Midwest Sewer Services

P.O. Box 10853 White Bear Lake, MN 55110 651-492-7550/Brian@Midwestsoiltesting.com

Brian Humpal

MPCA Licensed Advanced Inspector

SUBSURFACE SEWAGE TREATMENT SYSTEM (SSTS) COMPLIANCE REPORT

Date: August 2, 2021 **Time:** 10:30 AM **Owner:** Kevin Welch

Inspection Address: 6667 Orchard Ridge Trail, Woodbury, MN 55129

REPORT SUMMARY

I have performed an "MPCA Compliance Inspection" on this system. I have contacted Washington County and was advised that there are no records for this system. This system consists of two pre-cast septic tanks and a gravelless trench drainfield. Meyer Sewer Service pumped the septic tanks on August 2, 2021.

Although not a compliance criteria, it should be noted that gravelless pipe is no longer approved for installation in the State of Minnesota and we have had experience with this product having significantly reduced performance and/or life expectancy. We cannot guarantee the performance of this system beyond the compliance date (8/2/2021).

Predicated on my inspection of the system and my review of the original design/permit records, it is my opinion that this system <u>presently meets</u> MPCA minimum compliance inspection requirements.

Midwest Sewer Services have been hired to perform a compliance inspection of this SSTS for compliance with local ordinances pursuant to Minn. Stat. § 115.55 (2013). This compliance inspection covers only the criteria required by Minn. Stat. § 115.55 Subd. 5a (2013) and Minn. R. 7080.1500 (2011). A compliance inspection is an indication of the current compliance status of the system and does not guarantee the performance or longevity of this system beyond the date of inspection, as it is impossible to determine the future performance of any system. Midwest Sewer Services disclaim any use of this compliance inspection beyond determining SSTS compliance pursuant to Minn. Stat. § 115.55 Subd. 5a (2013) and Minn. R. 7080.1500 (2011).

Please contact me should you have any questions.

Human Humpal

Christopher

Uebe

Brian Humpal



520 Lafayette Road North St. Paul, MN 55155-4194

Compliance inspection report form

Existing Subsurface Sewage Treatment System (SSTS)

Doc Type: Compliance and Enforcement

Instructions: Inspector must submit completed form to Local Governmental Unit (LGU) and system owner within 15 days of final determination of compliance or noncompliance. Instructions for filling out this form are located on the Minnesota Pollution Control Agency (MPCA) website at https://www.pca.state.mn.us/sites/default/files/wq-wwists4-31a.pdf.

Property information	Local tracking number:
Parcel ID# or Sec/Twp/Range:	Reason for Inspection Property Transfer
Local regulatory authority info: Washington County	
Property address: 6667 Orchard Ridge Trail, Woodbury, MN 5	5129
Owner/representative: Kevin Welch	Owner's phone: 651-503-9069
Brief system description: Two pre-cast septic tanks and a grave	lless trench drainfield.
System status	
System status on date (mm/dd/yyyy): 8/2/2021	
☐ Compliant – Certificate of compliance*	☐ Noncompliant – Notice of noncompliance
(Valid for 3 years from report date unless evidence of an imminent threat to public health or safety requiring removal and	Systems failing to protect ground water must be upgraded, replaced, or use discontinued within the time required by local ordinance.
abatement under section 145A.04, subdivision 8 is discovered or a shorter time frame exists in Local Ordinance.) *Note: Compliance indicates conformance with Minn.	An imminent threat to public health and safety (ITPHS) must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within ten months of receipt
R. 7080.1500 as of system status date above and does not guarantee future performance.	of this notice or within a shorter period if required by local ordinance or under section 145A.04 subdivision 8.
Reason(s) for noncompliance (check all applicab	le)
☐ Impact on public health (Compliance component #1) – Immir	nent threat to public health and safety
☐ Tank integrity (Compliance component #2) – Failing to prote	ct groundwater
☐ Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance component #3) –	Imminent threat to public health and safety
Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance component #3) –	
System not abandoned according to Minn. R. 7080.2500 (Co	
Soil separation (Compliance component #5) – Failing to prot	
Operating permit/monitoring plan requirements (Compliance	component #4) – Noncompliant - local ordinance applies
Comments or recommendations	
Although not a compliance criteria, it should be noted that grave Minnesota and we have had experience with this product having cannot guarantee the performance of this system beyond the co	g significantly reduced performance and/or life expectancy. We
Certification	
	to determine the compliance status of this system. No determination of vn conditions during system construction, possible abuse of the system,
By typing my name below, I certify the above statements to be true used for the purpose of processing this form.	and correct, to the best of my knowledge, and that this information can be
Business name: Midwest Sewer Services	Certification number: 5342/9852
Inspector signature: Brian Humpal Home	License number: L2896
(This document has been electronically sign	ned) Phone: 651-492-7550
Necessary or locally required supporting do	cumentation (must be attached)
☐ Soil observation logs ☐ System/As-Built ☐ Locally rec	uired forms 🛛 Tank Integrity Assessment 🔲 Operating Permit
Other information (list): Report Summary, Property Information	ion, Disclaimer, License

pact on public health – Co			
Compliance criteria:	D.V. at M.N.	Attached supporting documentation	on:
System discharges sewage to the ground surface	☐ Yes*	☐ Other: ☐ Not applicable	
System discharges sewage to drain tile or surface waters.	☐ Yes* ☒ No	☐ Not applicable	
System causes sewage backup into dwelling or establishment.	☐ Yes* ⊠ No		
Any "yes" answer above indicates imminent threat to public health ai			
Describe verification methods and	l results:		
We cannot guarantee the performan	ce of this system beyo		
nk integrity – Compliance Compliance criteria:		of 5 Attached supporting documentation	on:
nk integrity — Compliance Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit,			on:
nk integrity – Compliance Compliance criteria:	component #2	Attached supporting documentation	on: Meyer S Service
nk integrity – Compliance Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their	component #2	Attached supporting documentation ☐ Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector	Meyer S Service
nk integrity – Compliance Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit?	component #2	Attached supporting documentation ✓ Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business:	Meyer S <u>Service</u> ness: <u>L915</u>
nk integrity – Compliance Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their	component #2	Attached supporting documentation Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance business	Meyer S <u>Service</u> ness: <u>L915</u> <u>8/2/202</u>
nk integrity – Compliance Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their	component #2	Attached supporting documentation Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance business busines	Meyer S Service ness: <u>L915</u> <u>8/2/202</u> ttach)
nk integrity – Compliance Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth?	Yes* ⋈ No Yes* ⋈ No	Attached supporting documentation Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance business busines	Meyer S Service ness: <u>L915</u> 8/2/202 ttach)
nk integrity — Compliance Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indices	Yes* ⋈ No Yes* ⋈ No	Attached supporting documentation Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance business: Date of maintenance: Existing tank integrity assessment (Attached) Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (See form instructions to ensure asses	Meyer S Service ness: L915 8/2/202 ttach) thin three yearssment comp

Pro	operty Address: _6667 Orchard Ridge Trail, Woodbury, MN 55129	
Bu	siness Name: Midwest Sewer Services	Date: 8/2/2021
3.	Other compliance conditions – Compliance component #3 of 5	
	3a. Maintenance hole covers appear to be structurally unsound (damaged, cracked, etc.), or uns	ecured?
	☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Unknown	
	3b. Other issues (electrical hazards, etc.) to immediately and adversely impact public health or safe	ty? ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Unknown
	*Yes to 3a or 3b - System is an imminent threat to public health and safety.	
	3c. System is non-protective of ground water for other conditions as determined by inspector?	☐ Yes* ☒ No
	3d. System not abandoned in accordance with Minn. R. 7080.2500?	☐ Yes* ☒ No
	*Yes to 3c or 3d - System is failing to protect groundwater.	
	Describe verification methods and results:	
	Attached supporting documentation: Not applicable	
4.	Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* – Compliance component #4 of	of 5 🛭 Not applicable
	Is the system operated under an Operating Permit? ☐ Yes ☐ No	If "yes", A below is required
	Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? Yes No	
	BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design	• •
	If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be complete	ed.
	Compliance criteria:	
	a. Have the operating permit requirements been met?	
	b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning? ☐ Yes ☐ No	
	Any "no" answer indicates noncompliance.	
	Describe verification methods and results:	
	Attached supporting documentation:	

https://www.pca.state.mn.us wq-wwists4-31b • 4/28/2021

Soil separation – Compliance cor Date of installation 2000 (mm/dd/yyyy)	Unkr		1.5	
Shoreland/Wellhead protection/Food beverage lodging? Compliance criteria (select one): 5a. For systems built prior to April 1, 1996, and not located in Shoreland or Wellhead Protection Area or not serving a food, beverage or lodging establishment: Drainfield has at least a two-foot vertical separation distance from periodically		□ No □ No*	Attached supporting documentation: Soil observation logs completed for the soil observation logs completed for the soil observation logs completed for the soil observations of required Involved Invol	l vertical separation
saturated soil or bedrock. 5b. Non-performance systems built April 1, 1996, or later or for non- performance systems located in Shoreland or Wellhead Protection Areas or serving a food, beverage, or lodging establishment: Drainfield has a three-foot vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.*	⊠ Yes	□ No*	Indicate depths or elevations A. Bottom of distribution media B. Periodically saturated soil/bedrock C. System separation D. Required compliance separation* *May be reduced up to 15 percent if alloydinance.	See Attached Boring Log(s)
5c. "Experimental", "Other", or "Performance" systems built under pre-2008 Rules; Type IV or V systems built under 2008 Rules 7080. 2350 or 7080.2400 (Intermediate Inspector License required ≤ 2,500 gallons per day; Advanced Inspector License required > 2,500 gallons per day) Drainfield meets the designed vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock. *Any "no" answer above indicates the stailing to protect groundwater.		□ No*		

Upgrade requirements: (Minn. Stat. § 115.55) An imminent threat to public health and safety (ITPHS) must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within ten months of receipt of this notice or within a shorter period if required by local ordinance. If the system is failing to protect ground water, the system must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within the time required by local ordinance. If an existing system is not failing as defined in law, and has at least two feet of design soil separation, then the system need not be upgraded, repaired, replaced, or its use discontinued, notwithstanding any local ordinance that is more strict. This provision does not apply to systems in shoreland areas, Wellhead Protection Areas, or those used in connection with food, beverage, and lodging establishments as defined in law.


Use your preferred relay service

<u>Midwest & ewer Testing</u> <u>Subsurface Sewage Treatment System Owner/Property Information</u>

This information will be used for the purpose of conducting an MPCA	Compliance Inspection.			
Date of Inspection: August 2, 2021	Time: 10:30 AM			
Property Address: 6667 Orcard Ridge Trail, Woodbury, MN	Zip: 55129			
Property Owner: Kevin Welch	Phone: 651-503-9069			
Tank(s) Tank(s)Material Soil Treatment System □ Septic 2 □ Fiberglass □ Rock trench □ Aerobic □ Plastic □ Metal □ Chamber trench □ Holding □ Concrete □ Other: □ Block □ Other □ Other □ □ At-grade □ At-grade □ Image: Accordance of the control of the contr	Other Alternative system Experimental system Cesspool system Other system			
Are the tank maintenance covers accessible? ⊠ Yes ☐ No *If i	no, proper maintenance must be			
performed through the maintenance holes. Maintenance hole cover the ground surface to facilitate access and proper maintenance of the surface to facilitate access and the surface to facilitate access access and the surface to facilitate access access and the surface to facilitate access access access and the surface access ac	ers should be made accessible to the system.			
	Tank size (gals.):			
	sidents in home?			
Number of bedrooms? 5 Are all floors drained by gr				
Garbage disposal? Whirlpool bath?				
More than one system (laundry, etc.)? Does this property have any footing drain tiles connected to the se	ntic system?			
Does this property have any rooting drain thes connected to the se	pric system?			
Are any buildings on this property such as garages or out-building Are there any additional systems on this property serving other bu	-			
Logation of sontia system on lot? South Sida				
Location of septic system on lot? South Side Location of water well on lot? Is the	e well a deep well? Y			
Have you ever experienced any problems with the system such as:				
surfacing of sewage onto the ground, septic tank overflowing, etc. to the system? If yes, explain:				
	per: Meyer Sewer Service			
How often pumped in previous years?				
Have you received notices from any government agency concerning this system?				
Is your property located in a shoreland management area? Y				
Do you have any additional information that should be given to the	e new owner?			
I hereby certify that the above information is correct to the best of my knowledge. I also understand that if the system is considered "non-compliant/failing" per MPCA rules, that the inspector must by law submit a copy of this report to the local government unit within 15 days of the date of inspection completion. I also agree that unless otherwise noted in this report, that I/we are ultimately responsible for payment of all fees for all work performed relative to this inspection by Inspect Minnesota and Midwest Soil Testing				

Date:

Owner/Occupant:



Soil Observations Log

Observations Made By: Midwest Sewer Services Date: 8/2/2021 Classification System: USDA Soil Observation: ST-1 Soil Observation: Surface Elevation of Observation Depth Inches O-12 107R 3/3 Sandy Loam (Very Dry) 7.57R 3/4 Sandy Loam 7.57R 3/4 Sandy Loam 9.578 3/4 Sandy With Gravel 9.578 3/4 Medium Sand With Trace Of Gravel 67" Depth To End Of Soil Observation Or Redox Same Elevation of Observation Relative To System Elevation Of Observation Relative To System 9.33" Depth To Bottom Of Distribution Media 10 Separation 10 Separation 10 Separation 10 Separation 10 Separation 10 Depth To Bottom Of Distribution Media 10 Separation 10 Separ	Loca	tion of Project:	6667 Orchard Ridg	e Trail,	Woodbu	ıry, MN 5512	29
Soil Observation: ST-1 Soil Observation:	Observa	tions Made By:					
Surface Elevation of Observation Depth In Inches 0-12 12-22 22-30 30-50 50-67 Depth To End Of Soil Observation Same ground surface as last drainfield trench Depth Inches 0-12 1-22 22-30 30-50 50-67 Depth To End Of Soil Observation Or Redox Same Elevation of Observation Relative To System Elevation of Observation Or Depth In Inches Depth In Inches Soils Encountered Depth In Inches Depth To End Of Soil Observation Or Redox Depth To End Of Soil Observation Or Redox Same Elevation Of Observation Relative To System Elevation Of Observation Relative To System Depth To Bottom Of Distribution Media	Classif	cation System:	USDA				
Elevation of Observation Depth In Inches 0-12 12-22 22-30 30-50 50-67 Depth To End Of Soil Observation Observation Soils Encountered 10YR 3/3 Sandy Loam (Very Dry) 7.5YR 3/4 Sandy Loam 7.5YR 4/4 Sandy Loam 7.5YR 4/4 Sandy Loam 7.5YR 3/4 Medium Sand With Gravel 7.5YR 3/4 Medium Sand With Trace Of Gravel 67" Depth To End Of Soil Observation Or Redox Same Elevation Of Observation Relative To System -34" Depth To Bottom Of Distribution Media Depth In Rock % Soils Encountered Depth In Inches Soils Encountered Depth To End Of Soil Observation Or Redox Depth To End Of Soil Observation Or Redox Elevation Of Observation Relative To System Depth To Bottom Of Distribution Media	So	oil Observation: ST-1		Soil Observation:			
Inches Rock % Solis Encountered Inches Rock % R	Elevation of	dua infield tuenels		Elevat	ion of		
12-22 22-30 30-50 ≈10-15 50-67 7.5YR 3/4 Sandy Loam 7.5YR 4/4 Medium Sand With Gravel 7.5YR 3/4 Medium Sand With Trace Of Gravel 67" Depth To End Of Soil Observation Or Redox Same Elevation Of Observation Relative To System -34" Depth To Bottom Of Distribution Media 7.5YR 3/4 Sandy Loam 7.5YR 3/4 Sandy Loam 7.5YR 4/4 S	י ו אחרע ש	Soils E	ncountered		Rock %	Soils	Encountered
Same Elevation Of Observation Relative To System Elevation Of Observation Relative To System -34" Depth To Bottom Of Distribution Media Depth To Bottom Of Distribution Media	12-22 22-30 30-50 ≈10-1	7.5YR 3/ 7.5YR 4/ 7.5YR 4/4 Medi 7.5YR 3/4 N	/4 Sandy Loam /4 Sandy Loam ium Sand With Gravel /ledium Sand With				
-34" Depth To Bottom Of Distribution Media Depth To Bottom Of Distribution Media	67" Depth	To End Of Soil O	bservation Or Redox		Depth T	o End Of Soil	Observation Or Redox
≥33" Ut Separation Ut Separation							Distribution Media
	≥33" Of Se	paration			Of Sepa	iration	
End Of Soil Observation At: 67" End Of Soil Observation At:	End Of Soi	Observation At:	67"	End Of	Soil Oh	servation At:	
Redox Present At: None Redox Present At:			_				
Standing Water Present At: None Standing Water Present At:							

Bottom Of Distribution Medium At: 34 Inches		
Signature:	Chan la	

DISCLAIMER

Brian L. Humpal, Inc. dba. Midwest Sewer Services, Inspect Minnesota, Midwest Soil Testing Relative to Subsurface Sewage Treatment System (SSTS) Compliance Inspections

- 1. This inspection/report is being performed for only the seller/owner of the property on which the SSTS is located. In such case that another party is paying for the inspection, the contract is between only said party and Brian L. Humpal, Inc.; there is no contract between Brian L. Humpal, Inc. and any other party unless otherwise noted.
- 3. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. has not been retained to warranty, guarantee, or certify the proper functioning of the SSTS for any period of time beyond the date of inspection or into the future. Because of the numerous factors (usage, maintenance, soil characteristics, previous failures, etc.) which may affect the proper operation of an SSTS, as well as the inability of Brian L. Humpal, Inc. to supervise or monitor the use or maintenance of the SSTS, the report shall not be construed as a warranty by Brian L. Humpal, Inc. that the SSTS will function properly for any particular party for any period of time.
- 4. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. is unable to verify the frequency and/or, quality of prior or future maintenance of the SSTS. Maintenance of the tank(s) must be performed through the tanks maintenance hole. The removal of solids from any location other than the maintenance hole is not a compliant method of maintenance. It is strongly recommended that maintenance covers be made accessible to the ground surface to facilitate proper maintenance.
- 5. Minimum Compliance Inspection requirements relative to this inspection and this report include only verification that the SSTS has tank(s) (septic tanks, lift tanks, dosing tanks, stilling tanks, etc.) which are watertight below the designed operating depth, the required separation between the bottom of the subsurface soil distribution medium and seasonally saturated soils, no back-ups of sewage into the dwelling, no discharge of sewage/effluent to the ground surface or surface waters, and no imminent safety hazards. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. does not inspect plumbing or pumps prior to the first SSTS component as these are plumbing components. The performance of exterior pumps and associated components are not inspected as they are considered to be maintenance items. Additionally, no indications relative to compliance with electrical code requirements have been made. It is recommended that any other applicable plumbing, electrical, housing, etc. inspections be performed by a qualified inspection business. Sewage back-up verification is limited to observing the floor drain area and/or the information supplied by the last occupants of the building prior to inspection relative to back-ups is accurate.
- 4. Certification of this SSTS does not warranty future use beyond the date of the inspection. Any SSTS, old or new, can become hydraulically overloaded or discharge sewage/effluent to the ground surface as a result of more people moving into the house than were previously occupying the house, improper maintenance, heavy usage, leaking plumbing fixtures, groundwater infiltration, tree roots, freezing conditions, surface drainage problems, poor initial design, poor construction practices, or unsuitable materials used in constructing the system; the system can also simply stop working because of its age. An SSTS that has been properly designed and installed, properly maintained, and used in the manner for which the system was designed can be expected to provide service for twenty to twenty-five years on average. Some parts of the SSTS such as alarms, switches, pumps, filters, etc. will most likely have to be repaired or replaced over the lifetime of the system
- 5. A Compliance Inspection is not meant to be a test or inspection for longevity of the system; a Compliance Inspection is strictly for the purpose of determining if the SSTS is protective of public health and safety, as well as the groundwater at the date and time the inspection was performed. This inspection is not intended to determine if the SSTS was originally designed or installed to past or present MPCA or other Local Government Unit code requirements. This inspection is not intended to determine if the SSTS was designed and/or installed to support the anticipated flow from the building as the use of the building may have changed since the design and construction of the SSTS due to the addition of bedrooms, occupants, etc. In addition, this inspection is not intended to determine the quality of the original SSTS design, the quality of the construction practices used while installing the SSTS, or the quality of the materials used in constructing the SSTS.
- 6. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. cannot guarantee the performance of SSTS products/components such as: gravelless pipe, chamber trenches, effluent filters, tanks, sewage pre-treatment components, piping, etc. Products such as gravelless pipe are no longer approved for installation in the State of Minnesota and may have a significantly reduced performance and/or life expectancy.
- 7. WINTER WORK: By accepting this report, it is understood that inspections conducted during winter months (approximately November 1st through April 1st) are more difficult to perform because of possible snow cover and/or ground frost. SSTS components such as tanks, maintenance covers, tank inspection pipes, subsurface distribution medium inspection pipes, and soil treatment areas are more difficult or impossible to locate due to snow cover and/or ground frost. In addition, soil borings are more difficult to perform due to snow cover and/or ground frost. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. will attempt to use the same level of standards when performing work during winter periods as when performing work during non-winter periods. However, the recipient of this report understands that because of the aforementioned considerations, the same level of standards may not be possible.
- 8. By accepting this report, the client understands that Brian L. Humpal, Inc. will not be responsible for any monetary damages exceeding the fee for the services provided.

Subsurface Sewage Treatment Systems

Non-transferable

Business License

Midwest Sewer Services

License # L2896

License Expires: 12/22/2021

Issued: 11/06/2020

Specialty Area(s):

Installer

Maintainer

Service Provider

Advanced Designer

Advanced Inspector

Designated Certified Individual(s):

Cert #

Name

Certification Expires:

C5342

Brian L'Humpal

10/15/2023

Installer, Maintainer, Serv Prov, Adv Designer, Adv Inspector

C9852

Christopher R Uebe

3/4/2024

Designer, Inspector

MINNESOTA POLLUTION CONTROL AGENCY

520 Lafayette Road North St. Paul, Minnesota 55155-4194 Mich Haig

Nick Haig, Supervisor Certification and Training Unit