ZIERKE SOIL TESTING

Terri Anderson (trustee) 6320 177th St N Hugo, MN 55038

August 24th 2021

Dear Terri Anderson (trustee),

At your request, I have conducted a septic inspection to determine the compliance status of your septic system pursuant to Minnesota Rules Chapter 7080.1500.

The compliance test set out in 7080.1500 has three main inquiries: 1). Is the system functioning hydraulically (disposing of effluent in a manner that prevents it from coming in contact with people)? 2). Are the septic tanks water tight? 3). Does the system have sufficient vertical separation between the bottom of the septic system and restrictive layers (bedrock, standing water, seasonally wet layers, etc) to provide full treatment of effluent?

Based off of these criteria, your system is <u>non-compliant</u> due to a cracked septic tank bottom. Therefore, this system is considered "failing to protect groundwater" and <u>is not considered an imminent threat to public health</u>. I am required to provide copies of this report to you and to Washington County. You should contact them as to the next steps that will be required to bring the system into compliance.

Sincerely,

Benjamin Zierke

MPCA Lic 119, Cert 9594

ADDRESS: 28587 Jeffrey Ave Chisago City, MN 55013

PHONE 651-249-1346 EMAIL benzierke@gmail.com



520 Lafayette Road North St. Paul, MN 55155-4194

Compliance inspection report form

Existing Subsurface Sewage Treatment System (SSTS)

Doc Type: Compliance and Enforcement

Instructions: Inspector must submit completed form to Local Governmental Unit (LGU) and system owner within 15 days of final determination of compliance or noncompliance. Instructions for filling out this form are located on the Minnesota Pollution Control Agency (MPCA) website at https://www.pca.state.mn.us/sites/default/files/wq-wwists4-31a.pdf.

Property information	Local tracking number:
Parcel ID# or Sec/Twp/Range: 0403121220002	Reason for Inspection Point of Sale
Local regulatory authority info: Washington County	
Property address: 6320 177th St N Hugo, MN 55038	
Owner/representative: Terri Anderson (trustee)	Owner's phone: 952-270-8517
Brief system description: Pre-cast 1500 gallon septic tank, pre-	cast 1000 gallon lift tank, mound dispersal system
System status	
System status on date (mm/dd/yyyy): 8/24/2021	
☐ Compliant – Certificate of compliance*	
3070-000 10-92-9-0-2010-000 NO 1340-0000-0000-000-000-000-000-000-000-00	
(Valid for 3 years from report date unless evidence of an imminent threat to public health or safety requiring removal and abatement under section 145A.04, subdivision 8 is discovered or	Systems failing to protect ground water must be upgraded, replaced, or use discontinued within the time required by local ordinance.
a shorter time frame exists in Local Ordinance.) *Note: Compliance indicates conformance with Minn. R. 7080.1500 as of system status date above and does not guarantee future performance.	An imminent threat to public health and safety (ITPHS) must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within ten months of receipt of this notice or within a shorter period if required by local ordinance or under section 145A.04 subdivision 8.
Reason(s) for noncompliance (check all applical	ble)
☐ Impact on public health (Compliance component #1) – Imminent threat to public health and safety
☐ Tank integrity (Compliance component #2) – Failing	g to protect groundwater
☐ Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance compon	ent #3) – Imminent threat to public health and safety
☐ Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance compon	nent #3) – Failing to protect groundwater
System not abandoned according to Minn. R. 7080.	.2500 (Compliance component #3) - Failing to protect groundwater
☐ Soil separation (Compliance component #5) – Failir	
Operating permit/monitoring plan requirements (Con	mpliance component #4) – Noncompliant - local ordinance applies
Comments or recommendations	
Septic tank bottom is cracked. Had difficulty finding any a backyard area adjacent to the system has either been gr	areas in the yard with natural soil within the top 3-4' of grade. The raded and filled with clay fill, or filled with mound sand fill.
Certification	
I hereby certify that all the necessary information has been gathered future system performance has been nor can be made due to unkno inadequate maintenance, or future water usage.	to determine the compliance status of this system. No determination of own conditions during system construction, possible abuse of the system,
By typing my name below, I certify the above statements to be true used for the purpose of processing this form.	e and correct, to the best of my knowledge, and that this information can be
Business name: Zierke Soil Testing	Certification number: 9594
Inspector signature:	License number: 119
(This document has been electronically sig	gned) Phone: 651-249-1346
Necessary or locally required supporting do	ocumentation (must be attached)
	required forms
https://www.pca.state.mn.us • 651-296-6300 • 800-657-386	64 • Use your preferred relay convice • Available in alternative formats

Compliance criteria:		Attached supporting documentation:	
System discharges sewage to the ground surface	☐ Yes* ⊠ No	☐ Other: ☑ Not applicable	
System discharges sewage to drain ile or surface waters.	☐ Yes* ⊠ No	_	
System causes sewage backup into dwelling or establishment.	☐ Yes* ⊠ No	_	
Any "yes" answer above indicates imminent threat to public health ar		_	
Describe verification methods and	l results:		
	site visit 8/24/2021. H	lomeowner was present and did not report any pas	st issues wi
system.			
nk integrity – Compliance	component #	2 of 5	
nk integrity – Compliance	component #	2 of 5	
	component #		
Compliance criteria:		Attached supporting documentation:	
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit,	e component #		
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit,		Attached supporting documentation: Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector	
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit,		Attached supporting documentation:	Olsons
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit?		Attached supporting documentation: Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business:	Olsons
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit,	☐ Yes* ☑ No	Attached supporting documentation: Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance busines	Olsons s: 216
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their	☐ Yes* ☑ No	Attached supporting documentation: Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance busines Date of maintenance:	Olsons s: 216 8/20/202
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their	☐ Yes* ☑ No	Attached supporting documentation: Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance busines	Olsons s: 216 8/20/202
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their	☐ Yes* ☑ No	Attached supporting documentation: Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance busines Date of maintenance:	Olsons s: 216 8/20/202
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth?	☐ Yes* ☑ No	Attached supporting documentation: Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance busines Date of maintenance: Existing tank integrity assessment (Attack	Olsons s: 216 8/20/202
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks:	Yes* ⊠ No	Attached supporting documentation: Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance busines Date of maintenance: Existing tank integrity assessment (Attact Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (must be within	Olsons s: 216 8/20/202 th) three year
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indic	Yes* ⋈ No Yes* ⋈ No	Attached supporting documentation: Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance busines Date of maintenance: Existing tank integrity assessment (Attact Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (See form instructions to ensure assessment)	Olsons s: 216 8/20/202 th) three year
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks:	Yes* ⋈ No Yes* ⋈ No	Attached supporting documentation: Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance busines Date of maintenance: Existing tank integrity assessment (Attact Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (must be within	Olsons s: 216 8/20/202 th) three year
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indic	Yes* ⋈ No Yes* ⋈ No	Attached supporting documentation: Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance busines Date of maintenance: Existing tank integrity assessment (Attact Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (See form instructions to ensure assessment) Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1))	Olsons s: 216 8/20/202 th) three year
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indic	Yes* ⋈ No Yes* ⋈ No	Attached supporting documentation: Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance busines Date of maintenance: Existing tank integrity assessment (Attact Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (must be within (See form instructions to ensure assessment) Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1)) Tank is Noncompliant (pumping not necess	Olsons s: 216 8/20/202 th) three year
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indic	Yes* ⋈ No Yes* ⋈ No	Attached supporting documentation: Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance busines Date of maintenance: Existing tank integrity assessment (Attact Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (See form instructions to ensure assessment) Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1))	Olsons s: 216 8/20/202 th) three year
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indic	Yes* No	Attached supporting documentation: Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance busines Date of maintenance: Existing tank integrity assessment (Attact Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (must be within (See form instructions to ensure assessment) Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1)) Tank is Noncompliant (pumping not necess	Olsons s: 216 8/20/202 th) three year

Pr	Property Address: 6320 177 th St N Hugo, MN 55038			
В	Business Name: Zierke Soil Testing	Date: 8/24/2021		
3.	. Other compliance conditions – Compliance component #3 of 5			
	3a. Maintenance hole covers appear to be structurally unsound (damaged, cracked, etc.), or unsecu	red?		
	☐ Yes* ☐ No ☐ Unknown The Other issues (a testical terrents at a) to immediately and adversely impact public health or safety? ☐ Yes* ☐ No ☐ Unknown			
3b. Other issues (electrical hazards, etc.) to immediately and adversely impact public health or safety? Yes* No Unkn				
	Yes to 3a or 3b - System is an imminent threat to public health and safety.	□ Vaa M Na		
	3c. System is non-protective of ground water for other conditions as determined by inspector?	☐ Yes* ☒ No		
	3d. System not abandoned in accordance with Minn. R. 7080.2500?	☐ Yes* ☑ No		
	*Yes to 3c or 3d - System is failing to protect groundwater.			
	Describe verification methods and results:			
	Attached supporting documentation: Not applicable			
	Attached supporting documentation: Not applicable			
4.	Attached supporting documentation: Not applicable Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* – Compliance component #4 of	5 ⊠ Not applicable		
4.	. Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* – Compliance component #4 of	5 ☑ Not applicable		
4.	. Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* – Compliance component #4 of	'yes", A below is required		
4.	Solution Description Descript	'yes", A below is required		
4.	Is the system operated under an Operating Permit? Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? Yes No If the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design?	'yes", A below is required		
4.	Is the system operated under an Operating Permit? Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design	'yes", A below is required		
4.	Is the system operated under an Operating Permit? Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed.	'yes", A below is required		
4.	Is the system operated under an Operating Permit? Is the system operated under an Operating Permit? Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed. Compliance criteria:	'yes", A below is required		
4.	Is the system operated under an Operating Permit?	'yes", A below is required		
4.	Is the system operated under an Operating Permit?	'yes", A below is required		
4.	Is the system operated under an Operating Permit?	'yes", A below is required		
4.	Is the system operated under an Operating Permit?	'yes", A below is required		
4.	Is the system operated under an Operating Permit?	'yes", A below is required		
4.	Is the system operated under an Operating Permit?	'yes", A below is required		
4.	Is the system operated under an Operating Permit?	'yes", A below is required		
4.	Is the system operated under an Operating Permit?	'yes", A below is required		
4.	Is the system operated under an Operating Permit?	'yes", A below is required		
4.	Is the system operated under an Operating Permit?	'yes", A below is required		
4.	Is the system operated under an Operating Permit?	'yes", A below is required		
4.	Is the system operated under an Operating Permit?	'yes", A below is required		

Business Name: Zierke Soil Testing		Date: 8/24/2021	
Soil separation – Compliance co	mponent #5 c	of 5	
Date of installation 11/29/1989 (mm/dd/yyyy)	Unknown		
Shoreland/Wellhead protection/Food beverage lodging?	☐ Yes ⊠ No	Attached supporting documentation: ☑ Soil observation logs completed for the report	
Compliance criteria (select one):		☐ Two previous verifications of required vertical separate	
5a. For systems built prior to April 1, 1996, and not located in Shoreland or Wellhead Protection Area or not serving a food, beverage or lodging establishment:	d ⊠ Yes □ No*	☐ Not applicable (No soil treatment area)	
Drainfield has at least a two-foot vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.			
5b. Non-performance systems built April 1, 1996, or later or for non- performance systems located in Shoreland or Wellhead Protection Areas or serving a food, beverage, or lodging establishment: Drainfield has a three-foot vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.*	☐ Yes ☐ No*	Indicate depths or elevations A. Bottom of distribution media 98.4' B. Periodically saturated soil/bedrock 96.3' C. System separation 2.1' D. Required compliance separation* 2.0' *May be reduced up to 15 percent if allowed by Local Ordinance.	
5c. "Experimental", "Other", or "Performance" systems built under pre-2008 Rules; Type IV or V systems built under 2008 Rules 7080. 2350 or 7080.2400 (Intermediate Inspector License required ≤ 2,500 gallons per day; Advanced Inspector License required > 2,500 gallons per day) Drainfield meets the designed vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.	☐ Yes ☐ No*		

Describe verification methods and results:

Upgrade requirements: (Minn. Stat. § 115.55) An imminent threat to public health and safety (ITPHS) must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within ten months of receipt of this notice or within a shorter period if required by local ordinance. If the system is failing to protect ground water, the system must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within the time required by local ordinance. If an existing system is not failing as defined in law, and has at least two feet of design soil separation, then the system need not be upgraded, repaired, replaced, or its use discontinued, notwithstanding any local ordinance that is more strict. This provision does not apply to systems in shoreland areas, Wellhead Protection Areas, or those used in connection with food, beverage, and lodging establishments as defined in law.

Logs of Soil Borings

Location of Project:

6320 177th St N Hugo, MN 55038

Borings Made by Ben Zierke

Date:

8/24/2021

Hand bucket auger used for borings; USDA - SCS Soil Classification used.

Depth, in Inches	Boring Number 1	Depth, in Inches	Boring Number 2
0 0-18"	Mixed 2/2 and 7/2 clay loam fill	0	
18-20"	Mound sand (10YR 4/4)		
20-48"	10YR 3/3 loamy fine sand (original topsoil layer), dark orange 3/6 iron stains present below 40" with few 10YR 5/2 depletions. 12" of original topsoil credited towards separation - separation given is fill layer (20") + 12"		
End of boring at Standing water tab Present at Standing water not p Mottled Soil: Observed at Mottled soil not pres Comments:	feet of depth Hours after boring 2.7 feet of depth 2.7 feet of depth	End of boring at Standing water tab Present at Standing water not p Mottled Soil: Observed at Mottled soil not pres Comments:	feet of depth Hours after boring resent in hole feet of depth
Depth, in Inches 0	Boring Number 3	Depth, in Inches 0	Boring Number 4
End of boring at	leet	End of boring at	feet
Standing water tab Present at Standing water not p Mottled Soil: Observed at Mottled soil not pres Comments:	feet of depth Hours after boring feet of depth feet of depth	Standing water tab Present at Standing water not p Mottled Soil: Observed at Mottled soil not pres Comments:	feet of depth Hours after boring resent in hole feet of depth

Washington County, MN



August 25, 2021

Disclaimer: Map and parcel data are believed to be accurate, but accuracy is not guaranteed. This is not a legal document and should not be substituted for a title search appraisal, survey, or for zoning verification.

10

20 m

1:500 40

80 ft