## ZIERKE SOIL TESTING

Jeff Schrempp 14445 Racine Ave N Marine on St Croix, MN 55047

July 5th, 2021

Dear Jeff Schrempp,

At your request, I have conducted a septic inspection to determine the compliance status of your client's septic system pursuant to Minnesota Rules Chapter 7080.1500.

The compliance test set out in 7080.1500 has three main inquiries: 1). Is the system functioning hydraulically (disposing of effluent in a manner that prevents it from coming in contact with people)? 2). Are the septic tanks water tight? 3). Does the system have sufficient vertical separation between the bottom of the septic system and restrictive layers (bedrock, standing water, seasonally wet layers, etc) to provide full treatment of effluent?

Based off of these criteria, your system is <u>non-compliant</u> due to a lack of vertical separation between the bottom of your drain field and indicators of seasonally wet soil (redoximorphic features). Therefore, this system is considered "failing to protect groundwater" and <u>is not considered an imminent threat to public health</u>. I am required to provide copies of this report to you and to Washington County. You should contact them as to the next steps that will be required to bring the system into compliance.

Sincerely,

Benjamin Zierke

MPCA Lic 119, Cert 9594

ADDRESS: 28587 Jeffrey Ave Chisago City, MN 55013

PHONE 651-249-1346 EMAIL benzierke@gmail.com



520 Lafayette Road North St. Paul, MN 55155-4194

# Compliance inspection report form

### **Existing Subsurface Sewage Treatment System (SSTS)**

Doc Type: Compliance and Enforcement

**Instructions:** Inspection results based on Minnesota Pollution Control Agency (MPCA) requirements and attached supporting documentation – additional local requirements may also apply. Further information can be found here: https://www.pca.state.mn.us/sites/default/files/wq-wwists4-31a.pdf.

Inspector must submit completed form to Local Governmental Unit (LGU) and system owner within 15 days of final determination of compliance or noncompliance.

Property information	formation Local tracking number:				
Parcel ID# or Sec/Twp/Range: 1903119420021 Local	el ID# or Sec/Twp/Range: 1903119420021 Local regulatory authority: Washington County				
Property address: 14445 Racine Ave N Marine on St Croix, MN 55047					
Owner/representative: Jeff Schrempp (representative)	Owner's phone:				
Brief system description: Round pre-cast 1200 gallon septic tank, g	ravity rock trench drainfield				
System status					
System status on date (mm/dd/yyyy): _7/5/2021					
☐ Compliant – Certificate of compliance*	Noncompliant – Notice of noncompliance				
(Valid for 3 years from report date unless evidence of an imminent threat to public health or safety requiring removal and abatement under section 145A.04, subdivision 8 is discovered or a shorter time frame exists in Local Ordinance.)  *Note: Compliance indicates conformance with Minn. R. 7080.1500 as of system status date above and does not guarantee future performance.	An imminent threat to public health and safety (ITPHS) must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within ten months of receipt of this notice or within a shorter period if required by local ordinance or under section 145A.04 subdivision 8.  Systems failing to protect ground water must be upgraded, replaced, or use discontinued within the time required by local ordinance.				
Reason(s) for noncompliance (check all applicable)    Impact on public health (Compliance component #1) – Imminent threat to public health and safety   Tank integrity (Compliance component #2) – Failing to protect groundwater   Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance component #3) – Imminent threat to public health and safety   Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance component #3) – Failing to protect groundwater   System not abandoned according to Minn. R. 7080.2500 (Compliance component #3) – Failing to protect groundwater   Soil separation (Compliance component #5) – Failing to protect groundwater   Operating permit/monitoring plan requirements (Compliance component #4) – Noncompliant - local ordinance applies  Comments or recommendations					
Certification					
I hereby certify that all the necessary information has been gathered to determine the compliance status of this system. No determination of future system performance has been nor can be made due to unknown conditions during system construction, possible abuse of the system, inadequate maintenance, or future water usage.  By typing my name below, I certify the above statements to be true and correct, to the best of my knowledge, and that this information					
can be used for the purpose of processing this form.	Cartification number: 0504				
Business name: Zierke Soil Testing	Certification number: 9594  License number: 119				
Inspector signature: (This document has been electronically signed)					
Necessary or locally required supporting documentation (must be attached)					
<ul> <li>☑ Soil observation logs</li> <li>☑ Other information (list):</li> <li>Site sketch</li> </ul>	☐ Tank Integrity Assessment ☐ Operating Permit				
https://www.pca.state.mn.us • 651-296-6300 • 800-657-3864	Use your preferred relay service				

Compliance criteria:		Attached supporting documentation:	
System discharges sewage to the	☐ Yes* ☒ No	☐ Other:	
ground surface		Not applicable     Not	
System discharges sewage to drain tile or surface waters.	☐ Yes* ☒ No		
System causes sewage backup into dwelling or establishment.	☐ Yes* ⊠ No		
Any "yes" answer above indicates mminent threat to public health a			
Describe verification methods and	d results:		
nk integrity – Compliance	e component #2		
Compliance criteria:		Attached supporting documentation:	
Compliance criteria:  System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit,	e component #2  ☐ Yes* ☑ No		Smilies
Compliance criteria:  System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit?		Attached supporting documentation:  Pumped at time of inspection  Name of maintenance business:	<u>Smilies</u> : 2428
Compliance criteria:  System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit,	☐ Yes* ☑ No	Attached supporting documentation:  ⊠ Pumped at time of inspection	
Compliance criteria:  System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit?  Sewage tank(s) leak below their	☐ Yes* ☑ No	Attached supporting documentation:  Pumped at time of inspection  Name of maintenance business:  License number of maintenance business	: 2428 7/1/2021
Compliance criteria:  System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit?  Sewage tank(s) leak below their	☐ Yes* ☑ No	Attached supporting documentation:  Pumped at time of inspection  Name of maintenance business:  License number of maintenance business  Date of maintenance:  Existing tank integrity assessment (Attach	: <u>2428</u> 7/1/2021
Compliance criteria:  System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit?  Sewage tank(s) leak below their	☐ Yes* ☑ No	Attached supporting documentation:  Pumped at time of inspection  Name of maintenance business:  License number of maintenance business  Date of maintenance:  Existing tank integrity assessment (Attach	: <u>2428</u> 7/1/2021
Compliance criteria:  System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit?  Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth?	☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Yes* ☒ No	Attached supporting documentation:  Pumped at time of inspection  Name of maintenance business:  License number of maintenance business  Date of maintenance:  Existing tank integrity assessment (Attach	2428 7/1/2021 ) three years)
Compliance criteria:  System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit?  Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth?  If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks:  Any "yes" answer above indicates.	☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Yes* ☒ No	Attached supporting documentation:  Pumped at time of inspection  Name of maintenance business:  License number of maintenance business  Date of maintenance:  Existing tank integrity assessment (Attach  Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy):  (See form instructions to ensure assessment)  Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1))  Tank is Noncompliant (pumping not necesses)	: 2428 7/1/2021 ) three years) ent complies with
Compliance criteria:  System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit?  Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth?  If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks:  Any "yes" answer above indicis failing to protect groundward.	☐ Yes* ☑ No ☐ Yes* ☑ No ☐ Yes* ☑ No ☐ Cates the system	Attached supporting documentation:  Pumped at time of inspection  Name of maintenance business:  License number of maintenance business  Date of maintenance:  Existing tank integrity assessment (Attach  Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy):  (See form instructions to ensure assessment)  Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1))	: 2428 7/1/2021 ) three years) ent complies with
Compliance criteria:  System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit?  Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth?  If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks:  Any "yes" answer above indicis failing to protect groundward.	☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ And The System ster.	Attached supporting documentation:  Pumped at time of inspection  Name of maintenance business:  License number of maintenance business  Date of maintenance:  Existing tank integrity assessment (Attach  Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy):  (See form instructions to ensure assessment)  Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1))  Tank is Noncompliant (pumping not necessary)  Other:	: 2428 7/1/2021 ) three years) ent complies with
Compliance criteria:  System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit?  Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth?  If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks:  Any "yes" answer above indicis failing to protect groundward.	☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ And The System ster.	Attached supporting documentation:  Pumped at time of inspection  Name of maintenance business:  License number of maintenance business  Date of maintenance:  Existing tank integrity assessment (Attach  Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy):  (See form instructions to ensure assessment)  Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1))  Tank is Noncompliant (pumping not necessary)  Other:	: 2428 7/1/2021 ) three years) ent complies with
Compliance criteria:  System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit?  Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth?  If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks:  Any "yes" answer above indicis failing to protect groundward.	☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ And The System ster.	Attached supporting documentation:  Pumped at time of inspection  Name of maintenance business:  License number of maintenance business  Date of maintenance:  Existing tank integrity assessment (Attach  Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy):  (See form instructions to ensure assessment)  Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1))  Tank is Noncompliant (pumping not necessary)  Other:	: 2428 7/1/2021 ) three years) ent complies with
Compliance criteria:  System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit?  Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth?  If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks:  Any "yes" answer above indicis failing to protect groundward.	☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ And The System ster.	Attached supporting documentation:  Pumped at time of inspection  Name of maintenance business:  License number of maintenance business  Date of maintenance:  Existing tank integrity assessment (Attach  Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy):  (See form instructions to ensure assessment)  Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1))  Tank is Noncompliant (pumping not necessary)  Other:	: 2428 7/1/2021 ) three years) ent complies with
Compliance criteria:  System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit?  Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth?  If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks:  Any "yes" answer above indicis failing to protect groundward.	☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ And The System ster.	Attached supporting documentation:  Pumped at time of inspection  Name of maintenance business:  License number of maintenance business  Date of maintenance:  Existing tank integrity assessment (Attach  Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy):  (See form instructions to ensure assessment)  Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1))  Tank is Noncompliant (pumping not necessary)  Other:	: 2428 7/1/2021 ) three years) ent complies with

3.	3. Other compliance conditions – Compliance component #3 of 5				
	3a. Maintenance hole covers appear to be structurally unsound (damaged, cracked, etc.), or unsecured?  ☐ Yes* ☐ No ☐ Unknown				
	3b. Other issues (electrical hazards, etc.) to immediately and adversely impact public health or safety? ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Unknown *Yes to 3a or 3b - System is an imminent threat to public health and safety.				
	3c. System is non-protective of ground water for other conditions as determined by inspector? ☐ Yes* ☒ No				
	3d. System not abandoned in accordance with Minn. R. 7080.2500? ☐ Yes* ☒ No				
	*Yes to 3c or 3d - System is failing to protect groundwater.  Describe verification methods and results:				
	Describe verification metrious and results.				
	Attached supporting documentation:   Not applicable				
4.	Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* – Compliance component #4 of 5 ⊠ Not applicable				
	Is the system operated under an Operating Permit?				
	Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design?   Yes  No If "yes", B below is required.				
	BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design				
	If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed.				
	Compliance criteria:  a. Have the operating permit requirements been met? ☐ Yes ☐ No				
	b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning?  Yes  No				
	Any "no" answer indicates noncompliance.				
	Describe verification methods and results:				
	Attached supporting documentation:   Operating permit (Attach)				

### **5. Soil separation** – Compliance component #5 of 5

Date of installation 6/20/1978 (mm/dd/yyyy)	Unknown	
Shoreland/Wellhead protection/Food beverage lodging?  Compliance criteria (select one):  5a. For systems built prior to April 1, 1996, and not located in Shoreland or Wellhead Protection Area or not serving a food, beverage or lodging establishment:  Drainfield has at least a two-foot vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.	⊠ Yes □ No	Attached supporting documentation:  ☐ Soil observation logs completed for the report (Attach)  ☐ Two previous verifications of required vertical separation (Attach)  ☐ Not applicable (No soil treatment area)
5b.Non-performance systems built April 1, 1996, or later or for non-performance systems located in Shoreland or Wellhead Protection Areas or serving a food, beverage, or lodging establishment:  Drainfield has a three-foot vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.*	☐ Yes ⊠ No*	Indicate depths or elevations  A. Bottom of distribution media 97.5'  B. Periodically saturated soil/bedrock 98.0'  C. System separation 3.0'  D. Required compliance separation* -1.5'  *May be reduced up to 15 percent if allowed by Local Ordinance.
5c. "Experimental", "Other", or "Performance" systems built under pre-2008 Rules; Type IV or V systems built under 2008 Rules 7080. 2350 or 7080.2400 (Advanced Inspector License required)  Drainfield meets the designed vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.  *Any "no" answer above indicates the failing to protect groundwater.		

**Upgrade requirements:** (Minn. Stat. § 115.55) An imminent threat to public health and safety (ITPHS) must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within ten months of receipt of this notice or within a shorter period if required by local ordinance. If the system is failing to protect ground water, the system must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within the time required by local ordinance. If an existing system is not failing as defined in law, and has at least two feet of design soil separation, then the system need not be upgraded, repaired, replaced, or its use discontinued, notwithstanding any local ordinance that is more strict. This provision does not apply to systems in shoreland areas, Wellhead Protection Areas, or those used in connection with food,

beverage, and lodging establishments as defined in law.

Describe verification methods and results:

### **Logs of Soil Borings**

Location of Project:

14445 Racine Ave Marine on St Croix, MN 55047

Borings Made by Ben Zierke

Date:

7/1/2021

Hand bucket auger used for borings; USDA - SCS Soil Classification used.

Depth, in Inches 0	Boring Number 1	Depth, in Inches 0	Boring Number 2
0-24"	10YR 3/2 loamy fine sand	0-16"	10YR 3/2 loamy fine sand
24"	Bedrock obstruction	16"	Bedrock obstruction
End of boring at Standing water tab Present at Standing water not p Mottled Soil: Observed at Mottled soil not pres Comments:	feet of depth Hours after boring  feet of depth Flours after boring  feet of depth Flours after boring	End of boring at Standing water tab Present at Standing water not p Mottled Soil: Observed at Mottled soil not pres Comments:	feet of depth feet of depth feet of depth
Depth, in Inches	Boring Number 3	Depth, in Inches	Boring Number 4
O End of boring at	feet	O End of boring at	feet
Standing water tab Present at Standing water not p Mottled Soil: Observed at Mottled soil not pres Comments:	feet of depth Hours after boring feet of depth Feet of depth	Standing water tal Present at Standing water not Mottled Soil: Observed at Mottled soil not pre Comments:	feet of depth  feet of depth  feet of depth  feet of depth

# Washington County, MN



0

CI 20

10

20 m

L 80