup into velling or establishment.	🗌 Yes* 🛛 No	

Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is an imminent threat to public health and safety.

#### Describe verification methods and results:

searched for seepage in yard

## 2. Tank integrity – Compliance component #2 of 5

Compliance criteria:		Attached supporting of	documentation:		
System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit,	🗌 Yes* 🛛 No	$\_$ Pumped at time of inspection			
or other pit?		Name of maintenance business:		Hassle Free	
Sewage tank(s) leak below their	🗌 Yes* 🛛 No	License number of maintenance business: <u>3287</u>			
designed operating depth?		Date of maintenance:		7/9/2021	
		Existing tank integrity assessment (Attach)			
		Date of maintenance			
If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks:		(mm/dd/yyyy): (must be		within three years)	
Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is failing to protect groundwater.		(See form instructions to ensure assessment complies with Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1))			
		Tank is Noncompliant (pumping not necessary – explain below)			
		□ Other:			

Describe verification methods and results:

Visual

### 3. Other compliance conditions - Compliance component #3 of 5

<u>.</u>		
	3a. Maintenance hole covers appear to be structurally unsound (damaged, cracked, etc.), or unsecu	red?
	□ Yes* ⊠ No □ Unknown	
	3b. Other issues (electrical hazards, etc.) to immediately and adversely impact public health or safety?	Yes* X No Unknown
	*Yes to 3a or 3b - System is an imminent threat to public health and safety.	
	3c. System is non-protective of ground water for other conditions as determined by inspector?	
	3d. System not abandoned in accordance with Minn. R. 7080.2500?	🗌 Yes* 🛛 No
	*Yes to 3c or 3d - System is failing to protect groundwater.	
	Describe verification methods and results:	
	Visual	
	Attached supporting documentation: 🛛 Not applicable	
л	<b>Operating permit and nitrogen BMP*</b> – Compliance component #4 of	5 Mot applicable
	Is the system operated under an Operating Permit?	yes", A below is required
	Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design?  Yes No If '	yes", B below is required
	BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design	
	If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed.	
	Compliance criteria:	
	a. Have the operating permit requirements been met?	
	b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning?	
	Any "no" answer indicates noncompliance.	
	Describe verification methods and results:	
	Describe vernication methods and results.	

Attached supporting documentation: Operating permit (Attach)

### 5. Soil separation – Compliance component #5 of 5

Date of installation	10/20/2000 (mm/dd/yyyy)	Unkı	nown			
Shoreland/Wellhead protection/Food		☐ Yes ⊠ No Attached supporting documentation:				
beverage lodging?				Soil observation logs completed for the	e report (Attach)	
Compliance criteria (select one):				Two previous verifications of required vertical		
5a. For systems built prior to April 1, 1996, and not located in Shoreland or Wellhead Protection Area or not serving a food, beverage or lodging establishment:		☐ Yes	□ No*	separation <i>(Attach)</i> Not applicable (No soil treatment area) 		
Drainfield has at le separation distance saturated soil or be						
5b. Non-performance systems built April 1, 1996, or later or for non-performance systems located in Shoreland or Wellhead Protection Areas or serving a food, beverage, or lodging establishment: Drainfield has a three-foot vertical			□ No*	Indicate depths or elevations		
				A. Bottom of distribution media	24"+	
				B. Periodically saturated soil/bedrock	16"	
				C. System separation	40"	
separation distance	e from periodically			D. Required compliance separation*	36"	
saturated soil or be	edrock.*			*May be reduced up to 15 percent if allo Ordinance.	owed by Local	
systems built unde Type IV or V syste Rules 7080. 2350	ms built under 2008	□ Yes	☐ No*			
Drainfield meets th separation distance saturated soil or be						

\*Any "no" answer above indicates the system is failing to protect groundwater.

Describe verification methods and results:

**Upgrade requirements:** (*Minn. Stat.* § 115.55) An imminent threat to public health and safety (ITPHS) must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within ten months of receipt of this notice or within a shorter period if required by local ordinance. If the system is failing to protect ground water, the system must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within the time required by local ordinance. If an existing system is not failing as defined in law, and has at least two feet of design soil separation, then the system need not be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued, notwithstanding any local ordinance that is more strict. This provision does not apply to systems in shoreland areas, Wellhead Protection Areas, or those used in connection with food, beverage, and lodging establishments as defined in law.