

## Compliance inspection report form

520 Lafayette Road North St. Paul, MN 55155-4194 **Existing Subsurface Sewage Treatment System (SSTS)** 

Doc Type: Compliance and Enforcement

**Instructions:** Inspector must submit completed form to Local Governmental Unit (LGU) and system owner within 15 days of final determination of compliance or noncompliance. Instructions for filling out this form are located on the Minnesota Pollution Control Agency (MPCA) website at <a href="https://www.pca.state.mn.us/sites/default/files/wq-wwists4-31a.pdf">https://www.pca.state.mn.us/sites/default/files/wq-wwists4-31a.pdf</a>.

Property information	Local tracking number:
Parcel ID# or Sec/Twp/Range: 27.028.20.24.0005	Reason for Inspection property sale
Local regulatory authority info: Washington County	
Property address: 4433 Pateley Bridge Ave S Afton, MN 55001	
Owner/representative: Lee & Ellen Johnson	Owner's phone:
Brief system description: A precast septic tank and a gravity drai	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
System status	
System status on date (mm/dd/yyyy):9/8/2021	
☐ Compliant – Certificate of compliance*	
(Valid for 3 years from report date unless evidence of an imminent threat to public health or safety requiring removal and abatement under section 145A.04, subdivision 8 is discovered or a shorter time frame exists in Local Ordinance.)  *Note: Compliance indicates conformance with Minn. R. 7080.1500 as of system status date above and does not guarantee future performance.	Systems failing to protect ground water must be upgraded, replaced, or use discontinued within the time required by local ordinance.  An imminent threat to public health and safety (ITPHS) must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within ten months of receipt of this notice or within a shorter period if required by local ordinance or under section 145A.04 subdivision 8.
Reason(s) for noncompliance (check all applicable	(e)
<ul> <li>Soil separation (Compliance component #5) – Failing</li> <li>□ Operating permit/monitoring plan requirements (Com</li> <li>Comments or recommendations</li> <li>Reviewed septic system records on file at Washington Cor</li> </ul>	to protect groundwater  nt #3) – Imminent threat to public health and safety  nt #3) – Failing to protect groundwater  500 (Compliance component #3) – Failing to protect groundwater  to protect groundwater  pliance component #4) – Noncompliant - local ordinance applies
Certification	
inadequate maintenance, or future water usage.	n conditions during system construction, possible abuse of the system,
used for the purpose of processing this form.	and correct, to the best of my knowledge, and that this information can be
Business name: All State Septic Services LLC	Certification number: 323
Inspector signature: Tom Trooien	License number: 1568
(This document has been electronically sign	ed) Phone: 612-594-4496
Necessary or locally required supporting doc	umentation (must be attached)
<ul><li>☑ Soil observation logs</li><li>☑ System/As-Built</li><li>☐ Locally red</li><li>☐ Other information (list):</li></ul>	quired forms  Tank Integrity Assessment  Operating Permit

Business Name: All State Septic Services LL	.C	Date: 9/8/2021
. Impact on public health – Co	ompliance com	ponent #1 of 5
Compliance criteria:		Attached supporting documentation:
System discharges sewage to the ground surface	☐ Yes* ☒ No	☐ Other: ☐ Not applicable
System discharges sewage to drain tile or surface waters.	☐ Yes* ☒ No	
System causes sewage backup into dwelling or establishment.	☐ Yes* ☒ No	
Any "yes" answer above indicates imminent threat to public health an		
Describe verification methods and	results:	
. <b>Tank integrity</b> – Compliance	component #2	of 5
	•	
Compliance criteria:		Attached supporting documentation:
System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit,	☐ Yes* ☒ No	Attached supporting documentation:  □ Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector
System consists of a seepage pit,		Attached supporting documentation:
System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit?  Sewage tank(s) leak below their	☐ Yes* ☒ No	Attached supporting documentation:  Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector  Name of maintenance business:  License number of maintenance business:
System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit?  Sewage tank(s) leak below their	☐ Yes* ☒ No	Attached supporting documentation:  Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector  Name of maintenance business:  License number of maintenance business:  Date of maintenance:
System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit?  Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth?	☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Yes* ☒ No	Attached supporting documentation:  Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector  Name of maintenance business:  License number of maintenance business:  Date of maintenance:  Existing tank integrity assessment (Attach)  Date of maintenance  (mm/dd/yyyy):  (must be within three years)
System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit?  Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth?  If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks:  Any "yes" answer above indicates	☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Yes* ☒ No	Attached supporting documentation:  Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector  Name of maintenance business:  License number of maintenance business:  Date of maintenance:  Existing tank integrity assessment (Attach)  Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy):  (must be within three years)  (See form instructions to ensure assessment complies with Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1))
System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit?  Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth?  If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks:  Any "yes" answer above indicates	☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Yes* ☒ No	Attached supporting documentation:  Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector  Name of maintenance business:  License number of maintenance business:  Date of maintenance:  Existing tank integrity assessment (Attach)  Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy):  (must be within three years)  (See form instructions to ensure assessment complies with Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1))
System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit?  Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth?  If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks:  Any "yes" answer above indicates	☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Yes* ☒ No	Attached supporting documentation:  Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector  Name of maintenance business:  License number of maintenance business:  Date of maintenance:  Existing tank integrity assessment (Attach)  Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (must be within three years)  (See form instructions to ensure assessment complies with Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1))  Tank is Noncompliant (pumping not necessary – explain below
System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit?  Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth?  If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks:  Any "yes" answer above indicates is failing to protect groundwate.  Describe verification methods and	☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Ites the system er.	Attached supporting documentation:  Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector  Name of maintenance business:  License number of maintenance business:  Date of maintenance:  Existing tank integrity assessment (Attach)  Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (must be within three years)  (See form instructions to ensure assessment complies with Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1))  Tank is Noncompliant (pumping not necessary – explain below
System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit?  Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth?  If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks:  Any "yes" answer above indicates is failing to protect groundwate.  Describe verification methods and	☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Ites the system er.	Attached supporting documentation:  Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector  Name of maintenance business:  License number of maintenance business:  Date of maintenance:  Existing tank integrity assessment (Attach)  Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy):  (must be within three years)  (See form instructions to ensure assessment complies with Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1))  Tank is Noncompliant (pumping not necessary – explain below  Other:
System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit?  Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth?  If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks:  Any "yes" answer above indicates is failing to protect groundwate.  Describe verification methods and	☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Ites the system er.	Attached supporting documentation:  Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector  Name of maintenance business:  License number of maintenance business:  Date of maintenance:  Existing tank integrity assessment (Attach)  Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy):  (must be within three years)  (See form instructions to ensure assessment complies with Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1))  Tank is Noncompliant (pumping not necessary – explain below  Other:
System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit?  Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth?  If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks:  Any "yes" answer above indicates is failing to protect groundwate.  Describe verification methods and	☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Ites the system er.	Attached supporting documentation:  Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector  Name of maintenance business:  License number of maintenance business:  Date of maintenance:  Existing tank integrity assessment (Attach)  Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy):  (must be within three years)  (See form instructions to ensure assessment complies with Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1))  Tank is Noncompliant (pumping not necessary – explain below)  Other:
System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit?  Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth?  If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks:  Any "yes" answer above indicates is failing to protect groundwate.  Describe verification methods and	☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Ites the system er.	Attached supporting documentation:  Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector  Name of maintenance business:  License number of maintenance business:  Date of maintenance:  Existing tank integrity assessment (Attach)  Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy):  (must be within three years)  (See form instructions to ensure assessment complies with Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1))  Tank is Noncompliant (pumping not necessary – explain below)  Other:

Pr	Property Address: _4433 Pateley Bridge Ave S Afton, MN 55001	
В	Susiness Name: _ All State Septic Services LLC	Date: 9/8/2021
3.	Other compliance conditions – Compliance component #3 of 5	
	3a. Maintenance hole covers appear to be structurally unsound (damaged, cracked, etc.), or unse	ecured?
	☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Unknown	
	3b. Other issues (electrical hazards, etc.) to immediately and adversely impact public health or safet	y? ☐ Yes*    No ☐ Unknown
	*Yes to 3a or 3b - System is an imminent threat to public health and safety.	
	3c. System is non-protective of ground water for other conditions as determined by inspector?	☐ Yes* ☒ No
	3d. System not abandoned in accordance with Minn. R. 7080.2500?	☐ Yes* ☒ No
	*Yes to 3c or 3d - System is failing to protect groundwater.	
	Describe verification methods and results:	
	Attached supporting documentation:   Not applicable	
	A Marion appointing addution transfer in the state of the	
9		C. F. S
1.	Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* – Compliance component #4 o	f 5 🛭 Not applicable
1.	Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* – Compliance component #4 o	f 5 Not applicable
1.	Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* – Compliance component #4 o	If "yes", A below is required
1.	Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* – Compliance component #4 or Is the system operated under an Operating Permit? □ Yes ☑ No	If "yes", A below is required
1.	Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* – Compliance component #4 or Is the system operated under an Operating Permit? ☐ Yes ☒ No Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? ☐ Yes ☒ No	If "yes", A below is required If "yes", B below is required
1.	Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* — Compliance component #4 or Is the system operated under an Operating Permit? ☐ Yes ☑ No Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? ☐ Yes ☑ No BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed Compliance criteria:	If "yes", A below is required If "yes", B below is required
1.	Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* — Compliance component #4 or Is the system operated under an Operating Permit? ☐ Yes ☑ No  Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? ☐ Yes ☑ No  BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design  If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed.	If "yes", A below is required If "yes", B below is required
1.	Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* — Compliance component #4 or Is the system operated under an Operating Permit? ☐ Yes ☑ No Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? ☐ Yes ☑ No BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed Compliance criteria:	If "yes", A below is required If "yes", B below is required
1.	Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* — Compliance component #4 or Is the system operated under an Operating Permit?	If "yes", A below is required If "yes", B below is required
1.	Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* — Compliance component #4 or Is the system operated under an Operating Permit?	If "yes", A below is required If "yes", B below is required
1.	Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* — Compliance component #4 or Is the system operated under an Operating Permit?	If "yes", A below is required If "yes", B below is required
1.	Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* — Compliance component #4 or Is the system operated under an Operating Permit?	If "yes", A below is required If "yes", B below is required
1.	Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* — Compliance component #4 or Is the system operated under an Operating Permit?	If "yes", A below is required If "yes", B below is required
1.	Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* — Compliance component #4 or Is the system operated under an Operating Permit?	If "yes", A below is required If "yes", B below is required
1.	Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* — Compliance component #4 or Is the system operated under an Operating Permit?	If "yes", A below is required If "yes", B below is required
1.	Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* — Compliance component #4 or Is the system operated under an Operating Permit?	If "yes", A below is required If "yes", B below is required
1.	Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* — Compliance component #4 or Is the system operated under an Operating Permit?	If "yes", A below is required If "yes", B below is required
1.	Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* — Compliance component #4 or Is the system operated under an Operating Permit?	If "yes", A below is required If "yes", B below is required
1.	Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* — Compliance component #4 or Is the system operated under an Operating Permit?	If "yes", A below is required If "yes", B below is required

Business Name: All State Septic Services LLC		Date: S	9/8/2021
Soil separation – Compliance co	mponent #5 o	f 5	
Date of installation 9/13/1988 (mm/dd/yyyy)	_ Unknown		
Shoreland/Wellhead protection/Food beverage lodging?	☐ Yes ☒ No	Attached supporting documentation:	•
Compliance criteria (select one):  5a. For systems built prior to April 1, 1996, and not located in Shoreland or Wellhead Protection Area or not serving a food, beverage or lodging establishment:  Drainfield has at least a two-foot vertical	☐ Yes ☒ No*	<ul><li>☐ Two previous verifications of required</li><li>☐ Not applicable (No soil treatment area</li><li>☐</li><li>☐</li></ul>	•
separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.  5b. Non-performance systems built	☐ Yes ☐ No*	Indicate depths or elevations	
April 1, 1996, or later or for non- performance systems located in Shoreland or Wellhead Protection Areas or serving a food, beverage, or lodging establishment: Drainfield has a three-foot vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.*		A. Bottom of distribution media  B. Periodically saturated soil/bedrock  C. System separation  D. Required compliance separation*  *May be reduced up to 15 percent if allowed ordinance.	2.7 3 .3 2 owed by Local
5c. "Experimental", "Other", or "Performance" systems built under pre-2008 Rules; Type IV or V systems built under 2008 Rules 7080. 2350 or 7080.2400 (Intermediate Inspector License required ≤ 2,500 gallons per day; Advanced Inspector License required > 2,500 gallons per day)	Yes No*		
Drainfield meets the designed vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.			
*Any "no" answer above indicates the failing to protect groundwater.  Describe verification methods and results:	system is		

**Upgrade requirements:** (Minn. Stat. § 115.55) An imminent threat to public health and safety (ITPHS) must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within ten months of receipt of this notice or within a shorter period if required by local ordinance. If the system is failing to protect ground water, the system must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within the time required by local ordinance. If an existing system is not failing as defined in law, and has at least two feet of design soil separation, then the system need not be upgraded, repaired, replaced, or its use discontinued, notwithstanding any local ordinance that is more strict. This provision does not apply to systems in shoreland areas, Wellhead Protection Areas, or those used in connection with food, beverage, and lodging establishments as defined in law.



## Soil Observation Log

Project ID:

t ID: v 04.01.2021

Client:		Lee	Lee & Ellen Johnson		Locatic	Location / Address:	4433 Pat	4433 Pateley Bridge Ave S Afton, MN 55001	Afton, MN 5500	1
Soil parent material(s): (Check all that apply)	erial(s): (Chec	k all that		Outwash   Lacustrine	Loess	Alluvium	Bedrock	ck Organic Matter	Matter	
Landscape Position: (select one)	tion: (select or	le)		Slope %:	Slope shape			Elevation- b	Elevation-relative to benchmark:	n/a
Vegetation:		field	VI	Soil survey map units:				Limiting Layer Elevation:	levation:	n/a
Weather Conditions/Time of Day:	ions/Time of L	Jay:	cle	clear am			Date	60	09/08/21	
Observation	Observation #/Location:	.B	B-1			Observ	Observation Type:		auger	
Depth (in)	Texture	Rock	Matrix Color(s)	Mottle Color(c)	Podov Kind(s)	lpdicator(s)	-	Structure	I	
nebrui (III)	ו בערתו ב	Frag. %	ואומרו וא כטוטו (s)	ואחררוב בחוחו (s)		III ICACOI (S)	Shape	Grade	Consistence	ce
9-0	topsoil		10YR 3/2					**********		
	•				***************************************	*********				
	sandy clay		7.5YR 4/4							
75-0	loam									
32 42	sandy clay		10YR 4/6	10YR 6/8	Concentrations					
75-47	loam			10YR 5/1	Depletions		***************************************			
•••••	•••••						•			
~~~~										
						*********				
***************************************						**********				
						*******				
***************************************						*********	***************************************			
Comments Redox at 36"		Limestone at 40"	at 40"							
I hereby certify	that I have co	mpleted t	this work in accord	I hereby certify that I have completed this work in accordance with all applicable ordinances, rules and laws.	le ordinances, rules	and laws.				
Tc	Tom Trooien			Tom Trooien			1568		9/8/21	
(Desig	(Designer/Inspector)			(Signature)			(License #)		(Date)	

