ZIERKE SOIL TESTING

Gina Green 420 Emerald Ln Mahtomedi, MN 55115

8/12/2021

Dear Gina Green,

At your request, I have conducted a septic inspection to determine the compliance status of your septic system pursuant to Minnesota Rules Chapter 7080.1500.

The compliance test set out in 7080.1500 has three main inquiries: 1). Is the system functioning hydraulically (disposing of effluent in a manner that prevents it from coming in contact with people)? 2). Are the septic tanks water tight? 3). Does the system have sufficient vertical separation between the bottom of the septic system and restrictive layers (bedrock, standing water, seasonally wet layers, etc) to provide full treatment of effluent?

Based off of these criteria, your system is <u>compliant</u>. A certification of compliance is in effect for three years from the date it is issued. To be clear, this should not be construed as a guarantee of future system function – there are too many factors that influence the lifespan of a septic system for an inspector to predict or even guess how long a septic system will last. A copy of this report will be filed with your local unit of government for their records.

Sincerely,

Benjamin Zierke

MPCA Lic 119, Cert 9594

ADDRESS: 28587 Jeffrey Ave Chisago City, MN 55013

PHONE 651-249-1346

EMAIL benzierke@gmail.com



520 Lafayette Road North St. Paul, MN 55155-4194

Compliance inspection report form

Existing Subsurface Sewage Treatment System (SSTS)

Doc Type: Compliance and Enforcement

Instructions: Inspection results based on Minnesota Pollution Control Agency (MPCA) requirements and attached supporting documentation – additional local requirements may also apply. Further information can be found here: https://www.pca.state.mn.us/sites/default/files/wq-wwists4-31a.pdf.

Inspector must submit completed form to Local Governmental Unit (LGU) and system owner within 15 days of final determination of compliance or noncompliance.

Property information	Local tracking number:									
Parcel ID# or Sec/Twp/Range: 2003021110018 Local	regulatory authority: Washington County									
Property address: 420 Emerald Ln Mahtomedi, MN 55115										
Owner/representative: Gina Green	Owner's phone: 651-335-6105									
Brief system description: Two 1000 gallon septic tanks, 1000 gallon	lift station, pressure bed dispersal system									
System status										
System status on date (mm/dd/yyyy): 8/12/2021										
□ Compliant – Certificate of compliance* □	Noncompliant – Notice of noncompliance									
(Valid for 3 years from report date unless evidence of an imminent threat to public health or safety requiring removal and abatement under section 145A.04, subdivision 8 is discovered or a shorter time frame exists	An imminent threat to public health and safety (ITPHS) must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within ten months of receipt of this notice or within a shorter period if required by local ordinance or under section 145A.04 subdivision 8.									
in Local Ordinance.) *Note: Compliance indicates conformance with Minn. R. 7080.1500 as of system status date above and does not guarantee future performance. Systems failing to protect ground water must be up replaced, or use discontinued within the time required ordinance.										
Soil separation (Compliance component #5) - Failing to	mminent threat to public health and safety protect groundwater #3) – Imminent threat to public health and safety #3) – Failing to protect groundwater 0 (Compliance component #3) – Failing to protect groundwater									
I hereby certify that all the necessary information has been gathered determination of future system performance has been nor can be maduse of the system, inadequate maintenance, or future water usage	ade due to unknown conditions during system construction, possible									
By typing my name below, I certify the above statements to be true can be used for the purpose of processing this form.	e and correct, to the best of my knowledge, and that this information									
Business name: Zierke Soil Testing	Certification number: 9594									
Inspector signature:	License number: 119									
(This document has been electronically signed)	Phone: 651-249-1346									
Necessary or locally required supporting docu	mentation (must be attached)									
Soil observation logs ☐ Locally required forms ☐ Other information (list): Previous Observations https://www.pca.state.mn.us • 651-296-6300 • 800-657-3864	□ Tank Integrity Assessment □ Operating Permit • Use your preferred relay service • Available in alternative formats									

	Compliance criteria:		Attached supporting documentation:	
	System discharges sewage to the ground surface	☐ Yes* ⊠ No	Other:	
	System discharges sewage to drain	☐ Yes* ☒ No		
	tile or surface waters. System causes sewage backup into	☐ Yes* ⊠ No		
	dwelling or establishment. Any "yes" answer above indicates	the evetem is an		
	imminent threat to public health ar			
	Describe verification methods and			
	No sign of ponding, seepage, or back	kup observed during s	ite visit 8/10/2021.	
			9	
-	Contribution Contribution		-1.5	
. 1	ank integrity – Compliance	component #2	01.5	
	Compliance criteria:		Attached supporting documentation:	
	Hall San	DV* DN-	5) 0.000,000,000,000,000 0.00,000 0.00	
	System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit,	☐ Yes* ⊠ No	□ Pumped at time of inspection	6 11
	or other pit?		Name of maintenance business:	Smilies
	0 (1/) 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	DVast DNa	Linear number of maintenance business	
	Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth?	☐ Yes* ⊠ No	License number of maintenance business	: 2428
		☐ Yes* ⊠ No	Date of maintenance:	8: 2428 8/10/2021
		☐ Yes* ⊠ No		8: 2428 8/10/2021
		☐ Yes* ☐ No	Date of maintenance: Existing tank integrity assessment (Attach	8: 2428 8/10/2021
	designed operating depth? If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indicates.	cates the system	Date of maintenance: Existing tank integrity assessment (Attack Date of maintenance	8: 2428 8/10/2021 n) three years)
	designed operating depth? If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks:	cates the system	Date of maintenance: Existing tank integrity assessment (Attack Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (must be within (See form instructions to ensure assessment)	three years)
	designed operating depth? If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indicates.	cates the system	Date of maintenance: Existing tank integrity assessment (Attack Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (See form instructions to ensure assessment) Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1))	three years)
	lf yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indicis failing to protect groundward	cates the system ter.	Date of maintenance: Existing tank integrity assessment (Attack Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (must be within (See form instructions to ensure assessment) Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1)) Tank is Noncompliant (pumping not necess	three years)
	If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indicis failing to protect groundward Describe verification methods an	eates the system ter.	Date of maintenance: Existing tank integrity assessment (Attack Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (must be within (See form instructions to ensure assessment) Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1)) Tank is Noncompliant (pumping not necess) Other:	s: 2428 8/10/2021 three years) ent complies with ary – explain below
	If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indicis failing to protect groundward Describe verification methods an Present for pumping by Smilies Sev	eates the system ter.	Date of maintenance: Existing tank integrity assessment (Attack Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (must be within (See form instructions to ensure assessment) Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1)) Tank is Noncompliant (pumping not necess	s: 2428 8/10/2021 three years) ent complies with ary – explain below
	If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indicis failing to protect groundward Describe verification methods an	eates the system ter.	Date of maintenance: Existing tank integrity assessment (Attack Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (must be within (See form instructions to ensure assessment) Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1)) Tank is Noncompliant (pumping not necess) Other:	s: 2428 8/10/2021 three years) ent complies with ary – explain below
	If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indicis failing to protect groundward Describe verification methods an Present for pumping by Smilies Sev	eates the system ter.	Date of maintenance: Existing tank integrity assessment (Attack Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (must be within (See form instructions to ensure assessment) Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1)) Tank is Noncompliant (pumping not necess) Other:	s: 2428 8/10/2021 three years) eent complies with ary – explain below
	If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indicis failing to protect groundward Describe verification methods an Present for pumping by Smilies Sev	eates the system ter.	Date of maintenance: Existing tank integrity assessment (Attack Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (must be within (See form instructions to ensure assessment) Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1)) Tank is Noncompliant (pumping not necess) Other:	s: 2428 8/10/2021 three years) eent complies with ary – explain below
	If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indicis failing to protect groundward Describe verification methods an Present for pumping by Smilies Sev	eates the system ter.	Date of maintenance: Existing tank integrity assessment (Attack Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (must be within (See form instructions to ensure assessment) Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1)) Tank is Noncompliant (pumping not necess) Other:	s: 2428 8/10/2021 three years) eent complies with
	If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indicis failing to protect groundward Describe verification methods an Present for pumping by Smilies Sev	eates the system ter.	Date of maintenance: Existing tank integrity assessment (Attack Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (must be within (See form instructions to ensure assessment) Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1)) Tank is Noncompliant (pumping not necess) Other:	s: 2428 8/10/2021 n) three years) eent complies with
	If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indicis failing to protect groundward Describe verification methods an Present for pumping by Smilies Sev	eates the system ter.	Date of maintenance: Existing tank integrity assessment (Attack Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (must be within (See form instructions to ensure assessment) Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1)) Tank is Noncompliant (pumping not necess) Other:	s: 2428 8/10/2021 n) three years) eent complies with
	If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indicis failing to protect groundward Describe verification methods an Present for pumping by Smilies Sev	eates the system ter.	Date of maintenance: Existing tank integrity assessment (Attack Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (must be within (See form instructions to ensure assessment) Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1)) Tank is Noncompliant (pumping not necess) Other:	s: 2428 8/10/2021 n) three years) eent complies wit
	If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indicis failing to protect groundward Describe verification methods an Present for pumping by Smilies Sev	eates the system ter.	Date of maintenance: Existing tank integrity assessment (Attack Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (must be within (See form instructions to ensure assessment) Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1)) Tank is Noncompliant (pumping not necess) Other:	s: 2428 8/10/2021 three years) eent complies with ary – explain below
	If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indicis failing to protect groundward Describe verification methods an Present for pumping by Smilies Sev	eates the system ter.	Date of maintenance: Existing tank integrity assessment (Attack Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (must be within (See form instructions to ensure assessment) Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1)) Tank is Noncompliant (pumping not necess) Other:	s: 2428 8/10/2021 three years) eent complies with ary – explain below

3.	Other compliance conditions – Compliance component #3 of 5	
	3a. Maintenance hole covers appear to be structurally unsound (damaged, cracked, etc.), or unsecured? ☐ Yes* ☐ No ☐ Unknown	
	3b. Other issues (electrical hazards, etc.) to immediately and adversely impact public health or safety? \square Yes*	No 🗌 Unknown
	*Yes to 3a or 3b - System is an imminent threat to public health and safety.	
	3c. System is non-protective of ground water for other conditions as determined by inspector? ☐ Yes* ☒	No
	3d. System not abandoned in accordance with Minn. R. 7080.2500? ☐ Yes* ☑	No
	*Yes to 3c or 3d - System is failing to protect groundwater.	
	Describe verification methods and results:	
	Attached supporting documentation: Not applicable	
4.	Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* – Compliance component #4 of 5 ⊠ Not	applicable
	Is the system operated under an Operating Permit?	low is required
	Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? ☐ Yes ☐ No If "yes", B be	low is required
	BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design	
	If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed.	
	Compliance criteria:	
	a. Have the operating permit requirements been met? ☐ Yes ☐ No	
	b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning? ☐ Yes ☐ No	
	Any "no" answer indicates noncompliance.	
	Describe verification methods and results:	
	Attached supporting documentation:	
	Attached supporting documentation: Operating permit (Attach)	

5. Soil separation – Compliance component #5 of 5 Date of installation 9/6/2001 Unknown (mm/dd/yyyy) Yes □ No Shoreland/Wellhead protection/Food Attached supporting documentation: beverage lodging? Soil observation logs completed for the report (Attach) M Two previous verifications of required vertical Compliance criteria (select one): separation (Attach) Yes No* 5a. For systems built prior to April 1, 1996, Not applicable (No soil treatment area) and not located in Shoreland or Wellhead Protection Area or not serving a food, beverage or lodging establishment: Drainfield has at least a two-foot vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock. 5b. Non-performance systems built April 1, Indicate depths or elevations 1996, or later or for non-performance 34" A. Bottom of distribution media systems located in Shoreland or Wellhead Protection Areas or serving a food, 72"+ B. Periodically saturated soil/bedrock beverage, or lodging establishment: 38" C. System separation Drainfield has a three-foot vertical D. Required compliance separation* 36" separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.* *May be reduced up to 15 percent if allowed by Local Ordinance. 5c. "Experimental", "Other", or "Performance" ☐ Yes ☐ No* systems built under pre-2008 Rules; Type IV or V systems built under 2008

*Any "no" answer above indicates the system is failing to protect groundwater.

Describe verification methods and results:

Rules 7080. 2350 or 7080.2400 (Advanced Inspector License required)
Drainfield meets the designed vertical separation distance from periodically

saturated soil or bedrock.

Upgrade requirements: (Minn. Stat. § 115.55) An imminent threat to public health and safety (ITPHS) must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within ten months of receipt of this notice or within a shorter period if required by local ordinance. If the system is failing to protect ground water, the system must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within the time required by local ordinance. If an existing system is not failing as defined in law, and has at least two feet of design soil separation, then the system need not be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued, notwithstanding any local ordinance that is more strict. This provision does not apply to systems in shoreland areas, Wellhead Protection Areas, or those used in connection with food, beverage, and lodging establishments as defined in law.

Log Of Soil Borings

Location of Project	: 420 Emerald Lane N	I, Mahtome	edi, MN 55115							
Borings Made By	: Inspect Minnesota		Date:	e: 6/4/12						
Auger Used	: Hand/Bucket	Class	ification System:	USDA						
Boring Number	: 1	Boring Number:								
Surface	101.30'	Surface								
Elevation of Benchmar	k = 100.00' top of	Elevation	of							
Boring ex	kisting well	Boring								
Depth In Soils F	incountered	Depth In	Soils F	ncountered						
Inches	NO. DO SERVICE DE LA CONTRACTOR DE LA CO	Inches	30113 E	nicounici cu						
5-18 7.5YR 18-30 7.5YR 4/4 Fine Me 30-72 7.5YR 4/4 N	Loamy Fine Sand 3/4 Fine Sand Edium Sand, Trace Grave Medium Sand With El & Cobbles		4							
8										
98.57' Elevation To Bott	om Of Drainfield		Elevation To Botto	m Of Drainfield						
	Or End Of Boring		Depth To Redox							
≥3.27'/39" Of Separation			Of Separation							
End Of Boring A	:: 72"		End Of Boring At:							
Redox Present A			Redox Present At:	 						
Standing Water Present A		Standing	Water Present At:							

Bottom Of Distribution Medium At: 34" Or Elevation 98.57' At Soil Probe

JOB TON CONROY 420 EMERALD LANE

DATE 7-3-01

BORING LOG

BOREHOLE DIAMETER 4"-34" 1-21" HAND BUCER

		_======================================																																						
HOLE #			1		1	. 1		. 1	1 .		,		,								1	. 1	•			1				1		1		1	1	1	u	and desired to		1
HOLE #.>	and the same of th	1														The state of the s		-	1	1				7		1	1	The same of the sa	1	1	1	1	1	7	1	1		The second secon		7
HOLE #	-1-	-		1		1							Quantum Park	-		+	-	-	-					Н	H		-	+	at and a second		+	+	+		+	+	+	-	+	+
HOLE #				+	-	+		-	-	-		+	+	1-		+	1	+	1	+		+	edicorprogrammed generality in					1	+		+	1	+		+		dumplane	1	+	****
HOLE #3 5	and the second s	108 901	w	10	MEDIUM SAND	1		+		+		+	+	+	-	+	* ACCURATION OF THE PERSON OF			+	H. H. WAS			1		0 K B \ L \ \ \		+	+	+	+	-	+		+	1	daglerine		+	+
HOLE #:4		108 Soll	_	JONNO L	SOME FINES		-	-		+		1	+	+	1	-	+	+		+			ORSTRUOTION OF	8708	-	-		Dress 6+	1	+	+	+	+	+	+	-			1	
DEPTH		 	1	+		+	7	+	+	1	H m	+	+	+	4	+	+	+	5	1		+	1 9	-	+	-	<u></u>	H	H	α	 	1	-	0	+	+	-	10	1	

BOREHOLE DIAMETER 4"-35"-216" HAWD BUGER	GLASSIFICATION	LICHT BROWN	APP. SYR WA	7.57A 4/4			-	<u> </u>			+	+	_	+	+	1-1			-	+-	-	+	-	+	+	+	-	-	The state of the s
SOREHOLE DIAMETER 4"	HOLE #5					-	 	1	 	-	 		-+	1-	1	-	·	<u> </u>	-	+	-	+	-			+			a second
	HOLE #4	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			ī	1	11	Li	l i			1		· ·		.1			-1				1		1			· ·	
EXISTING HOUSE FUTURE AREA	HOLE #3	70P SOIL	LIGHT BROWN	MEDIUM SAND		1	,	1.	Τ,		MEDIUM SAND			1		STOP		1	- CKAY			1		1 '	1	,	and the same of th		
1	HOLE #2	Top Soil	LIGHT BROWN, MEDIUM SAND				11	11		-				20	MEDIUM SAND	STOP			OKAY 6							1			
0-2-9	HOLE #1	70P SOIL	MEDIUM SAND			BROWN, MEDIUM	SAND I	ROCKS		1	1	OBSTRUCTION			1		OK97 4,10"	-	. 1					-	. 1		1	. 1	
DATE	DEPTH	711111	 	H		7	+1	£		4	+-	. '	2	+	+	<u>-</u>	++	7	+	+	8	-	+	6	-	-	1:0	 	

BORING LOG

JOB EMERALD POINTE LOT 3, BLK 2, LONG LAKE MAHIOMEDI

