

520 Lafayette Road North St. Paul, MN 55155-4194

## Compliance inspection report form Existing Subsurface Sewage Treatment System (SSTS)

Doc Type: Compliance and Enforcement

Instructions: Inspector must submit completed form to Local Governmental Unit (LGU) and system owner within 15 days of final determination of compliance or noncompliance. Instructions for filling out this form are located on the Minnesota Pollution Control Agency (MPCA) website at <a href="https://www.pca.state.mn.us/sites/default/files/wq-wwists4-31a.pdf">https://www.pca.state.mn.us/sites/default/files/wq-wwists4-31a.pdf</a>.

Parcel ID# or Sec/Twp/Range: 07.029.20.41.0007  Local regulatory authority info: Washington County  Property addresses 4350 MaParatel Professional P	Local tracking Reason for Inspection	property sale
Local regulatory authority info: Washington County		property sale
Proporty address: 4250 MaDaniell Dr. Ot N. Oliv.		
Property address: 4350 McDonald Dr Ct N Stillwater, MN 5508	82	
Owner/representative: Justin & Kristine Bonestroo		Owner's phone: 651-592-2323
Brief system description: Two precast septic tanks and a gravity	y, rock trench drainfield.	
System status		
System status on date (mm/dd/yyyy): 9/22/2021		
☐ Compliant – Certificate of compliance*	☐ Noncompliant - Notice	ce of noncompliance
(Valid for 3 years from report date unless evidence of an imminent threat to public health or safety requiring removal and abatement under section 145A.04, subdivision 8 is discovered or a shorter time frame exists in Local Ordinance.)  *Note: Compliance indicates conformance with Minn.  R. 7080.1500 as of system status date above and does not guarantee future performance.  Reason(s) for noncompliance (check all applicated impact on public health (Compliance component #1) impact on public health (Compliance component #2) — Failing impact in Compliance Conditions (Compliance component impact in System not abandoned according to Minn. R. 7080.3 impact in Soil separation (Compliance component #5) — Failing importance in Compliance component impact in Compliance component impact in Compliance component impact in Soil separation (Compliance component impact in Compliance component in Compliance component impact in Compliance component in Compl	use discontinued within the to An imminent threat to public upgraded, replaced, or its us of this notice or within a shor under section 145A.04 subdiction of the section 145A.04 subdiction	health and safety public health and safety roundwater nt #3) – Failing to protect groundwater
Certification		
I hereby certify that all the necessary information has been gathered to future system performance has been nor can be made due to unknow inadequate maintenance, or future water usage.	wn conditions during system co	nstruction, possible abuse of the system,
By typing my name below, I certify the above statements to be true used for the purpose of processing this form.	and correct, to the best of my l	knowledge, and that this information can be
Business name: All State Septic Services LLC		Certification number: 323
Inspector signature: Tom Trooien		License number: 1568
	ned)	Phone: 612-594-4496
(This document has been electronically sign  Necessary or locally required supporting do		


is failing to protect groundwater.  Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1))	ess Name: All State Septic Services LL	.C	Date: 9/22/2021
System discharges sewage to the ground surface  System discharges sewage to drain tile or surface waters.  System causes sewage backup into dwelling or establishment.  Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is an imminent threat to public health and safety.  Describe verification methods and results:  Searched for seeping or surfacing to the ground surface - none observed during the inspection.  Attached supporting documentation:  Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector  Name of maintenance business:  License number of maintenance business:  Date of maintenance  Existing tank integrity assessment (Attach)  Date of maintenance  (must be within three years)  (See form instructions to ensure assessment complies of Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1))  Tank is Noncompliant (pumping not necessary – explain beling the other).	npact on public health – Co	ompliance com	ponent #1 of 5
System discharges sewage to drain tile or surface waters.  System causes sewage backup into dwelling or establishment.  Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is an Imminent threat to public health and safety.  Describe verification methods and results:  Searched for seeping or surfacing to the ground surface - none observed during the inspection.  Attached supporting documentation:    System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit?  Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth?    Yes'   No	Compliance criteria:		Attached supporting documentation:
System discharges sewage to drain		☐ Yes* ☒ No	
dwelling or establishment.       Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is an imminent threat to public health and safety.         Describe verification methods and results:       Searched for seeping or surfacing to the ground surface - none observed during the inspection.         Searched for seeping or surfacing to the ground surface - none observed during the inspection.         Attached supporting documentation:         System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit?       Yes' ⋈ No         Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth?       Yes' ⋈ No         Existing tank integrity assessment (Attach)       Date of maintenance business:         Date of maintenance in minutenance designed operating depth?       Existing tank integrity assessment (Attach)         Date of maintenance is failing to protect groundwater.       (See form instructions to ensure assessment complies within three years)         (See form instructions to ensure assessment complies within three years)       (See form instructions to ensure assessment complies within three years)         (See form instructions to ensure assessment complies within three years)       (See form instructions to ensure assessment complies within three years)         (See form instructions to ensure assessment complies within three years)       (See form instructions to ensure assessment complies within three years)         (See form instructions to ensure assessment complies within three years)       (See form instructions to ensure assessment years)		☐ Yes* ☒ No	
Inwinent threat to public health and safety.  Describe verification methods and results:  Searched for seeping or surfacing to the ground surface - none observed during the inspection.  In the integrity — Compliance component #2 of 5  Compliance criteria:  System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit?  Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth?  Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth?  If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks:  Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is failing to protect groundwater.  Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is failing to protect groundwater.  Describe verification methods and results:		☐ Yes* ☒ No	
Searched for seeping or surfacing to the ground surface - none observed during the inspection.    No			
nk integrity − Compliance component #2 of 5  Compliance criteria:  System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit?  Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth?  If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks:  Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is failing to protect groundwater.  Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is failing to protect groundwater.  Any "secribe verification methods and results:  Attached supporting documentation:    Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector   Name of maintenance business:   License number of maintenance business:   Date of maintenance:   Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1))   Tank is Noncompliant (pumping not necessary – explain beligned)   Other:	Describe verification methods and	results:	
System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit?  Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth?  If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks:  Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is failing to protect groundwater.  Describe verification methods and results:    Yes*   No			
cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit?  Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth?  If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks:  Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is failing to protect groundwater.  Describe verification methods and results:  Name of maintenance business:  License number of maintenance business:  Date of maintenance of maintenance business:  License number of maintenance business:  License number of maintenance business:  Date of maintenance of maintenance business:  License number of maintenance of maintenance business:  License number of maintenance of maintenance business:  License number of maintenance	<b>nk integrity</b> – Compliance	component #2	of 5
Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth?    Date of maintenance   Date		component #2	
Date of maintenance:  Existing tank integrity assessment (Attach)  Date of maintenance 12/3/2020 (must be within three years)  Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is failing to protect groundwater.  (See form instructions to ensure assessment complies within three years)  Tank is Noncompliant (pumping not necessary − explain bell Other:	Compliance criteria:  System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit,		Attached supporting documentation:  □ Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector
Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (must be within three years)  Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is failing to protect groundwater.    Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (must be within three years)  (See form instructions to ensure assessment complies within three years)    Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (must be within three years)    Date of maintenance (must be within three years)	Compliance criteria:  System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit?  Sewage tank(s) leak below their	Yes* ⊠ No	Attached supporting documentation:  Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector  Name of maintenance business:
If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks:  Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is failing to protect groundwater.  (See form instructions to ensure assessment complies with Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1))  Tank is Noncompliant (pumping not necessary – explain belance)  Other:	Compliance criteria:  System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit?  Sewage tank(s) leak below their	Yes* ⊠ No	Attached supporting documentation:  Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector  Name of maintenance business:  License number of maintenance business:
Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is failing to protect groundwater.  (See form instructions to ensure assessment complies with Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1))  Tank is Noncompliant (pumping not necessary – explain belother:	Compliance criteria:  System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit?  Sewage tank(s) leak below their	Yes* ⊠ No	Attached supporting documentation:  Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector  Name of maintenance business:  License number of maintenance business:  Date of maintenance:
Tank is Noncompliant (pumping not necessary – explain belong the control of the c	Compliance criteria:  System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit?  Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth?	Yes* ⊠ No	Attached supporting documentation:  Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector  Name of maintenance business:  License number of maintenance business:  Date of maintenance:  Existing tank integrity assessment (Attach)  Date of maintenance 12/3/2020
Describe verification methods and results:	Compliance criteria:  System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit?  Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth?  If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks:  Any "yes" answer above indicates.	☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Yes* ☒ No	Attached supporting documentation:  Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector  Name of maintenance business:  License number of maintenance business:  Date of maintenance:  Existing tank integrity assessment (Attach)  Date of maintenance  (mm/dd/yyyy):  (See form instructions to ensure assessment complies within the complier within the complex with
	Compliance criteria:  System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit?  Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth?  If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks:  Any "yes" answer above indicates.	☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Yes* ☒ No	Attached supporting documentation:  Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector  Name of maintenance business:  License number of maintenance business:  Date of maintenance:  Existing tank integrity assessment (Attach)  Date of maintenance 12/3/2020 (must be within three years)  (See form instructions to ensure assessment complies with Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1))
The tanks were at normal operating level during the inspection.	Compliance criteria:  System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit?  Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth?  If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks:  Any "yes" answer above indicates.	☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Yes* ☒ No	Attached supporting documentation:  Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector  Name of maintenance business:  License number of maintenance business:  Date of maintenance:  Existing tank integrity assessment (Attach)  Date of maintenance 12/3/2020 (must be within three years)  (See form instructions to ensure assessment complies with Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1))  Tank is Noncompliant (pumping not necessary – explain below
	Compliance criteria:  System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit?  Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth?  If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks:  Any "yes" answer above indicates failing to protect groundwate.	☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Ates the system er.	Attached supporting documentation:  Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector  Name of maintenance business:  License number of maintenance business:  Date of maintenance:  Existing tank integrity assessment (Attach)  Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy):  (See form instructions to ensure assessment complies with Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1))  Tank is Noncompliant (pumping not necessary – explain below
	Compliance criteria:  System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit?  Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth?  If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks:  Any "yes" answer above indicates is failing to protect groundwate.	Yes* No  Yes* No  Yes* No	Attached supporting documentation:  Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector  Name of maintenance business:  License number of maintenance business:  Date of maintenance:  Existing tank integrity assessment (Attach)  Date of maintenance 12/3/2020 (must be within three years)  (See form instructions to ensure assessment complies within thing. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1))  Tank is Noncompliant (pumping not necessary – explain below the compliant of the c

P	Property Address: 4350 McDonald Dr Ct N Stillwater, MN 55082	
В	Business Name: _ All State Septic Services LLC	Date: 9/22/2021
3.	Other compliance conditions – Compliance component #3 of 5	
	3a. Maintenance hole covers appear to be structurally unsound (damaged, cracked, etc.), or unse	cured?
	☐ Yes* ☑ No ☐ Unknown	
	3b. Other issues (electrical hazards, etc.) to immediately and adversely impact public health or safety	/? ☐ Yes*   No ☐ Unknown
	*Yes to 3a or 3b - System is an imminent threat to public health and safety.	
	3c. System is non-protective of ground water for other conditions as determined by inspector?	☐ Yes* ☑ No
	3d. System not abandoned in accordance with Minn. R. 7080.2500?	☐ Yes* ☒ No
	*Yes to 3c or 3d - System is failing to protect groundwater.	
	Describe verification methods and results:	
	Attached supporting documentation:   Not applicable	
п		
4.	Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* – Compliance component #4 or	i 5 ⊠ Not applicable
	Is the system operated under an Operating Permit? ☐ Yes ☒ No ☐	f "yes", A below is required
	Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? ☐ Yes ☒ No I	f "yes", B below is required
	BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design	
	If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed	I.
	Compliance criteria:	
	a. Have the operating permit requirements been met? ☐ Yes ☐ No	
	b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning? ☐ Yes ☐ No	
	Any "no" answer indicates noncompliance.	
	Describe verification methods and results:	

Business Name: _ All State S	eptic Services LLC			Date:	9/22/2021
Soil separation –	Compliance con	npone	nt #5 o	f 5	
Management of the Control of the Con	2/27/1994 nm/dd/yyyy)	Unkr	nown		
Shoreland/Wellhead probeverage lodging?  Compliance criteria (s		☐ Yes	⊠ No	Attached supporting documentation:  ☑ Soil observation logs completed for ☐  ☐ Two previous verifications of require	he report
5a. For systems built prior not located in Shorela Protection Area or not beverage or lodging e.	nd or Wellhead serving a food,	⊠ Yes	□ No*	☐ Not applicable (No soil treatment are	ea)
Drainfield has at least separation distance fro saturated soil or bedro	om periodically				
5b. Non-performance syst April 1, 1996, or later o		☐ Yes	☐ No*	Indicate depths or elevations	——————————————————————————————————————
performance systems	located in Shoreland			A. Bottom of distribution media	32"
or Wellhead Protection food, beverage, or lod	n Areas or serving a			B. Periodically saturated soil/bedrock	72"
Drainfield has a three-				C. System separation	40"
separation distance fro	om periodically			D. Required compliance separation*	24"
saturated soil or bedro	ock.*			*May be reduced up to 15 percent if al Ordinance.	lowed by Local
5c. "Experimental", "Other systems built under pr Type IV or V systems Rules 7080. 2350 or 7 (Intermediate Inspecto 2,500 gallons per day; License required > 2,5	re-2008 Rules; built under 2008 080.2400 or License required ≤ or Advanced Inspector	☐ Yes	□ No*		
Drainfield meets the description distance from saturated soil or bedro	om periodically				

**Upgrade requirements:** (Minn. Stat. § 115.55) An imminent threat to public health and safety (ITPHS) must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within ten months of receipt of this notice or within a shorter period if required by local ordinance. If the system is failing to protect ground water, the system must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within the time required by local ordinance. If an existing system is not failing as defined in law, and has at least two feet of design soil separation, then the system need not be upgraded, repaired, replaced, or its use discontinued, notwithstanding any local ordinance that is more strict. This provision does not apply to systems in shoreland areas, Wellhead Protection Areas, or those used in connection with food, beverage, and lodging establishments as defined in law.

City Stills (11)	State: NM	Parcel ID: Zip code: SSO87
Optional section: Sewage Tank Compliance C	ertification	The state of the s
This form does not represent a complete system inspection in	eport and only certifies se	wage tank compliance status
Instructions: This section of the form may be completed and sig Maintenance Business who personally conducts the necessary profile system.		
When this section of the form is signed by a qualified certified pro Existing System Compliance Inspection Report: Compliance Inspection on the MPCA website at https://www.pca.state.mn.us/water	/ssts-and-msts-technical-and	(WG-WWISTS4-31b). This form can be
individual other than the SSTS Inspector that submits the inspectic component compliance and is allowable under Minn. R. 7082.070 three years beyond the signature date on this form unless a new required according to local regulations. Additional Administrative R. 7082.0700, subp. 4 Items B, C, and D; 7083.0730 Item C.	then existing septic tank com on report. It represents a thir 0, subp. 4 Item (B) subitem (	npliance status is determined by an departy assessment of SSTS
Certificate of sewage tank compliance	Notice of sawage to	ank non-accept
Affirm all three statements:	Select all that apply:	ank non-compliance
<ul> <li>☐ The SSTS does not contain a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit.</li> <li>☐ It does not contain a sewage tank that was designed to be watertight, but subsequently leaks below the designed operating depth.</li> <li>☐ It does not represent an imminent safety threat by reason of unsecured, damaged, or weak maintenance hole cover(s) or other unsafe condition.</li> </ul>	☐ The SSTS has a leaching pit, or or Groundwater." ☐ It has a sewage watertight, but so operating depth ☐ It presents a threunsecured, dame	a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, other pit — "Failure to Protect  tank that was designed to be subsequently leaks below the designed — "Failure to Protect Groundwater." eat to public safety by reason of aged, or weak maintenance hole unsafe condition — "Imminent Threat nor Safety."
Company information		
Company name: PINKYS Sewer Service	Print name: MCI	Individual (DCI) information
Business license number: 1673	Certification number:	2814
personally conducted the work described above as a Designated Business. I personally conducted the necessary procedures to ass	Certified Individual of a Minn	esota-licensed SSTS Maintenance
Designated Certified ndividual's signature:	Date (mm/dd/yyyy):	12/3/2020



3807601....

&B-Z

## Soil Observation Log

4				3	
100				7	10 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 0
AL NO			17		
LNIVERSITY OF MINNESOTA	ONSITE	SEWAGE	FREATMENT	PROGRAM	
UNIVERS	ő	S	lan (X	U.	No. of Concession, Name of Street, or other party of the Concession, Name of Street, or other pa

Project ID:

v 04.01.2021

er, MN 55082	er.	n-relative to benchmark:	tion:	/21	1		בסומומיבוורב																9/22/21	
4350 McDonald Dr Ct N Stillwater, MN 55082		Elevation-relative to benchmark:	Limiting Layer Elevation:	09/22/21	Auger	Structure						- sease sease se				• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			· noor noor					
4350 McL	Bedrock			Date	Observation Type:	Change	2					********											1568	
Location / Address:	Alluvium				Observ	Indicator(s)													•••••			and laws.		
Locatio	Loess Trill	Slope shape				Redox Kind(s)																all applicable ordinances, rules and laws.		
	Outwash   Lacustrine	Slope %:	Soil survey map units:	, am		Mottle Color(s)							**********		***********								Tom Trooien	
Kristy Bonestroo			Soil	cloudy am		Matrix Color(s)	10YR 2/2		10YR 4/3		10YR 4/4		7.5YR 5/4									I hereby certify that I have completed this work in accordance with		
Kri	k all that ap	ne)	lawn	Jay:	B-1	Rock Frag. %		<b>L</b>		I												empleted th		
	Soil parent material(s): (Check all that apply)	Landscape Position: (select one)		Weather Conditions/Time of Day:	Observation #/Location:	Texture		loam	1:0	SILL LOAITI	paes /meo	Nine filling	pues	2	*** ***			*** ***	222.20	********		y that I have co	Tom Trooien	
Client:	Soil parent ma	Landscape Pos	Vegetation:	Weather Cond	Observatio	Depth (in)	(	71-0	12.30	05-71	30.44	 	44-77		***************************************		occonoccocc	•••••	•••••		Comments	I hereby certif	_	

## ONSITE GGE SEWAGE TREATMENT

## Soil Observation Log

Project ID:

v 04.01.2021

Client:		X	Kristy Bonestroo	0		Local	Location / Address:	4350 McI	Jonald Dr Ct N St	4350 McDonald Dr Ct N Stillwater, MN 55082	
Soil parent m	Soil parent material(s): (Check all that apply)	ck all that	apply)	Outwash	Lacustrine	Loess Till	II Alluvium	um Bedrock	Ш	Organic Matter	
Landscape Po	Landscape Position: (select one)	ne)		Slope %:	:%	Slope shape			Elevation	Elevation-relative to benchmark:	
Vegetation:		lawn		Soil survey	y map units:				Limiting Layer Elevation:	Elevation:	1
Weather Conc	Weather Conditions/Time of Day:	Day:		cloudy am				Date		09/22/21	
Observation	Observation #/Location:	à	B-2				sqo	Observation Type:		Auger	
Depth (in)	Texture	Rock	Matrix Color(s)	eltiow (s).	le Color(s)	Redox Kind(s)	Indicator(c)		Structure		T
		Frag. %		-	(5) 10000 00	ווכמסע עווומ(ع)	ווומוכמנטו (s)	Shape	Grade	Consistence	
0-11	loam		10YR 2/2								
11.20			10YR 4/3								T
07-11	SILL LOGILI				***************************************					0000° 0000° 0000°	MARIN MINIST
20-34	pues Ameol		10YR 4/4								T
1000	Cours sain							*********		v 2000 2000 v	
34-50	pues		7.5YR 4/4	***********							T
				***************************************						****	NEW WOOD
50-72	fine sand		10YR 5/4								T
1	2			~~~~~							
											T
				~~~~~	•••••						
	***			***************************************	**********						T
Comments											II
I hereby certil	fy that I have α	ompleted t	this work in acc	ordance with	all applicabl	I hereby certify that I have completed this work in accordance with all applicable ordinances, rules and laws.	s and laws.				Г
	Tom Trooien				Tom Trooien			1568		9/22/21	
(Des	(Designer/Inspector)				(Signature)			(License #)		(Date)	