

520 Lafayette Road North St. Paul, MN 55155-4194

Compliance inspection report form

Existing Subsurface Sewage Treatment System (SSTS)

Doc Type: Compliance and Enforcement

Instructions: Inspection results based on Minnesota Pollution Control Agency (MPCA) requirements and attached supporting documentation – additional local requirements may also apply. Further information can be found here: https://www.pca.state.mn.us/sites/default/files/wq-wwists4-31a.pdf.

Inspector must submit completed form to Local Governmental Unit (LGU) and system owner within 15 days of final determination of compliance or noncompliance.

Property information		Local tracking number:
Parcel ID# or Sec/Twp/Range:	3102821240002 Loca	al regulatory authority: Washington County
Property address: 6191 Crack	leberry Trail Woodbury, Mn. 55129	
Owner/representative: Michial	Mularoni	Owner's phone: 651-308-2088
Brief system description: 2 Sep	tic tanks to gravity drainfield (Septi	c System 2)
System status		
System status on date (mm/dd/	yyyy): _8/13/2021	
☐ Compliant – Certificate	of compliance*	☐ Noncompliant – Notice of noncompliance
(Valid for 3 years from report date unless evidence an imminent threat to public health or safety requiring removal and abatement under section 145A.04, subdivision 8 is discovered or a shorter time frame ea in Local Ordinance.)		An imminent threat to public health and safety (ITPHS) must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within ten months of receipt of this notice or within a shorter period if required by local ordinance or under section 145A.04 subdivision 8.
*Note: Compliance indic	cates conformance with Minn. om status date above and ore performance.	Systems failing to protect ground water must be upgraded, replaced, or use discontinued within the time required by local ordinance.
Reason(s) for noncon	npliance (check all applicable	0)
☐ Impact on public he	alth (Compliance component #1) -	Imminent threat to public health and safety
☐ Tank integrity (Com	pliance component #2) - Failing to	protect groundwater
☐ Other Compliance C	Conditions (Compliance component	t #3) – Imminent threat to public health and safety
☐ Other Compliance C	Conditions (Compliance component	t #3) – Failing to protect groundwater
☐ System not abando	ned according to Minn. R. 7080.25	00 (Compliance component #3) - Failing to protect groundwater
☐ Soil separation (Cor	mpliance component #5) - Failing t	to protect groundwater
Operating permit/me	onitoring plan requirements (Comp	liance component #4) - Noncompliant - local ordinance applies
Comments or recomm	nendations	
Certification		
determination of future system p		d to determine the compliance status of this system. No nade due to unknown conditions during system construction, possible ge.
By typing my name below, I can be used for the purpose of p		ue and correct, to the best of my knowledge, and that this information
Business name: David R Brow		Certification number: 9370
Inspector signature: DRB		License number: 3649
(This doc	ument has been electronically signed)	Phone: 651-788-3296
Necessary or locally r	equired supporting docu	umentation (must be attached)
Soil observation logs Other information (list):	□ Locally required forms	☐ Tank Integrity Assessment ☐ Operating Permit
_ other information (list).		

1. Impact on public health - Compliance component #1 of 5 Compliance criteria: Attached supporting documentation: System discharges sewage to the ☐ Yes* ☒ No Other: ground surface ☐ Not applicable System discharges sewage to drain ☐ Yes* ☒ No tile or surface waters. System causes sewage backup into ☐ Yes* ☒ No dwelling or establishment. Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is an imminent threat to public health and safety. Describe verification methods and results: 2. Tank integrity – Compliance component #2 of 5 Compliance criteria: Attached supporting documentation: System consists of a seepage pit, ☐ Yes* ☒ No Pumped at time of inspection cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, Schlomka or other pit? Name of maintenance business: Services ☐ Yes* ☒ No Sewage tank(s) leak below their License number of maintenance business: 2989 designed operating depth? Date of maintenance: 8/13/2021 ☐ Existing tank integrity assessment (Attach) Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (must be within three years) If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indicates the system (See form instructions to ensure assessment complies with Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1)) is failing to protect groundwater. ☐ Tank is Noncompliant (pumping not necessary – explain below) Other: Describe verification methods and results:

3.	Other compliance conditions – Compliance component #3 of 5	
	3a. Maintenance hole covers appear to be structurally unsound (damaged, cracked, etc.), or unse	ecured?
	☐ Yes* ☑ No ☐ Unknown	
	3b. Other issues (electrical hazards, etc.) to immediately and adversely impact public health or safet	ty? ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Unknow
	*Yes to 3a or 3b - System is an imminent threat to public health and safety.	
	3c. System is non-protective of ground water for other conditions as determined by inspector?	☐ Yes* ☒ No
	3d. System not abandoned in accordance with Minn. R. 7080.2500?	☐ Yes* ☒ No
	*Yes to 3c or 3d - System is failing to protect groundwater.	
	Describe verification methods and results:	
	Attached supporting documentation: Not applicable	
4.	Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* – Compliance component #4 o	of 5 🛭 Not applicable
4.		If "yes", A below is require
4.	Is the system operated under an Operating Permit? ☐ Yes ☐ No Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? ☐ Yes ☐ No BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design	If "yes", A below is require If "yes", B below is require
4.	Is the system operated under an Operating Permit? ☐ Yes ☐ No Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? ☐ Yes ☐ No BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed.	If "yes", A below is require If "yes", B below is require
4.	Is the system operated under an Operating Permit? Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed Compliance criteria:	If "yes", A below is require If "yes", B below is require
4.	Is the system operated under an Operating Permit?	If "yes", A below is require If "yes", B below is require
4.	Is the system operated under an Operating Permit?	If "yes", A below is require If "yes", B below is require
4.	Is the system operated under an Operating Permit?	If "yes", A below is require If "yes", B below is require
4.	Is the system operated under an Operating Permit?	If "yes", A below is require If "yes", B below is require
4.	Is the system operated under an Operating Permit?	If "yes", A below is require If "yes", B below is require
4.	Is the system operated under an Operating Permit?	If "yes", A below is require If "yes", B below is require
4.	Is the system operated under an Operating Permit?	If "yes", A below is require If "yes", B below is require
4.	Is the system operated under an Operating Permit?	If "yes", A below is require If "yes", B below is require
4.	Is the system operated under an Operating Permit?	If "yes", A below is require If "yes", B below is require
4.	Is the system operated under an Operating Permit?	If "yes", A below is require If "yes", B below is require
4.	Is the system operated under an Operating Permit?	If "yes", A below is require If "yes", B below is require
4.	Is the system operated under an Operating Permit?	If "yes", A below is require If "yes", B below is require
4.	Is the system operated under an Operating Permit?	If "yes", A below is require If "yes", B below is require
4.	Is the system operated under an Operating Permit?	If "yes", A below is require If "yes", B below is require

5. Soil separation - Compliance component #5 of 5

9/13/2000 (mm/dd/yyyy)	Unkr	nown		
ior to April 1, 1996, choreland or Wellhead not serving a food, establishment: est a two-foot vertical from periodically	☐ Yes	□ No*	separation (Attach)	
ystems built April 1, non-performance Shoreland or Wellhead serving a food, g establishment: ee-foot vertical from periodically drock.*	⊠ Yes	□ No*	Indicate depths or elevations A. Bottom of distribution media B. Periodically saturated soil/bedrock C. System separation D. Required compliance separation* *May be reduced up to 15 percent if alloydinance.	30" 70" 40" 36" owed by Local
ner", or "Performance" pre-2008 Rules; ns built under 2008 r 7080.2400 or License required) e designed vertical from periodically	☐ Yes	□ No*		
	(select one): for to April 1, 1996, horeland or Wellhead of serving a food, establishment: st a two-foot vertical from periodically frock. Vestems built April 1, hon-performance Shoreland or Wellhead serving a food, gestablishment: from periodically frock.* See-foot vertical from periodically frock.*	(select one): (select one): for to April 1, 1996, horeland or Wellhead of serving a food, establishment: st a two-foot vertical from periodically frock. Vestems built April 1, hon-performance Shoreland or Wellhead serving a food, gestablishment: sering a food, gestablishment: seroot vertical from periodically frock.* Iter", or "Performance" □ Yes pre-2008 Rules; se built under 2008 or 7080.2400 or License required) designed vertical from periodically	rotection/Food	Attached supporting documentation: Soil observation logs completed for the separation of the separation (Attach)

Upgrade requirements: (Minn. Stat. § 115.55) An imminent threat to public health and safety (ITPHS) must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within ten months of receipt of this notice or within a shorter period if required by local ordinance. If the system is failing to protect ground water, the system must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within the time required by local ordinance. If an existing system is not failing as defined in law, and has at least two feet of design soil separation, then the system need not be upgraded, repaired, replaced, or its use discontinued, notwithstanding any local ordinance that is more strict. This provision does not apply to systems in shoreland areas, Wellhead Protection Areas, or those used in connection with food, beverage, and lodging establishments as defined in law.



WASHINGTON COUNTY, MINNESOTA

Department of Public Health and Environment 651/430-6708

WOODSDRY CITY

PERMIT NUMBER

250020004 SEWAGE PERMIT

Owner :

MICHIAL

MULARONI

6191 CRACKLEBERRY TR

VOODBURY

HN

55129

PITCHTAL. Applicant :

HULABONT

458-0648

DRAINFIELD REPLACEMENT PERMIT

SEPTIC APPLICATION/SOIL REVIEW

Total Fees :

Total Paid :

Total Due :

70.00

150.00

220.00 220,00 .00

500-20004

PERMISSION IS HEREBY GRANTED

To execute the work specified in this permit on the following described property upon express condition that said persons and their agents, employees and workmen shall conform in all respects to the provisions of the Building Code, and/or Ordinances.

This permit may be revoked at any time upon the violation of any of the provisions of said code and ordinances.

Project Address :

6191 CRACKLEBERRY TR

55129

Legal Rescription: PT SE1/4-NW1/4

312821 COM AT A PT ON W LINE

Gen: 31-028-21-24-0002

Flow Capacity

Sail Conditions: Depth to Eastriction

450 Gal/Day Tank Volume

2000 96 Inches

Perc Rate

3 Hin/Inch

Soil Treatment Type:

Hottom Area

570 Rock Depth

12

Authorized Work / Special Conditions

- Install individual sewage treatment system as per approved design in area tested and shown on site plan.

** Permit Expiration Date :

Sewage Treatment : 2001-05-03

A CERTIFICATE OF OCCUPANCY MUST BE REQUESTED AND ISSUED PETOR TO USE OR OCCUPANCY OF WORK PERMITTED BY A BUILDING PERMIT.

** This permit shall expire and be null and void if the work authorized by the Building Permit is not commenced within 60 days of the date of issuance or if work is abandoned or suspended for a period of 120 days. Term of the Building Permit is 12 months from date of issue. Term of sewage treatment permit is 12 months from date of issue.

Penalty for violation of any of the provisions of building code: Fine not to exceed five bumbred dollars (\$500,00) or imprisipment for not more than ninety (90) days, or both.

Permit Issue Date 2000-05-03

1 Jane Code Enforcement Officer

INSPECTION RECORD

BUILDING	DATE	INSP.	COMMENTS
Foundation			
Floundation Wall			
Plumbing (Groundwork)			
Heating (Groundwork)			
Rough Plumbing			
Rough Gas Piping			
Rough Heating and Ventilation			
Framing			
Insulation			
Fireplace			
Chimney			
Wallboard or Lath and Plaster			
Final Electrical			
Final Plumbing			
Final Gas Piping			
Final Heating and Ventilation			
Final Building			
SEWAGE TREATMENT SYSTEM	DATE	INSP.	COMMENTS
Installation	5-10-00	Pote	Tank Size: 2-1000 Treatment Area: 57029
As Built	115-00	press.	Tank Size: 2-1000 Treatment Area: 570 29 f
DRIVEWAY	DATE	INSP.	COMMENTS
Access			
Installation			

NOTES:

